Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Bojan Masanovic, University of Montenegro, Montenegro

REVIEWED BY Hao-Nan Wang, Sichuan University, China Tomohiro Mitoma, Okayama University, Japan

*CORRESPONDENCE Qinghua Zhang ⊠ zhangqinhua1688@163.com Zhaoqian Liu ⊠ zqliu@csu.edu.cn

RECEIVED 22 April 2025 ACCEPTED 26 June 2025 PUBLISHED 08 July 2025

CITATION

Pi C, Wang X, Su L, Jian H, Liu J, Zhu K, Zou T, Mao X, Zhang Q and Liu Z (2025) Effect of active mind-body movement therapies on older osteoarthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Front. Public Health* 13:1616053. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2025.1616053

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Pi, Wang, Su, Jian, Liu, Zhu, Zou, Mao, Zhang and Liu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Effect of active mind-body movement therapies on older osteoarthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials

Congying Pi¹, Zixi Wang¹, Lingyu Su¹, Huaiyu Jian¹, Junyan Liu², Kun Zhu¹, Ting Zou², Xiaoyuan Mao², Qinghua Zhang^{1*} and Zhaoqian Liu^{1*}

¹Xiangya School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Central South University, Changsha, China, ²Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, Changsha, Hunan, China

Objective: To systematically review the literature to quantify and compare the effects of active mind-body movement therapies (AMBMTs) on pain, stiffness, and joint function in older adults with osteoarthritis (OA).

Methods: We searched PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Register of Controlled Trials, Web of Science, ScienceDirect, CINAHL, and PEDro. The outcome measures included the Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC) and the 36-item Short Form Health Survey (SF-36).

Results: A total of 27 studies involving 1781 patients were obtained. The results of meta-analysis showed that compared with the control group, the patients had significantly lower WOMAC pain score (SMD: -0.50, 95%CI: -0.68, -0.32; p < 0.01), stiffness score (SMD: -0.71, 95%CI: -1.02, -0.40; p < 0.01) and joint function score (SMD: -0.66, 95%CI: -0.85, -0.47; p < 0.01).

Conclusion: AMBMTs are a complementary therapy to improve pain in older adult patients with OA, of which Tai Ji is the most effective.

KEYWORDS

osteoarthritis, AMBMTs, WOMAC, meta-analysis, SF-36

Highlights

- Osteoarthritis (OA) is a degenerative joint disease with a high incidence among the older adult population.
- Active mind-body movement therapies (AMBMTs) have been recognized and recommended as a new treatment for osteoarthritis.
- Meta-analysis of AMBMTs, showed that this kind of therapy could improve the pain and dysfunction caused by osteoarthritis, thus improving the quality of life of patients.
- Tai Ji can better reduce the pain level of older adult patients.

1 Introduction

Osteoarthritis (OA) is the most prevalent joint disease, affecting more than 500 million people worldwide (1). OA is a leading cause of disability in older adults, and this phenomenon becomes worse with the increasing number of aging population (2). OA imparts tremendous humanistic and economic burdens on individuals and society, and with the aging population globally, the clinical and economic burden of OA is increasing further (3). Clinically, the treatment of OA mainly includes non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug drug therapy, surgical treatment and so on (2). Nevertheless, these approaches merely provide the relief of OA. Meanwhile, several adverse effects occur following pharmacotherapy (4). Exercise therapy is a nonpharmacological modality that reduces pain and improves physical function (5) and is recommended by many expert societies (6).

Different from traditional exercise, mind-body practices are a large and diverse group of procedures or techniques that target brainbody interactions as a way to promote health (7). Mind-body therapy can be classified into three subcategories based on the mode of physical participation, namely static intervention therapies, passive intervention therapies and active mind-body movement therapies (AMBMTs). Static intervention therapies require the body to remain still and relies on cognitive or mental regulation (such as meditation, hypnotherapy, progressive muscle relaxation). In passive intervention therapies, body movement is driven by external forces, and patients do not need the participation of active muscle groups (such as massage therapy, chiropractic manipulation). AMBMTs require patients to independently perform structured movements while integrating conscious concentration and respiratory regulation (such as Tai Ji, Yijinjing, and Yoga) (8, 9). Tai Ji (10), Baduanjin (11), Yijinjing (12), Wuqinxi (13), and Yoga (14) are different types of AMBMTs that can enable people to achieve deep relaxation and peace of mind (15), which can improve the pain and physical function of OA patients.

AMBMT has the characteristics of low intensity and relative safety, which may be a more suitable exercise therapy for older adult patients. At the same time, because the body and mind are integrated in the process of AMBMT, it can relieve the mood in the process of improving the pain of patients. At present, although there are some evidence-based evaluations of AMBMTs therapy for older patients (16, 17), there is no meta-analysis to compare the therapeutic effect of several kinds of AMBMTs on older patients and the effect of AMBMTs on older patients as a whole.

This meta-analysis used data from randomized controlled trials (RCTs) to assess the effects of different psychosomatic AMBMTs on pain, stiffness, physical functioning, mental, and physical health in older patients with OA to provide patients with more optimized exercise treatment program.

2 Methods

2.1 Study search and selection

This meta-analysis adheres to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) guidelines and is registered with PROSPERPO (CRD42024530152), and there was no deviation from the original scheme. We have made a supplementary explanation in the method section. The PRISMA checklist for reporting the meta-analysis results is shown in Supplementary Table 1. We searched PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Register of Controlled Trials, Web of Science, Science Direct, CINAHL, and PEDro for the published articles between their inception and January 2024. In addition, we also screened the references of relevant articles to identify additional published and unpublished records. The search strategy was made for the use of Medical Subject Heading (MESH) terms and correspondence keywords: Tai Ji, Yoga, OA, etc. We also conducted manual searches of grey literature (e.g., conference papers, internal reports, etc.) to avoid missing studies that meet our criteria. Specific search strategies were presented in the Supplementary Table 2.

2.2 Inclusion, exclusion criteria, and study outcomes

2.2.1 Inclusion and exclusion criteria

The inclusion criteria of this study were as follows: (1) Patients diagnosed with OA in the lower extremity joints (e.g., knee joint, hip joint, and ankle joint, etc.); (2) Intervention measures in the experimental group were only AMBMTs, including Tai Ji, Qigong, Yoga, Baduanjin, Yijinjing or Wuqinxi; (3) Interventions in the control group included health education, waiting list, self-exercise at home, attention control, and no intervention; and (4) RCTs published in English.

The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) Intervention measures of the experimental group were AMBMTs combined with other forms of exercise; (2) Intervention measures of the control group were another kind of exercise; (3) Medical records were uneven; (4) Studies without primary outcome data; (5) Cohort studies, case-control studies, and case reports; and (6) Repeatedly published articles, conference abstracts, reviews, and letters.

2.2.2 Outcomes indicators

The primary outcome was Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC) score (18), including pain subscale, physical function subscale, and stiffness subscale. Secondary outcomes were scores on the physical and mental components of the 36-item Short Form Health Survey (SF-36) (19).

