



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY

Fredrick Odhiambo Otieno, Nyanza Reproductive Health Society, Kenya

REVIEWED BY

Kim Jonas.

South African Medical Research Council, South Africa

Henry Wasswa,

Reproductive Health Uganda, Uganda

*CORRESPONDENCE

Peter Kisaakye

⋈ pkisaakye@gmail.com

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Adolescent Reproductive Health and Well-being, a section of the journal Frontiers in Reproductive Health

RECEIVED 23 October 2022 ACCEPTED 08 February 2023 PUBLISHED 24 February 2023

CITATION

Bukuluki PMW, Kisaakye P, Wandiembe SP, Kiwujja V, Kajungu C, Mugwanya W, Nabakooza S, Anyii C and Kaikai F (2023) Utilization of sexual and reproductive health services among young people in refugee settings in Uganda.

Front. Reprod. Health 5:1077761. doi: 10.3389/frph.2023.1077761

© 2023 Bukuluki, Kisaakye, Wandiembe, Kiwujia, Kajungu, Mugwanya, Nabakooza, Anyii and Kaikai. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative ommons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Utilization of sexual and reproductive health services among young people in refugee settings in Uganda

Paul Mukisa Wako Bukuluki¹, Peter Kisaakye^{2*}, Symon Peter Wandiembe³, Victor Kiwujja⁴, Christine Kajungu⁴, Wilberforce Mugwanya⁴, Shakira Nabakooza⁴, Cyprian Anyii⁴ and Fiona Kaikai⁴

¹Department of Social Work and Social Administration, Makerere University, Kampala, Uganda, ²Department of Population Studies, Makerere University, Kampala, Uganda, ³Department of Statistical Methods and Actuarial Sciences, Makerere University, Kampala, Uganda, ⁴Department of Sexual and Reproductive Health and Rights, United Nations Population Fund, Kampala, Uganda

There is a considerable high level of unmet need for reproductive health services among refugees. Yet, there is limited research about the provision and utilization of sexual and reproductive health (SRH) services among young people in refugee settings. Drawing on a sample of 575 young refugees (15–24 years) from a crosssectional survey, this study aims to fill this gap by identifying the factors associated with SRH utilization among young people living in refugee settings in Northern Uganda. The utilization of SRH services at the health facilities was significantly different between female and male young people after adjusting for all other variables (aOR = 2.46, 95% CI, 1.58, 3.84). Young people who were not living in a marital union (aOR = 0.38, 95% CI, 0.20, 0.71), or held inequitable gender norms about services (aOR = 0.28, 95% CI, 0.12, 0.66) had about a third of the odds of utilizing SRH services. Young women with comprehensive knowledge about contraception, modern contraceptives, and HIV and STI prevention, had more than twice the odds of utilizing SRH services (aOR = 2.23, 95% CI, 2.67, 6.90). There is need to integrate social norm measurements and social norm change strategies in strategies for promoting utilization of SRH services among refugees in low-income countries especially in Uganda

KEYWORDS

utilization, sexual and reproductive health services, young people, refugees, Uganda

Introduction

A significant number of people are said to join humanitarian settings on a yearly basis (1) due to circumstances related to conflict (2) or natural disaster (3). Refugee settings are characterized by a breakdown in provision of services which makes access and utilization of services difficult (4). Yet, utilization of sexual and reproductive health (SRH) services is critical to achieving better wellbeing of individuals (5). Young people are often the most affected negatively regarding access to SRH utilization (6).

Poor SRH service utilization is often associated with refugee settings due to inadequate health facilities and service personnel (7), limited supplies (8), limited funding (4), poor policies (9) and the sensitivity associated with promoting SRH services (10), poor quality of SRH services (8), poor attitudes of health care providers towards young people (11), provider bias (12). Other reasons include health concerns (11), community opposition (13),

religious beliefs (14), limited agency to make reproductive health decisions (15), cultural factors (16) or limited self-efficacy (17). These reasons render young people to be neglected (18) or underserved (1). Moreover, the poor state of the health facilities in developing countries is further strained by the refugee crisis, that creates an unmet need for for reproductive health services (19).

Uganda hosts the largest number of refugees in Africa, with a progressive refugee policy that permits refugees to access health care services (20)—providing a better ground to explore SRH utilization (21). Previous research carried out in a refugee setting in Uganda observed that engaging in sexual behavior was more prevalent among out of school adolescents and older adolescents (16–18 years)—which conditions are common in refugee settings in Uganda (22). Refugees like any other person have a right to SRH services, although the capacity to provide SRH services may be limited (23). Previous research observed that unmet need for reproductive health services was higher among refugee settlements in Northern Uganda—where data used in this study come from—compared to the national average (23).

