Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Minghui Li, Army Medical University, China

REVIEWED BY Christopher Kirk Thompson, Virginia Tech, United States Long Xu, Jiaxing University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Dini Lin ⊠ lindini@wmu.edu.cn Mengmeng Peng ⊠ pengmengmeng@wmu.edu.cn

RECEIVED 02 April 2025 ACCEPTED 26 June 2025 PUBLISHED 17 July 2025

CITATION

Li X, Chen M, Zheng Q, Wang Z, Lin D and Peng M (2025) The association between brominated flame retardants and serum testosterone levels in American adult men: NHANES 2013–2016. *Front. Public Health* 13:1589047. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2025.1589047

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Li, Chen, Zheng, Wang, Lin and Peng. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

The association between brominated flame retardants and serum testosterone levels in American adult men: NHANES 2013–2016

Xin Li¹, Mutong Chen², Qing Zheng¹, Zixuan Wang^{3,4}, Dini Lin^{3,4}* and Mengmeng Peng^{3,4}*

¹Department of Gastrointestinal Surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital of Shantou University Medical College, Shantou, China, ²Cancer Hospital of Shantou University Medical College, Shantou, China, ³Department of Endocrinology, The Third Affiliated Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University, Ruian, China, ⁴Wenzhou Key Laboratory for the Diagnosis and Prevention of Diabetic Complication, Wenzhou, China

Background: Brominated flame retardants (BFRs), especially polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs), are commonly utilized, yet their possible endocrinedisrupting effects have sparked significant concerns. Nonetheless, the link between exposure to BFRs and serum testosterone levels in adult males is still not well comprehended.

Methods: We analyzed data from 1,150 men aged \geq 20 years from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) in 2013–2016. Serum concentrations of BFRs (PBDE congeners: PBDE-28, PBDE-47, etc.) and testosterone levels were measured via mass spectrometry and liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry, respectively. Free testosterone (FT) and bioavailable testosterone (BAT) were calculated using the Vermeulen equation, based on measured total testosterone (TT), sex hormone-binding globulin (SHBG), and serum albumin concentrations. Linear regression models were used to evaluate the association between BFRs and TT, BAT, FT, and SHBG, adjusting for confounders including age, race, and lifestyle factors. We also evaluated potential associations modified by age, and conducted a sensitivity analysis to assess the robustness of the observed associations.

Results: After all continuous variables were log2-transformed and potential confounders were adjusted, significant inverse associations were found between PBDE-28 and PBDE-47 levels with TT ($\beta = -0.641$, 95% CI: -1.098, -0.185) and FT ($\beta = -0.883$, 95% CI: -1.616, -0.149). Specifically, in the stratified analysis, older men (≥ 60 years) showed stronger associations between PBDE-28 and PBDE-47 exposure with lower testosterone levels ($\beta = -0.892$, 95% CI: -1.472, -0.311 for PBDE-28 and $\beta = -0.695$, 95% CI: -1.199, -0.191 for PBDE-47). Sensitivity analysis confirmed that PBDE-28 and PBDE-47 were consistently associated with reduced testosterone and free testosterone levels, with the associations remaining significant even after adjusting for potential co-exposures and lifestyle factors.

Conclusion: Our findings suggest that exposure to PBDE-28 and PBDE-47 is associated with lower testosterone levels, particularly in older men. These results highlight the potential reproductive risks posed by BFR exposure, warranting further investigation into the long-term health impacts.

KEYWORDS

brominated flame retardants, serum testosterone, endocrine disrupting, NHANES, sex hormone binding globulin

1 Introduction

Brominated flame retardants (BFRs) consist of various brominated organic substances that are incorporated into diverse materials to diminish the potential for fire hazards. These compounds can be classified into three primary categories according to how they bind with polymers: brominated monomers, reactive agents, and additives (1, 2). Due to the non-covalent nature of their binding to polymers, BFRs are known to continuously leach out from products and permeate into the surrounding environment (3). Numerous epidemiological studies have shown a significant link between exposure to brominated flame retardants (BFRs) and serious health issues or disturbances related to the nervous system, reproductive system, thyroid activity, and liver health (3-5). Polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) are among the most widely used brominated flame retardants (BFRs) and are regarded as reactive BFRs, which are thought to pose a heightened risk to human health (1, 6). Commercial PBDE formulations are made up of a combination of congeners, which typically feature pentabromodiphenyl ethers (Penta-BDEs), octabromodiphenyl ethers (Octa-BDEs), and decabromodiphenyl ethers (Deca-BDEs). Penta-BDEs and Octa-BDEs were discontinued in the United States in 2004, while the production of Deca-BDEs ceased in 2013 (7). Although BFRs, including PBDEs, are being phased out, the persistence in consumer durables, food and indoor dust suggests that human exposure to these compounds will continue (8-12). As lipophilic molecules, BFRs can also accumulate in organisms, leading to biological amplification in the food chain (13). Moreover, the control of BFRs leading to the restoration of toxicokinetic dynamics does not necessarily guarantee the restoration of toxicodynamic dynamics, which highlights the fact that even limited early exposure might result in enduring consequences (14). It is foreseeable that human health will remain potentially affected by BFRs for decades (15).

Testosterone is the main male sex hormone, mostly secreted by the testes, with a small amount secreted by the adrenal glands (16). Normal levels of testosterone play an important role in male health, exerting significant effects on male sexual characteristics, brain functionality, muscle quality, and bone density (17, 18). Reduced serum testosterone (equal to or below 300 ng/dL) commonly manifests as decreased frequency of sexual thoughts and desire, increased body weight, and impaired erectile function (19, 20). Additionally, low testosterone levels is associated with diabetes, depressive symptoms, fatigue, as well as an increased risk of cardiovascular disease (21-26). In the United States, the prevalence of low testosterone levels among men aged 45 and above is as high as 40%, and the proportion is projected to increase in the coming decades (27). Studies have shown that many factors are associated with decreased testosterone levels, including advancing age, obesity, sedentary lifestyle, alcohol consumption, and the use of medications (28, 29). Moreover, research has also uncovered the possible influence of environmental endocrine-disrupting chemicals (EDCs) on the development of low testosterone, alongside these risk factors (30, 31). Given the significance of androgens in the general health of adult men, identifying factors that influence hormone levels has become a crucial issue in men's well-being (32).

Research both in vitro and in vivo has been carried out to evaluate how BFRs affect testosterone levels. However, these studies mainly rely on laboratory data, and the findings remain perplexing without definitive conclusions. According to previous studies, the administration of BDE209 has been found to impact the production of testicular steroids and spermatogenesis in adult or prepubertal mice, resulting in a significant reduction in serum testosterone levels (33-35). A different investigation has demonstrated that mature male rats exposed to a complex mixture of three commercial BDE compounds-specifically DE-71 (52.1%), DE-79 (0.4%), and Deca-BDE-209 (44.2%)—along with hexabromocyclododecane (HBCDD at 3.3%), exhibited no notable impact on serum testosterone levels (36). Additionally, the limited number of epidemiological studies available is insufficient to fully elucidate the relationship between BFRs and testosterone, lacking generalizability to the adult men in the United States. In 2013, a study uncovered a positive correlation between the concentration of Octa-BDEs (the combined total of PBDE-183 and PBDE-201) in indoor dust and testosterone levels among males recruited from an infertility clinic in the Boston area. Conversely, there is an inverse relationship between the concentration of Deca-BDEs and testosterone levels (37). In a cross-sectional study conducted on fertile males from Greenland, Poland, or Ukraine, it was observed that environmental exposure to BDE-47 and BDE-153 was not associated with any alterations in testosterone levels (38). This study employed a significant representative sample from the United States to explore the association between BFR exposure and testosterone levels.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Data sources

The data utilized in this study were sourced from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES), a survey that holds national representativeness and is carried out by the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS). NHANES makes use of a stratified, multistage probability sampling framework to evaluate the health and nutritional standing of the non-institutionalized civilian populace in the United States (39). For this analysis, data were restricted to 2013–2014 and 2015–2016 continuous data cycles, which included comprehensive information on BFRs and serum testosterone levels. To ensure reliable estimates, we combined the two survey cycles for our analyses. All data were gathered in accordance with the standardized procedures set by the NCHS. The study was approved by the NCHS Research Ethics Review Board, and every participant gave their written informed consent prior to getting involved.

