



## OPEN ACCESS

## EDITED AND REVIEWED BY

Carlos Alberto De Oliveira Magalhães Júnior,  
State University of Maringá, Brazil

## \*CORRESPONDENCE

Nicolle Simonovic  
✉ nicolles@bgu.ac.il

## †PRESENT ADDRESS

Nicolle Simonovic,  
Department of Nursing, Recanati School for  
Community Health Professions, Ben-Gurion  
University of the Negev, Beer-Sheva, Israel

RECEIVED 27 April 2025

ACCEPTED 09 June 2025

PUBLISHED 19 June 2025

## CITATION

Simonovic N, Gesser-Edelsburg A and  
Taber JM (2025) Corrigendum: Examining  
psychological correlates of vaccine hesitancy:  
a comparative study between the US and  
Israel. *Front. Public Health* 13:1619192.  
doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2025.1619192

## COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Simonovic, Gesser-Edelsburg and  
Taber. This is an open-access article  
distributed under the terms of the [Creative  
Commons Attribution License \(CC BY\)](#). The  
use, distribution or reproduction in other  
forums is permitted, provided the original  
author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are  
credited and that the original publication in  
this journal is cited, in accordance with  
accepted academic practice. No use,  
distribution or reproduction is permitted  
which does not comply with these terms.

# Corrigendum: Examining psychological correlates of vaccine hesitancy: a comparative study between the US and Israel

Nicolle Simonovic <sup>1\*</sup>†, Anat Gesser-Edelsburg <sup>1</sup> and  
Jennifer M. Taber <sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>The Health and Risk Communication Lab, School of Public Health, University of Haifa, Haifa, Israel,

<sup>2</sup>Department of Psychological Sciences, Kent State University, Kent, OH, United States

## KEYWORDS

vaccine hesitancy, health behavior, risk perception, emotions, ambiguity, intentions

## A Corrigendum on

### Examining psychological correlates of vaccine hesitancy: a comparative study between the US and Israel

by Simonovic, N., Gesser-Edelsburg, A., and Taber, J. M. (2025). *Front. Public Health* 12:1480419. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2024.1480419

In the published article, there was an error. In the Abstract, we reported results that were exploratory along with results that were consistent with hypotheses, without distinguishing between the two.

A correction has been made to the Abstract. This sentence previously stated:

“Consistent with hypotheses, unvaccinated (vs. vaccinated) individuals reported higher perceived ambiguity, reactance, and anger as well as perceived lower susceptibility, severity, worry, positive emotion, and intentions to vaccinate.”

The corrected sentence appears below:

“Consistent with hypotheses, unvaccinated (vs. vaccinated) individuals reported higher perceived ambiguity, reactance, and anger as well as perceived lower susceptibility, severity, worry, and intentions to vaccinate. Unvaccinated (vs. vaccinated) individuals also reported lower positive emotion.”

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.