Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Xin Long Xu, Hunan Normal University, China

REVIEWED BY Xinyi Xu, Guangxi Medical University, China Soheila Rajaie, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Iran

*CORRESPONDENCE Jinghua Zhang ipuzhang@must.edu.mo Jiabi Wang wangjb@nfu.edu.cn

RECEIVED 13 February 2025 ACCEPTED 09 April 2025 PUBLISHED 30 April 2025

CITATION

Wu X, Wang S, Tang Y, Wang J and Zhang J (2025) Efficiency of the healthcare system and the impact of smoking bans: a DEA analysis of the Guangdong-Hong Kong-Macau Greater Bay Area in Asia. *Front. Public Health* 13:1576300.

doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2025.1576300

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Wu, Wang, Tang, Wang and Zhang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Efficiency of the healthcare system and the impact of smoking bans: a DEA analysis of the Guangdong-Hong Kong-Macau Greater Bay Area in Asia

Xiaoyu Wu¹, Shuyang Wang¹, Yulong Tang¹, Jiabi Wang^{2*} and Jinghua Zhang^{1,3*}

¹School of Business, Macau University of Science and Technology, Macau, China, ²School of Accounting, Nanfang College, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China, ³Institute of Development Economics, Macau University of Science and Technology, Macau, China

Objective: Healthcare system efficiency is a global policy priority in the background of aging populations and in pursuit of universal health coverage (UHC). Some healthcare systems in East Asia have been recognized for being highly efficient, which is attributable to healthy lifestyles, including low smoking rates. Specifically, the Guangdong-Hong Kong-Macao Greater Bay Area (GBA) has offered a unique opportunity to study the link between smoking control and healthcare system efficiency.

Materials and methods: Based on the input and output data from healthcare systems across 11 cities in the GBA between 2010 and 2019, a two-stage outputoriented Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA) was employed to assess healthcare efficiency. Additionally, Tobit regression analysis was conducted to evaluate the determinants of efficiency, including smoking rates, urbanization, population aging, and the proportion of floating populations.

Results: There has been a general trend of improved health production efficiency over the past decade despite fluctuations caused by epidemic shocks. While significant disparities across the region have been identified, Hong Kong and Macao consistently achieved higher efficiency scores compared to other cities in the GBA. The results of the Tobit regression analysis indicate that the coefficients of smoking rates are -1.961 (p = 0.000) and -2.134 (p = 0.000), respectively, with other socioeconomic confounding factors controlled.

Conclusion: The healthcare systems in the GBA highlight the critical role of smoking control measures in improving healthcare efficiency in terms of population health outcomes. These findings provide evidence-based support not only for the GBA and mainland China but also for other regions aiming to achieve UHC while addressing the health challenges of aging populations.

KEYWORDS

healthcare system, efficiency, tobacco control, the Greater Bay Area, population aging

1 Introduction

Healthcare system efficiency refers to the optimal use of resources to provide healthcare services and to achieve desired health outcomes (1-3). In the aftermath of the COVID-19 pandemic, the efficiency of healthcare systems has emerged as a priority for policymakers worldwide to meet the dual challenges of achieving universal health coverage (UHC) and addressing the pressures of aging populations (4).

The efficient performance of healthcare systems in East Asia has garnered considerable attention in recent years. The Bloomberg Health-Efficiency Index (BHE Index) evaluates nations based on life expectancy and health expenditures (5). Hong Kong (China) and Singapore have consistently ranked among the top performers (5), while Macao (China) is estimated to have comparable efficiency (6). In 2020, mainland China was ranked 25th, according to prepandemic BHE Index criteria (7). A growing body of research emphasizes the need to examine the determinants of healthcare efficiency in this region, particularly regarding lifestyle factors such as diet and smoking rates (1, 8). Smoking, in particular, remains a globally significant risk factor for non-communicable diseases (NCDs) such as cancer and cardiovascular diseases, which impose substantial economic and social burdens due to direct medical care expenses and indirect costs from productivity losses associated with premature deaths (9-11).

The achievements of Hong Kong's healthcare system can be largely attributed to a unique combination of economic prosperity and low smoking rates (12). Full smoking bans have been rigorously enforced in both Hong Kong and Macao over the past decade (10, 13–15). The implementation of these bans in Macao has led to a significant reduction in smoking rates, dropping from 33.7% in 2011 to 11.2% in 2020 (10). In contrast, although China ratified the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (FCTC) in 2005, full smoking bans have not yet been adopted, and tobacco control policies remain inconsistent across different cities and regions (16, 17). With the largest tobacco-consuming population in the world (18), the relatively high smoking rates in mainland China undermine its overall health achievements (19, 20).

While existing literature acknowledges that low smoking rates are a key determinant of health outcomes (1, 8, 21, 22) associated with socioeconomic loss (9-11), few studies have empirically analyzed their impacts on healthcare efficiency (23-26). The GBA presents a unique research opportunity due to its shared cultural and socioeconomic characteristics, coupled (27, 28) with divergent policy enforcement-particularly between Hong Kong and Macao (which have comprehensive smoking bans) and mainland Chinese cities (which have inconsistent tobacco control measures). This distinctive contrast enables a novel examination of how varying policy approaches affect healthcare system efficiency within a culturally cohesive region. The majority of residents in the GBA cities share common ethnic backgrounds, dietary habits, and cultural traditions (27, 28). Most GBA cities are characterized by universal healthcare systems (29), with only Macao having a quasiuniversal system, where the city government directly provides primary care and the social safety net (10). Over the past decades, the geographical proximity in this region has also fostered active exchanges in cross-border healthcare services and technology (30).

Leveraging Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA), this research provides the first comparative efficiency assessment of healthcare systems across GBA cities, incorporating smoking policy variables as a significant determinant. The findings of this study provide evidence-based recommendations for alleviating the burden of smoking-related diseases and improving healthcare efficiency, with broader implications for public health policies in both developed and developing countries.

2 Materials and methods

This study used two stages of efficiency analysis to examine the panel data. First, Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA) was employed to evaluate the healthcare system performance of 11 cities. Second, a Tobit regression model was applied to analyze related factors that affect healthcare system efficiency.

2.1 Statistical method

2.1.1 Data envelop analysis (DEA) method

DEA is widely utilized in the healthcare sector for its ability to establish a deterministic relationship between resource inputs and health outputs. This linear programming technique considers multiple inputs and outputs simultaneously (31–34). It calculates a relative efficiency score for decision-making units (DMUs) by optimizing the allocation of inputs and outputs. In this context, DMUs refer to cities evaluated on a scale from 0 (least efficient) to 1 (most efficient) (35–37). Efficient DMUs form a production frontier that serves as a benchmark for all inefficient DMUs. Efficiency is the weighted sum of outputs compared to the weighted sum of inputs, indicating how effectively different areas convert inputs into outputs (25, 38–41).

The mathematical representation of the DEA approach is as follows (42):

$$Max.E_{q} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{r} u_{i}y_{iq} + u_{0}}{\sum_{j=1}^{m} v_{j}x_{jq}}$$
(1)
s.t.
$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{r} u_{i}y_{iq} + u_{0}}{\sum_{j=1}^{m} v_{j}x_{jq} \leq 1; (q = 1, 2, ..., n)}$$
$$u_{i}, v_{i} \geq \varepsilon > 0; u_{0} \in R$$

In Equation 1, E_q is the efficiency score of DMUq; the greater the value, the more effective DMUq; y_{iq} denotes the value of output *i* of DMUq, and x_{jq} represents the value of input *j* of DMUq. In addition, *r* denotes the number of outputs, *m* denotes the number of inputs, u_i , and v_j are the weights assigned by the DEA to output *i* and input *j*, respectively, to determine the level of efficiency, and *n* stands for the number of DMUs included in the sample.