2.3 Data extraction

Data were extracted and verified independently by two investigators according to inclusion and exclusion criteria. Any differences were resolved through discussion until consensus was reached or a third investigator was consulted. The following data will be extracted for each study: (1) General information: author, year of publication and country of study; (2) Basic information about participants in the intervention and control groups (e.g., age, sex, site of disease and Body Mass Index (BMI)), methods (e.g., randomization, mode of allocation), intervention frequency and duration. (3) Outcomes: WOMAC pain subscale scores, WOMAC physical function subscale scores, WOMAC stiffness subscale scores, SF-36 mental component summary, and SF-36 physical component summary.

2.4 Quality assessment

Two authors independently evaluate the methodological quality of the included studies (20) using Cochrane bias risk assessment tool. The details of evaluation included random sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding of participants and personnel, blinding of outcome assessment, incomplete outcome data, selective reporting, and other bias. We also made a judgment of "low bias risk," "high bias risk" or "unclear" for each study included.

2.5 Statistical analysis

The comparison of outcomes were expressed by standard mean difference (SMD) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs), and the test level was $\alpha = 0.05$. I-squared and Cochran's Q-statistic test were used to assess the heterogeneity. A fixed-effect model was used, while a random-effects model was used if there was moderate heterogeneity ($I^2 > 50\%$, p < 0.10). For highly heterogeneous variables, sensitivity analysis or subgroup analysis will be used to explore the source of heterogeneity. Furthermore, we used Egger's (21) tests to evaluate publication bias, with a visual funnel plot as a complement. Meta-analysis and results were presented using RevMan5.4.1 software (The Cochrane Collaboration, Copenhagen, Denmark) and Stata v12.0 (Stata Corp LP, College Station, TX, United States). A two-sided p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant except for the Cochran Q-test. The data used in

this study were derived from published literatures, so no additional ethical approval and patient consent were required for this study.

3 Results

3.1 Search results

We screened out 5,109 literatures through seven databases. After eliminating duplicate articles, we screened the titles and abstracts of 1,247 literatures, and then excluded 655 literatures. The remaining 592 literatures were scanned according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria. After 565 literatures were excluded, 27 studies were finally included (22–48). They were conducted in five countries, including China (n = 11), the United States (n = 12), Australia (n = 1), India (n = 1), and South Korea (n = 2) (Figure 1).

3.2 Study characteristics

The basic characteristics of the included studies were shown in Supplementary Table 3. There were totally 27 studies (22–48) including 1781 individuals which focused on the therapeutic effects of AMBMTs on OA. Among them, 14 studies (23, 24, 27–31, 35–37, 39, 40, 42, 48) investigated the therapeutic effects of Tai Ji on OA, while 6 studies (25, 26, 32–34, 38) investigated the therapeutic effects of Yoga on OA



patients. And the experimental group of 4 studies (22, 41, 45, 46) was treated with Baduanjin exercise therapy. This study (47) reported data on outcomes of Yijinjing. Among the 27 included studies, 24 studies (88.9%) (22–31, 35–48) focused on knee osteoarthritis (KOA), and 3 studies (11.1%) (32–34) included multiple types of joint OA (not subdivided). These studies were published between 2003 and 2022.

3.3 Quality assessment

All studies were assessed for risk of bias (Supplementary Figure 4). Twenty-five studies included random sequences as low risk of bias (23–41, 43–48), and two studies did not report random generation (22, 42). For allocation concealment, seven studies did not report information on assignment concealment (22, 25, 28, 35, 37, 42, 45). Four studies lacked blinding of participants and staff (22, 24, 35, 39), and only three studies were unclear (42, 43, 45). For blinding of outcome assessors, one study was at high risk of bias (42), and four studies were unclear (22, 29, 31, 35). Only three studies were at high risk of incomplete data bias (24, 35, 47). Most of the included studies showed a low risk of bias for selective reporting.

3.4 Effects of interventions

3.4.1 Effect of AMBMTs on pain

Twenty-seven studies with a total of 1781 patients were included in this study (22–48). There was moderate heterogeneity among the included studies ($I^2 = 67\%$, p < 0.01), so a random-effects model was used. The pooled results showed that patients with OA who received AMBMTs had better pain relief than those who did not AMBMTs (SMD: -0.50; 95% CI: -0.68, -0.32; p < 0.01) (Figure 2).

3.4.2 Effect of AMBMTs on stiffness

Twenty-two studies with a total of 1,395 patients were included in the study (22–30, 32, 35–40, 42–48). The pooled results showed that patients with OA who received AMBMTs had lower joint stiffness than those who did not receive AMBMTs (SMD: -0.71; 95%CI: -1.02, -0.40; p < 0.01) (Figure 3).

3.4.3 Effect of AMBMTs on physical function

Twenty-six studies with a total of 1,515 patients were included in the study (22–43, 45–48). The pooled results showed improved joint function in patients with OA who received AMBMTs compared with patients with OA who did not receive AMBMTs (SMD: -0.66; 95% CI: -0.85, -0.47; p < 0.01) (Figure 4).

3.4.4 Effect of AMBMTs on the quality of life

Seven studies assessed the mental component summary (MCS) of the SF-36 scale, and a total of 474 patients were included in the study [22, 30, 31, 36, 39; 40; 47]. The pooled results showed an improvement in mental status in patients with OA who did not receive AMBMTs (SMD: 0.58; 95% CI: 0.22, 0.95; p < 0.01) (Supplementary Figure 5).

Physical component summary (PCS) was reported in six studies, and a total of 453 patients were included in the study [30, 31, 36, 39, 40; 47]. The pooled results showed that the mental state of OA patients who did not receive AMBMTs was improved (SMD: 0.62; 95% CI: 0.30, 0.94; p < 0.01) (Supplementary Figure 6).

Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
An et al 2008	-78.9	105.29	11	21.4	99.2	10	2.4%	-0.94 [-1.85, -0.03]	
Batra et al 2011	-3	3.9	8	-2.25	4.48	8	2.2%	-0.17 [-1.15, 0.81]	
Brismee et al 2007	-3.08	5.67	22	-0.16	4.25	19	3.5%	-0.57 [-1.19, 0.06]	
Cheung et al 2014	-3.5	3.56	18	0.6	3.71	18	3.1%	-1.10 [-1.81, -0.40]	
Cheung et al 2017	-2.8	9.59	32	0.2	2.86	23	4.0%	-0.39 [-0.93, 0.15]	
Fransen et al 2007	-9.6	18.95	56	-4.4	16.61	41	4.7%	-0.29 [-0.69, 0.12]	
Hu et al 2020	-6.57	3.75	52	0.37	9.78	40	4.5%	-0.98 [-1.42, -0.54]	-
Kang et al 2022	-2.09	3.9	12	-0.66	3.39	15	2.9%	-0.38 [-1.15, 0.38]	+
Lee et al 2009	-2.2	4.1	29	-0.2	1.8	15	3.5%	-0.56 [-1.20, 0.07]	
Lee et al 2017	-188.82	113.52	41	-38.68	115.6	34	4.2%	-1.30 [-1.80, -0.80]	-
McCaffrey et al 2011	-4.4	4.59	7	1	0.6	7	1.5%	-1.54 [-2.79, -0.30]	
McCaffrey et al 2019	3	1.87	9	3	2.23	9	2.3%	0.00 [-0.92, 0.92]	+
Park et al 2017	-2.1	3.52	63	-0.8	4.5	49	4.9%	-0.32 [-0.70, 0.05]	-
Song et al 2003	-2.45	3.9	22	0.61	5.1	21	3.6%	-0.66 [-1.28, -0.05]	
Song et al 2022	-6.67	3.54	20	-1.53	3.94	20	3.2%	-1.35 [-2.04, -0.65]	
Tsai et al 2013	-2.6	2.54	28	-1.02	1.68	27	3.9%	-0.72 [-1.27, -0.17]	-
Vaghela et al 2020	-8.84	3.19	43	-7.3	2.21	40	4.5%	-0.55 [-0.99, -0.11]	~
Wang et al 2009	-157.25	97.99	20	-38.45	97.99	20	3.3%	-1.19 [-1.87, -0.51]	-
Wang et al 2016	-167.2	119.5	106	-143	123.24	98	5.4%	-0.20 [-0.47, 0.08]	-
Wang et al 2021	-1.05	2.28	41	-1.44	2.32	43	4.6%	0.17 [-0.26, 0.60]	+
Wortley et al 2013	-28	123.41	12	-13	91.41	6	2.2%	-0.12 [-1.11, 0.86]	
Xiao et al 2020	-2.8	3.62	49	-1.8	3.72	49	4.8%	-0.27 [-0.67, 0.13]	-
Xiao et al 2021	-17.2	32.08	132	-20.6	25.05	134	5.6%	0.12 [-0.12, 0.36]	t
Ye et al 2020-1	-2.96	5.23	25	-2.76	8.72	25	3.9%	-0.03 [-0.58, 0.53]	+
Ye et al 2020-2	-2.42	5.05	28	-2.72	8.11	28	4.0%	0.04 [-0.48, 0.57]	+
Zhang et al 2022	-12.64	2.98	25	-11.48	2.41	25	3.8%	-0.42 [-0.98, 0.14]	
Zhu et al 2016	-3.3	3.04	23	-0.28	3.46	23	3.6%	-0.91 [-1.52, -0.30]	
Total (95% CI)			934			847	100.0%	-0.50 [-0.68, -0.32]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.13; Chi ²	= 78.39,	df = 26	(P < 0.0)0001); l ^a	= 67%		-	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 5.47 (F	o < 0.000	01)						Favours [experimental] Favours [control]

	Exp	anmentai		U U	ontrol		:	std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl
An et al 2008	-26.1	47.81	11	7.5	49.3	10	3.9%	-0.66 [-1.55, 0.22]	
Batra et al 2011	-0.42	2.57	8	-0.75	2.15	8	3.6%	0.13 [-0.85, 1.11]	+
Brismee et al 2007	-1.07	1.5	22	-0.55	1.26	19	4.7%	-0.37 [-0.99, 0.25]	
Cheung et al 2014	-1.8	1.31	18	0.4	1.74	18	4.3%	-1.40 [-2.13, -0.66]	
Cheung et al 2017	-4.7	1.7	32	0.5	1.55	23	4.1%	-3.13 [-3.94, -2.32]	
Hu et al 2020	-1.88	2.85	52	-1.6	3.12	40	5.2%	-0.09 [-0.51, 0.32]	+
Kang et al 2022	-1.5	2.57	12	-0.27	1.58	15	4.2%	-0.57 [-1.35, 0.20]	
Lee et al 2009	-1.2	2.1	29	-0.3	1.4	15	4.6%	-0.47 [-1.10, 0.17]	
McCaffrey et al 2011	-0.9	2	7	0	1.84	7	3.4%	-0.44 [-1.50, 0.63]	-+
Song et al 2003	-0.91	1.6	22	0.23	1.8	21	4.7%	-0.66 [-1.27, -0.04]	-
Song et al 2022	-3.94	2.41	20	-0.18	3.21	20	4.5%	-1.30 [-1.99, -0.61]	
Tsai et al 2013	-1.79	1.35	28	-0.22	1.12	27	4.8%	-1.25 [-1.83, -0.66]	-
Vaghela et al 2020	-3.17	1.55	43	-2.05	1.34	40	5.1%	-0.76 [-1.21, -0.32]	-
Wang et al 2009	-73.05	45.53	20	-50.15	45.53	20	4.6%	-0.49 [-1.12, 0.14]	
Wang et al 2016	-75.3	59.62	106	-70.1	59.85	98	5.5%	-0.09 [-0.36, 0.19]	*
Wortley et al 2013	-7	60.51	12	-10	43.31	6	3.6%	0.05 [-0.93, 1.03]	+
Xiao et al 2020	-0.5	2.62	49	-0.6	2.61	49	5.2%	0.04 [-0.36, 0.43]	+
Xiao et al 2021	-5.05	7.63	132	-1.55	9.05	134	5.5%	-0.42 [-0.66, -0.17]	~
Ye et al 2020-1	-1.84	1.88	25	2.08	1.82	25	4.4%	-2.09 [-2.78, -1.39]	
Ye et al 2020-2	-1.58	1.86	28	2.04	1.86	28	4.6%	-1.92 [-2.56, -1.28]	-
Zhang et al 2022	-6.32	1.49	25	-7.84	1.96	25	4.8%	0.86 [0.28, 1.44]	
Zhu et al 2016	-1.8	1.42	23	-0.59	1.18	23	4.7%	-0.91 [-1.52, -0.30]	
Total (95% CI)			724			671	100.0%	-0.71 [-1.02, -0.40]	♦
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.43; Chi	² = 143.	69, df =	21 (P <	0.0000	1); I² =	85%		
Test for overall effect:	Z = 4.51	(P < 0.0	0001)						- 10 - 5 0 5 10 Eavours [experimental] Eavours [control]