There is limited research about the provision and utilization of SRH services among young people in refugee settings (8), resulting in a knowledge gap regarding the factors associated with SRH utilization among young people living in refugee settings. Moreover, there is limited information regarding the strategies to increases SRH utilization (24). The current study aims to fill this gap by identifying the factors associated with SRH utilization among young people living in refugee settings in Northern Uganda. We focus on refugee settings given the increased vulnerability to poor SRH service utilization among young people in refugee settings (6). Young people with limited access to SRH tend to risk contracting sexually transmitted infections (STIs) (12), experiencing pregnancy related complications (1), unwanted or mistimed pregnancy (19), limiting education attainment (25), increase maternal morbidity (26). Yet, efforts to increase access and utilization of SRH services in refugee settings remain low (17). The analyses from the current study can help in designing strategies aimed at increasing SRH utilization in refugee settings.

Data and methods

Source of data and study setting

The current analysis is based on secondary data collected for the baseline study of knowledge, attitudes and practices (KAP) of potential beneficiaries of United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA)'s supported program on Advancing Sexual Reproductive Health and Rights (ANSWER) in Northern Uganda. The survey was conducted in September 2021 among a random sample of 6,056 young people (15–24 years) of which 575 were refugees. The survey was household based using a stratified two-stage cluster design with stratification on districts and urban-rural residence. In the first stage, a probability proportional to the size sample of villages was taken from each stratum. In the second stage, a systematic sample of households with young people (15–24 years) was taken. A response rate of 98% was achieved in the survey.

Measurement of variables

The dependent variable was whether or not a respondent accessed SRH services or information at the health facilities in the past 12 months. The services included contraceptives and counseling about contraceptives, pregnancy testing, pregnancy termination or post-abortion care, potential factors associated with access and utilization.

The potential factors associated with access and use of SRH services available in the data included socio-demographics, current schooling status [categorized as currently a learner at school (in-school) or out of school], and ever had sex status. Potential factors constructed through alpha factoring, summating or principal component analysis (pca) as scores included household assets index, knowledge about HIV/STI prevention and treatment, comprehensive knowledge of SRH issues (pregnancy prevention, knowledge of contraceptives, prevention of HIV/STI), gender norms score, and community negative perceptions, and life skills. All scores were categorized into binary variables.

Household asset index as a proxy measure for the economic wellbeing of a household was constructed through Principal Component Analysis model of household owned domestic items (radio, television set, sofa sets, mattress, solar/electricity for lighting, access to running in the house or yard), transport assets (bicycle, motorcycle, car), and productive assets (computer, mobile phones) and has an income generating activity. Generally, based on the pca scores, households were classified as having high household assets index if they had at least 6 of these 12 items assessed.

Knowledge of preventing HIV/AIDS and STIs, and their treatment was obtained from alpha factoring of six items. Respondents were asked to affirm the following: (a) having and being faithful to only one sexual partner is an effective way of preventing HIV, (b) a person can reduce their chances/risk of getting HIV by not having sex, (c) a person can reduce their chances/risk of getting HIV by using condoms when having sex, (d) the HIV/AIDS virus can be transmitted by mosquito bites, (e) the HIV/AIDS virus can be transmitted by supernatural means, (f) a person can become infected by sharing food with a person who has the AIDS virus, (g) a girl or boy cannot get HIV the first time she/he has sexual intercourse, and in addition to knowledge at least two other STIs in addition to HIV/AIDS, and source of their treatment.

Comprehensive knowledge on SHR issues was assessed on prevention of pregnancy, knowledge of contraceptives, their use and sources and knowledge of prevention of HIV and STIs, including treatment. A young person was classified to have good comprehensive knowledge if she or he had correct information on at least 80% of the issues or items assessed.

The score for gender and social norms was computed from the responses to the following questions, with Likert scale options: (a) boys and girls have equal abilities, (b) Giving a bath and feeding kids are the mother's responsibility, (c) Woman's role is taking care of her home and family, (d) a man should have the final word about decisions in the home, (d) preventing

pregnancy is a woman's responsibility, (a) Young people like you/ me should not be allowed to use contraceptive services; (b) It is wrong for young girls who are sexually active to use contraceptives, (c) Women who use contraception may become promiscuous.

Community negative perception about young people accessing contraceptives and contraceptive information was constructed from affirmative responses (on a Likert scale—very common and common options) of at least two of the following: (a) belief that exposing adolescents to information about sexual health encourages them to start sex, (b) stigmatization of unmarried girls 15–19 years using contraceptives, (c) belief that adolescent girls and young women who carry condoms are promiscuous and cannot be trusted, and (f) belief that girls who use contraceptives are promiscuous.

Life skills score for self-efficacy to avoid risky sex, including using a condom was measured by asking the respondents to affirm to the following statements: (a) I am confident I can get the person with whom I have sex to use a condom, even if he/ she doesn't want me to use a condom, (b) I am confident If my partner and I do not have a condom, I can say no to sex, (e) I make smart decisions to avoid unsafe sex.