2.2 Study population

Data were obtained from the 2013–2014 and 2015–2016 continuous NHANES cycles. The initial dataset included 5,293 adult men aged 20 years or older. Participants were excluded if they were using hormone therapy (n = 34) or had missing data on sex hormone variables, covariates, or serum BFRs. Following the implementation of these exclusion criteria, the final analysis comprised a total of 1,150 participants. Furthermore, PBDE congeners were selected based on a criterion of having greater than 50% detection rates in both the 2013–2014 and 2015–2016 NHANES cycles (Figure 1).

2.3 Measurement of serum BFRs and exposure to BFRs

BFRs concentrations were measured in NHANES using automated liquid–liquid extraction, followed by sample cleanup and analysis with isotope dilution gas chromatography coupled with highresolution mass spectrometry (ID-GC/HRMS) (40). To ensure the stability of model, only BFR congeners with detection rates above 50% were included in the primary analysis. The selected BFRs included 2,4,4-Tribromodiphenyl ether (PBDE28) and 2,2,4,4-Tetrabromodiphenyl ether (PBDE47). All BFR concentrations underwent log transformation because of their skewed distribution to the right. The exposure levels were divided into quartiles, with the reference group in regression models being the lowest quartile.

2.4 Outcome measurement

In the NHANES study, the levels of serum total testosterone (TT) and estradiol (E2) were gaged. This was done through isotope dilution liquid chromatography and tandem mass spectrometry, with the measurements taken at a solitary time point, be it in the morning, afternoon, or evening. The levels of Sex hormone-binding globulin (SHBG) were determined through its interaction with immunoantibodies. Subsequently, the products of this reaction were gaged by means of chemiluminescence detection, which utilized a photomultiplier tube. The free androgen index (FAI) was calculated as



TT (ng/dL) divided by SHBG (nmol/L). Additionally, the TT/E2 ratio was used as an indirect marker of circulating free testosterone (FT) and aromatase activity. Furthermore, FT and bioavailable testosterone (BAT) were computed using the Vermeulen equation, which estimates FT and BAT based on measured TT, SHBG, and serum albumin concentrations. The Vermeulen methodology provides a reliable assessment of testosterone fractions that are available for biological activity (41). The primary outcome of this study was the association between BFRs exposure and serum testosterone levels, with testosterone deficiency (TD) defined as TT < 300 ng/dL, in accordance with the American Urological Association (AUA) guidelines.

2.5 Covariates

In the analysis, a number of categorical covariates were incorporated, taking into account their possible links with both BFR exposure and serum testosterone levels. Age was split into three brackets: 20 to 40 years old, 40 to 60 years old, and over 60 years old. Race and ethnicity were grouped as Mexican American, Non-Hispanic Black, Non-Hispanic White, Other Hispanic, and other races. Socioeconomic status was gaged using the poverty-income ratio (PIR). It was divided into low-income (\leq 1.3), middle-income (greater than 1.3 up to 3.5), and high-income (greater than 3.5). Education level was sorted into high school or below, some college experience, and college graduate or higher. Marital status was classified as Married/Living with a Partner, Never Married, and Widowed/ Divorced/Separated. Covariates related to health included hypertension, which was defined as self-reported use of antihypertensive medications or measured systolic blood pressure of at least 140 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure of at least 90 mmHg. Diabetes was defined as self-reported diabetes or a fasting plasma glucose level of at least 126 mg/dL or glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) of at least 6.5%. Lifestyle factors covered leisure-time physical activity (LTPA), which was put into three categories. There were the inactive (those who did not take part in any leisure-time physical activity), the insufficiently active (people who engaged in moderate activity one to five times a week with metabolic equivalents [METs] between 3 and 6, or vigorous activity one to three times a week with METs over 6), and the active (those who met and exceeded the above criteria) (42). Smoking status was categorized into two groups: those who had never smoked and those who were either current or former smokers. Alcohol consumption, on the other hand, was ascertained via selfreporting. People who drank a minimum of 12 standard alcoholic beverages in any particular year were labeled as alcohol drinkers. These variables were then included in regression models to account for possible confounding factors that could influence the relationship between BFR exposure and serum testosterone levels.

2.6 Statistical analyses

In the descriptive analyses, we calculated median (interquartile range, IQR) \pm standard deviation (SD) for continuous variables and the frequencies for categorical variables. To investigate the association between BFR exposure and sex hormone levels, we employed crude model and adjusted model, adjusting for potential confounders including age, race/ethnicity, poverty-income ratio, education, marital

status, smoking status, alcohol drinking, hypertension, diabetes, and leisure-time physical activity. Furthermore, we employed GVIF to detect multicollinearity in the regression analysis by assessing the variance inflation of each independent variable, which helps evaluate the reliability and stability of the model. All covariates included in the models had GVIF values below 5.0, indicating acceptable levels of multicollinearity. Due to the right-skewed distribution of continuous variables, including BFRs and sex hormone levels, all continuous variables were log2-transformed prior to analysis. Only BFRs with a detection rate >50% were included in the analysis. Although age was categorized into three groups for descriptive purposes, a binary stratification (<60 years and \geq 60 years) was used in effect modification analysis based on literature indicating accelerated age-related hormonal changes after 60 years of age (43).

Additionally, Sensitivity analysis was conducted to assess the robustness of the observed associations between BFRs and hormone levels, with a particular focus on testosterone and SHBG. We used lipid adjusted concentrations as a replacement for the original measured concentration of BFRs to reduce variability since differences in individuals' serum lipid concentrations are canceled out (44, 45). For BFRs that were detectable in less than 50% of the samples, multiple regression analyses were conducted by categorizing exposure into detectable (> LOD) and nondetectable (< LOD) levels.

All statistical analyses were conducted using $\text{Empower}^{\circledast.1}$ A two-tailed *p*-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3 Results

3.1 Participant characteristics

A total of 1,150 participants were included in the analysis (Table 1). The median age was evenly distributed across the three groups: 35.13% were aged 20–40 years, 31.13% were 40–60 years, and 33.74% were 60 years or older. The majority were Non-Hispanic White (39.57%), followed by Non-Hispanic Black (20.78%), Mexican American (14.96%), and other racial/ethnic groups (24.70%).

Regarding socioeconomic factors, 66.09% were married or living with a partner, while 30.70% had a poverty-income ratio below 1.4. Educational attainment varied, with 45.57% having a high school education or less and 25.57% holding a college degree or higher. Among health-related characteristics, 35.48% had a BMI \geq 30, 44.78% had hypertension, and 21.48% had diabetes. Additionally, 52.00% were current or former smokers, and 90.52% reported alcohol consumption.

Log2-transformed PBDE levels showed high variability, with mean (SD) values of 6.61 (0.88) for PBDE47, 6.00 (0.92) for PBDE153, and 4.36 (0.85) for PBDE100. Notably, PBDE concentrations were originally measured in pg/g lipid and as we mentioned before, they were log2-transformed to address skewed distributions. As a result, the transformed values are unitless but retain interpretive relevance in terms of fold-change. The mean (SD) testosterone level was 14.58 (6.38) nmol/L, while E2 was 25.32 (9.96) pg/mL.

3.2 Associations between brominated flame retardants and sex hormones

Table 2 presents the associations between PBDEs and sex hormone levels in American adult men. Regression coefficients for PBDEs and testosterone levels are presented based on log2transformed data, reflecting the effect size per doubling of the BFR concentration. After adjusting for potential confounders, we observed that PBDE-28 and PBDE-47 were significantly associated with lower levels of TT, FT, and Cbat (p < 0.05).