Based on the assumption of constant returns to scale (CRS) in production, a specific form of the DEA model developed by Charnes, Cooper, and Rhodes (CCR) offers insights into the relationship between input and output (43, 44). This model suggests that any change in the input will result in a proportional change in the output, which is also called input-oriented (45, 46). It aims

to minimize input resources while maintaining a constant level of output (47–49). On the other hand, a different model developed by Banker, Charnes, and Cooper (BCC) considers variable returns to scale (VRS), meaning that increasing the input can lead to either an increase or a decrease in the output (50, 51). This approach is particularly valuable for this study as it aims to evaluate the efficiency of different organizational units (such as healthcare systems in various cities) that utilize diverse resources to produce multiple outputs (42, 52–54). This perspective provides a more accurate and realistic representation of changes in realworld scenarios, such as evaluating the healthcare system in a specific area (55). This is also called the output-oriented approach, which focuses on maximizing output while keeping input resources constant (48).

This study chooses the BCC model because of the inherent differences in health output within the healthcare system. Moreover, considering the dynamic nature of health levels across different locations, it is unlikely for them to remain constant (32). Furthermore, the objective of the health production system is to attain optimal output levels, accounting for the constraints posed by the available financial budget (48).

Additionally, this paper also applied the DEA-Malmquist index approach. DEA models have inherent limitations, such as they can only analyze time series and cross-sectional data, and they are unable to adequately capture the dynamic variations in the efficiency of DMUs (47, 56). The utilization of the Malmquist index as a frontier analysis technique can improve the above limitations because it can facilitate the systematic evaluation of DMUs on an annual basis, providing a comprehensive assessment of changes in their overall factor productivity (49). When combining the DEA and Malmquist models together, a comprehensive efficiency analysis can be conducted, offering both a static observation of efficiency scores for a specific year and a dynamic observation of consecutive year efficiency change scores. This combined approach allows for a more comprehensive understanding of the efficiency trends over time. The assessment of total factor productivity change (TFP) involves the decomposition into two key components: favorable technology advancement (TECHCH) and technical efficiency change (EFFCH). Moreover, the EFFCH component can be further subdivided into two distinct factors: pure efficiency (PECH) and scale efficiency (SECH) (57, 58).

The following equation shows the DEA-Malmquist index approach (59):

$$M_{i}^{t+1}\left(y^{t+1}, x^{t+1}, y^{t}, x^{t}\right) = \left[\frac{d_{i}^{t}\left(y^{t+1}, x^{t+1}\right)}{d_{i}^{t}\left(y^{t}, x^{t}\right)} \times \frac{d_{i}^{t+1}\left(y^{t+1}, x^{t+1}\right)}{d_{i}^{t+1}\left(y^{t}, x^{t}\right)}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(2)

In the above formula, x and y represent the input and output vectors. $d_i^t(y^t, x^t)$ and $d_i^t(y^{t+1}, x^{t+1})$ are the distance functions in period t and period t+1. If the result TFP index exceeds 1, it indicates an increase in TFP from period t to t+1. Conversely, if the index is <1, it signifies a decrease in TFP over the same duration (60). You may insert up to 5 heading levels into your manuscript, as can be seen in the "Styles" tab of this template. These formatting

styles are meant as a guide. As long as the heading levels are clear, the Frontiers style will be applied during typesetting.

2.1.2 Tobit regression

One limitation of the DEA approach is the presence of serial correlation, which is caused by the correlation between inputs and outputs in the efficiency scores (31). To account for this limitation, a two-stage analysis is recommended, wherein the explanatory variables influencing healthcare system efficiency obtained from DEA in the first stage are further examined in the second stage using econometric models (31). This study is based on the efficiency score results from the BCC model as dependent variables. Nevertheless, it is crucial to acknowledge that the efficiency scores derived from the DEA model possess a censored structure, rendering the use of parametric estimations such as ordinary least squares (OLS) regression in the second stage biased and inconsistent. To overcome this issue, a more comprehensive approach is necessary, employing a Tobit regression model, which best fits the situation when the variables assume the limiting values and have a lower or upper limit in the second stage instead of the traditional panel analysis (31, 61–65).

A Tobit model was employed to examine the efficiency of the GBA in the healthcare system. A positive estimated regression coefficient shows a favorable impact of the respective factor on efficiency, while a negative coefficient suggests a detrimental effect on efficiency. The Tobit regression model was formulated as follows:

$$y_{it} = \begin{cases} \beta^T x_{it} + \varepsilon_{it} > 0\\ \beta^T x_{it} + \varepsilon_{it} \le 0 \end{cases}$$
(3)

In the specified Tobit regression model, the dependent variable y_{it} denotes the efficiency score from DEA-BCC. When the efficiency score is >0, it takes on its actual observed value, but when it is equal to or <0, it is constrained and set to 0. The explanatory variables are representing as x_{it} . The vector of parameters to be estimated is indicated as β^T . Additionally, ε_{it} denotes the stochastic error term.

In addressing potential autocorrelation, which can occur due to the correlation between inputs and outputs in the efficiency scores, we utilized random effects estimation in our panel Tobit analysis, following the recommendations of Samut and Cafri (31). They point out that the non-linear nature of the Tobit model can lead to incidental parameter problems when applying fixed effects, resulting in biased estimates (66). Greene also highlights that fixed-effect Tobit models may face challenges with the distribution of disturbance variance estimators, further complicating the incidental parameters issue (67).

To address heteroscedasticity, we implemented several strategies. First, we used a heteroscedasticity-robust Tobit model, which employs maximum likelihood estimators that explicitly account for heteroscedasticity. Additionally, we applied bootstrapping to the DEA efficiency scores and Tobit regression to address the inherent variability in DEA. Finally, we log-transformed the skewed variable of GDP per capita to reduce issues related to non-constant variance. Together, these methodologies enhance the robustness of our analysis.

2.2 Data source and variables

2.2.1 Data source

The dataset analyzed in this study was mainly obtained from the Health Statistical Yearbook (2010–2019) and the Statistics Yearbook (2010–2019) for the 11 cities in the GBA, China. The smoking rates in each city were collected from the respective Health Bureaus. This study focused on the years before 2020 to avoid the potential confounding effects of the Covid-19 pandemic.

2.2.2 Output variables for the DEA model

2.2.2.1 Population mortality rate

Health outcome measurements—such as population mortality rates and life expectancy at birth—are widely used indicators of health system performance in Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA) models (1, 4, 8, 68).

The output variable in this study is the population mortality rate, also known as the crude death rate (23, 69). The population mortality rate measures the number of deaths recorded in a specific calendar year per 1,000 individuals within the mid-year population of that same year (4, 70). Since the correlation between population mortality rate and healthcare efficiency is negative, the mortality rate decreases when a healthcare system is closer to its efficient state. Therefore, this study adopts the inverse of the population mortality rate in the model (71). The mortality rates in Hong Kong and Macao were age-adjusted based on the population structure of Guangdong Province (72).

This study utilizes mortality rates as the output variable instead of life expectancy, primarily due to data limitations (73). Life expectancy in China is updated only once every 5 years, whereas mortality data is available annually. Moreover, mortality rates provide a timely measure of ultimate health outcomes, despite potential yearly fluctuations.

2.2.3 Input variables of the DEA model

Inputs were defined as resources required to facilitate the production function of the health system. The majority of the previous studies categorize inputs into three categories: health system building blocks, social determinants of health, and health risk factors (1, 25). Health system building blocks were the ones being considered the most. It includes finances such as annual GDP, total health expenditure as a percentage of GDP, and so on (1, 68, 74), human resources for health, which comprise the number of health workers per 1,000 population, the number of specialists or resident medical specialists per 100,000, and so on (1, 32, 57), physical medical infrastructures such as total number of hospital beds (1, 62, 74).

Three inputs have been chosen in this study:

Hospital beds are considered to be physical medical infrastructure. The number of hospital beds per 1,000 population is the available hospital beds for every 1,000 people in a particular population (75, 76).