Data analysis

Data analysis was performed in Stata software Version 15 (27). Descriptive statistics included frequencies and percentages. A binary logistic regression model was fitted at both the bivariate and multivariable analysis levels to identify the factors that were independently associated with accessing SRH services and information in the past 12 months, preceding the survey. Only factors with likelihood ratio test (LRT) p-value of less than 0.25 at bivariate level were included in a multivariate model. Age group and current schooling status were included in the multivariable models as apriori factors. Only one variable of a pair with a high correlation of more than 0.35 or odd ratio of association of more than 1.5 was included in the multivariable model. The models were fitted using survey suite of commands in Stata to accounting for the complex sample survey design. Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness-of-fit test was conducted for all the multivariable logistic regression models.

Results

Sample characteristics

Of the 575 young refugee people that participated in the survey, over 95% were of South Sudanese origin and a few from Somalia and Democratic Republic of Congo; 303 were male and 272 were female. Of these, 47.5% (49.4% of the males and 45.4% of the females) had ever had sex (Table 1). Forty-one percent were aged 15–17 years and 30.1% (28.1% of the males and 32.4% of the females) were living in a marital union. Further, 56.4% were

TABLE 1 Sample characteristics.

	Male		Female		All	
	n	%	n	%	n	%
Age group		, ,		, ,		,,,
15–17 years	73	37.1	90	45.3	163	41.0
18–20	130	34.0	88	23.9	218	29.2
21-24	100	28.9	94	30.8	194	29.8
Current Marital status						
Married/living with a partner	76	28.1	85	32.4	161	30.1
Not in union/Single	227	71.9	187	67.6	414	69.9
Highest level of education						·
None	35	12.2	22	9.2	57	10.8
Primary	144	50.9	177	70.5	321	60.3
Secondary	97	30.5	64	19.0	161	25.0
TVET	27	6.3	9	1.3	36	3.9
School Status						
In-school	129	56.9	109	55.8	238	56.4
Out of school	174	43.1	163	44.2	337	43.6
Listened to information about SRH on	303	21.2	272	22.5	575	21.8
media in past 12 months						
Household Asset Index						
Low	243	82.4	232	85.5	475	83.9
Moderate	60	17.6	40	14.5	100	16.1
Religion						
Roman Catholic	122	38.3	106	39.3	228	38.8
Anglican/protestant	173	59.8	157	57.5	330	58.7
Moslem	8	2.0	9	3.2	17	2.6
Disability status						
No disability	262	85.2	234	86.4	496	85.8
Has disability	41	14.8	38	13.6	79	14.2
With good knowledge of HIV and STI	202	68.0	178	66.6	380	67.3
prevention						
With Life skills—self efficacy	303	82.2	272	82.2	575	82.0
Comprehensive knowledge of SRH issues	303	29.1	272	33.9	575	31.4
Believes the community has negative attitudes $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) $		70.8	272	54.3	575	62.9
toward young people accessing SRH services						
Ever had sex	303	49.4	272	45.4	575	47.5
Used SRH services at a health facility in the past 12 month	303	14.0	272	25.2	575	19.3
Sought SRH information in last 12 months	303	27.0	272	21.9	575	24.6

school-going children, and less than 20% came from households that had at least 7 of the 14 household items assessed.

The percentage of the respondents with comprehensive knowledge of pregnancy and contraceptives, and HIV and STI prevention was only 31.4% (29.1% of the males and 33.9% of the females). Further, about three in five respondents had a perception that their communities have negative attitudes toward unmarried young people accessing SRH services. Nonetheless, at least 80% of the young people expressed self-efficacy in avoiding or managing risky behaviors.

Utilization of SRH services and associated factors

The proportion of young people who had accessed SRH services at the health facilities in the past 12 months preceding the survey was 19.3%; 14.0% among the males and 25.2% among the females (Table 1). In addition, one in four young people reported to have

sought SRH information within the past 12 months. The services accessed included: modern contraceptives (reported by 19.6% of respondents), STI screening and treatment (14.2%), pregnancy test or termination (19.8%), antenatal care (15.0%), labor and delivery (4.5%), and HIV testing (23.1%).

At univariate analysis, the key factors associated with young people visiting the health facility in the past 12 months for SRH services included being married, being out of school, ever had sex, being exposed to SRH information on media, and having perceptions that the community has negative attitudes toward unmarried young people utilizing SRH services (Table 2).

There were also associated factors with SRH service utilization specific to either male or female respondents. Among the males,

those with inequitable gender norms, and from poor households were least likely to have visited the health facility for SRH services within the past 12 months. While, among the females, comprehensive knowledge of SRH also positively influenced utilization of SRH services.

Multivariable analysis of factors associated with the utilization of SRH services by young people (all) at the health facility

The utilization of SRH services at the health facilities was significantly different between female and male young people after adjusting for all other variables (aOR = 2.46, 95% CI, 1.58,

TABLE 2 Percentage of young people who visited the health facility for SRH services in the past 12 months and associated factors.