tudy or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, S	95% CI	
n et al 2008	-58.9	359.63	11	220.4	330.52	10	2.6%	-0.77 [-1.67, 0.12]			
Batra et al 2011	-3.29	15.31	8	-4.87	17.73	8	2.4%	0.09 [-0.89, 1.07]	+		
Brismee et al 2007	-10.54	12.73	22	1.9	10.66	19	3.6%	-1.03 [-1.69, -0.37]			
Cheung et al 2014	-13	10.92	18	-0.9	13.34	18	3.5%	-0.97 [-1.67, -0.28]			
Cheung et al 2017	-8.9	11.52	32	0.9	10.06	23	4.1%	-0.88 [-1.45, -0.32]			
ransen et al 2007	-10.6	20.75	56	-0.9	17.95	41	4.9%	-0.49 [-0.90, -0.08]	-		
lu et al 2020	-35.57	13.14	52	-13.9	20.27	40	4.7%	-1.29 [-1.75, -0.84]	-		
ang et al 2022	-9.41	8.29	12	-0.46	8.95	15	3.0%	-1.00 [-1.81, -0.19]			
ee et al 2009	-9.4	14.4	29	-2.7	10.8	15	3.7%	-0.49 [-1.13, 0.14]			
.ee et al 2017	-620.81	463.33	41	-443.13	462.86	34	4.7%	-0.38 [-0.84, 0.08]	-		
AcCaffrey et al 2011	-10.5	16.56	7	9	12.71	7	1.9%	-1.24 [-2.42, -0.06]			
AcCaffrey et al 2019	-5.8	12.85	9	-9.7	12.23	9	2.5%	0.30 [-0.63, 1.23]			
Park et al 2017	-7.3	11.71	63	-3.8	15.11	49	5.1%	-0.26 [-0.64, 0.11]	-		
Song et al 2003	-11.09	12	22	-1.33	10.6	21	3.8%	-0.84 [-1.47, -0.22]	-		
ong et al 2022	-13.11	5.66	20	-1.29	7.04	20	3.2%	-1.81 [-2.56, -1.07]	-		
sai et al 2013	-6.82	8.86	28	-1.3	6.04	27	4.2%	-0.72 [-1.26, -0.17]	-		
/aghela et al 2020	-28.53	9.17	43	-19.25	9.01	40	4.7%	-1.01 [-1.47, -0.55]	-		
Vang et al 2009	-506.75	286.12	20	-182.15	286.12	20	3.6%	-1.11 [-1.78, -0.44]			
Vang et al 2016	-608.3	456.74	106	-494.2	459.87	98	5.6%	-0.25 [-0.52, 0.03]	4		
Vang et al 2021	-2.44	5.06	41	-2.17	5.22	43	4.8%	-0.05 [-0.48, 0.38]	+		
Vortley et al 2013	-142	377.46	12	-72	353.08	6	2.4%	-0.18 [-1.16, 0.80]	-		
liao et al 2020	-8.2	10.53	49	-8.6	9.64	49	5.0%	0.04 [-0.36, 0.44]	+		
'e et al 2020-1	-11.32	9.58	25	-2.84	7.74	25	4.0%	-0.96 [-1.55, -0.37]			
'e et al 2020-2	-10.4	9.14	28	-2.25	7.4	28	4.1%	-0.97 [-1.52, -0.41]	-		
hang et al 2022	-16.84	5.22	25	-16.5	3.75	25	4.1%	-0.07 [-0.63, 0.48]	+		
hu et al 2016	-8.85	8.17	23	-1.52	3.52	23	3.8%	-1.15 [-1.77, -0.52]	-		
otal (95% CI)			802			713	100.0%	-0.66 [-0.85, -0.47]	•		
leterogeneity: Tau ² = 0	0.15; Chi²	= 73.36,	df = 25	(P < 0.00	0001); l² :	= 66%		-		5	10
est for overall effect: 2	Z = 6.75 (F	> < 0.000	01)						Favours [experimental] Fav	vours [control]	10
- A											

3.5 Subgroup analysis results

3.5.1 Subgroup analysis of pain

We first performed a subgroup analysis of movement modalities, which showed a reduction in heterogeneity compared to previous statistics, suggesting that exercise modalities may be one of the sources of heterogeneity in the effect of AMBMTs on pain outcomes in people with OA. At the same time, it was found that among the five exercise methods (Tai Ji, Yoga, Baduanjin, Yijinjing, and Wuqinxi), Tai Ji had a better pain improvement effect (I^2 : 60%; SMD: -0.68; 95%CI: -0.92, -0.45; p < 0.01, Table 1), followed by yoga (I^2 : 35%; SMD: -0.53; 95%CI: -0.84, -0.23; p < 0.01, Table 1). Second, we performed subgroup analyses for exercise duration, with reduced heterogeneity and better pain relief in exercise duration greater than or equal to 12 weeks (I^2 : 70%; SMD – 0.54; 95% CI – 0.77, –0.31; p < 0.01, Table 1), suggesting that prolonged exercise relieved pain.

We also analyzed the patient's own physiology (age and BMI). Despite no evident contribution to the reduction in heterogeneity, it was found that age was younger than 65 years (I^2 : 68%; SMD: -0.62; 95% CI: -0.93, -0.31; p < 0.01, Table 1).

3.5.2 Subgroup analysis of stiffness

Subgroup analyses were performed as analysis of joint stiffness scores from all included studies found that AMBMTs improved stiffness, but there was a high degree of heterogeneity. Heterogeneity in the Tai Ji group was found to be reduced when subgroup analyses were performed according to exercise patterns, but not compared to the overall improvement (I^2 : 60%; SMD: -0.51; 95%CI: -0.78, -0.24; p < 0.01, Table 2). However, there was a large heterogeneity in the

other exercise mode group, indicating that there may be high heterogeneity in the research literature included in the other exercise mode group.

Subgroup analyses were performed in the same manner as pain outcomes. Heterogeneity was reduced in subgroup analysis by gender, and joint stiffness score was significantly decreased in women after AMBMTs (I^2 : 48%; SMD: -0.79; 95%CI: -1.10, -0.47; p < 0.01, Table 2), suggesting that patient gender had an impact on outcome heterogeneity. In subgroup analysis, according to the different frequency of exercise per week, twice a week of AMBMTs could not significantly improve joint stiffness (I^2 : 56%; SMD: -0.05; 95%CI: -0.42, 0.32; p > 0.05, Table 2).

3.5.3 Subgroup analysis of physical function

Finally, we analyzed the joint function outcomes from the abovementioned subgroup analysis perspectives, suggesting that sample size (less than 30 people) had a significant impact on heterogeneity (Table 3).

TABLE 1 Results of subgroup analysis affecting WOMAC pain heterogeneity.

Groups	No. of studies	No. of participants	SMD [95%CI]	Hetero	Р	
				Р	 ²	
Exercise type						
Tai Ji	14	838	-0.68 [-0.92, -0.45]	<0.01	60%	<0.01
Baduanjin	7	625	-0.08 [-0.30, 0.14]	>0.05	36%	>0.05
Yoga	6	318	-0.53 [-0.84, -0.23]	>0.05	35%	< 0.01
Duration time						
\geq 12 weeks	16	1,098	-0.54 [-0.77, -0.31]	<0.01	70%	< 0.01
<12 weeks	11	386	-0.43 [-0.71, -0.14]	<0.01	59%	<0.01
BMI (Mean) (kg/m ²	?)					
≥28	7	564	-0.55 [-0.97, -0.12]	<0.01	85%	<0.05
<28	11	495	-0.41 [-0.66, -0.15]	<0.05	55%	< 0.01
Age (Mean) (Years)						
≥65	14	853	-0.32 [-0.64, -0.01]	<0.01	74%	<0.05
<65	9	618	-0.62 [-0.93, -0.31]	< 0.01	68%	< 0.01
Gender						
Females	7	479	-0.71 [-1.23, -0.20]	<0.01	81%	< 0.01
Sample size						
≥30	21	1,667	-0.50 [-0.70, -0.31]	<0.01	72%	<0.01
<30	6	114	-0.46 [-0.87, -0.05]	>0.05	13%	<0.05
Frequency						
2 sessions per week	11	688	-0.52 [-0.79, -0.25]	<0.01	59%	< 0.01
3 sessions per week	11	617	-0.52 [-0.81, -0.24]	<0.01	66%	<0.01
Control group						
Health education	9	482	-0.71 [-0.96, -0.46]	>0.05	38%	< 0.01
Waiting list	7	322	-0.37 [-0.68, -0.06]	>0.05	41%	<0.05
OA position						
КОА	24	1,637	-0.50 [-0.69, -0.31]	<0.01	69%	<0.01

SMD, standard mean difference; BMI, body mass index; OA, osteoarthritis; KOA, knee osteoarthritis.

