frontiers | Frontiers in Robotics and AI

CORRECTION
published: 07 June 2022
doi: 10.3389/frobt.2022.930382

# Corrigendum: Optical See-Through Head-Mounted Displays With Short Focal Distance: Conditions for Mitigating Parallax-Related Registration Error

*Fabrizio Cutolo*[1,2]*, *Nadia Cattari*[2], *Umberto Fontana*[1,2] and *Vincenzo Ferrari*[1,2]

[1]Information Engineering Department, University of Pisa, Pisa, Italy, [2]Department of Translational Research and New Technologies in Medicine and Surgery, EndoCAS Center, University of Pisa, Pisa, Italy

**Keywords: augmented reality, optical see-through displays, registration, calibration, parallax related error**

**A Corrigendum on**

**Optical See-Through Head-Mounted Displays With Short Focal Distance: Conditions for Mitigating Parallax-Related Registration Error**
*by Cutolo, F., Cattari, N., Fontana, U. and Ferrari, V. (2020). Front. Robot. AI. 7:572001. doi: 10.3389/frobt.2020.572001*

OPEN ACCESS

***Edited and reviewed by:***
*David Fofi,*
*Université de Bourgogne, France*

***Correspondence:**
*Fabrizio Cutolo*
*fabrizio.cutolo@unipi.it*

***Specialty section:***
*This article was submitted to Robot and Machine Vision, a section of the journal Frontiers in Robotics and AI*

***Received:*** *27 April 2022*
***Accepted:*** *28 April 2022*
***Published:*** *07 June 2022*

***Citation:***
*Cutolo F, Cattari N, Fontana U and Ferrari V (2022) Corrigendum: Optical See-Through Head-Mounted Displays With Short Focal Distance: Conditions for Mitigating Parallax-Related Registration Error. Front. Robot. AI 9:930382. doi: 10.3389/frobt.2022.930382*

In the original article, there was a mistake in the content of **Tables 2** and **3** as published. The values of the mean and standard deviation of the virtual-to-real overlay error in visual angles, which are reported in the third and fourth rows of **Tables 2** and **3**, are to be corrected. Due to a typing error within the data analysis code, we mistakenly considered an erroneous value of the average angular resolution for the eye-replacement camera. This scale factor is used to pass from the original registration errors (expressed in pixel) to the angular registration errors (in arcmin). The value of the average angular resolution is ≈ 2.67 arcmin/pixel. The corrected **Tables 2** and **3** appear below.

For the same reason, in the original article, there was an error at page 10 in the text where we reported the overall mean angular registration error. As previously stated, we considered an erroneous scale factor to convert the image registration error in pixel to the angular registration error. A correction has been made to **Section 5 "Experiments and Results,"** *Section 5.2 "Results"*:

"Overall, the mean image registration error, angular registration error, and absolute registration for the calibration position $\|E_c\|$ were 5.87 px, 15.7 arcmin, and 1.57 mm."

The authors apologize for these errors and state that these do not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

**TABLE 2 |** Registration error measured at different viewpoint-to-checkerboard distances for the calibration position.

| **Mean** | **Checkerboard distances (cm)** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **($\sigma$)** | **18–20** | **21–23** | **24–26** | **27–29** | **30–32** | **33–35** | **36–38** | **39–41** | **42–44** | **45–47** | **48–50** | **51–53** | **54–56** | **57–59** | **60–62** | **63–65** |
| Image Registration error (px) | 16.7 (11.9) | 11.9 (7.9) | 7.1 (3.9) | 6.4 (2.7) | 6.6 (2.8) | 4.9 (1.9) | 4.4 (1.9) | 3.7 (1.5) | 3.6 (1.2) | 3.8 (1) | 4 (0.7) | 4.1 (0.8) | 4.2 (0.8) | 4.1 (0.8) | 4.2 (0.7) | 4.3 (0.6) |
| Angular Registration error (arcmin) | 44.5 (31.5) | 31.7 (21.0) | 18.9 (10.4) | 17.0 (7.2) | 17.7 (7.5) | 13.1 (5.0) | 11.9 (4.9) | 9.8 (3.9) | 9.8 (3.2) | 10.3 (2.5) | 10.6 (1.9) | 10.9 (2.1) | 11.3 (2.1) | 11.0 (2.2) | 11.4 (2.0) | 11.6 (1.6) |
| Absolute Registration error (mm) | 2.8 (1.9) | 2 (1.3) | 1.3 (0.7) | 1.3 (0.5) | 1.5 (0.6) | 1.2 (0.5) | 1.2 (0.5) | 1 (0.4) | 1.2 (0.4) | 1.3 (0.4) | 1.4 (0.3) | 1.6 (0.3) | 1.7 (0.3) | 1.7 (0.4) | 1.9 (0.4) | 2 (0.3) |

**TABLE 3 |** Registration error measured at different viewpoint-to-checkerboard distances for all the positions of the mounting template.

| **Mean** | **Checkerboard distances (cm)** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **($\sigma$)** | **18–20** | **21–23** | **24–26** | **27–29** | **30–32** | **33–35** | **36–38** | **39–41** | **42–44** | **45–47** | **48–50** | **51–53** | **54–56** | **57–59** | **60–62** | **63–65** |
| Image Registration error (px) | 17.4 (8.3) | 13.1 (7.3) | 11.5 (5.9) | 8.9 (3.7) | 7.3 (3.3) | 7 (2.2) | 7.3 (2.6) | 7 (3.2) | 7.9 (3.3) | 9.3 (2.3) | 8.5 (4.2) | 8.9 (4.4) | 9 (5) | 10.6 (4.7) | 11.3 (4.8) | 10.7 (5.1) |
| Angular Registration error (arcmin) | 46.5 (22.0) | 34.9 (19.5) | 30.7 (15.7) | 23.9 (9.9) | 19.4 (8.8) | 17.9 (5.8) | 19.4 (6.9) | 18.6 (8.6) | 21.0 (8.9) | 24.9 (6.2) | 22.7 (11.2) | 23.8 (11.8) | 23.9 (13.3) | 28.3 (12.5) | 30.2 (12.9) | 28.6 (13.6) |
| Absolute Registration error (mm) | 2.5 (1.2) | 2.1 (1.1) | 2.1 (1.1) | 1.8 (0.7) | 1.6 (0.7) | 1.7 (0.6) | 2 (0.7) | 2 (0.9) | 2.5 (1) | 3.1 (0.8) | 3 (1.5) | 3.4 (1.7) | 3.6 (1.9) | 4.5 (2) | 5 (2.1) | 4.9 (2.4) |