	Male respondents				Female respondents				
	No.	%	Crude OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value	No.	%	Crude OR (95%CI)	<i>p</i> -value	
Age group									
15-17 years	73	4.0	1		90	8.6	1		
18-20	130	14.1	3.96 (1.26, 12.41)	0.018	88	31.9	4.97 (2.5, 9.88)	0.000	
21-24	100	26.7	8.78 (3.14, 24.52)	0.000	94	44.4	8.51 (4.25, 17.03)	0.000	
Current Marital status									
Married/living with a partner	76	34.7	1.00		85	49.6	1.00		
Not in union/Single	227	5.9	0.12 (0.06, 0.24)	0.000	187	13.5	0.16 (0.08, 0.32)	0.000	
Highest level of education									
None	35	10.7	1.00		22	40.7	1.00		
Primary	144	16.7	1.67 (0.62, 4.45)	0.308	177	25.6	0.5 (0.27, 0.92)	0.027	
Secondary	97	12.0	1.14 (0.4, 3.24)	0.808	64	16.7	0.29 (0.1, 0.81)	0.018	
TVET	27	8.3	0.75 (0.06, 9.14)	0.825	9	20.1	0.37 (0.1, 1.32)	0.125	
Listened to information about SF	RH on med	lia in past	12 months						
No	232	11.1	1.00		209	23.9	1.00		
Yes	71	24.8	2.64 (1.58, 4.4)	0.000	63	29.6	1.34 (0.72, 2.47)	0.356	
Household Asset Index									
Low	243	11.7	1.00		232	26.1	1.00		
Moderate	60	24.9	2.5 (1.33, 4.7)	0.004	40	20.1	0.72 (0.25, 2.01)	0.526	
School Status									
In-school	129	4.8	1.00		109	12.6	1.00		
Out of school	174	26.2	7.1 (3.01, 16.73)	0.000	163	41.1	4.85 (2.29, 10.27)	0.000	
Ever had sex									
No	148	3.4	1.00		135	11.3	1.00		
Yes	155	24.8	9.24 (3.64, 23.48)	0.000	137	42.0	5.68 (2.44, 13.26)	0.000	
Religion									
Roman Catholic	122	9.0	1.00		106	24.0	1.00		
Anglican/protestant	173	16.8	2.03 (1.21, 3.4)	0.007	157	26.9	1.17 (0.66, 2.05)	0.596	
Moslem	8	26.5	3.63 (0.78, 16.83)	0.099	9	9.0	0.31 (0.04, 2.74)	0.293	
Disability status									
No disability	262	14.1	1.00		234	25.1	1.00		
Has disability	41	13.7	0.969 (0.295, 3.18)	0.960	38	25.8	1.036 (0.35, 3.06)	0.950	
Knowledge of pregnancy preventi	on, contra	ceptive m	ethods, HIV and STI preventi	on					
Limited	212	12.3	1.00		178	16.4	1.00		
Good	91	17.5	1.47 (0.76, 2.86)	0.155	94	42.3	3.73 (1.87, 7.49)	0.000	
Believes the community has nega	tive attitud	des toward	young people accessing SRH	services	•	•	'		
No	90	4.5	1.00		128	21.1	1.00		
Yes	213	18.8	14.03 (5.41, 36.31)	0.000	144	31.3	1.66 (0.66, 3.77)	0.157	
Gender norms score		•					· '		
Positive	145	18.9	1.00		166	26.4	1.00		
Negative	158	8.8	0.41 (0.20, 0.85)	0.017	106	23.5	0.86 (0.45, 1.64)	0.649	

3.84). Results of multivariable analysis of factors associated with male and female young people using SRH services at the health facility within the last 12 months are presented in Table 3.

Among the male young people in refugee settlements, access and utilization of SRH services was low among individuals who believed in inequitable gender norms and were Roman Catholics. Individuals who believed in inequitable gender norms had about a third of the odds of accessing SRH services at the health facilities as those with equitable gender norms (aOR = 0.30, 95% CI, 0.12, 0.73). The Anglicans and other protestants had about three times the odds of utilizing SRH services (aOR = 2.7, 95% CI, 1.86, 4.06) than the Roman Catholics. Exposure to information about SRH in the past 3 months through media was also associated with increased odds of utilizing SRH services within the past 12 months. Further, individuals who held the perception that the community

TABLE 3 Multivariable analysis of factors associated with Use of SRH services at the health facility in the past 12 months by young people (n = 575).