Groups	No. of studies	No. of participants	SMD [95%CI]	Hetero	Р						
				Р	²						
Exercise type											
Tai Ji	12	666	-0.51 [-0.78, -0.24]	<0.01	60%	< 0.01					
Baduanjin	6	541	-0.67 [-1.41, 0.07]	<0.01	93%	>0.05					
Yoga	5	188	-1.44 [-2.53, -0.34]	<0.01	89%	<0.01					
Duration time											
≥ 12 weeks	13	842	-0.65 [-1.06, -0.24]	<0.01	87%	<0.01					
<12 weeks	9	553	-0.80 [-1.32, -0.28]	<0.01	84%	<0.01					
BMI (Mean <u>+</u> SD) (I	kg/m²)										
≥28	5	564	-0.43 [-0.79, -0.07]	<0.05	68%	<0.05					
<28	10	495	-0.72 [-1.27, 0.17]	<0.01	88%	<0.05					
Age (Mean <u>+</u> SD) (Years)											
≥65	11	735	-0.65 [-1.09, -0.22]	<0.01	85%	< 0.01					
<65	8	543	-0.67 [-1.21, -0.12]	<0.01	88%	<0.05					
Gender											
Females	7	479	-0.79 [-1.10, -0.47]	>0.05	48%	< 0.01					
Sample size	Sample size										
≥30	17	1,299	-0.80 [-1.16, -0.45]	<0.01	89%	<0.01					
<30	5	96	-0.34 [-0.75, 0.07]	>0.05	0%	>0.05					
Frequency											
2 sessions per week	7	386	-0.05 [-0.42, 0.32]	>0.01	56%	>0.05					
3 sessions per week	10	533	-0.97 [-1.38, -0.57]	<0.01	79%	<0.01					
Control group											
Health education	8	370	-0.99 [-1.61, -0.38]	<0.01	86%	< 0.01					
Waiting list	6	225	-1.12 [-1.79, -0.45]	<0.01	79%	<0.01					
OA position											
КОА	21	1,381	-0.72 [-1.03, -0.40]	<0.01	86%	<0.01					

TABLE 2 Results of subgroup analysis affecting WOMAC stiffness heterogeneity.

SMD, standard mean difference; BMI, body mass index; OA, osteoarthritis; KOA, knee osteoarthritis.

3.6 Sensitivity analysis and risk of bias assessment

As shown in Supplementary Figure 7, we eliminated the main outcome indicators one by one for sensitivity analysis, and found that there was no statistical difference between the results before and after elimination, which indicated that the results of all included studies were more reliable. Secondly, we used a funnel chart to evaluate publication bias and Egger' test to detect the symmetry of funnel chart. From the funnel chart results, there is asymmetry in funnel chart of pain and physical function the (Supplementary Figure 8). According to Egger's test, the pain score of WOMAC is t = -1.97 (95%CI: -4.13, -0.88, p < 0.01, Supplementary Figure 9), stiffness result is t = -0.52 (95% CI: -1.97, 0.15, p > 0.05, Supplementary Figure 9), and physical function is t = -2.11 (95%CI: -4.08, -0.49, *p* < 0.05, Supplementary Figure 9). Egger' test is consistent with the funnel chart results, which shows that there is publication bias in the study of pain and physical function.

4 Discussion

OA is one of the chronic diseases that plague the older adult, which greatly affects the life quality of patients. The treatment of OA has always been on the agenda, and in addition to drug treatment, exercise therapy has also received extensive attention in recent years (49). Among them, Tai Ji, Yoga, Baduanjin and other slow and accurate action training can enhance proprioception and muscle coordination, thus improving physical function. Secondly, it can improve mood and enhance pain tolerance by adjusting the autonomic nervous system (such as increasing vagus nerve tension) and reducing stress response (such as reducing cortisol level), which can be applied to different people (50-53). Although there is evidence supporting the efficacy of AMBMTs on older adult patients, these studies mainly focus on the efficacy of a single exercise mode, and little attention is paid to the efficacy of this type of AMBMTs. Therefore, our current work provides an important supplement in the aspect of the published meta-analyses by pooling the published RCTs in the relevant area.

TADIEZ	Doculto of	Faubaroup	analysis	offoction	MOMAC	nhycigal	function	hotorogonoity
IADLE 3	Results O	Subaroup	arialysis	anecund	WOMAC.	Drivsical	runcuon	neterodeneity.

Groups	No. of studies	No. of participants	SMD [95%CI]	Hetero	geneity	Р					
				Р	²						
Exercise type											
Tai Ji	14	838	-0.76 [-1.02, -0.50]	<0.01	65%	< 0.01					
Baduanjin	6	359	-0.76 [-1.02, -0.50]	<0.01	69%	<0.05					
Yoga	6	318	-0.67 [-1.08, -0.27]	<0.05	61%	<0.01					
Duration time											
\geq 12 weeks	16	1,098	-0.71 [-0.96, -0.45]	<0.01	74%	<0.01					
<12 weeks	10	417	-0.58 [-0.86, -0.29]	>0.05	43%	<0.01					
BMI (Mean) (kg/m ²	?)										
≥28	6	470	-0.50 [-0.77, -0.24]	>0.05	40%	< 0.01					
<28	11	579	-0.71 [-1.06, -0.37]	<0.01	74%	<0.01					
Age (Mean) (Years)											
≥65	13	997	-0.49 [-0.73, -0.26]	<0.01	64%	< 0.01					
<65	9	618	-0.78 [-1.12, -0.44]	<0.01	73%	< 0.01					
Gender											
Females	7	213	-1.09 [-1.39, -0.80]	>0.05	0%	< 0.01					
Sample size											
≥30	20	1,401	-0.69 [-0.90, -0.48]	<0.01	71%	<0.01					
<30	6	114	-0.46 [-0.95, -0.03]	>0.05	37%	0.07					
Frequency											
2 sessions per week	11	688	-0.35 [-0.53, -0.17]	>0.05	18%	< 0.01					
3 sessions per week	10	617	-0.95 [-1.23, -0.68]	<0.01	60%	< 0.01					
Control group											
Health education	9	482	-0.99 [-1.32, -0.66]	<0.01	62%	< 0.01					
Waiting list	6	322	-0.70 [-0.93, -0.47]	>0.05	0%	< 0.01					
OA position											
КОА	22	1,316	-0.68 [-0.89, -0.48]	<0.01	68%	<0.01					

SMD, standard mean difference; BMI, body mass index; OA, osteoarthritis; KOA, knee osteoarthritis.