The number of physicians per 1,000 population reflects the input of healthcare human resources. This includes both generalist and specialty practitioners (57, 77).

Total health expenditure represents a percentage of GDP, reflecting the portion of Gross Domestic Product (GDP) allocated to healthcare (74). It measures the financial resources directed toward enhancing and supporting the provision of healthcare services (57).

2.2.4 Explanatory variables for the Tobit model

Human health is mainly influenced by economic, social, environmental, and cultural factors (78). Income distribution, income level, or country/sub-national income levels, such as GDP per capita, are examples of economic categories (1, 79). Social, environmental, and cultural factors include population density, rural-urban population distribution, and age structure (62), which reflect population characteristics and lifestyle risk factors, such as tobacco use (1, 62, 80).

This study chooses the following four factors as explanatory variables to explore how these variables affect the healthcare efficiency of 11 cities.

2.2.4.1 GDP per capita

GDP per capita is included to control for economic development in a region (21, 79, 81), which is linked to government investment in healthcare and improvements in the efficiency of the healthcare system (76). GDP per capita was log-transformed to address its right-skewed distribution and to enhance the assumptions of linearity.

2.2.4.2 Urbanization rate

The urbanization rate is calculated by dividing the total urban population by the total population living in the area (80, 82).

2.2.4.3 Smoking rate

The smoking rate was calculated based on the ratio of current adult smokers (aged 18 years or older) to the population (10). Due to the inconsistent disclosure of smoking rates across nine cities in Guangdong Province, China, the smoking rates in this study were estimated using an arithmetic sequence over 10 years. Since Hong Kong and Macau reported smoking rates biennially between 2010 and 2019, any missing data for these two cities were imputed using the mean value of the 2 nearest years.

2.2.4.4 Population aging rate

Aging is a key determinant of resource allocation in the healthcare system (83, 84), as older adult individuals typically require greater medical care (85). In this study, the population aging rate is defined as the proportion of individuals aged 60 and above within the total population of a city (86). This threshold is used because 60 is the official retirement age for men in China, and the nine cities in Guangdong Province report aging rates based on this age group. The most recent population aging rates for these nine cities were disclosed in 2015. However, this data remains valid for analysis, as the aging rate typically exhibits minimal variation over a 5-year period.

2.2.4.5 Proportion of the floating population

In China, the term "floating population" refers to individuals whose current places of residence differ from their officially registered ones (87, 88), effectively representing domestic migrants.

TABLE 1 Definition of variables.

Category	Variable	Definition	References
Output variable	Population mortality rate	The number of deaths recorded in a specific calendar year per 1,000 individuals within the mid-year population of that same year, the inverse of the population mortality rate	(70, 71)
Input variables	Hospital beds per 1,000 population	The number of hospital beds that are available per 1,000 people in a certain population	(75)
	Physicians per 1,000 population	The number of physicians per 1,000 people	(77)
	Total health expenditure	Percentage of GDP reflects the allocation of a portion of Gross Domestic Product (GDP) toward healthcare	(57)
Explanatory variables (Tobit)	GDP Per Capita	Gross domestic product per capita (RMB¥)	(76)
	Urbanization rate	Total urban population over the total population living in the area	(80, 82)
	Smoking rate	The ratio of current adult smokers (aged 18 years or older) to the population	(10)
	Population aging rate	The proportion of individuals aged 60 and above within the total population of a city	(86)
	Proportion of the floating population	The ratio of the floating population to the total population of a city	(87, 88)

TABLE 2 Descriptive statistics of input, output, and explanatory variables.

	Total		Hong Kong	and Macao	Nine cities in Guangdong		
Variables	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
Output variable							
Population mortality rate (per 1,000 population)	3.76	2.66	1.345	0.232	4.600	1.984	
Input variables							
Total health expenditure/GDP	0.011	0.008	0.021	0.008	0.009	0.005	
Hospital beds per 1,000 population	3.981	1.122	3.752	1.484	4.032	1.028	
Physicians per 1,000 population	2.312	0.638	2.243	0.370	2.328	0.684	
Explanatory variables							
GDP per capita (10 k RMB)	14.555	13.063	38.333	13.155	9.272	4.093	
Ln (GDP per capita) (10 k RMB)	11.603	0.718	12.799	0.350	11.337	0.460	
Population aging rate	0.104	0.034	0.131	0.028	0.099	0.033	
Floating population rate	0.431	0.316	0.530	0.482	0.409	0.264	
Smoking rate	0.211	0.052	0.125	0.026	0.239	0.046	
Urbanization rate	0.839	0.170	1.00	0.00	0.803	0.1689	
Observations	110		20	0	90		

When a city experiences a higher proportion of either inflow or outflow of migrants, adjustments to the efficiency of the healthcare system become necessary. The floating population is calculated as the ratio of the floating population to the total population of a city (88).

Definitions for all variables are provided in Table 1.

3 Results

3.1 Descriptive statistics

The descriptive statistics in Table 2 highlight key differences among cities within the GBA. The average population mortality

rate is significantly lower in Hong Kong and Macao (1.345) compared to the nine cities in Guangdong Province (4.600), indicating better health outcomes in the former. Meanwhile, Hong Kong and Macao have higher health expenditures as a percentage of GDP (2.1% vs. 0.9%), suggesting that greater financial resources are allocated to health. However, the nine cities in Guangdong have slightly more hospital beds and physician availability, indicating a stronger healthcare infrastructure relative to population size.

Among other explanatory variables, the Hong Kong and Macao regions have a higher aging population (13.1% vs. 9.9%), urbanization rate (100% vs. 80.3%), and floating population rate (53.0% vs. 40.9%). However, on average, the two cities report a lower smoking rate (12.5% vs. 23.9%).

TABLE 3 DEA-BCC by year, 2010-2019.

DMUs	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Mean
Macau	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Zhaoqing	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Zhongshan	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Dongguan	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.987	0.999
Hong Kong	0.922	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.992
Shenzhen	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.919	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.992
Foshan	1.000	0.970	0.969	0.995	1.000	0.909	0.896	0.869	0.902	0.684	0.919
Jiangmen	0.919	0.869	0.874	0.928	0.945	0.884	0.903	0.907	0.928	1.000	0.916
Huizhou	0.963	0.956	0.801	0.802	0.804	0.802	0.793	0.771	0.809	0.846	0.835
Zhuhai	0.662	0.721	0.680	0.772	0.859	0.638	0.670	0.685	0.685	1.000	0.737
Guangzhou	0.578	0.605	0.611	0.645	0.686	0.605	0.606	0.640	0.666	0.840	0.648
Mean	0.913	0.920	0.903	0.922	0.929	0.894	0.897	0.898	0.908	0.942	0.913



3.2 Results from the DEA and DEA-Malmquist Index approach

Table 3, Figure 1 present a comprehensive annual analysis of individual cities using the DEA-BCC model. The mean efficiency scores across all DMUs show a general upward trend, increasing from 0.913 in 2010 to 0.942 in 2019, with the lowest efficiency score of 0.894 recorded in 2015.

High-performing DMUs, including Hong Kong, Macau, Dongguan, Shenzhen, Zhaoqing, and Zhongshan, consistently achieved full efficiency (1.000) across most or all years. Moderateperforming DMUs, including Foshan, Jiangmen, and Huizhou, exhibited inefficiency and significant fluctuations during the studied period. It is noteworthy that Guangzhou and Zhuhai had low performance despite being developed central cities in the GBA. Guangzhou's efficiency scores were relatively low compared to other DMUs, starting at 0.578 in 2010 and peaking at 0.840 in 2019.