	Male respondents			Female respondents					
	adj ORs	pval	(95% Conf. Interval)	adj ORs	pval	(95% Conf. Interval)			
Age group	Age group								
15-17 years	1.00			1.00					
18-20	1.12	0.796	(0.49, 2.55)	2.20	0.069	(0.94, 5.16)			
21-24	0.96	0.937	(0.39, 2.36)	2.28	0.023	(1.12, 4.64)			
Marital status		•							
Married/ living with a partner	1.00			1.00					
Not in union/Single	0.38	0.113	(0.11, 1.26)	0.38	0.046	(0.15, 0.98)			
Listened to information about SRH on media in past 12 month									
No	1.00			1.00					
Yes	4.29	0.000	(2.67, 6.90)	1.45	0.219	(0.80, 2.64)			
Household Asse	Household Asset Index								
Low	1.00			1.00					
Moderate	1.87	0.209	(0.70, 5.00)	1.86	0.202	(0.72, 4.82)			
School Status		•							
In-school	1.00			1.00					
Out of school	4.12	0.003	(1.63, 10.47)	1.14	0.851	(0.29, 4.41)			
Religion		•			•				
Roman Catholic	1.00			1.00					
Anglican/ protestant	2.75	0.000	(1.86, 4.06)	1.68	0.189	(0.78, 3.63)			
Moslem	6.44	0.012	(1.52, 27.38)	0.26	0.214	(0.03, 2.16)			
Knowledge of pregnancy prevention, contraceptive methods, HIV/STI prevention									
Limited	1.00			1.00					
Good	0.94	0.882	(0.41, 2.17)	2.37	0.028	(1.10, 5.09)			
Gender norms		ı		1					
Positive	1.00			1.00					
Negative	0.30	0.008	(0.12, 0.73)	0.64	0.247	(0.31, 1.36)			
Believes the community has negative perceptions toward young people accessing SRH services									
No	1.00			1.00					
Yes	10.04	0.000	(3.09, 32.61)	2.03	0.303	(0.63, 7.50)			

Significant values in bold.

(including health workers) has negative perceptions about unmarried young people accessing SRH services had more than ten times the odds of utilizing SRH than those without such perceptions (aOR = 10.04, 95% CI, 3.09, 32.61). The association suggests the likelihood of young people experiencing community reactions after they have accessed SRH services.

However, among the female young people, both religion and beliefs inequitable gender norms did not influence the utilization of SRH services at the health facility within the past 12 months. Moreover, unlike boys and young men, girls, and young women with comprehensive knowledge about contraception, modern contraceptives, and HIV and STI prevention, had more than twice the odds of utilizing SRH services as compared to those with limited knowledge (aOR = 2.23, 95% CI, 2.67, 6.90). Whereas the proportion of girls and young women who held perceptions that the community has negative attitudes toward unmarried young people accessing SRH services had twice the odds of utilizing SRH services, this was not significant (2.03, 95% CI, 0.63, 7.50).

Multivariable analysis of factors associated with utilization of SRH services by sexually active young people

Of the 223 young people who had sex within the past 12 months, 164 had sex more than once but were not on contraceptives. Among these 164, 32.4% (23.6% of males and 41.1% of females) reported having sought SRH services from health facilities within the past 12 months. The key factors independently associated with the utilization of SRH services included being female, married, and with equitable gender norms (Table 3). Table 4 shows that young people who were not living in a marital union had about a third of the odds of married/cohabiting young people utilizing SRH services (aOR = 0.38, 95% CI, 0.20, 0.71). Similarly, young people who hold inequitable gender norms had about a third of the odds of those with equitable gender norms utilizing SRH services (aOR = 0.28, 95% CI, 0.12, 0.66). In addition, young people who had perceptions that the community had negative attitudes toward unmarried young people accessing SRH services had high odds (aOR = 3.23, 95% CI, 1.10, 9.73) of utilizing SRH services.

Discussion

Our results demonstrate that among young people living in refugee settlements, the key factors independently associated with the utilization of SRH services included being female, married, and with equitable gender norms. This further confirms studies that have underscored the importance of gender and social norms in influencing utilization of SRH services among young people in refugee settlements or humanitarian settings (5, 11, 28).

Our results show gender differences in utilization of SRH services at the health facilities female and male young people (refugees). For example, girls and young women with comprehensive knowledge about SRH services were more likely

TABLE 4 Multivariable analysis of factors associated with Use of SRH services at the health facility in the past 12 months by the sexually active young people (n = 164).

	n	% who visited the health facility	adj. ORs	<i>p</i> - value	(95% Conf. Interval)				
Sex									
Male	80	23.6	1.00						
Female	84	41.1	2.81	0.009	(1.3, 6.08)				
Age group					(1.3, 6.08)				
15-17 years	25	6.3	1.00						
18-20	74	26.5	2.42	0.198	(0.63, 9.24)				
21-24	65	46.4	4.88	0.017	(1.33, 17.95)				
Marital status of respondent									
Not in union/ Single	94	44.5	1.00						
Married/ living with a partner	70	13.2	0.38	0.003	(0.2, 0.71)				
Listened to information about SRH on media in past 12 months									
No	139	29.9	1.00	12 110110					
Yes	25	48.3	2.26	0.233	(0.59, 8.61)				
Tes 25 48.3 2.26 0.253 (0.59, 8.01) Household Asset Index									
Low	138	32.7	1.00						
Moderate	26	30.5	0.81	0.692	(0.28, 2.35)				
School Status		20.5	0.01	0.072	(0.20, 2.00)				
In-school	32	11.7	1.00						
Out of school	132	40.6	1.86	0.406	(0.43, 8.02)				
Religion	102	10.0	1.00	0.100	(0.15, 0.02)				
Roman Catholic	66	25.7	1.00						
Anglican/ protestant	94	37.7	2.43	0.045	(1.02, 5.81)				
Moslem	4	25.0	0.62	0.383	(0.21, 1.82)				
	_	cy prevention, contrac							
prevention	-5.141	e, prevention, contrac	apare iii						
Limited	108	29.9	1.00						
Good	56	37.4	0.80	0.495	(0.42, 1.53)				
Gender norms		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Positive	76	45.0	1.00						
Negative	88	20.7	0.28	0.004	(0.12, 0.66)				
Believes the community has negative attitudes toward young people accessing SRH services									
No	48	29.2	1.00						
Yes	116	33.7	3.23	0.038	(1.07, 9.73)				
Life skills score	164		1.02	0.852	(0.84, 1.23)				