Results from a recent meta-analysis (16) showed that mind-body exercises (Tai Ji, Baduanjin, and Yoga) significantly improved pain (SMD: -0.65; 95% CI: -0.87, -0.42), stiffness (SMD: -0.75; 95% CI: -1.05, -0.45). The article explores more types of exercise, including not only the traditional mind-body movement in China but also the AMBMTs of yoga, which originated in India. Among them, for traditional Chinese sports, in addition to the inclusion of Tai Ji, which has attracted much attention, it also pays attention to the three sports of Baduanjin, Yijinjing, and Wuqinxi, which are slightly less wellknown but have their own characteristics. Another meta-analysis, which also used WOMAC as the sole measure (10), showed that Tai Ji was associated with better pain relief (SMD: -0.51; 95%CI: -0.89, -0.13; p < 0.01), and Baduanjin had an improvement in joint stiffness (SMD: -1.30; 95%CI: -2.32, -0.28; *p* < 0.05) and improved somatic function (SMD: -0.52; 95%CI: -0.97, -0.07; *p* < 0.05). But from the results of subgroup analysis of exercise types in this study, Tai Ji not only had a good effect on pain relief (SMD: -0.68; 95%CI: -0.92, -0.45; *p* < 0.01), but also improved physical function (SMD: -0.76; 95%CI: -1.02, -0.50; p < 0.01), whereas Yoga had a better advantage in improving joint flexibility (SMD: -1.44; 95% CI: -2.53, -0.34; p = 0.01). The reason for the discrepancy in the results may be that this study was limited to patients with OA of lower extremity joints, while published studies have focused on the population of patients with knee arthritis. Considering the moderate heterogeneity shown in this study, in addition to conducting subgroup analyses for different exercise types of AMBMTs, we also studied other factors, such as intervention time, patient age, sample size, etc. Among them, motor typing can well explain the heterogeneity existing in the study. Meanwhile, subgroup analysis based on sample size can significantly reduce heterogeneity. This might be because the wide confidence intervals of small-sample studies mask group differences, while the precise data of large samples bring subgroup differences (such as patient age and BMI) to the surface. This results suggest that AMBMTs in patients older than 65 years still has some improvement effect, especially in improving joint stiffness, which is similar to that of patients younger than 65 years (SMD: -0.65; 95% CI: -1.09, -0.22; p < 0.01), probably due to the fact that this type of low-intensity, low-load traditional cardio exercise requires the whole body to be in a relaxed state, which can help improve cardiopulmonary function and physical agility in older adult patients. Therefore, it is recommended that older adult patients try and practice this kind of exercise appropriately.

When patients with OA suffer from chronic pain, their mental state may be affected, further impacting their lives. Therefore, we selected the Physical Health (PCS) and Mental Health (MCS) scores of SF-36 to evaluate the impact of AMBMTs therapy on the quality of life of older adult patients with OA. The results showed that the SF-36 scores of OA older adult patients were significantly improved, which was consistent with the results of a previous study (6). However, due to the lack of this indicator in yoga-related studies, it mainly suggests that traditional Chinese mind-body exercises (Tai Ji, Baduanjin, and Yijinjing) can be able to improve the quality of life of OA older adult patients.

The following limitations of this study should be noted. First, the inclusion of individual RCTs had issues of unclear allocation methods and reporting bias, which reduced confidence in the overall results. Second, due to the limitation of the quality of the original data reports, subgroup analyses based on baseline OA severity (KL classification or WOMAC score) were not conducted for all included studies in this study. The combined effect size may represent the "average value" of subgroups with different severity levels, masking the potential heterogeneity of therapeutic effects (such as exercise being more effective for early OA but less effective for late OA). Last, because 24 weeks was the maximum duration of treatment in the included studies, our results can only be used as a basis for the shortterm treatment of OA with AMBMTs, but the long-term benefits of this type of exercise are unclear. So future RCTs and meta-analyses must systematically collect and report the baseline severity of OA in patients (standard indicators such as KL classification and WOMAC), and incorporate severity subgroup analyses as a key part of preset analyses or sensitivity analyses to provide more accurate and personalized evidence. In addition, long-term follow-up of ≥ 1 year is needed to evaluate the sustained benefit and dose-response relationship of AMBMTs, and to design a step-by-step intervention scheme (such as short-term reinforcement and long-term maintenance) to balance the immediate effect and sustainability, so as to provide better strategies for clinical practice. Furthermore, various geographical and cultural backgrounds may lead to different understanding angles and different understanding of the same type of sports. A large class of sports will produce different factions, and the specific sports postures in different factions are also different. For example, there are differences between different schools in action intensity and breathing rhythm, which may lead to the heterogeneity of exercise intervention effect. For example, the high-intensity burst action of Chen Tai Ji may improve muscle strength more significantly, while the uniform style of Yang Tai Ji may be more conducive to cardiovascular regulation, so even if the same type of exercise is used, the therapeutic effect may be different due to different schools (54). Therefore, it is difficult to come up with an individualized treatment plan for different groups of people, involving specific sports genres, sports frequencies and sports duration.

5 Conclusion

AMBMTs (especially Tai Ji) can improve pain and dysfunction caused by OA, thus improving patients' quality of life. However, due to the methodological limitations of some of the included studies, more high-quality RCTs with large samples, multicenter, and conducted over a long period of time are needed for further validation and support.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Author contributions

CP: Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Conceptualization, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Formal analysis. ZW: Methodology, Investigation, Conceptualization, Writing - original draft, Formal analysis, Visualization, Data curation, Software. LS: Supervision, Writing - review & editing, Investigation, Data curation, Software, Methodology. HJ: Software, Data curation, Methodology, Investigation, Writing - review & editing, Supervision. JL: Funding acquisition, Resources, Visualization, Supervision, Writing - review & editing, Validation, Software. KZ: Validation, Project administration, Supervision, Writing - review & editing, Formal analysis. TZ: Supervision, Formal analysis, Resources, Project administration, Validation, Writing review & editing. XM: Supervision, Writing - review & editing, Project administration, Resources, Validation, Visualization. QZ: Resources, Writing - review & editing, Validation, Project administration, Supervision, Funding acquisition. ZL: Resources, Validation, Supervision, Project administration, Writing - review & editing, Funding acquisition.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (82173901), Major Project of Natural Science Foundation of Hunan Province (Open competition, 2021JC0002), and Hunan Province key research and development plan of China (2023SK2007).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The authors declare that no Gen AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations,

References

1. Hunter DJ, March L, Chew M. Osteoarthritis in 2020 and beyond: a lancet commission. Lancet. (2020) 396:1711-2. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32230-3

2. Hunter DJ, Bierma-Zeinstra S. Osteoarthritis. Lancet. (2019) 393:1745–59. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(19)30417-9

3. Cross M, Smith E, Hoy D, Nolte S, Ackerman I, Fransen M, et al. The global burden of hip and knee osteoarthritis: estimates from the global burden of disease 2010 study. *Ann Rheum Dis.* (2014) 73:1323–30. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2013-204763