The first column of Table 4 shows that, on average, the TFP score for the GBA from 2010 to 2019 was 0.948, suggesting an overall decline in healthcare productivity. During this period, TFP scores fluctuated significantly—from a low of 0.726 during 2013–2014 to a peak of 1.098 in 2012–2013 (refer to Figure 2). Similarly, the average TECHCH score was 0.953, indicating a modest decline in technological progress throughout the decade, with its pattern closely mirroring that of TFP. Meanwhile, the average PECH score was 1.005, as values remained near 1 in most years, signaling only minimal gains in pure efficiency. Finally, the SECH score had a mean of 0.999, indicating almost no change in scale efficiency over the period.

Table 5 summarizes Total Factor Productivity (TFP) using the Malmquist index. As illustrated in Figure 3, some PMUs demonstrated strong and consistent productivity growth, while others experienced stagnation or high volatility. High performers include cities such as Jiangmen (mean TFP: 1.063), Shenzhen (1.030), Huizhou (1.022), Macau (1.011), and Zhaoqing (1.010), all demonstrating productivity growth. In contrast, Hong Kong (0.965), Guangzhou (0.946), and Foshan (0.912) faced some volatility, but overall maintained stable or improving trends. Finally, Dongguan (0.898), Zhongshan (0.922), and Zhuhai (0.920) experienced fluctuations and lagged behind.

As depicted in Table 6, Figure 4, the scores of TECHCH under the Malmquist index reveal that Macau achieved the highest mean value of 0.993, indicating strong performance during the studied period. In contrast, Zhuhai recorded the lowest mean of 0.937, reflecting less favorable outcomes. Overall, the majority

TABLE 4	The	Malmquist	index	and	its	decomposit	ion fo	r the	GBA
2010-20	19.								

Period	TFP	TECHCH	PECH	SECH
2010-2011	0.974	0.786	1.011	1.226
2011-2012	0.817	0.979	0.980	0.852
2012-2013	1.098	0.962	1.025	1.114
2013-2014	0.726	0.726	1.010	0.991
2014-2015	1.046	1.099	0.955	0.996
2015-2016	0.889	0.839	1.004	1.056
2016-2017	0.848	0.932	1.002	0.908
2017-2018	1.070	1.079	1.014	0.978
2018-2019	1.064	1.178	1.041	0.868
Mean	0.948	0.953	1.005	0.999

of cities displayed fluctuations in their performance, but Macau and Jiangmen stood out for demonstrating consistent growth throughout the years.

3.3 Result from Tobit regression

Based on the efficiency scores obtained from the DEA-BCC model, a Tobit regression analysis was conducted. As reported in Table 7, the coefficients for smoking rates are highly significant and negative in both models: -1.961 (p < 0.01) in Model (1) and -2.134 (p < 0.01) in Model (2). This indicates that higher smoking rates are strongly associated with lower health production efficiency, as measured by the Efficiency Score from the DEA-BCC model. The magnitude of the coefficients suggests that smoking has a substantial detrimental effect on health production efficiency.

The Population Aging Rate has negative coefficients (-2.404 and -1.585, p < 0.05 and p < 0.01, respectively), indicating that an aging population reduces the efficiency of health production. The floating population rate has a negative coefficient (-0.301, p < 0.05), suggesting its association with lower efficiency. Conversely, the coefficients of Ln (GDP Per Capita) are insignificant in both models.

The urbanization rate was included as an alternative variable for a robustness check because it correlates with the floating population rate (e.g., rural-to-urban migrants). The coefficient for the urbanization rate is negative and statistically significant at the 10% level (-0.005, p < 0.1).

4 Discussion

The analysis of the healthcare system's efficiency performance based on the DEA approach indicates a general trend of improved health production efficiency over the past decade,



DMUs	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Mean
Jiangmen	0.953	0.653	1.477	0.918	0.917	1.063	0.685	1.185	1.719	1.063
Shenzhen	0.789	0.719	1.275	0.376	2.019	0.858	0.827	1.113	1.295	1.030
Huizhou	1.380	0.685	1.043	0.904	1.235	0.828	0.783	1.295	1.045	1.022
Macau	0.910	1.006	1.071	0.975	0.900	0.847	1.138	1.053	1.201	1.011
Zhaoqing	1.002	0.683	1.214	0.912	1.023	0.918	0.909	0.961	1.465	1.010
Hong Kong	0.993	0.992	0.987	0.987	0.936	0.986	1.014	0.962	0.829	0.965
Guangzhou	0.917	0.856	1.112	0.784	0.903	0.887	0.742	1.153	1.159	0.946
Zhongshan	0.995	1.442	0.554	0.457	1.262	0.802	0.937	1.062	0.785	0.922
Zhuhai	1.074	0.573	1.629	0.619	0.873	0.776	0.852	0.839	1.041	0.920
Foshan	0.995	0.814	1.141	0.613	0.626	1.189	0.624	1.267	0.936	0.912
Dongguan	0.819	0.861	0.970	0.799	1.330	0.726	0.953	0.969	0.661	0.898

TABLE 5 The TFP under the Malmquist index for the 11 cities in GBA, 2010–2019.



despite fluctuations caused by external shocks. Notably, the most significant interruption in this upward trajectory occurred during the Dengue Fever outbreak in 2013 and 2014 (89), which was followed by increased public health expenditure (90) and led to a marked decline in efficiency in 2015 (see Table 2). Similarly, the avian influenza outbreaks in 2018 and 2019 (91–93) further contributed to fluctuations in Total Factor Productivity (TFP), as illustrated in Tables 3, 4.

Moreover, the favorable results in the Pure Efficiency Change (PECH) component for 10 out of the 11 cities (Table 3) suggest significant improvements in managerial skills and resource allocation, which can be attributed to investments in human capital and enhanced management practices throughout the GBA.

The findings of this study reveal significant disparities in healthcare efficiency among member cities within the GBA. Economic differences such as income inequality, regional wealth gaps, and the city's population structure, comprising urban and migrant populations, along with the distribution of healthcare infrastructure and resources, including the

DMUs	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Mean
Macau	0.801	0.948	1.071	0.975	0.900	0.847	1.138	1.053	1.201	0.993
Jiangmen	0.792	0.818	1.120	0.933	0.972	0.879	1.064	1.061	1.160	0.978
Shenzhen	0.789	0.719	1.275	0.652	1.165	0.858	0.827	1.113	1.319	0.968
Foshan	0.778	1.283	0.744	0.573	1.245	0.797	0.808	1.108	1.370	0.967
Huizhou	0.792	0.824	1.121	0.917	0.950	0.877	1.115	1.052	1.037	0.965
Guangzhou	0.778	1.230	0.764	0.573	1.245	0.797	0.808	1.108	1.370	0.964
Zhaoqing	0.792	0.836	1.104	0.933	0.988	0.877	1.120	1.028	1.000	0.964
Hong Kong	0.792	0.864	1.064	0.933	0.988	0.917	1.071	1.028	0.990	0.961
Dongguan	0.778	0.967	1.023	0.573	1.245	0.797	0.808	1.108	1.251	0.950
Zhongshan	0.778	1.384	0.678	0.573	1.245	0.797	0.808	1.108	1.160	0.948
Zhuhai	0.778	1.122	0.822	0.573	1.245	0.797	0.808	1.108	1.182	0.937

TABLE 6 The TECHCH under the Malmquist index for the 11 cities in GBA, 2010–2019.



concentration of top hospitals, as well as government policies and funding, are the main causes of these disparities in healthcare efficiency (94, 95). Compared to Zhongshan, which effectively utilizes its community health centers and allocates its primary healthcare resources due to a relatively balanced population distribution (96), Huizhou's high-quality medical resources are disproportionately concentrated in core urban areas. As a result, municipal hospitals are tasked with managing a high volume of common diseases that could otherwise be addressed by primary care facilities (97). In Zhuhai's western region, with its agrarian economy, traditional manufacturing base, and sparse population, policymakers have historically focused development efforts on the eastern part (98). However, even after significant recent investments in the West, cross-regional healthcare-seeking behavior among residents persists, exacerbating inefficiencies in local medical resource allocation (99). High-income, well-governed regions such as Hong Kong and Macau consistently outperform others, such as Guangzhou, a major central city in South China.