Significant values in bold.

to use SRH services than their male counterparts. This suggests that for boys and young men comprehensive knowledge about SRH is inadequate to facilitate use of SRH services. It confirms previous studies that argue that knowledge alone is inadequate to facilitate behavioral change (1, 11, 22). Inequitable gender norms show significant influence in access and utilization of SRH services at facilities in refugee settlements (11, 29) particularly among male young people. However, among the female young people, inequitable gender norms did not influence the utilization of SRH services at the health facility. This points to the relative strengths of social and gender norms in specific contexts and the need to explore which norms have stronger influence on males and females in specific contexts and SRH services (30, 31). These

results emphasize the need for integrating a gender lens in promoting utilization of SRH services among young people in refugee settlements. This confirms findings from other studies that emphasize that intervention package for male and female young people in refugee settlements should take into account their peculiar gender needs and contexts (32) that may influence uptake of SRH services.

Our results show that some social norms had no a strong effect on utilization of SRH services among young people in refugee settlements. For example, individuals who held the perception that the community has negative perceptions about unmarried young people accessing SRH services were more likely to utilize SRH than those without such perceptions. This suggests that young people had self-efficacy to challenge or go against the social/community expectations (injunctive norms) that would affect utilization of SRH services. This is in line with current debates that show that in specific contexts some social norms may not have the functional strengths to influence practices or behaviors (33). This also points to the manifestations of what has been conceptualized as pluralistic ignorance which means that individuals may think that their personal beliefs, ideas or feelings are different from others but their public behavior should be the same.

Our results show that marital status is an important factor in influencing access and utilization of services (13, 34). For example, in our study, young people who were not living in a marital union had about a third of the odds of married/cohabiting young people utilizing SRH services. Therefore, being unmarried influences the kind of barriers or enablers young people in refugee settlements have to navigate in access and utilization of services (13, 35). This further points to the importance of having designing interventions that appreciate the unique or peculiar characteristics and context of married and unmarried young people in refugee settlings.

Conclusion and implications

Taken together, the key factors independently associated with the utilization of SRH services among adolescents living in refugee settlements in Uganda included being female, married, and with equitable gender norms. This further emphasizes the need to integrate social norm measurements and social norm change strategies in strategies for promoting utilization of SRH services among refugees in low-income countries especially in Uganda. These results also point to the need to pay attention to context specificity as well as gender sensitivity in designing and implementing SRH interventions in targeting young people in refugee settlements. There is also need to pay attention during social norm diagnosis and measurement to the relative strengths of some social norms over others in influencing uptake of SRH services. Our results that show differences by marriage and gender in influencing SRH services access and utilization point to the need to continue emphasizing audience segmentation in design and delivery of SRH interventions particularly that have social behavioral change activities to facilitate addressing the

unique or peculiar needs as well as barriers and enablers to access and utilization of SRH services by young people in refugee settlements or humanitarian settings.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author/s.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Makerere University School of Public Health Research and Ethics Committee. Written informed consent to participate in this study was provided by the participants' legal guardian/next of kin.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: Paul Bukuluki, Symon Peter Wandiembe, Peter Kisaakye, Victor Kiwujja, Christine Kajungu. Data curation: Symon Peter Wandiembe, Peter Kisaakye. Formal analysis: Symon Peter Wandiembe. Investigation: Paul Bukuluki, Symon Peter Wandiembe, Peter Kisaakye, Victor Kiwujja, Christine Kajungu, Wilberforce Mugwanya, Shakira Nabakooza, Cyprian Anyii, Fiona Kaikai. Methodology: Symon Peter Wandiembe. Resources: Victor Kiwujja, Christine Kajungu, Wilberforce Mugwanya, Shakira Nabakooza, Cyprian Anyii, Fiona Kaikai. Writing – original draft: Peter Kisaakye, Symon Peter Wandiembe, Paul Bukuluki. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