Specifically, PBDE-28 showed a negative association with TT ($\beta = -0.641$, 95% CI: -1.098, -0.185), FT ($\beta = -0.883$, 95% CI: -1.616, -0.149), and Cbat ($\beta = -0.207$, 95% CI: -0.379, -0.035). Similarly, PBDE-47 was inversely associated with total testosterone ($\beta = -0.468$, 95% CI: -0.887, -0.049), FT ($\beta = -0.800$, 95% CI: -1.473, -0.127), and Cbat ($\beta = -0.188$, 95% CI: -0.345, -0.030). These findings suggest that higher PBDE-28 and PBDE-47 exposure may be linked to decreased androgen levels.

For other PBDE congeners, the associations with sex hormones were generally not statistically significant. Although negative trends were observed for PBDE-85, PBDE-99, PBDE-100, PBDE-153, and PBDE-154, their confidence intervals included zero, indicating no strong evidence of association. Additionally, PBDE-209 showed a weak positive association with FT (β = 0.515, 95% CI: -0.274, 1.303) but was not statistically significant. Overall, our results highlight PBDE-28 and PBDE-47 as the primary PBDEs associated with lower testosterone levels in adult men.

3.3 Stratified analysis

To explore potential effect modification by age, we conducted a stratified analysis for men aged <60 years and \geq 60 years, with the results summarized in Table 3. Among men <60 years, no significant associations were observed between PBDEs and testosterone, FT, or CBAT levels. In contrast, among men ≥60 years, PBDE-28 $(\beta = -0.892, 95\%$ CI: -1.472, -0.311, P interaction = 0.0788) and PBDE-47 (β = -0.695, 95% CI: -1.199, -0.191, P interaction = 0.0627) were inversely associated with testosterone, while PBDE-100 also showed a negative association ($\beta = -0.519, 95\%$ CI: -1.032, -0.005), though the interaction was not statistically significant (P interaction = 0.1103). Similarly, FT levels were significantly lower in association with PBDE-17, PBDE-28, and PBDE-47 exposure among older men, with PBDE-28 exhibiting the strongest inverse relationship $(\beta = -1.829, 95\%$ CI: -2.783, -0.875, P interaction = 0.032). For CBAT, no notable associations were detected in younger men, whereas PBDE-17, PBDE-28, and PBDE-47 showed inverse associations in older men, with PBDE-28 (β = -0.429, 95% CI: -0.652, -0.205, P interaction = 0.032) and PBDE-47 (β = -0.291, 95% CI: -0.485, -0.096, P interaction = 0.0955) demonstrating the most pronounced effects. In terms of SHBG, a significant positive association was observed for PBDE-17 in older men (β = 1.773, 95% CI: 0.535, 3.010, P interaction = 0.1945), while no other PBDEs showed notable associations in either age group. Interaction analyses revealed that PBDE-28, PBDE-47, and PBDE-17 exhibited significant age-related differences in their effects, with inverse associations between PBDE exposure and testosterone, FT, and CBAT being more pronounced in

¹ www.empowerstats.com

TABLE 1 Population characteristics of American adult men in NHANES 2013–2016 (n = 1,150).

Age (year)[20,40]444 (35.3)Age (year)[40,60]358 (31.3)Image: Add (30,60]100 (300 (300 (300 (300 (300 (300 (300 (
[40,60]358 (31.3)Include[60]388 (33.74)Race ethnicity old (%)Mexican American172 (14.96)IncludeInclude23.9 (20.78)IncludeInclude14.5 (39.57)Include </td
[60]388(33.74)Race ethnicity old (%)Mexican American172 (14.96)Race ethnicity old (%)Non-Hispanic Black239 (20.78)[000]Non-Hispanic White455 (39.57)[000]Other Hispanic1122 (10.61)[000]Others162 (14.09)[000]Others162 (14.09)[000]Never married219 (19.04)[000]Never married219 (19.04)[000]Never married171 (14.87)[000]1.4-3.5313 (30.70)[001]3.5379 (32.96)[001]High school or less524 (45.57)[001]Some college332 (28.87)[001]Colleage graduate or higher294 (25.57)
Race ethnicity old (%)Mexican American172 (14.96)Non-Hispanic Black239 (20.78)Non-Hispanic White455 (39.57)Other Hispanic White122 (10.61)Other Hispanic162 (14.09)Marital status all cycle (%)Married/Living with PartnerMarried/Living with Partner760 (66.09)Never married111 (14.87)Poverty income ratio breaks (%)<14
Non-Hispanic Black239 (20.78)Non-Hispanic White455 (39.57)Other Hispanic122 (10.61)Other Hispanic122 (10.61)Marital status all cycle (%)Married/Living with PartnerMarried/Living with Partner760 (66.09)Never married219 (19.04)Widowed/Divorced/Separated171 (14.87)Poverty income ratio breaks (%)<14
Non-Hispanic White455 (39.57)Other Hispanic1.122 (10.61)Others1.62 (14.09)Marital status all cycle (%)Married/Living with Partner760 (66.09)Never married2.19 (19.04)Overty income ratio breaks (%)<1.4
Other Hispanic122 (10.61)Others162 (14.09)Marital status all cycle (%)Married/Living with Partner760 (66.09)Married/Living with Partner760 (66.09)219 (19.04)Never married219 (19.04)219 (19.04)Poverty income ratio breaks (%)<1.4
Others162 (14.09)Marital status all cycle (%)Married/Living with Partner760 (66.09)Never married219 (19.04)Poverty income ratio breaks (%)171 (14.87)Poverty income ratio breaks (%)353 (30.70)Poverty income ratio breaks (%)353 (30.70)Education (%)3.5379 (32.96)Education (%)Some college332 (28.87)Colleage graduate or higher294 (25.57)
Marital status all cycle (%)Married/Living with Partner760 (66.09)Never married219 (19.04)Widowed/Divorced/Separated171 (14.87)Poverty income ratio breaks (%)<1.4
Never married219 (19.04)Widowed/Divorced/Separated171 (14.87)Poverty income ratio breaks (%)<1.4
Widowed/Divorced/Separated 171 (14.87) Poverty income ratio breaks (%) <1.4
Poverty income ratio breaks (%) <1.4 353 (30.70) 1.4-3.5 418 (36.35) 5.5 379 (32.96) Education (%) High school or less 524 (45.57) Some college 332 (28.87) Colleage graduate or higher 294 (25.57)
1.4-3.5 418 (36.35) >3.5 379 (32.96) Education (%) High school or less 524 (45.57) Some college 332 (28.87) Colleage graduate or higher 294 (25.57)
>3.5 379 (32.96) Education (%) High school or less 524 (45.57) Some college 332 (28.87) Colleage graduate or higher 294 (25.57)
Education (%) High school or less 524 (45.57) Some college 332 (28.87) Colleage graduate or higher 294 (25.57)
Some college332 (28.87)Colleage graduate or higher294 (25.57)
Colleage graduate or higher 294 (25.57)
Time of venipuncture (%) Afternoon 400 (34.78)
Evening 173 (15.04)
Morning 577 (50.17)
BMI type (%) <25 306 (26.61)
25–29.9 436 (37.91)
>29.9 408 (35.48)
Smoke (%) No 552 (48.00)
Yes 598 (52.00)
Alcohol user (%) No 109 (9.48)
Yes 1,041 (90.52)
LTPA BINDED (%) No 547 (47.57)
Moderate 290 (25.22)
Vigorous 313 (27.22)
Hypertension (%) No 635 (55.22)
Yes 515 (44.78)
Diabetes mellitus (%) No 903 (78.52)
Yes 247 (21.48)
Brominated flame retardants PBDE17 (mean (SD)) 3.85 (1.68)
PBDE28 (mean (SD)) 3.88 (0.72)
PBDE209 (mean (SD)) 2.46 (0.87)
PBDE47 (mean (SD)) 6.61 (0.88)
PBDE85 (mean (SD)) 1.08 (0.92)
PBDE99 (mean (SD)) 4.27 (1.01)
PBDE100 (mean (SD)) 4.36 (0.85)
PBDE153 (mean (SD)) 6.00 (0.92)
PBDE154 (mean (SD)) 0.86 (0.90)