When examining the efficiency of the Chinese healthcare system or institutions, most current literature measures output in terms of healthcare service provision and reports that these institutions generally meet efficiency benchmarks (100, 101). However, this study highlights that, despite its advanced healthcare technology and skilled workforce, Guangzhou, as the central city in South China, did not perform optimally based on

TABLE 7 Result from Tobit regression.

Tobit regression ^a	Model (1)		Model (2)		
Variables	Regression coefficient $^{\mathrm{b}}$	<i>P</i> -value	Regression coefficient	P-value	
Ln (GDP per capita)	-0.053	0.255	0.033	0.615	
Smoking rate	-1.961***c	0.000	-2.134***	0.000	
Population aging rate	-2.404**	0.019	-1.585***	0.047	
Floating population rate	-0.301**	0.035			
Urbanization rate			-0.005^{*}	0.059	
Constant	2.423***	0.000	1.715***	0.013	
Observations	110	110			

^aDep. var. = Efficiency Score from DEA-BCC model.

^bBootstrapped 1,000 times. Robust standard errors are shown in parentheses.

c ***p < 0.01, **p < 0.05, *p < 0.1.

population mortality metrics. Two potential explanations for this underperformance are proposed. First, the efficiency metrics may be underestimated due to the presence of nonlocal medical tourists and domestic migrants, whose health outcomes are not captured in local efficiency evaluations. Many patients prefer to seek care in accredited or high-ranking hospitals in major cities (102), which complicates the assessment of local healthcare efficiency. The lack of official data on migrant healthcare utilization presents a challenge that warrants further investigation. Second, inefficiencies in Guangzhou may stem from overtreatment or the wasteful use of healthcare resources (103, 104). The ongoing healthcare reforms in China, aimed at cost containment and combating medical corruption, seek to enhance the value-based efficiency of the healthcare system for long-term sustainability (105, 106).

The Tobit regression analysis identifies smoking rates as a significant determinant of healthcare system efficiency, with higher smoking rates correlating with a decrease in efficiency scores of approximately two points. In other words, cities with lower smoking rates, such as Hong Kong and Macao, which have implemented comprehensive smoking bans, consistently demonstrate higher levels of efficiency scores. This finding aligns with existing literature on the determinants of longevity and lower mortality rates in Hong Kong and Macao (12, 107–109).

The estimated coefficient for the population aging rate is -2.404 (p = 0.019), indicating that each incremental year in the mean age of the older adult population is associated with a 2.4-point reduction in systemic efficiency scores. This finding aligns with the current literature (83, 84). Additionally, the findings of this study reveal that the relationship between urbanization and healthcare system efficiency in newly industrialized regions is complex and multifaceted (110). As highlighted in the literature, during the process of urbanization in emerging economies such as China, improved access to healthcare services and higher income levels in urban areas may drive demand for healthcare, while more advanced medical infrastructure and higher costs of living there tend to significantly increase healthcare expenditure (102, 111). In addition, the newly urbanized populations may face a higher prevalence of non-communicable diseases, which are more costly to treat (111).

This study has some limitations. Due to data availability, the DEA model's output indicators for healthcare efficiency are limited to the population mortality rate, excluding other important metrics. Additionally, this study focuses on the Greater Bay Area (GBA), the most developed region in China. Future empirical research will expand the analysis to include comparable cities nationwide, thereby enhancing the estimation of policy effects. In addition, potential confounding factors, such as advancements in medical technology and improvements in the treatment of underlying diseases, may influence the outcomes. Further research is required to provide more comprehensive insights into the long-term effectiveness of these measures.

5 Conclusion

This study highlights the significant disparities in efficiency among healthcare systems in the GBA region, revealing that Hong Kong and Macao demonstrate consistent efficiency performance due to their lower smoking rates while controlling for other confounding socioeconomic factors.

The findings of this study have enriched the empirical evidence for policymakers seeking to improve healthcare system efficiencies, not only within the GBA and mainland China but also in other emerging economies worldwide. These policy implications are particularly relevant for regions aiming to achieve universal health coverage and address the healthcare challenges posed by aging populations and lifestyle-related health risks.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found below: https://www.gdhealth.net.cn/ebook/2019nianjian/mobile/index.html#p=1.

Author contributions

XW: Conceptualization, Methodology, Formal analysis, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing, Funding acquisition. SW: Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. YT: Investigation, Writing – review & editing. JW: Methodology, Investigation, Writing – review & editing. JZ: Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing, Project administration.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This research was funded by the Faculty Research Grant of Macau University of Science and Technology, grant number FRG-25-024-MSB.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

References

1. Mbau R, Musiega A, Nyawira L, Tsofa B, Mulwa A, Molyneux S, et al. Analysing the efficiency of health systems: a systematic review of the literature. *Appl Health Econ Health Policy*. (2023) 21:205–24. doi: 10.1007/s40258-022-00785-2

2. World Health Organization. The World Health Report: 2000: Health Systems: Improving Performance. Geneva: World Health Organization (2000).

3. Kruk ME, Freedman LP. Assessing health system performance in developing countries: a review of the literature. *Health Policy*. (2008) 85:263–76. doi: 10.1016/j.healthpol.2007.09.003

4. Arhin K, Oteng-Abayie EF, Novignon J. Assessing the efficiency of health systems in achieving the universal health coverage goal: evidence from Sub-Saharan Africa. *Health Econ Rev.* (2023) 13:25. doi: 10.1186/s13561-023-00433-y

5. Miller JL, Lu W. Asian Countries Top Bloomberg's List Of Efficient Health Systems; U.S. In Bottom Tier (2020). Available online at: https://www.kff.org/news-summary/ asian-countries-top-bloombergs-list-of-efficient-health-systems-u-s-in-bottomtier/ (accessed February 1, 2025).

6. Zhang J. Macau Healthcare and Health Status Research report-Press Release. (2018). Available online at: https://www.must.edu.mo/cn/news/25595-article11231615-c (accessed February 1, 2025).

 Miller JL, Lu W. Asia Trounces U.S. in Health-Efficiency Index Amid Pandemic. (2020). Available online at: https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2020-12-18/ asia-trounces-u-s-in-health-efficiency-index-amid-pandemic (accessed February 1, 2025).

8. Singh S, Kumar N, Rawandale CJ, Bala MM, Gupta AK, Kapur P. Determinants of health system efficiency in middle-east countries-DEA and PLS-SEM model approach. *Int J Syst Assurance Eng Managem.* (2024) 15:1815–27. doi: 10.1007/s13198-023-02159-w

9. Peng W, Zhang L, Wen F, Tang X, Zeng L, Chen J, et al. Trends and disparities in non-communicable diseases in the Western Pacific region. *Lancet Regional Health-Western Pacific*. (2024) 43:100938. doi: 10.1016/j.lanwpc.2023.100938

10. Peng X, Tang X, Zhang JH, Chen Y. Smoking bans and circulatory system disease mortality reduction in Macao (China): using GRA models. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2023) 20:4516. doi: 10.3390/ijerph20054516

11. Ezzati M, Lopez A. Regional, disease specific patterns of smoking-attributable mortality in 2000. *Tob Control.* (2004) 13:388–95. doi: 10.1136/tc.2003.005215

12. Ni MY, Canudas-Romo V, Shi J, Flores FP, Chow MS, Yao XI, et al. Understanding longevity in Hong Kong: a comparative study with long-living, high-income countries. *The Lancet Public Health*. (2021) 6:e919–e31. doi: 10.1016/S2468-2667(21) 00208-5

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Gen AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpubh.2025. 1576300/full#supplementary-material

13. Zhang JH, Tam KP, Zhou N. Do smoking bans always hurt the gaming industry? Differentiated impacts on the market value of casino firms in Macao (China). *Economics*. (2016) 10:20160028. doi: 10.5018/economics-ejournal.ja.2016-28

14. Zheng Z, Deng H, Wu C, Lam W, Kuok W, Liang W, et al. Secondhand smoke exposure of children at home and prevalence of parental smoking following implementation of the new tobacco control law in Macao. *Public Health.* (2017) 144:57–63. doi: 10.1016/j.puhe.2016.11.018

15. Legislative Council of Hong Kong. *Smoking (Public Health) Ordinance.* (2021). Available online at: https://www.taco.gov.hk/t/english/legislation/legislation_so.html (accessed February 1, 2025).