- 1. Ivanova O, Rai M, Kemigisha E. A systematic review of sexual and reproductive health knowledge, experiences and access to services among refugee, migrant and displaced girls and young women in Africa. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* (2018) 15(8):1583. doi: 10.3390/ijerph15081583
- 2. Lam E, McCarthy A, Brennan M. Vaccine-preventable diseases in humanitarian emergencies among refugee and internally-displaced populations. *Hum Vaccin Immunother*. (2015) 11(11):2627–36. doi: 10.1080/21645515.2015. 1096457
- 3. Salehin S, Huaichen Z, Martinez TL, Papakokkinos G, Upadhyay G, Bowler E, et al. editors. Designing of an Emergency Energy Module for relief and refugee camp situations: Case study for a refugee camp in Chad-Sudan border. 2011 World Congress on Sustainable Technologies (WCST); 2011 710 Nov. (2011),
- 4. Singh NS, Aryasinghe S, Smith J, Khosla R, Say L, Blanchet K. A long way to go: a systematic review to assess the utilisation of sexual and reproductive health services during humanitarian crises. *BMJ Global Health*. (2018) 3(2):e000682. doi: 10.1136/bmjgh-2017-000682
- 5. Mengesha ZB, Perz J, Dune T, Ussher J. Refugee and migrant women's engagement with sexual and reproductive health care in Australia: a socio-ecological analysis of health care professional perspectives. *PLoS ONE*. (2017) 12(7):e0181421. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0181421
- 6. Jennings L, George AS, Jacobs T, Blanchet K, Singh NS. A forgotten group during humanitarian crises: a systematic review of sexual and reproductive health interventions for young people including adolescents in humanitarian settings. *Confl Health*. (2019) 13(1):57. doi: 10.1186/s13031-019-0240-y
- 7. Landegger J, Hau M, Kaducu F, Sondorp E, Mayhew S, Roberts B. Strengths and weaknesses of the humanitarian cluster approach in relation to sexual and reproductive health services in northern Uganda. *Int Health*. (2011) 3(2):108–14. doi: 10.1016/j.inhe.2011.03.005
- 8. Logie CH, Okumu M, Mwima S, Kyambadde P, Hakiza R, Kibathi IP, et al. Sexually transmitted infection testing awareness, uptake and diagnosis among urban refugee and displaced youth living in informal settlements in Kampala, Uganda: a cross-sectional study. *BMJ Sex Reprod Health*. (2020) 46(3):192. doi: 10.1136/bmjsrh-2019-200392
- 9. Ferguson A, Shannon K, Butler J, Goldenberg SM. A comprehensive review of HIV/STI prevention and sexual and reproductive health services among sex workers

in conflict-affected settings: call for an evidence- and rights-based approach in the humanitarian response. Confl Health. (2017) 11(1):25. doi: 10.1186/s13031-017-0124-y

- 10. Ivanova O, Rai M, Mlahagwa W, Tumuhairwe J, Bakuli A, Nyakato VN, et al. A cross-sectional mixed-methods study of sexual and reproductive health knowledge, experiences and access to services among refugee adolescent girls in the Nakivale refugee settlement, Uganda. *Reprod Health*. (2019) 16(1):35. doi: 10.1186/s12978-019-0698-5
- 11. Tirado V, Chu J, Hanson C, Ekström AM, Kågesten A. Barriers and facilitators for the sexual and reproductive health and rights of young people in refugee contexts globally: a scoping review. *PLoS ONE.* (2020) 15(7):e0236316. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0236316
- 12. Corley AG, Sprockett A, Montagu D, Chakraborty NM. Exploring and monitoring privacy, confidentiality, and provider bias in sexual and reproductive health service provision to young people: a narrative review. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2022) 19(11):6576. doi: 10.3390/ijerph19116576
- 13. Tanabe M, Myers A, Bhandari P, Cornier N, Doraiswamy S, Krause S. Family planning in refugee settings: findings and actions from a multi-country study. *Confl Health*. (2017) 11(1):9. doi: 10.1186/s13031-017-0112-2
- 14. Davidson N, Hammarberg K, Romero L, Fisher J. Access to preventive sexual and reproductive health care for women from refugee-like backgrounds: a systematic review. BMC Public Health. (2022) 22(1):403. doi: 10.1186/s12889-022-12576-4
- 15. Singh NS, Prabhakar P, Ssali A, Namakula S, Namatovu J, Kapiti R, et al. "They will say you want to make their home die": a mixed methods study to assess modern family planning use in partnered South Sudanese refugee and host populations in Northern Uganda. *PLOS Global Public Health*. (2022) 2(6):e0000348. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgph.0000348
- 16. Maheen H, Chalmers K, Khaw S, McMichael C. Sexual and reproductive health service utilisation of adolescents and young people from migrant and refugee backgrounds in high-income settings: a qualitative evidence synthesis (QES). Sex Health. (2021) 18(4):283–93. doi: 10.1071/SH20112
- 17. Desrosiers A, Betancourt T, Kergoat Y, Servilli C, Say L, Kobeissi L. A systematic review of sexual and reproductive health interventions for young people in humanitarian and lower-and-middle-income country settings. *BMC Public Health*. (2020) 20(1):666. doi: 10.1186/s12889-020-08818-y