4. Wallace JL. Prostaglandins, NSAIDs, and gastric mucosal protection: why doesn't the stomach digest itself? *Physiol Rev.* (2008) 88:1547–65. doi: 10.1152/physrev.00004.2008

5. Goh SL, Persson MSM, Stocks J, Hou Y, Welton NJ, Lin J, et al. Relative efficacy of different exercises for pain, function, performance and quality of life in knee and hip osteoarthritis: systematic review and network Meta-analysis. *Sports Med.* (2019) 49:743–61. doi: 10.1007/s40279-019-01082-0

6. Bannuru RR, Osani MC, Vaysbrot EE, Arden NK, Bennell K, Bierma-Zeinstra SMA, et al. OARSI guidelines for the non-surgical management of knee, hip, and polyarticular osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthr Cartil.* (2019) 27:1578–89. doi: 10.1016/j.joca.2019.06.011

7. Gao Q, Li X, Pan M, Wang J, Yang F, Guo P, et al. Comparative efficacy of mindbody exercise for treating chronic non-specific neck pain: a systematic review and network Meta-analysis. *Curr Pain Headache Rep.* (2024) 28:507–23. doi: 10.1007/s11916-024-01218-6

8. Gendron LM, Nyberg A, Saey D, Maltais F, Lacasse Y. Active mind-body movement therapies as an adjunct to or in comparison with pulmonary rehabilitation for people with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* (2018) 10:Cd012290. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD012290.pub2

9. Priego-Jiménez S, Lucerón-Lucas-Torres M, Lorenzo-García P, González-Molinero M, Bermejo-Cantarero A, Álvarez-Bueno C. Effect of exercise on quality of life in people with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a network meta-analysis of RCTs. *Ann Phys Rehabil Med.* (2025) 68:101890. doi: 10.1016/j.rehab.2024.101890

10. Zhang S, Huang R, Guo G, Kong L, Li J, Zhu Q, et al. Efficacy of traditional Chinese exercise for the treatment of pain and disability on knee osteoarthritis patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Front Public Health*. (2023) 11:1168167. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2023.1168167

11. Zeng ZP, Liu YB, Fang J, Liu Y, Luo J, Yang M. Effects of Baduanjin exercise for knee osteoarthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Complement Ther Med.* (2020) 48:102279. doi: 10.1016/j.ctim.2019.102279

12. Tan B, Yan Y, Zhou Q, Ran Q, Chen H, Sun S, et al. Kinesitherapy for knee osteoarthritis patients physical and psychological health based on "traditional Chinese exercise" management modalities: a systematic review and Meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Orthop Surg.* (2024) 16:3–16. doi: 10.1111/os.13920

13. Xiao CM, Li JJ, Kang Y, Zhuang YC. Follow-up of a Wuqinxi exercise at home programme to reduce pain and improve function for knee osteoarthritis in older people: a randomised controlled trial. *Age Ageing*. (2021) 50:570–5. doi: 10.1093/ageing/afaa179

14. Lauche R, Hunter DJ, Adams J, Cramer H. Yoga for osteoarthritis: a systematic review and Meta-analysis. *Curr Rheumatol Rep.* (2019) 21:47. doi: 10.1007/s11926-019-0846-5

15. Larkey L, Jahnke R, Etnier J, Gonzalez J. Meditative movement as a category of exercise: implications for research. *J Phys Act Health*. (2009) 6:230–8. doi: 10.1123/jpah.6.2.230

16. Qiao H, Hao X, Wang G. Effects of mind-body exercise on knee osteoarthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. (2024) 25:229. doi: 10.1186/s12891-024-07278-4

17. Li R, Chen H, Feng J, Xiao Y, Zhang H, Lam CWK, et al. Effectiveness of traditional Chinese exercise for symptoms of knee osteoarthritis: a systematic review and Metaanalysis of randomized controlled trials. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2020) 17:7873. doi: 10.3390/ijerph17217873 or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpubh.2025.1616053/ full#supplementary-material

18. Bellamy N, Buchanan WW, Goldsmith CH, Campbell J, Stitt LW. Validation study of WOMAC: a health status instrument for measuring clinically important patient relevant outcomes to antirheumatic drug therapy in patients with osteoarthritis of the hip or knee. *J Rheumatol.* (1988) 15:1833–40.

19. Cunningham DJ, Steele JR, Allen NB, Nunley JA, Adams SB. The impact of preoperative mental health and depression on outcomes after Total ankle arthroplasty. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* (2021) 103:131–8. doi: 10.2106/JBJS.20.00395

20. Higgins JP, Altman DG, Gøtzsche PC, Jüni P, Moher D, Oxman AD, et al. The cochrane collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. *BMJ*. (2011) 343:d5928. doi: 10.1136/bmj.d5928

21. Chalmers TC. Meta-analysis. Lancet. (1987) 1:1492. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(87)92239-2

22. An B, Dai K, Zhu Z, Wang Y, Hao Y, Tang T, et al. Baduanjin alleviates the symptoms of knee osteoarthritis. *J Altern Complement Med.* (2008) 14:167–74. doi: 10.1089/acm.2007.0600

23. Batra A, Wang YT, Burgess E, Pearman A. Comparative study of the effects of tai chi and strength training on osteoarthritis in older adults. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. (2012) 44:279–80.

24. Brismée JM, Paige RL, Chyu MC, Boatright JD, Hagar JM, McCaleb JA, et al. Group and home-based tai chi in elderly subjects with knee osteoarthritis: a randomized controlled trial. *Clin Rehabil.* (2007) 21:99–111. doi: 10.1177/0269215506070505

25. Cheung C, Wyman JF, Resnick B, Savik K. Yoga for managing knee osteoarthritis in older women: a pilot randomized controlled trial. *BMC Complement Altern Med.* (2014) 14:160. doi: 10.1186/1472-6882-14-160

26. Cheung C, Wyman JF, Bronas U, McCarthy T, Rudser K, Mathiason MA. Managing knee osteoarthritis with yoga or aerobic/strengthening exercise programs in older adults: a pilot randomized controlled trial. *Rheumatol Int.* (2017) 37:389–98. doi: 10.1007/s00296-016-3620-2

27. Fransen M, Nairn L, Winstanley J, Lam P, Edmonds J. Physical activity for osteoarthritis management: a randomized controlled clinical trial evaluating hydrotherapy or tai chi classes. *Arthritis Rheum.* (2007) 57:407–14. doi: 10.1002/art.22621

28. Hu X, Lai Z, Wang L. Effects of Taichi exercise on knee and ankle proprioception among individuals with knee osteoarthritis. *Res Sports Med.* (2020) 28:268–78. doi: 10.1080/15438627.2019.1663520

29. Kang N, Wang Y, Chen G, Guo C, Zhang Z, Mei D, et al. Functional outcomes of tai chi exercise prescription in women with knee osteoarthritis. *Sports Med Health Sci.* (2022) 4:239–44. doi: 10.1016/j.smhs.2022.10.001

30. Lee HJ, Park HJ, Chae Y, Kim SY, Kim SN, Kim ST, et al. Tai chi qigong for the quality of life of patients with knee osteoarthritis: a pilot, randomized, waiting list controlled trial. *Clin Rehabil.* (2009) 23:504–11. doi: 10.1177/0269215508101746