(Continued)

TABLE 1 (Continued)

Participant characteristics		Median (IQR) or Mean <u>+</u> SD
Sex hormones	Total testosterone (nmol/L) (mean (SD))	14.58 (6.38)
	Free testosterone (nmol/L) (mean (SD))	0.26 (0.11)
	Cbat (nmol/L) (mean (SD))	6.00 (2.63)
	Sex hormone binding globulin (nmol/L) (mean (SD))	45.21 (25.00)
	Estradiol (pg/ml) (mean (SD))	25.32 (9.96)

IQR, Inter quartile range. Poverty-Income Ratio (PIR): A measure of socioeconomic status, divided as follows: <1.4: Low-income group; 0.4–3.5: Middle-income group; >3.5: High-income group. Alcohol User: Defined as consuming at least 12 standard alcoholic beverages in a year. Hypertension: Defined as the self-reported use of antihypertensive medications or a measured systolic blood pressure \geq 140 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure \geq 90 mmHg. PBDE17–2,2',4,4',5,5'-hexabromobiphenyl (pg/g); PBDE28–2,4,4'-tribromodiphenyl ether (pg/g); PBDE85–2,2',3,4,4'-pentbromodiphenyl ethr (pg/g); PBDE153–2,2',4,4',5,5'-hexabromodiphenyl ethr (pg/g); PBDE100–2,2',4,4',6-pentabromodiphyl ethr (pg/g); PBDE153–2,2',4,4',5,5'-hexabromodiphyl ethr (pg/g); P

TABLE 2 Associations between brominated flame retardants and sex hormones in American adult men in NHANES 2013–2016 [Coefficients (95% confidence interval)] (*n* = 1,150).

Brominated flame retardants ^a	Total testosterone ^b	Free testosterone	Cbat	Sex hormone binding globulin
PBDE17	-0.033 (-0.317, 0.250)	-0.160 (-0.615, 0.295)	-0.037 (-0.144, 0.069)	0.225 (-0.791, 1.240)
PBDE28	-0.641 (-1.098, -0.185) *	-0.883 (-1.616, -0.149) *	-0.207 (-0.379, -0.035) *	-1.332 (-2.970, 0.305)
PBDE47	-0.468 (-0.887, -0.049) *	-0.800 (-1.473, -0.127) *	-0.188 (-0.345, -0.030) *	-0.687 (-2.190, 0.817)
PBDE85	-0.220 (-0.608, 0.167)	-0.466 (-1.088, 0.155)	-0.109 (-0.255, 0.036)	-0.694 (-2.081, 0.693)
PBDE99	-0.272 (-0.634, 0.090)	-0.494 (-1.075, 0.087)	-0.116 (-0.252, 0.020)	-0.594 (-1.891, 0.704)
PBDE100	-0.314 (-0.744, 0.117)	-0.454 (-1.144, 0.237)	-0.106 (-0.268, 0.056)	-1.005 (-2.546, 0.535)
PBDE153	-0.044 (-0.453, 0.365)	-0.170 (-0.826, 0.486)	-0.040 (-0.194, 0.114)	-0.113 (-1.577, 1.350)
PBDE154	-0.136 (-0.536, 0.263)	-0.457 (-1.097, 0.184)	-0.107 (-0.257, 0.043)	-0.247 (-1.678, 1.184)
PBDE209	-0.002 (-0.493, 0.490)	0.515 (-0.274, 1.303)	0.121 (-0.064, 0.305)	-1.214 (-2.973, 0.545)

*PBDE17-2,2',4,4',5,5'-hexabromobiphenyl (pg/g); PBDE28-2,4,4'-tribromodiphenyl ether (pg/g); PBDE47-2,2',4,4'-tetrabromodiphenyl ethr (pg/g); PBDE85-2,2',3,4,4'-pentbromodiphenyl ethr (pg/g); PBDE99-2,2',4,4',5-pentabromodiphnyl ethr (pg/g); PBDE100-2,2',4,4',5-pentabromodiphyl ether (pg/g); PBDE153-2,2',4,4',5,5'-hxbromodiphnyl ethr (pg/g); PBDE154-2,2',4,4',5,6'-hxabromodiphyl ethr (pg/g); PBDE209, Decabromodiphenyl ether (pg/g).

^bTotal testosterone (nmol/L); Free testosterone (nmol/L); Cbat (nmol/L); Sex hormone binding globulin (nmol/L); Estradiol (pg/ml).

**p* < 0.05. Brominated flame retardants were log2-transformed. Estimates were adjusted for age (continuous), race/ethnicity (categorical), marital status (categorical), poverty income ratio (continuous), education level (categorical), time of venipuncture (categorical), BMI (categorical), smoking (categorical), drinking (categorical), ltpa binded (categorical), hypertension (categorical), diabetes mellitus (categorical).

men \geq 60 years (P interaction < 0.1), suggesting that older adults may be more vulnerable to PBDE-related endocrine disruption.

Each model included one log2-transformed PBDE congener as the independent variable and serum hormone (total testosterone, free testosterone, or SHBG) as the dependent variable. Multiple linear regression models were used and all models were adjusted for covariates described in the Methods.

3.4 Sensitivity analysis

After the replacement of the original serum BFR concentration with a lipid-adjusted concentration, the result demonstrated that PBDE209 exposure was significantly associated with a reduction in TT ($\beta = -0.566$, 95% CI: -1.074, -0.059, p < 0.05), whereas PBDE47 exhibited a significant negative correlation with both FT ($\beta = -0.037$, 95% CI: -0.073, -0.001, p < 0.05) and Cbat ($\beta = -0.009$, 95% CI: -0.017, -0.000, p < 0.05). Although other BFRs showed predominantly negative estimates, their confidence

intervals encompassed the null, suggesting weaker or non-significant associations. The wide confidence intervals observed for PBDE85 and PBDE154 indicate potential instability in the effect estimates, likely due to sample size limitations or individual variability. Sensitivity analysis was conducted using log2-transformed PBDE concentrations to ensure the robustness of the observed associations with testosterone levels. After accounting for key covariates like age, BMI, smoking status, and possible environmental co-exposures, these findings stayed consistent (Table 4).

4 Discussion

Using a large and representative sample of American adult men, we observed a negative correlation between serum levels of BFRs and TT and FT levels. Importantly, our study found no association between BFRs and TD.

TABLE 3 Associations between BFRs and sex hormones by age groups in American adult men in NHANES 2013–2016.