16. Wan X. Protecting people from tobacco smoke in China: current status and challenges. *China CDC Weekly.* (2022) 4:460. doi: 10.46234/ccdcw2022.102

17. Parry J. China pushes ahead with ban on indoor smoking. BMJ. (2011) 342:d2314. doi: 10.1136/bmj.d2314

18. Chan KH, Wright N, Xiao D, Guo Y, Chen Y, Du H, et al. Tobacco smoking and risks of more than 470 diseases in China: a prospective cohort study. *Lancet Public Health*. (2022) 7:e1014–e26. doi: 10.1016/S2468-2667(22)00227-4

19. National Bureau of Statistics. The Transformation of a Populous Country into a Powerful Country with Human Resources Yielded Results in the High-Quality Development of Population. (2024). Available online at: https://www.stats.gov.cn/sj/sjjd/202409/t20240920_1956588.html (accessed February 1, 2025).

20. WHO Western Pacific. *The Bill China Cannot Afford : Health, Economic and Social Costs of China's Tobacco Epidemic.* (2012). Available online at: https://www.who. int/publications/i/item/9789290617907 (accessed February 1, 2025).

21. Sun Y, Shang J. Factors affecting the health of residents in China: a perspective based on the living environment. *Ecol Indic.* (2015) 51:228–36. doi: 10.1016/j.ecolind.2014.04.036

22. Dai X, Gil GF, Reitsma MB, Ahmad NS, Anderson JA, Bisignano C, et al. Health effects associated with smoking: a Burden of Proof study. *Nat Med.* (2022) 28:2045–55. doi: 10.1038/s41591-022-01978-x

23. Retzlaff-Roberts D, Chang CF, Rubin RM. Technical efficiency in the use of health care resources: a comparison of OECD countries. *Health Policy*. (2004) 69:55–72. doi: 10.1016/j.healthpol.2003.12.002

24. Tuvdendorj A, Du Y, Sidorenkov G, Buskens E, de Bock GH, Feenstra T. Informing policy makers on the efficiency of population level tobacco control interventions in Asia: a systematic review of model-based economic evaluations. *J Glob Health*. (2020) 10:020437. doi: 10.7189/jogh.10.020437

25. Cantor VJM, Poh KL. Integrated analysis of healthcare efficiency: a systematic review. J Med Syst. (2017) 42:8. doi: 10.1007/s10916-017-0848-7

26. Fu H, Tsuei S, Zheng Y, Chen S, Zhu S, Xu D, et al. Effects of comprehensive smoke-free legislation on smoking behaviours and macroeconomic outcomes in Shanghai, China: a difference-in-differences analysis and modelling study. *Lancet Public Health.* (2024) 9:e1037–e46. doi: 10.1016/S2468-2667(24)00262-7

27. Hou S. Historical Memory and Cultural Identity in the Construction of Guangdong-Hong Kong-Macau Greater Bay Area Community of Common Destiny West Journal. Xi'an: Shanxi Xinhua Publishing \$ Media Group Co.Ltd. (2022).

28. Xie C. Building a strong sense of Chinese Community among young people in the Guangdong-Hong Kong-Macau Greater Bay Area. *Pract Theory of Seas.* (2022) 6:107–10, 24. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1673-5706.2022.06.017

29. Chen M, Zhou G, Si L. Ten years of progress towards universal health coverage: has China achieved equitable healthcare financing? *BMJ Global Health.* (2020) 5:e003570. doi: 10.1136/bmjgh-2020-003570

30. Tang B, Chen Z, Zhang Y, Sun H, A. study on the evolution of economic patterns and urban network system in Guangdong-Hong Kong-Macao greater bay area. *Front Public Health.* (2022) 10:973843. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2022.973843

31. Kaya Samut P, Cafri R. Analysis of the efficiency determinants of health systems in OECD countries by DEA and panel tobit. *Soc Indic Res.* (2016) 129:113–32. doi: 10.1007/s11205-015-1094-3

32. Stefko R, Gavurova B, Kocisova K. Healthcare efficiency assessment using DEA analysis in the Slovak Republic. *Health Econ Rev.* (2018) 8:1–12. doi: 10.1186/s13561-018-0191-9

33. El Husseiny IA. The efficiency of healthcare systems in the Arab countries: a twostage data envelopment analysis approach. *J Humanit Appl Soc Sci.* (2023) 5:339–58. doi: 10.1108/JHASS-10-2021-0168

34. Kirigia J, Asbu E, Greene W, Emrouznejad A. Technical efficiency, efficiency change, technical progress and productivity growth in the national health systems of Continental African Countries. *East Africa Social Sci Res Rev.* (2007) 23:8. doi: 10.1353/eas.2007.0008

35. De Alencar Bezerra S. Jackson dos Santos F, Rogerio Pinheiro P, Rocha Barbosa F. Dynamic evaluation of the energy efficiency of environments in Brazilian university classrooms using DEA. *Sustainability*. (2017) 9:2373. doi: 10.3390/su9122373

36. Charnes A, Cooper WW, Rhodes E. Evaluating program and managerial efficiency: an application of data envelopment analysis to program follow through. *Manage Sci.* (1981) 27:668–97. doi: 10.1287/mnsc.27.6.668

37. Kontodimopoulos N, Papathanasiou ND, Flokou A, Tountas Y, Niakas D. The impact of non-discretionary factors on DEA and SFA technical efficiency differences. *J Med Syst.* (2011) 35:981–9. doi: 10.1007/s10916-010-9521-0

38. Jordi E, Pley C, Jowett M, Abou Jaoude GJ, Haghparast-Bidgoli H. Assessing the efficiency of countries in making progress towards universal health coverage: a data envelopment analysis of 172 countries. *BMJ Glob Health.* (2020) 5:10. doi: 10.1136/bmjgh-2020-002992

39. Cook WD, Seiford LM. Data envelopment analysis (DEA) – Thirty years on. *Eur J Oper Res.* (2009) 192:1–17. doi: 10.1016/j.ejor.2008.01.032

40. Mo R, Huang H, Yang L. An interval efficiency measurement in DEA when considering undesirable outputs. *Complexity.* (2020) 2020:7161628. doi: 10.1155/2020/7161628

41. Gerami J. An interactive procedure to improve estimate of value efficiency in DEA. *Expert Syst Appl.* (2019) 137:29–45. doi: 10.1016/j.eswa.2019.06.061

42. Banker RD, Charnes A, Cooper WW. Some models for estimating technical and scale inefficiencies in data envelopment analysis. *Manage Sci.* (1984) 30:1078–92. doi: 10.1287/mnsc.30.9.1078

43. Cooper WW, Seiford LM, Tone K. The basic CCR model. In: Cooper WW, Seiford LM, Tone K, editors. *Data Envelopment Analysis: A Comprehensive Text with Models, Applications, References and DEA-Solver Software.* New York, NY: Springer US (2007). p. 21–39. doi: 10.1007/978-0-387-45283-8

44. Wei C-K, Chen L-C, Li R-K, Tsai C-H. Exploration of efficiency underestimation of CCR model: Based on medical sectors with DEA-R model. *Expert Syst Appl.* (2011) 38:3155–60. doi: 10.1016/j.eswa.2010.08.108

