

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY Christiane Stock, Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, corporate member of Freie Universität Berlin and Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Institute of Health and Nursing Science, Germany

*CORRESPONDENCE Aimee Pugh-Bernard ⊠ aimee.bernard@cuanschutz.edu

RECEIVED 21 May 2025 ACCEPTED 02 June 2025 PUBLISHED 13 June 2025

CITATION

Grenon M, Murphy P, Sibanda D and Pugh-Bernard A (2025) Editorial: Empowering individuals: promoting health literacy through curriculum and science communication. *Front. Public Health* 13:1632938. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2025.1632938

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Grenon, Murphy, Sibanda and Pugh-Bernard. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Editorial: Empowering individuals: promoting health literacy through curriculum and science communication

Muriel Grenon¹, Padraig Murphy², Doras Sibanda³ and Aimee Pugh-Bernard^{4*}

¹College of Science and Engineering, University of Galway, Galway, Ireland, ²School of Communications, Dublin City University, Dublin, Ireland, ³School of Education, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban, South Africa, ⁴Department of Immunology and Microbiology, University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus, Aurora, CO, United States

KEYWORDS

science, communication, health, literacy, education, science communication

Editorial on the Research Topic

Empowering individuals: promoting health literacy through curriculum and science communication

1 Introduction

Empowering individuals in society to make evidence-based decisions about their health and wellbeing is vital, particularly in the current context of an overwhelming amount of misinformation, disinformation, and conspiracy theories easily accessible on the internet and social media platforms (1). Effective science communication plays a key role in providing accurate information that empowers individuals to make informed decisions in everyday lives (2). The incorporation of science communication training within higher education for scientists and healthcare professionals directly impacts public trust in scientific and healthcare institutions, promoting informed decision-making and enhancing public health outcomes.

This Research Topic aimed to call attention to the educational approaches and reallife applications of science communication for scientists and health professionals, and their impact on society often through formal, non-formal, or informal science education initiatives. The implementation of curricular or extracurricular educational initiatives that promote teaching strategies for effective science communication aimed at equipping future professionals with the skills to translate complex scientific information into language that is accessible to the general public. Further, communication training that focuses on deeper forms of engagement helps scientists to understand and facilitate the social contexts to communication increasing inclusivity and reducing inequalities (3, 4). Acquiring these skills prepares scientists and health care professionals to serve as credible sources of information and as advocates for public health and wellbeing.

2 Contributions to the Research Topic

This Research Topic contains five peer-reviewed articles exploring the promotion of health literacy through different avenues. Covering different health specialties and international contexts, the Research Topic includes two original research papers, one study protocol, one brief research paper, and one perspective article and gives a diverse landscape on how different communications methods could achieve individual health empowerment.

- Blended public health empowerment programme: Alsouri et al. present a study that establishes the potential of blended programmes as impactful tools to improve professional skills in local community communication. They provide a detailed evaluation of the *Public Health Empowerment Program – Basic Field Epidemiology (PHEP-BFE)*, a blended learning initiative used within the *Eastern Mediterranean Public Health Network (EMPHNET)* in Iraq, Egypt, and Lebanon.
- The public health impact of TV medical dramas: Zago et al. studied popular medical dramas as they can shape how both the public and healthcare professionals think about health issues. While they often bring attention to important topics, they tend to focus more on dramatic emergencies than routine everyday health care or prevention. They identified exaggerated or misrepresented areas of real-life medicine that should be addressed to improve general public health literacy, including real causes of hospitalization, prevention and health promotion.
- Improving trust and diversifying participation in clinical trials: Gutnick et al. propose a study protocol based on community participation to improve a minority representation in clinical trials to promote social justice and equity. Their *Bridging Research Accurate information and Dialogue (BRAID)* model will be further developed in collaboration with underrepresented communities in New York, USA. The two-phase model engages the community in creating health messages by bringing their concerns to scientists and researchers. These messages will then be disseminated through the community by community based trusted messengers.
- Designing better communication tools for health: Pellegrini and Lovati outlines a plan for the design of a stakeholder engagement model (Health Social Laboratories), to account for the complexity of communication in the context of neurodegenerative disease as part of the *Hereditary* project in Europe. This study stresses the importance of including diverse voices, such as researchers, patients, caregivers, health institutions, policy experts, local government representatives, and underrepresented groups to identify challenges and work together to improve healthcare communication.
- The critical importance of communication competency in epidemiology: Abraham and Hlaing emphasize the repeated calls for epidemiology education to prioritize communication training, and make a case for essential skills to be fully embedded in epidemiology curricula.