Brominated flame retardants	Age (year)	Testosterone	Free Testosterone	Cbat	Sex hormone binding globulin	Estradiol
PBDE17	<60	0.138 (-0.383, 0.659)	0.172 (-0.683, 1.027)	0.040 (-0.160, 0.241)	0.272 (-1.679, 2.223)	0.670 (-0.198, 1.538)
	≥60	-0.186 (-0.516, 0.145)	-0.873 (-1.416, -0.331)	-0.205 (-0.332, -0.078)	1.773 (0.535, 3.010)	-0.191 (-0.742, 0.360)
P for interaction		0.2953	0.0392*	0.0392*	0.1945	0.0945
PBDE28	<60	-0.066 (-0.803, 0.671)	-0.173 (-1.384, 1.038)	-0.041 (-0.324, 0.243)	-0.489 (-3.268, 2.290)	-0.452 (-1.685, 0.781)
	≥60	-0.892 (-1.472, -0.311)	-1.829 (-2.783, -0.875)	-0.429 (-0.652, -0.205)	0.322 (-1.866, 2.510)	-0.573 (-1.544, 0.398)
P for interaction		0.0788	0.032*	0.032*	0.6467	0.8774
PBDE47	<60	0.156 (-0.605, 0.917)	0.013 (-1.239, 1.266)	0.003 (-0.290, 0.297)	0.131 (-2.735, 2.998)	-0.561 (-1.834, 0.711)
	≥60	-0.695 (-1.199, -0.191)	-1.241 (-2.071, -0.411)	-0.291 (-0.485, -0.096)	-0.524 (-2.423, 1.376)	-0.229 (-1.072, 0.614)
P for interaction		0.0627	0.0955	0.0955	0.7035	0.6634
PBDE85	<60	-0.009 (-0.764, 0.746)	-0.246 (-1.490, 0.997)	-0.058 (-0.349, 0.234)	-0.154 (-2.990, 2.682)	-0.555 (-1.815, 0.704)
	≥60	-0.310 (-0.762, 0.142)	-0.557 (-1.301, 0.187)	-0.130 (-0.305, 0.044)	-0.972 (-2.669, 0.726)	0.257 (-0.497, 1.011)
P for interaction		0.4948	0.6685	0.6685	0.6209	0.2688
PBDE99	<60	-0.035 (-0.703, 0.633)	-0.231 (-1.330, 0.869)	-0.054 (-0.312, 0.204)	-0.186 (-2.696, 2.324)	-0.538 (-1.652, 0.576)
	≥60	-0.371 (-0.805, 0.062)	-0.661 (-1.374, 0.052)	-0.155 (-0.322, 0.012)	-0.603 (-2.232, 1.025)	0.167 (-0.556, 0.890)
P for interaction		0.398	0.5118	0.5118	0.7805	0.2887
PBDE100	<60	0.244 (-0.560, 1.048)	0.246 (-1.080, 1.573)	0.058 (-0.253, 0.369)	0.044 (-2.977, 3.066)	-0.673 (-2.016, 0.670)
	≥60	-0.519 (-1.032, -0.005)	-0.626 (-1.473, 0.220)	-0.147 (-0.345, 0.052)	-1.808 (-3.737, 0.120)	0.166 (-0.691, 1.024)
P for interaction		0.1103	0.2676	0.2676	0.3017	0.2926
PBDE153	<60	0.129 (-0.700, 0.958)	0.425 (-0.940, 1.789)	0.100 (-0.220, 0.419)	-0.585 (-3.692, 2.521)	-0.350 (-1.731, 1.032)
	≥60	-0.093 (-0.561, 0.374)	0.261 (-0.509, 1.031)	0.061 (-0.119, 0.242)	-1.729 (-3.482, 0.024)	0.388 (-0.391, 1.168)
P for interaction		0.6401	0.8346	0.8346	0.5218	0.3525
PBDE154	<60	0.237 (-0.518, 0.993)	-0.005 (-1.249, 1.239)	-0.001 (-0.293, 0.290)	0.474 (-2.363, 3.312)	-0.630 (-1.890, 0.629)
	≥60	-0.261 (-0.733, 0.211)	-0.569 (-1.346, 0.208)	-0.133 (-0.315, 0.049)	-0.755 (-2.527, 1.017)	0.186 (-0.601, 0.973)
P for interaction		0.2637	0.4428	0.4428	0.4627	0.2721

(Continued)

TABLE 3 (Continued)

Brominated flame retardants	Age (year)	Testosterone	Free Testosterone	Cbat	Sex hormone binding globulin	Estradiol
PBDE209	<60	-0.062 (-0.920, 0.796)		0.170 (-0.160,	-1.335 (-4.544,	
			0.728 (-0.683, 2.138)	0.501)	1.875)	1.479 (0.051, 2.908)
	≥60	0.064 (-0.556, 0.684)		0.236 (-0.003,	-3.314 (-5.633,	0.161 (-0.871,
			1.006 (-0.013, 2.025)	0.475)	-0.994)	1.193)
P for interaction		0.8111	0.749	0.749	0.3181	0.135

Brominated flame retardants were log2-transformed. Estimates were adjusted for age (continuous), race/ethnicity (categorical), marital status (categorical), poverty income ratio (continuous), education level (categorical), time of venipuncture (categorical), BMI (categorical), smoking (categorical), drinking (categorical), ltpa binded (categorical), hypertension (categorical), diabetes mellitus (categorical). **p* < 0.05. The *p*-values that reached statistical significance are bolded.

TABLE 4 Associations between brominated flame retardants and sex hormones in American adult men by adjusted regression model.

Brominated flame retardants ^a	Total testosterone [♭]	Free testosterone	Cbat	Sex hormone binding globulin
PBDE17	-0.017 (-0.039, 0.004)	-0.028 (-0.063, 0.008)	-0.006 (-0.015, 0.002)	-0.017 (-0.096, 0.061)
PBDE28	0.028 (-0.140, 0.196)	0.141 (-0.129, 0.411)	0.033 (-0.030, 0.096)	-0.163 (-0.765, 0.439)
PBDE47	-0.021 (-0.043, 0.002)	-0.037 (-0.073, -0.001)*	-0.009 (-0.017, -0.000)*	-0.039 (-0.119, 0.041)
PBDE85	-0.560 (-1.329, 0.209)	-0.948 (-2.182, 0.286)	-0.222 (-0.511, 0.067)	-1.728 (-4.481, 1.024)
PBDE99	-0.058 (-0.138, 0.021)	-0.110 (-0.237, 0.018)	-0.026 (-0.056, 0.004)	-0.129 (-0.414, 0.157)
PBDE100	-0.063 (-0.164, 0.038)	-0.092 (-0.253, 0.070)	-0.021 (-0.059, 0.016)	-0.266 (-0.627, 0.094)
PBDE153	0.011 (-0.023, 0.044)	0.013 (-0.042, 0.067)	0.003 (-0.010, 0.016)	-0.002 (-0.123, 0.119)
PBDE154	-0.558 (-1.568, 0.451)	-1.216 (-2.835, 0.404)	-0.285 (-0.664, 0.095)	-1.532 (-5.147, 2.082)
PBDE209	-0.566 (-1.074, -0.059)*	-0.778 (-1.593, 0.038)	-0.182 (-0.373, 0.009)	-1.606 (-3.425, 0.214)

Brominated flame retardants were log2-transformed. Estimates were adjusted for age (continuous), race/ethnicity (categorical), marital status (categorical), poverty income ratio (continuous), education level (categorical), time of venipuncture (categorical), BMI (categorical), smoking (categorical), drinking (categorical), ltpa binded (categorical), hypertension (categorical), diabetes mellitus (categorical). **p* < 0.05.

*PBDE17-2,2',4,4',5,5'-hexabromobiphenyl (pg/g); PBDE28-2,4,4'-tribromodiphenyl ether (pg/g); PBDE47-2,2',4,4',-tetrabromodiphenyl ethr (pg/g); PBDE85-2,2',3,4,4'-pentbromodiphenyl ethr (pg/g); PBDE99-2,2',4,4',5-pentabromodiphnyl ethr (pg/g); PBDE100-2,2',4,4',5-pentabromodiphyl ether (pg/g); PBDE153-2,2',4,4',5-f'-hxbromodiphnyl ethr (pg/g); PBDE100-2,2',4,4',5-f'-hxabromodiphyl ethr (pg/g); PBDE10-2,2',4,4',5-f'-hxabromodiphyl ethr (pg/g);

^bTotal testosterone (nmol/L); Free testosterone (nmol/L); Cbat (nmol/L); Sex hormone binding globulin (nmol/L); Estradiol (pg/ml).