45. Charnes A, Cooper WW, Rhodes E. Measuring the efficiency of decision making units. *Eur J Oper Res.* (1978) 2:429–44. doi: 10.1016/0377-2217(78)90138-8

46. Pelone F, Kringos DS, Spreeuwenberg P, De Belvis AG, Groenewegen PP. How to achieve optimal organization of primary care service delivery at system level: lessons from Europe. *Int J Qual Health Care*. (2013) 25:381–93. doi: 10.1093/intqhc/mzt020

47. Cullinane K, Wang T. The efficiency analysis of container port production using DEA panel data approaches. *OR Spectrum.* (2010) 32:717–38. doi: 10.1007/s00291-010-0202-7

48. Park S, Yoon K, Lee M. Healthy City Project: an application of data envelopment analysis. *Risk Managem Healthc Policy.* (2021) 2021:4991–5003. doi: 10.2147/RMHP.S325825

49. Grifell-Tatjé E, Lovell CK, A. generalized Malmquist productivity index. *Top.* (1999) 7:81–101. doi: 10.1007/BF02564713

50. Jacobs R, Smith PC, Street A. *Measuring Efficiency in Health Care: Analytic Techniques and Health Policy*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press (2006).

51. Varela PS, Martins GdA, Fávero LPL. Production efficiency and financing of public health: an analysis of small municipalities in the state of São Paulo—Brazil. *Health Care Managem Sci.* (2010) 13:112–23. doi: 10.1007/s10729-009-9114-y

52. Kim Y, Ployhart RE. The effects of staffing and training on firm productivity and profit growth before, during, and after the Great Recession. *J Appl Psychol.* (2014) 99:361–89. doi: 10.1037/a0035408

53. Kim Y, Kangminah. The Measurement of Health Care System Efficiency: Cross-Country Comparison by Geographical Region. Seoul: Seoul National University (2014).

54. Salinas-Martínez AM, Amaya-Alemán MA, Arteaga-García JC, Núñez-Rocha GM, Garza-Elizondo ME. Technical efficiency in primary care for patients with diabetes. Salud Pública de México. (2009) 51:48–58. doi: 10.1590/S0036-36342009000100010

55. Cheng Z, Tao H, Cai M, Lin H, Lin X, Shu Q, et al. Technical efficiency and productivity of Chinese county hospitals: an exploratory study in Henan province, China. *BMJ Open*. (2015) 5:e007267. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2014-007267

56. Kontodimopoulos N, Niakas D, A. 12-year analysis of Malmquist total factor productivity in dialysis facilities. J Med Syst. (2006) 30:333–42. doi: 10.1007/s10916-005-9005-9

57. Zhou J, Peng R, Chang Y, Liu Z, Gao S, Zhao C, et al. Analyzing the efficiency of Chinese primary healthcare institutions using the Malmquist-DEA approach: evidence from urban and rural areas. *Front Public Health.* (2023) 11:1073552. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2023.1073552

58. Lovell CK. The decomposition of Malmquist productivity indexes. J Product Analy. (2003) 20:437–58. doi: 10.1023/A:1027312102834

59. Ozcan YA. Health Care Benchmarking and Performance Evaluation. Cham: Springer (2008).

60. Hussain Z, Miao C, Zhao Z, Wang Y. Nexus between economic efficiency, healthcare, and environmental expenditures: a perspective of BRI countries. *Fronti Public Health.* (2022) 10:842070. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2022.842070

61. Lin C-S, Chiu C-M, Huang Y-C, Lang H-C, Chen M-S. Evaluating the operational efficiency and quality of tertiary hospitals in Taiwan: the application of the EBITDA indicator to the DEA method and TOBIT regression. *Healthcare*. (2022) 10:58. doi: 10.3390/healthcare10010058

62. Medarević A, Vuković D. Efficiency and productivity of public hospitals in Serbia using DEA-malmquist model and tobit regression model, 2015–2019. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* (2021) 18:12475. doi: 10.3390/ijerph182312475

63. Gong Q, Schaubel DE. Tobit regression for modeling mean survival time using data subject to multiple sources of censoring. *Pharm Stat.* (2018) 17:117–25. doi: 10.1002/pst.1844

64. Kontodimopoulos N, Moschovakis G, Aletras VH, Niakas D. The effect of environmental factors on technical and scale efficiency of primary health care providers in Greece. *Cost Effectiven Resour Allocat.* (2007) 5:1–11. doi: 10.1186/1478-7547-5-14

65. Tobin J. Estimation of relationships for limited dependent variables. *Econometrica*. (1958) 1958:24–36. doi: 10.2307/1907382

66. Fernández-Val I, Weidner M. Individual and time effects in nonlinear panel models with large N, T. J Econom. (2016) 192:291–312. doi: 10.1016/j.jeconom.2015.12.014

67. Greene W. Fixed effects and bias due to the incidental parameters problem in the Tobit model. *Econometric Rev.* (2004) 23:125–47. doi: 10.1081/ETC-120039606

68. Dar KH, Raina SH. Public healthcare efficiency in India: estimates and determinants using two stage DEA approach. *Eval Program Plann.* (2024) 106:102472. doi: 10.1016/j.evalprogplan.2024.102472

69. Kuzior A, Kashcha M, Kuzmenko O, Lyeonov S, Brożek P. Public health system economic efficiency and COVID-19 resilience: frontier DEA analysis. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* (2022) 19:14727. doi: 10.3390/ijerph192214727

70. Kanchan K, Kumar N, Unnikrishnan B. *Mortality: Statistics.* (2016). Available online at: https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/pharmacology-toxicology-and-pharmaceutical-science/mortality-rate (accessed February 1, 2025).

71. Pedersen P, Owusu PA, Asumadu Sarkodie S. Relationship between mortality and health care expenditure: Sustainable assessment of health care system. *PLoS ONE*. (2021) 16:e0247413. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0247413

72. Inskip H, Beral V, Fraser P, Haskey J. Methods for age-adjustment of rates. *Stat Med.* (1983) 2:455–66. doi: 10.1002/sim.4780020404

73. Lupu D, Tiganasu R. COVID-19 and the efficiency of health systems in Europe. *Health Econ Rev.* (2022) 12:14. doi: 10.1186/s13561-022-00358-y

74. Zhou L, Ampon-Wireko S, Dauda L, Xu X, Antwi MO, Larnyo E, editors. Empirical analysis of factors influencing healthcare efficiency among emerging countries. *Healthcare*. (2020) 9:31. doi: 10.3390/healthcare9010 031

75. World Health Organization. *Hospital Beds per 1000 Population WHO Website:* WHO (2023). Available online at: https://www.who.int/data/gho/indicator-metadata-registry/imr-details/3119 (accessed February 1, 2025).

76. Yaya S, Xi C, Xiaoyang Z, Meixia Z. Evaluating the efficiency of China's healthcare service: A weighted DEA-game theory in a competitive environment. *J Clean Prod.* (2020) 270:122431. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.122431

77. World Health Organization. *Density of Physicians (per 1000 polpulation)*. Geneva: WHO (2006). Available online at: https://www.who.int/data/gho/indicator-metadata-registry/imr-details/3107 (accessed February 1, 2025).

78. Grossman M. 1. On the concept of health capital and the demand for health. In: *Determinants of Health*. New York Chichester, West Sussex: Columbia University Press (2017). p. 6–41.