31. Lee AC, Harvey WF, Wong JB, Price LL, Han X, Chung M, et al. Effects of tai chi versus physical therapy on mindfulness in knee osteoarthritis. *Mindfulness (N Y)*. (2017) 8:1195–205. doi: 10.1007/s12671-017-0692-3

32. McCaffrey R, Park J, Dunn D, Goodman R. Managing osteoarthritis: comparisons of chair yoga, Reiki, and education (pilot study). *Holist Nurs Pract.* (2011) 25:316–26. doi: 10.1097/HNP.0b013e318232c5f9

33. McCaffrey R, Taylor D, Marker C, Park J. A pilot study of the effects of chair yoga and chair-based exercise on biopsychosocial outcomes in older adults with lower extremity osteoarthritis. *Holist Nurs Pract.* (2019) 33:321–6. doi: 10.1097/HNP.00000000000355

34. Park J, McCaffrey R, Newman D, Liehr P, Ouslander JG. A pilot randomized controlled trial of the effects of chair yoga on pain and physical function among community-dwelling older adults with lower extremity osteoarthritis. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* (2017) 65:592–7. doi: 10.1111/jgs.14717

35. Song R, Lee EO, Lam P, Bae SC. Effects of tai chi exercise on pain, balance, muscle strength, and perceived difficulties in physical functioning in older women with osteoarthritis: a randomized clinical trial. *J Rheumatol.* (2003) 30:2039–44.

36. Song J, Wei L, Cheng K, Lin Q, Xia P, Wang X, et al. The effect of modified tai chi exercises on the physical function and quality of life in elderly women with knee osteoarthritis. *Front Aging Neurosci.* (2022) 14:860762. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2022.860762

37. Tsai PF, Chang JY, Beck C, Kuo YF, Keefe FJ. A pilot cluster-randomized trial of a 20-week tai chi program in elders with cognitive impairment and osteoarthritic knee: effects on pain and other health outcomes. *J Pain Symptom Manag.* (2013) 45:660–9. doi: 10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2012.04.009

38. Vaghela N, Mishra D, Patel J, Dani V. Promoting health and quality of life of patients with osteoarthritis of knee joint through non-pharmacological treatment strategies: a randomized controlled trial. *J Educ Health Promot.* (2020) 9:156. doi: 10.4103/jehp.jehp_39_20

39. Wang C, Schmid CH, Hibberd PL, Kalish R, Roubenoff R, Rones R, et al. Tai chi is effective in treating knee osteoarthritis: a randomized controlled trial. *Arthritis Rheum*. (2009) 61:1545–53. doi: 10.1002/art.24832

40. Wang C, Schmid CH, Iversen MD, Harvey WF, Fielding RA, Driban JB, et al. Comparative effectiveness of tai chi versus physical therapy for knee osteoarthritis: a randomized trial. *Ann Intern Med.* (2016) 165:77–86. doi: 10.7326/M15-2143

41. Wang F, Zhang X, Tong X, Zhang M, Xing F, Yang K, et al. The effects on pain, physical function, and quality of life of quadriceps strengthening exercises combined with Baduanjin qigong in older adults with knee osteoarthritis: a quasi-experimental study. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. (2021) 22:313. doi: 10.1186/s12891-021-04179-8

42. Wortley M, Zhang SN, Paquette M, Zhang S, Byrd E, Baumgartner L, et al. Effects of resistance and tai Ji training on mobility and symptoms in knee osteoarthritis patients. *J Sport Health Sci.* (2013) 2:209–14. doi: 10.1016/j.jshs.2013.01.001

43. Xiao C, Zhuang Y, Kang Y. Effects of Wu Qin xi qigong exercise on physical functioning in elderly people with knee osteoarthritis: a randomized controlled trial. *Geriatr Gerontol Int.* (2020) 20:899–903. doi: 10.1111/ggi.14007

44. Xiao Z, Li G. The effect of Wuqinxi exercises on the balance function and subjective quality of life in elderly, female knee osteoarthritis patients. *Am J Transl Res.* (2021) 13:6710–6.

45. Ye J, Simpson MW, Liu Y, Lin W, Zhong W, Cai S, et al. The effects of Baduanjin qigong on postural stability, proprioception, and symptoms of patients with knee osteoarthritis: a randomized controlled trial. *Front Med (Lausanne)*. (2019) 6:307. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2019.00307

46. Ye J, Zheng Q, Zou L, Yu Q, Veronese N, Grabovac I, et al. Mindful exercise (Baduanjin) as an adjuvant treatment for older adults (60 years old and over) of knee osteoarthritis: a randomized controlled trial. *Evid Based Complement Alternat Med.* (2020) 2020:9869161. doi: 10.1155/2020/9869161

47. Zhang S, Guo G, Li X, Yao F, Wu Z, Zhu Q, et al. The effectiveness of traditional Chinese Yijinjing qigong exercise for the patients with knee osteoarthritis on the pain, dysfunction, and mood disorder: a pilot randomized controlled trial. *Front Med* (*Lausanne*). (2021) 8:792436. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2021.792436

48. Zhu Q, Huang L, Wu X, Wang L, Zhang Y, Fang M, et al. Effects of tai Ji Quan training on gait kinematics in older Chinese women with knee osteoarthritis: a randomized controlled trial. *J Sport Health Sci.* (2016) 5:297–303. doi: 10.1016/j.jshs.2016.02.003

49. Hughes SL, Seymour RB, Campbell RT, Desai P, Huber G, Chang HJ. Fit and strong!: bolstering maintenance of physical activity among older adults with lower-extremity osteoarthritis. *Am J Health Behav.* (2010) 34:750–63. doi: 10.5993/ajhb.34.6.10

50. Wang GH, Liu Y, Peng C, Wang G, Shen T, Du B, et al. Physical and psychological impacts of tai chi on college students and the determination of optimal dose: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Eur J Integr Med.* (2025) 76:102450. doi: 10.1016/j.eujim.2025.102450

51. Yao Y, Ge L, Yu Q, Du X, Zhang X, Taylor-Piliae R, et al. The effect of tai chi Chuan on emotional health: potential mechanisms and prefrontal cortex hypothesis. *Evid Based Complement Alternat Med.* (2021) 2021:5549006. doi: 10.1155/2021/5549006

52. Wang Y, Aaron R, Attal N, Colloca L. An update on non-pharmacological interventions for pain relief. *Cell Rep Med.* (2025) 6:101940. doi: 10.1016/j.xcrm.2025.101940

53. Park J, Krause-Parello CA, Barnes CM. A narrative review of movement-based mind-body interventions: effects of yoga, tai chi, and qigong for Back pain patients. *Holist Nurs Pract.* (2020) 34:3–23. doi: 10.1097/HNP.000000000000060

54. Li X, Chang P, Wu M, Jiang Y, Gao Y, Chen H, et al. Effect of tai chi vs aerobic exercise on blood pressure in patients with prehypertension: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Netw Open*. (2024) 7:e2354937. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2023.54937