Our study revealed a significant relationship between PBDE-28 and PBDE-47 levels and decreased testosterone levels in adult men. Research to date has primarily focused on BDE-47, which is the most prevalent PBDE congener found in human tissues (46). The primary histopathological changes in the testes of BDE-47-treated animals include degeneration and necrosis of the seminiferous epithelium, shedding of necrotic spermatocytes and supporting cells, and collapsed necrotic tubules (47). Several mechanisms have been proposed for BDE-47-induced testicular damage, including induction of apoptosis, increased reactive oxygen species (ROS), and disruption of hormonal homeostasis (48-51). Specifically, exposure to BDE-47 strongly inhibited glutathione-associated enzymes (GPx, GST, and GSH), which may lead to increased peroxidation (52). BDE-47 downregulated multiple genes involved in steroid hormone synthesis in Leydig cells, such as 17βHSD, Hsd3b6, Star, Asah1, Dhcr24, and Cyb5r3, suggesting that BDE-47 may impair spermatogenesis by inhibiting testosterone production (46-48, 53). The analysis of singlecell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) data indicated a notable downregulation of Ncor1 and Kdm3a, implying that testicular injury induced by BDE-47 might occur via the alteration of androgen receptor signaling within supporting cells. Furthermore, BDE-47 significantly reduced the expression of key genes involved in cholesterol biosynthesis, such as DHCR24 and CYBR3. These findings further support the notion that BDE-47 inhibits testosterone synthesis, as cholesterol is the precursor for all steroid hormones (31). Additionally, BDE-47 interferes with thyroid homeostasis and disrupts testicular steroidogenesis (31, 54–56). In contrast to BDE-47, there is limited experimental evidence regarding BDE-28. However, some studies suggest that BDE-28 may bind tightly to the thyroid hormone receptor (TR α), disrupting thyroid hormone signaling and subsequently affecting normal sex hormone levels (56, 57).

In our study, people aged 60 or above showed a more pronounced decrease in testosterone levels after BFR exposure. A reasonable explanation is that with age, testosterone levels in older individuals are more susceptible to inflammatory environments, and their antioxidant defenses are diminished, making them more vulnerable to BFR effects. Inflammation is a hallmark of aging, and it has been observed in various organs (58, 59). Animal studies have shown that aging mice exhibit increased pro-inflammatory cytokines and overactive macrophages in the testes (60). This inflammatory microenvironment is not conducive to testosterone production, but anti-inflammatory treatments can enhance testosterone levels (61). Detecting pro-inflammatory cytokines within the aging human testis may lead to the creation of antiinflammatory treatments aimed at alleviating the decline in fertility associated with aging. Additionally, oxidative stress is thought to play a role in the harmful mechanisms linked to PBDEs (62, 63). Mitochondria are recognized for their essential function in the control of oxidative stress. Dysfunctional mitochondria are a key characteristic of the aging process and contribute to the increase in oxidative stress (64). Aged mitochondria, with reduced antioxidant capacity, are unable to cope with ROS induced by PBDEs, exacerbating testicular damage and leading to a more significant decline in testosterone levels.

The vast majority of published work was based on experimental analysis in vitro or animal model. Limited human research has investigated the relationships between exposure to BFRs and levels of reproductive hormones. Certain studies have identified an inverse relationship between PBDEs and testosterone levels in males (37). A typical example is the findings of Makey et al. (2016), who also reported inverse associations between certain PBDE congeners, particularly BDE-153, and serum testosterone concentrations in North American men. Although their study was based on a smaller and more geographically limited population, the results provide supporting evidence for the endocrine-disrupting potential of PBDEs on male reproductive hormones (65). However, some studies did not observe significant associations (66). Furthermore, exposure data for newer BFRs (such as DBDPE and TBC) are still limited, and their long-term health effects are unclear (66-68). Related epidemiological evidence comes from small samples or specific exposed populations, leading to inconsistencies in the results. This is mainly due to the extensive diversity of BFR compounds, which exhibit significant variations in their metabolic rates, as well as the inherent challenges in precisely quantifying human exposure pathways. Furthermore, investigating hormone-related effects necessitates longitudinal monitoring through repeated blood or urine sample collection, a process that is often constrained by the scarcity of long-term tracking data.

Our study, utilizing real-world population data, has unveiled the potential health risks associated with chronic low-dose PBDE exposure in the general population. We identified a significant correlation between PBDE-28 and PBDE-47 concentrations and testosterone level reduction in adult males, with a particularly pronounced effect observed among older males. While previous research has predominantly focused on higher brominated congeners or other BFRs, our findings demonstrate the equally concerning endocrine-disrupting potential of lower brominated PBDEs. Furthermore, considering that older males naturally experience age-related testosterone decline, we hypothesize that PBDE exposure may exacerbate this process, potentially explaining the non-genetic factors contributing to the deterioration of reproductive health in this population.

This study holds significant public health implications. Based on our findings, preventive measures in household environments should focus on minimizing exposure to dust released from aging PBDEcontaining furniture and electronic devices. We recommend comprehensive screening combining hormone levels assessment and pollutant load analysis for older males exhibiting both low testosterone levels and high PBDE exposure, enabling early identification of at-risk individuals and timely intervention strategies. Regrettably, current research predominantly emphasizes the neurotoxicity and carcinogenicity of BFRs, while the chronic low-dose effects on the reproductive system remain inadequately addressed, possibly due to public health prioritization.

This study acknowledges several limitations. Since our research relied on cross-sectional data derived from NHANES, it could only

illustrate simultaneous relationships between PBDE exposure and testosterone levels, without confirming the temporal sequence of exposure and associated hormonal changes. Given the long halflife of PBDEs, concentration measurements at a single time point may not fully reflect long-term cumulative exposure, potentially underestimating the association strength between chronic exposure and reproductive impairment. Since PBDE exposure levels are closely related to lifestyle factors, the generalizability of our findings to non-US populations remains unverified. Furthermore, while our study focused exclusively on PBDEs, with traditional BFRs being phased out, future research should investigate the effects of emerging brominated or organophosphate flame retardants.

5 Conclusion

This study establishes a significant link between BFRs and hormone levels in American adult males. We have demonstrated that exposure to PBDE-28 and PBDE-47 is associated with decreased testosterone levels, particularly evident in the older male population. These findings highlight the endocrine-disrupting potential of PBDE congeners and emphasize the necessity for further investigation into their long-term health implications.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found in the article/supplementary material.

Author contributions

XL: Writing – original draft. MC: Writing – review & editing. ZW: Writing – review & editing. DL: Writing – review & editing. MP: Writing – review & editing. QZ: Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Gen AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

References

1. Alaee M, Arias P, Sjödin A, Bergman A. An overview of commercially used brominated flame retardants, their applications, their use patterns in different countries/ regions and possible modes of release. *Environ Int.* (2003) 29:683–9. doi: 10.1016/S0160-4120(03)00121-1

2. Waaijers SL, Parsons JR. Biodegradation of brominated and organophosphorus flame retardants. *Curr Opin Biotechnol.* (2016) 38:14–23. doi: 10.1016/j.copbio.2015.12.005

3. Besis A, Samara C. Polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in the indoor and outdoor environments--a review on occurrence and human exposure. *Environ Pollut Barking Essex*. (1987) 2012:217–29.

4. Hales BF, Robaire B. Effects of brominated and organophosphate ester flame retardants on male reproduction. *Andrology*. (2020) 8:915-23. doi: 10.1111/andr.12789

5. Zhao X, Yang X, Du Y, Li R, Zhou T, Wang Y. Polybrominated diphenyl ethers in serum from residents living in a brominated flame retardant production area: occurrence, influencing factors, and relationships with thyroid and liver function. *Environ Pollut Barking Essex.* (1987) 270:116046

6. Feiteiro J, Mariana M, Cairrão E. Health toxicity effects of brominated flame retardants: from environmental to human exposure. *Environ Pollut Barking Essex*. (1987) 285:117475

7. Sharkey M, Harrad S, Abou-Elwafa Abdallah M, Drage DS, Berresheim H. Phasingout of legacy brominated flame retardants: the UNEP Stockholm convention and other legislative action worldwide. *Environ Int.* (2020) 144:106041. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2020.106041

8. Batterman S, Godwin C, Chernyak S, Jia C, Charles S. Brominated flame retardants in offices in Michigan, USA. *Environ Int.* (2010) 36:548–56. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2010.04.008

9. Jandric A, Part F, Fink N, Cocco V, Mouillard F, Huber-Humer M, et al. Investigation of the heterogeneity of bromine in plastic components as an indicator for brominated flame retardants in waste electrical and electronic equipment with regard to recyclability. J Hazard Mater. (2020) 390:121899. doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2019.121899