They list the key communication competencies that are crucial for future professionals to combat misinformation and promote public health. For example, the ability to convey scientific information in clear, accessible language to diverse public audiences should be taught instead of the current focus on research-oriented communication. In addition, teaching formats involving community partners should be introduced to provide students with practical, hands-on experience in public engagement and health communication.

3 Implications for future research and practice

While challenges exist to add more content into science and healthcare educational curriculum, it is an essential task to ensure the effective training of the next generation of scientists. The submissions here offer interesting insights into various methods and approaches that can be applied across different fields. There is strong representation of community involvement, as well as the role of traditional media. However, within this Research Topic, there is a noticeable lack of articles on science communication in the context of evolving media types and the implementation of science communication into educational curricula for scientists and medical professionals. While a wide variety of communication courses and programs exist for individuals focused on careers in communication, there is a lack of formal educational curricula in science communication for science and healthcare trainees and professionals. As an example, Abraham and Hlaing is the only article within this Research Topic that called attention to the need for science communication skills to be developed for students training in science and healthcare. This highlights the significant gap in science communication within the formal educational curriculum at universities, particularly for students pursuing science and healthcare professions (5, 6).

As public trust in science continues to decline, universities are beginning to understand the need to incorporate curricular or extracurricular science communication training into scientific and medical education, from beginning student to experienced faculty. Relying solely on trained communicators without scientific training is no longer sufficient. Effective science communication is a core competency for all scientists and healthcare professionals. Embedding science communication curriculum or workshop opportunities within educational programs will ensure that these skills are consistently developed from the start of their training.

Author contributions

MG: Validation, Writing – original draft, Formal analysis, Visualization, Resources, Writing – review & editing, Project administration, Methodology, Data curation, Investigation, Conceptualization. PM: Methodology, Validation, Conceptualization, Investigation, Data curation, Writing – review & editing, Writing - original draft, Formal analysis, Resources, Project administration, Visualization. DS: Data Visualization, Investigation, Formal curation. analysis, Resources, Validation, Writing - review & editing, Project administration, Conceptualization, Methodology. AP-B: Formal analysis, Writing - original draft, Resources, Visualization, Methodology, Conceptualization, Supervision, Project administration, Validation, Data curation, Writing - review & editing, Investigation.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Jabkowski P, Domaradzki J, Baranowski M. Religiosity and beliefs in medical conspiracy theories in 37 European countries during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Humanit Soc Sci Commun.* (2025) 12:434. doi: 10.1057/s41599-025-04781-4

2. Nutbeam D, Lloyd JE. Understanding and responding to health literacy as a social determinant of health. *Annu Rev Public Health.* (2021) 42:159–73. doi: 10.1146/annurev-publhealth-090419-102529

3. Canfield KN, Menezes S, Matsuda SB, Moore A, Mosley AAN, Dewsbury BM, et al. Science communication demands a critical approach that centers inclusion, equity, and intersectionality. *Front Commun.* (2020) 5:2. doi: 10.3389/fcomm.2020.00002

4. Fähnrich B, Wilkinson C, Weitkamp E, Heintz L, Ridgway A, Milani E. Rethinking science communication education and training: towards a competence model for science communication. *Front Commun.* (2021) 6:795198. doi: 10.3389/fcomm.2021.795198

5. Bankston A, McDowell GS. Changing the Culture of Science Communication Training for Junior Scientists. *J Microbiol Biol Educ.* (2018) 19:43. doi: 10.1128/jmbe.v19i1.1413

6. Kington RS, Arnesen S, Chou WS, Curry SJ, Lazer D, Villarruel AM. *Identifying credible sources of health information in social media: principles and attributes.* NAM Perspectives Discussion Paper, National Academy of Medicine, Washington, DC (2021). doi: 10.31478/202107a