- 18. Kågesten AE, Zimmerman L, Robinson C, Lee C, Bawoke T, Osman S, et al. Transitions into puberty and access to sexual and reproductive health information in two humanitarian settings: a cross-sectional survey of very young adolescents from Somalia and Myanmar. *Confl Health*. (2017) 11(1):24. doi: 10.1186/s13031-017-0127-8
- 19. Mason-Jones AJ, Nicholson P. Structural violence and marginalisation. The sexual and reproductive health experiences of separated young people on the move. A rapid review with relevance to the European humanitarian crisis. *Public Health*. (2018) 158:156–62. doi: 10.1016/j.puhe.2018.03.009
- 20. Nara R, Banura A, Foster AM. Exploring Congolese refugees' experiences with abortion care in Uganda: a multi-methods qualitative study. Sex Reprod Health Matt. (2019) 27(1):262–71. doi: 10.1080/26410397.2019.1681091
- 21. Logie CH, Okumu M, Mwima S, Hakiza R, Abela H, Kyambadde P. Gender, transactional sex, and HIV prevention cascade engagement among urban refugee and displaced adolescents and youth in Kampala, Uganda. *AIDS Care.* (2021) 33 (7):897–903. doi: 10.1080/09540121.2020.1861181
- 22. Bukuluki P, Kisaakye P, Mwenyango H, Palattiyil G. Adolescent sexual behaviour in a refugee setting in Uganda. *Reprod Health*. (2021) 18(1):131. doi: 10. 1186/s12978-021-01181-0
- 23. Austin J, Guy S, Lee-Jones L, McGinn T, Schlecht J. Reproductive health: a right for refugees and internally displaced persons. *Reprod Health Matters*. (2008) 16 (31):10–21. doi: 10.1016/S0968-8080(08)31351-2
- 24. Logie CH, Khoshnood K, Okumu M, Rashid SF, Senova F, Meghari H, et al. Self care interventions could advance sexual and reproductive health in humanitarian settings. $Br\ Med\ J.\ (2019)\ 365:l1083.\ doi: 10.1136/bmj.l1083$
- 25. Paul M, Näsström SB, Klingberg-Allvin M, Kiggundu C, Larsson EC. Healthcare providers balancing norms and practice: challenges and opportunities in providing contraceptive counselling to young people in Uganda a qualitative study. *Glob Health Action*. (2016) 9(1):30283. doi: 10.3402/gha.v9.30283
- 26. Chi PC, Bulage P, Urdal H, Sundby J. Perceptions of the effects of armed conflict on maternal and reproductive health services and outcomes in Burundi and Northern Uganda: a qualitative study. *BMC Int Health Hum Rights*. (2015) 15(1):7. doi: 10.1186/s12914-015-0045-z

- 27. StataCorp. Stata statistical software: Release 15. College Station, TX: StataCorp LLC (2017).
- 28. Logie CH, Okumu M, Mwima SP, Kyambadde P, Hakiza R, Kibathi IP, et al. Exploring associations between adolescent sexual and reproductive health stigma and HIV testing awareness and uptake among urban refugee and displaced youth in Kampala, Uganda. Sex Reprod Health Matt. (2019) 27(3):86–106. doi: 10.1080/26410397.2019.1695380
- 29. Cislaghi B, Heise L. Theory and practice of social norms interventions: eight common pitfalls. *Global Health*. (2018) 14(1):83. doi: 10.1186/s12992-018-0398-x
- 30. Cislaghi B, Nkwi P, Mackie G, Shakya H. Why context matters for social norms interventions: the case of child marriage in Cameroon. *Glob Public Health*. (2020) 15 (4):532–43. doi: 10.1080/17441692.2019.1704818
- 31. Cislaghi B, Shakya H. Social norms and adolescents' sexual health: an introduction for practitioners working in low and mid-income African countries. *Afr J Reprod Health*. (2018) 22(1):38–46.
- 32. Grose RG, Chen JS, Roof KA, Rachel S, Yount KM. Sexual and reproductive health outcomes of violence against women and girls in lower-income countries: a review of reviews. *J Sex Res.* (2021) 58(1):1–20. doi: 10.1080/00224499. 2019.1707466
- 33. Kohli A, Agastya NLPM, Cislaghi B, Schulte M-C. Special symposium: social and gender norms and violence against children: exploring their role and strategies for prevention. *Glob Public Health*. (2021) 16(6):815–9. doi: 10.1080/17441692.2021. 1921240
- 34. Amiri M, El-Mowafi IM, Chahien T, Yousef H, Kobeissi LH. An overview of the sexual and reproductive health status and service delivery among Syrian refugees in Jordan, nine years since the crisis: a systematic literature review. *Reprod Health*. (2020) 17(1):166. doi: 10.1186/s12978-020-01005-7
- 35. Tanabe M, Nagujjah Y, Rimal N, Bukania F, Krause S. Intersecting sexual and reproductive health and disability in humanitarian settings: risks, needs, and capacities of refugees with disabilities in Kenya, Nepal, and Uganda. *Sex Disabil*. (2015) 33(4):411–27. doi: 10.1007/s11195-015-9419-3