10. Venier M, Audy O, Vojta Š, Bečanová J, Romanak K, Melymuk L, et al. Brominated flame retardants in the indoor environment - comparative study of indoor contamination from three countries. *Environ Int.* (2016) 94:150–60. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2016.04.029

11. Vénisseau A, Bichon E, Brosseaud A, Vaccher V, Lesquin E, Larvor F, et al. Occurence of legacy and novel brominated flame retardants in food and feed in France for the period 2014 to 2016. *Chemosphere.* (2018) 207:497–506. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.05.122

12. Venkatesan AK, Halden RU. Brominated flame retardants in U.S. biosolids from the EPA national sewage sludge survey and chemical persistence in outdoor soil mesocosms. *Water Res.* (2014) 55:133–42. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2014.02.021

13. Segev O, Kushmaro A, Brenner A. Environmental impact of flame retardants (persistence and biodegradability). *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2009) 6:478–91. doi: 10.3390/ijerph6020478

14. Ashauer R, Escher BI. Advantages of toxicokinetic and toxicodynamic modelling in aquatic ecotoxicology and risk assessment. *J Environ Monit JEM*. (2010) 12:2056–61. doi: 10.1039/c0em00234h

15. Zota AR, Mitro SD, Robinson JF, Hamilton EG, Park J-S, Parry E, et al. Polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) and hydroxylated PBDE metabolites (OH-PBDEs) in maternal and fetal tissues, and associations with fetal cytochrome P450 gene expression. *Environ Int.* (2018) 112:269–78. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2017.12.030

16. Kloner RA, Carson C, Dobs A, Kopecky S, Mohler ER. Testosterone and cardiovascular disease. J Am Coll Cardiol. (2016) 67:545–57. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2015.12.005

17. Bain J. The many faces of testosterone. Clin Interv Aging. (2007) 2:567–76. doi: 10.2147/cia.s1417

18. Tyagi V, Scordo M, Yoon RS, Liporace FA, Greene LW. Revisiting the role of testosterone: are we missing something? *Rev Urol.* (2017) 19:16–24. doi: 10.3909/riu0716

19. Kumar P, Kumar N, Thakur DS, Patidar A. Male hypogonadism: symptoms and treatment. J Adv Pharm Technol Res. (2010) 1:297–301. doi: 10.4103/0110-5558.72420

20. Sterling J, Bernie AM, Ramasamy R. Hypogonadism: easy to define, hard to diagnose, and controversial to treat. *Can Urol Assoc J.* (2015) 9:65-8. doi: 10.5489/cuaj.2416

21. Araujo AB, Dixon JM, Suarez EA, Murad MH, Guey LT, Wittert GA. Clinical review: endogenous testosterone and mortality in men: a systematic review and meta-

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

analysis. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. (2011) 96:3007–19. doi: 10.1210/jc.2011-1137

22. Bose KS, Sarma RH. Delineation of the intimate details of the backbone conformation of pyridine nucleotide coenzymes in aqueous solution. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* (1975) 66:1173–9.

23. Corona G, Rastrelli G, Monami M, Guay A, Buvat J, Sforza A, et al. Hypogonadism as a risk factor for cardiovascular mortality in men: a meta-analytic study. *Eur J Endocrinol.* (2011) 165:687–701. doi: 10.1530/EJE-11-0447

24. McHenry MC. Testosterone deficiency in older men: a problem worth treating. *Consult Pharm J Am Soc Consult Pharm*. (2012) 27:152–63. doi: 10.4140/TCP.n.2012.152

25. Ruige JB, Mahmoud AM, De Bacquer D, Kaufman J-M. Endogenous testosterone and cardiovascular disease in healthy men: a meta-analysis. *Heart*. (2011) 97:870–5. doi: 10.1136/hrt.2010.210757

26. Selvin E, Feinleib M, Zhang L, Rohrmann S, Rifai N, Nelson WG, et al. Androgens and diabetes in men: results from the third National Health and nutrition examination survey (NHANES III). *Diabetes Care*. (2007) 30:234–8. doi: 10.2337/dc06-1579

27. Huang MY, Parker G, Zarotsky V, Carman W, Morgentaler A, Jones H, et al. The prevalence, incidence, and treatment rates of hypogonadism in men across geographies: a systematic literature review. *Value Health.* (2013) 16:A70–1. doi: 10.1016/j.jval.2013.03.315

28. Del Giudice F, Glover F, Belladelli F, De Berardinis E, Sciarra A, Salciccia S, et al. Association of daily step count and serum testosterone among men in the United States. *Endocrine.* (2021) 72:874–81. doi: 10.1007/s12020-021-02631-2

29. Seftel AD. Male hypogonadism. Part I: epidemiology of hypogonadism. *Int J Impot Res.* (2006) 18:115–20. doi: 10.1038/sj.ijir.3901397

30. Glover FE, Del Giudice F, Belladelli F, Ryan PB, Chen T, Eisenberg ML, et al. The association between 2,4-D and serum testosterone levels: NHANES 2013-2014. *J Endocrinol Investig.* (2022) 45:787–96. doi: 10.1007/s40618-021-01709-y

31. Wang Y, Aimuzi R, Nian M, Zhang Y, Luo K, Zhang J. Perfluoroalkyl substances and sex hormones in postmenopausal women: NHANES 2013-2016. *Environ Int.* (2021) 149:106408. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2021.106408

32. Wang C, Nieschlag E, Swerdloff R, Behre HM, Hellstrom WJ, Gooren LJ, et al. Investigation, treatment, and monitoring of late-onset hypogonadism in males: ISA, ISSAM, EAU, EAA, and ASA recommendations. *J Androl.* (2009) 30:1–9. doi: 10.2164/jandrol.108.006486

33. Sarkar D, Singh VK, Singh SK. Maternal BDE-209 exposure during lactation perturbs steroidogenesis, germ cell kinetics and THR α 1 expression in testes of prepubertal mice offspring. *Food Chem Toxicol.* (2018) 122:104–19. doi: 10.1016/j.fct.2018.10.025

34. Sarkar D, Chowdhury JP, Singh SK. Effect of polybrominated diphenyl ether (BDE-209) on testicular steroidogenesis and spermatogenesis through altered thyroid status in adult mice. *Gen Comp Endocrinol.* (2016) 239:50–61. doi: 10.1016/j.ygcen.2015.11.009

35. Sarkar D, Singh SK. Inhibition of testicular steroidogenesis and impaired differentiation of Sertoli cells in peripubertal mice offspring following maternal exposure to BDE-209 during lactation suppress germ cell proliferation. *Toxicol Lett.* (2018) 290:83–96. doi: 10.1016/j.toxlet.2018.03.026

36. Ernest SR, Wade MG, Lalancette C, Ma Y-Q, Berger RG, Robaire B, et al. Effects of chronic exposure to an environmentally relevant mixture of brominated flame retardants on the reproductive and thyroid system in adult male rats. *Toxicol Sci.* (2012) 127:496–507. doi: 10.1093/toxsci/kfs098

37. Johnson PI, Stapleton HM, Mukherjee B, Hauser R, Meeker JD. Associations between brominated flame retardants in house dust and hormone levels in men. *Sci Total Environ*. (2013) 445-446:177–84. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.12.017

38. Toft G, Lenters V, Vermeulen R, Heederik D, Thomsen C, Becher G, et al. Exposure to polybrominated diphenyl ethers and male reproductive function in Greenland, Poland and Ukraine. *Reprod Toxicol.* (2014) 43:1–7. doi: 10.1016/j.reprotox.2013.10.002

39. Johnson, CL, Dohrmann, SM, Burt, VL, and Mohadjer, LK. National health and nutrition examination survey: sample design, 2011-2014. *Vital Health Stat* (2014) 2:1–33.

40. NHANES. BFRPOL_I [homepage on the Internet]. (2019). Available online at: https://wwwn.cdc.gov/Nchs/Data/Nhanes/Public/2015/DataFiles/BFRPOL_I.htm (Accessed on 2025 Feb 21)

41. Vermeulen A, Verdonck L, Kaufman JM. A critical evaluation of simple methods for the estimation of free testosterone in serum. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* (1999) 84:3666–72.