79. Cao F, Xi Y, Zheng C, Bai T, Sun Q. How efficient are basic public health services between Urban and Rural in Shandong Province, China? A data envelopment analysis and panel Tobit regression approach. *Risk Managem Healthc Policy*. (2022) 2022:727–38. doi: 10.2147/RMHP.S354758

80. Barasa E, Musiega A, Hanson K, Nyawira L, Mulwa A, Molyneux S, et al. Level and determinants of county health system technical efficiency in Kenya: two stage data envelopment analysis. *Cost Effectiven Resour Allocat*. London, UK: BMC (2021) 19:1–11. doi: 10.1186/s12962-021-00332-1

81. Bayar Y, Gavriletea MD, Pintea MO, Sechel IC. Impact of environment, life expectancy and real GDP per capita on health expenditures: evidence from the EU member states. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* (2021) 18:13176. doi: 10.3390/ijerph182413176

82. Tripathi S, Maiti M. Does urbanization improve health outcomes: a cross country level analysis. *Asia-Pacific J Region Sci.* (2023) 7:277-316. doi: 10.1007/s41685-022-00268-1

83. Yuan-Gang C, Chun-Hua T, Fang C, Xiao-Cha L. Analysis of the construction of urban community medicare and health service system for the old people of China. In: *Journal of Chongqing Technology and Business University*(Social Science Edition). (2013).

84. GBD 2019 Demographics Collaborators. Global age-sex-specific fertility, mortality, healthy life expectancy (HALE), and population estimates in 204 countries and territories, 1950–2019: a comprehensive demographic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. *Lancet.* (2020) 396:1160–203. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30977-6

85. Chenli Huang LP. Analysis of the influence of aging population on the allocation of medical resources. *Populat Dev.* (2011) 2:7. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1674-1668.2011.02.004

86. World Health Organization. *Ageing and Health WHO website: WHO* (2024). Available online at: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/ageing-and-health#:~:text=The%20pace%20of%20population%20ageing,from%2012%25%20to %2022%25 (accessed February 1, 2025).

87. Xu C, Chen X, Chen J, Chen D. Exploring the impact of floating population with different household registration on theft. *ISPRS Int J Geo-Inform.* (2022) 11:443. doi: 10.3390/ijgi11080443

88. National Bureau of Statistics. *Communique of the Seventh National Population Census (No.7) National Bureau of Statistics of China* (2021). Available online at: https://www.stats.gov.cn/english/PressRelease/202105/t20210510_1817192.html (accessed February 1, 2025).

89. Chen B, Liu Q. Dengue fever in China. Lancet. (2015) 385:1621-2. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(15)60793-0

90. Zhang JH, Yuan J, Wang T. Direct cost of dengue hospitalization in Zhongshan, China: Associations with demographics, virus types and hospital accreditation. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* (2017) 11:e0005784. doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0005784

91. Wu J-Y, Lau EH, Yuan J, Lu M-L, Xie C-J, Li K-B, et al. Transmission risk of avian influenza virus along poultry supply chains in Guangdong, China. J Infect. (2019) 79:43–8. doi: 10.1016/j.jinf.2019.05.006

92. Yang Y, Li X, Birkhead GS, Zheng Z. Lu J-h. Clinical indices and mortality of hospitalized avian influenza A (H7N9) patients in Guangdong, China. *Chinese Med J.* (2019) 132:302–10. doi: 10.1097/CM9.00000000000043

93. Stoto MA, Kang M, Song T, Bouey J, Boyce MR, Katz R. At the frontier of the global battle against emerging infections: surveillance and management of avian influenza A (H7N9) in Guangdong Province, China. J Global Health Reports. (2019) 3:e2019018. doi: 10.29392/joghr.3.e2019018

94. Thompson JT. What Factors Lead to Disparities in Healthcare and Healthcare Providers and What Should Be Done to Address Them? Los Angeles, CA: SAGE Publications. (2024). p. 231-3.

95. Ndugga N, Artiga S. Disparities in health and health care: 5 key questions and answers. *Kaiser Family Found*. (2021) 11:4. Available online at: https://www.kff.org/racial-equity-and-health-policy/issue-brief/disparities-in-health-and-health-care-5-key-question-and-answers/view/footnotes/ (accessed February 1, 2025).

96. Lin Chen J-yT, Jing-hao Liao, Qiu Zhang. Study on the allocation efficiency of health resources in twenty-one cities in Guangdong Province. Chinese Health Resources. 2019;22(3):218-24.

97. Su W, Du L, Fan Y, Wang P. Equity and efficiency of public hospitals' health resource allocation in Guangdong Province, China. *Int J Equity Health*. (2022) 21:138. doi: 10.1186/s12939-022-01741-1

98. Li J, Guo P, Sun Y, Liu Z, Chen Q, Zhang Y, Liu J. Comparative study on functional mixing degree of urban land use based on multi-source data-case study of Zhuhai City, China. *Sens Mater.* (2022) 34:4089. doi: 10.18494/SAM4089

99. Kejing Zhu WL, Wang X, Shi F, Li D. Efficiency evaluation of basic public health service projects of community health service organizations in Zhuhai city based on data envelopment analysis. *Mordern Med Health.* (2020) 36:1781-4. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1009-5519.2020.12.002

100. Du T. Performance measurement of healthcare service and association discussion between quality and efficiency: evidence from 31 provinces of mainland China. *Sustainability.* (2017) 10:74. doi: 10.3390/su10010074

101. Huang R, Li W, Shi B, Su H, Hao J, Zhao C, et al. Evaluating China's primary healthcare services' efficiency and spatial correlation: a three-stage DEA-Malmquist model. *Front Public Health.* (2024) 12:1366327. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2024.1366 327

102. Shi L, Smit E, Luck J. panel survey estimation of the impact of urbanization in China: does level of urbanization affect healthcare expenditure, utilization or healthcare seeking behavior? *Chinese Econ.* (2021) 54:145–56. doi: 10.1080/10971475.2020.1848472

103. Zou X, Cheng Y, Nie JB. The social practice of medical guanxi (personal connections) and patient-physician trust in China: an anthropological and ethical study. *Dev World Bioeth.* (2018) 18:45–55. doi: 10.1111/dewb.12164

104. Wang Y, Huang X, Xu X, Wang L, Lu N, Xu W. Do the Effects of the DIP Payment Reform Work for Patients in the Same City Who Are Not Yet Covered by the Reform? Evidence from Guangzhou, China. Durham, NC: Springer Nature (2023).

105. Yu J, Liu Z, Zhang T, Hatab AA, Lan J. Measuring productivity of healthcare services under environmental constraints: evidence from China. *BMC Health Serv Res.* (2020) 20:1–14. doi: 10.1186/s12913-020-05496-9

106. Chen S, Guo L, Wang Z, Mao W, Ge Y, Ying X, et al. Current situation and progress toward the 2030 health-related sustainable development goals in China: a systematic analysis. *PLoS Med.* (2019) 16:e1002975. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1002975

107. Lai ETC, Chiang TL, Kim CY, Hashimoto H, Marmot M, Woo J. The determinants of longevity: the perspectives from East Asian economies. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* (2023) 71:3338–41. doi: 10.1111/jgs.18418

108. Sun Q, Yu D, Fan J, Yu C, Guo Y, Pei P, et al. Healthy lifestyle and life expectancy at age 30 years in the Chinese population: an observational study. *Lancet Public Health.* (2022) 7:e994–e1004. doi: 10.1016/S2468-2667(22)00110-4

109. Bryazka D, Reitsma MB, Abate YH, Abd Al Magied AH, Abdelkader A, Abdollahi A, et al. Forecasting the effects of smoking prevalence scenarios on years of life lost and life expectancy from 2022 to 2050: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2021. *Lancet Public Health.* (2024) 9:e729–e44. doi: 10.1016/S2468-2667(24)00166-X

110. Zhao H, Zhang F, Du Y, Li J, Wu M. The coupling coordination characteristics of China's health production efficiency and new urbanization and its influencing factors. *PLoS ONE*. (2024) 19:e0298683. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0298683

111. Shao Q, Tao R, Luca MM. The effect of urbanization on health care expenditure: evidence from China. *Front Public Health.* (2022) 10:850872. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2022.850872