42. Beddhu S, Baird BC, Zitterkoph J, Neilson J, Greene T. Physical activity and mortality in chronic kidney disease (NHANES III). *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol.* (2009) 4:1901–6. doi: 10.2215/CJN.01970309

43. Feldman HA, Longcope C, Derby CA, Johannes CB, Araujo AB, Coviello AD, et al. Age trends in the level of serum testosterone and other hormones in middle-aged men: longitudinal results from the Massachusetts male aging study. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* (2002) 87:589–98. doi: 10.1210/jcem.87.2.8201

44. NCHS. National health interview survey [homepage on the Internet]. National Health Interview Survey. (2018). Available online at: https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhis/index.html (Accessed on 2025 Mar 4)

45. NHANES. NHANES questionnaires, datasets, and related documentation [homepage on the Internet]. (2019) Available online at: https://wwwn.cdc.gov/nchs/ nhanes/continuousnhanes/default.aspx?BeginYear=2019 (Accessed on 2025 Mar 4)

46. He Y, Murphy M, Yu R, Lam M, Hecker M, Giesy J, et al. Effects of 20 PBDE metabolites on steroidogenesis in the H295R cell line. *Toxicol Lett.* (2008) 176:230-8. doi: 10.1016/j.toxlet.2007.12.001

47. Zhang W, Xia S, Zhong X, Gao G, Yang J, Wang S, et al. Characterization of 2,2',4,4'-tetrabromodiphenyl ether (BDE47)-induced testicular toxicity via single-cell RNA-sequencing. *Precis Clin Med.* (2022) 5:pbac016. doi: 10.1093/pcmedi/pbac016

48. Jiang Y, Yuan L, Lin Q, Ma S, Yu Y. Polybrominated diphenyl ethers in the environment and human external and internal exposure in China: a review. *Sci Total Environ*. (2019) 696:133902. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.133902

49. Li Z, Li H, Li C, Yan H, Ying Y, Li X, et al. Low dose of fire retardant, 2,2',4,4'-tetrabromodiphenyl ether (BDE47), stimulates the proliferation and differentiation of progenitor Leydig cells of male rats during prepuberty. *Toxicol Lett.* (2021) 342:6–19. doi: 10.1016/j.toxlet.2021.02.006

50. Wang C, Yang L, Hu Y, Zhu J, Xia R, Yu Y, et al. Isoliquiritigenin as an antioxidant phytochemical ameliorates the developmental anomalies of zebrafish induced by 2,2',4,4'-tetrabromodiphenyl ether. *Sci Total Environ*. (2019) 666:390–8. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.02.272

51. You X, Xi J, Liu W, Cao Y, Tang W, Zhang X, et al. 2,2',4,4'-tetrabromodiphenyl ether induces germ cell apoptosis through oxidative stress by a MAPK-mediated p53-independent pathway. *Environ Pollut*. (2018) 242:887–93. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2018.07.056

52. Wang H, Tang X, Sha J, Chen H, Sun T, Wang Y. The reproductive toxicity on the rotifer *Brachionus plicatilis* induced by BDE-47 and studies on the effective mechanism based on antioxidant defense system changes. *Chemosphere*. (2015) 135:129–37. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2015.03.090

53. Lucki NC, Bandyopadhyay S, Wang E, Merrill AH, Sewer MB. Acid ceramidase (ASAH1) is a global regulator of steroidogenic capacity and adrenocortical gene expression. *Mol Endocrinol.* (2012) 26:228–43. doi: 10.1210/me.2011-1150

54. Dungar BM, Schupbach CD, Jacobson JR, Kopf PG. Adrenal corticosteroid perturbation by the endocrine disruptor BDE-47 in a human adrenocortical cell line and male rats. *Endocrinology*. (2021) 162. doi: 10.1210/endocr/bqab160

55. Sèdes L, Thirouard L, Maqdasy S, Garcia M, Caira F, Lobaccaro J-MA, et al. Cholesterol: a gatekeeper of male fertility? *Front Endocrinol.* (2018) 9:369. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2018.00369

56. Song J, Li Y, Zhao C, Zhou Q, Zhang J. Interaction of BDE-47 with nuclear receptors (NRs) based on the cytotoxicity: in vitro investigation and molecular interaction. *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf.* (2021) 208:111390. doi: 10.1016/j.ecoenv.2020.111390

57. Sheikh IA, Beg MA, Hamoda TA-AA-M, Mandourah HMS, Memili E. An analysis of the structural relationship between thyroid hormone-signaling disruption and Polybrominated diphenyl ethers: potential implications for male infertility. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2023) 24. doi: 10.3390/ijms24043296

58. Sarkar, O, Bahrainwala, J, Chandrasekaran, S, Kothari, S, Mathur, PP, and Agarwal, A. Impact of inflammation on male fertility. *Front Biosci Elite Ed.* (2011) 3:89–95. doi: 10.2741/e223

59. Ferrucci L, Fabbri E. Inflammageing: chronic inflammation in ageing, cardiovascular disease, and frailty. *Nat Rev Cardiol.* (2018) 15:505–22. doi: 10.1038/s41569-018-0064-2

60. Nie X, Munyoki SK, Sukhwani M, Schmid N, Missel A, Emery BR, et al. Single-cell analysis of human testis aging and correlation with elevated body mass index. *Dev Cell.* (2022) 57:1160–1176.e5. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2022.04.004

61. Matzkin ME, Miquet JG, Fang Y, Hill CM, Turyn D, Calandra RS, et al. Alterations in oxidative, inflammatory and apoptotic events in short-lived and long-lived mice testes. *Aging*. (2016) 8:95–110. doi: 10.18632/aging.100875

62. Tseng L-H, Hsu P-C, Lee C-W, Tsai S-S, Pan M-H, Li M-H. Developmental exposure to decabrominated diphenyl ether (BDE-209): effects on sperm oxidative stress and chromatin DNA damage in mouse offspring. *Environ Toxicol.* (2013) 28:380–9. doi: 10.1002/tox.20729

63. Xie X, Wu Y, Zhu M, Zhang Y, Wang X. Hydroxyl radical generation and oxidative stress in earthworms (*Eisenia fetida*) exposed to decabromodiphenyl ether (BDE-209). *Ecotoxicology*. (2011) 20:993–9. doi: 10.1007/s10646-011-0645-x

64. Amorim JA, Coppotelli G, Rolo AP, Palmeira CM, Ross JM, Sinclair DA. Mitochondrial and metabolic dysfunction in ageing and age-related diseases. *Nat Rev Endocrinol.* (2022) 18:243–58. doi: 10.1038/s41574-021-00626-7

65. Makey CM, McClean MD, Braverman LE, Pearce EN, Sjödin A, Weinberg J, et al. Polybrominated diphenyl ether exposure and reproductive hormones in north American men. *Reprod Toxicol.* (2016) 62:46–52. doi: 10.1016/j.reprotox.2016.04.009

66. Marteinson SC, Letcher RJ, Fernie KJ. Exposure to the androgenic brominated flame retardant 1,2-dibromo-4-(1,2-dibromoethyl)-cyclohexane alters reproductive and aggressive behaviors in birds. *Environ Toxicol Chem.* (2015) 34:2395–402. doi: 10.1002/etc. 3078

67. Leonetti C, Butt CM, Hoffman K, Hammel SC, Miranda ML, Stapleton HM. Brominated flame retardants in placental tissues: associations with infant sex and thyroid hormone endpoints. *Environ Health.* (2016) 15:113. doi: 10.1186/s12940-016-0199-8

68. Tabęcka-Łonczyńska A, Koszła O, Sołek P. Unraveling the anti-androgenic mechanism of tris(2,3-dibromopropyl) isocyanurate (TBC) via the non-classical testosterone pathway and steroidogenesis: potential human reproductive health implications. *Chemosphere*. (2024) 363:142802. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2024. 142802