Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Suyi Li, Virginia Tech, United States

REVIEWED BY Vishesh Vikas, University of Alabama, United States Yasemin Ozkan-Aydin, University of Notre Dame, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Ali Sadeghi, ⊠ a.sadeghi@utwente.nl

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Soft Robotics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Robotics and Al

RECEIVED 25 October 2022 ACCEPTED 26 January 2023 PUBLISHED 07 February 2023

CITATION

Goshtasbi A and Sadeghi A (2023), A bioinspired stiffness tunable sucker for passive adaptation and firm attachment to angular substrates. *Front. Robot. Al* 10:1080015. doi: 10.3389/frobt.2023.1080015

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Goshtasbi and Sadeghi. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

A bioinspired stiffness tunable sucker for passive adaptation and firm attachment to angular substrates

Arman Goshtasbi and Ali Sadeghi*

Soft Robotics Laboratory, Department of Biomechanical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering Technology, University of Twente, Enschede, Netherlands

The ability to adapt and conform to angular and uneven surfaces improves the suction cup's performance in grasping and manipulation. However, in most cases, the adaptation costs lack of required stiffness for manipulation after surface attachment; thus, the ideal scenario is to have compliance during adaptation and stiffness after attachment to the surface. Inspired by the capability of stiffness regulation in octopus suction cup, this article presents a suction cup that adapts to steep angular surfaces due to compliance and has high stiffness after attachment. In this design, the stiffness after attachment is provided by using granular jamming as vacuum driven stiffness modulation. Thus, the design is composed of a conventional active suction pad connected to a granular stalk, emulating a hinge behavior during adaptation and creating high stiffness by jamming granular particles driven by the same vacuum as the suction pad. During the experiment, the suction cup can adapt to angles up to 85° with a force lower than 0.5 N. We also investigated the effect of granular stalk's length on the adaptation and how this design performs compared to passive adaptation without stiffness modulation.

KEYWORDS

adaptive adhesion, stiffness tuning, granular jamming, suction cup, soft robotics, adaptivegrasping, soft-grasping

1 Introduction

Adaptation plays a pivotal role in grasping, manipulating, and interacting with unknown environments. Due to their low mechanical flexibility, conventional robots require complex control and sensory and actuation systems to achieve such adaptation Hughes et al. (2016). In most cases, these robots lack adaptability and are only suitable for a single task Rus and Tolley (2015). On the other hand, inspired by animals' inherent ability to adapt to unknown surroundings, studies suggest that soft grippers, thanks to their compliance, can exceed rigid robots' limitations and perform more adaptively in undefined conditions Brown et al. (2010).

Many animals utilize astrictive prehension, also known as adhesive grippers, to attach to surfaces or grab objects. Different techniques have been suggested to achieve adhesion inspired by such animals. For instance, different grippers have been developed using van der Waals force inspired by microfibers on gecko's toes Suresh et al. (2015), Song et al. (2017). However, controlling the force in such grippers is difficult Okuno et al. (2019). Another technique, inspired by suction cups in octopus tentacles Tramacere et al. (2012), and suction disc on northern clingfish ventral side Sandoval et al. (2019) Ditsche and Summers (2019), is surface attachment using negative fluid pressure in a suction cup. Due to their fast, controllable, and efficient way of attaching to surfaces Follador et al. (2014), vacuum suction grippers have shown

great potential in various grasping applications, such as surface grasping in wall-climbing robots Yoshida and Ma (2010), grasping in surgical application Kim et al. (2018), and haptic exploration Huh et al. (2021). The suction cups are either passive, in which the change in the shape provides negative pressure, or active, in which an external vacuum pump generates the pressure. The active vacuum gripper produces more grasping force and is easier to detach by connecting it to ambient pressure. At the same time, the latter provides a tetherless solution and a more energy-efficient solution for grasping Follador et al. (2014).

Despite the numerous advantages of suction cups, conventional suction cups have some limitations in adaptation to various environments. For instance, rough and porous surfaces prevent the vacuum suction cups from providing negative pressure. Several studies have proposed designs to overcome these shortcomings by looking at how animals overcome such problems. For example, Inspired by sea urchins, combination of soft suction pad and chemical adhesive material improve the grasping on very rough surfaces (Sadeghi et al. (2012)). Baik et al. (2017) microfabricated a thin elastomer with multiple micro-suction cups in an octopus-like suction cup. Furthermore, Takahashi et al. (2016), Developed a micro-bumps surface for rough surface grasping. Aside from the bio-inspired designs, Koivikko et al. (2021) designed a filmed base suction cup that can attach to rough and porous surfaces.

Another challenge for vacuum suction cups is grasping objects with unknown-shaped objects and angular surfaces. The suction cups require flexibility to adapt to the object's surface to overcome this issue. Song et al. (2021) suggest attaching a thin elastomer membrane to the suction cup, enabling it to attach to round objects. Zhakypov et al. (2018) proposed an origami-based design to adjust to the object's shape by actuating shape memory alloys. Finally, inspired by Octopus, Mazzolai et al. (2019) attached the suction cups to a flexible stalk to emulate spherical joint behavior.

Although each design solves a critical problem, most of them can only adapts to surfaces with limited angles, rather than attachment to steep angles, which can benefit applications such as manipulating objects with steep angles. Even in designs that grasp irregular object, the surface angles of these objects are small. Furthermore, the flexibility in these designs that makes the adaptation possible causes a lack of stiffness, which can be problematic for manipulation applications. Several researchers have investigated methods to tackle this paucity using stiffness modulation techniques, such as employing layer jamming to increase and control the vertical stiffness, enabling lifting heavier objects Bamotra et al. (2019). In addition, Kim et al. (2018) designed a cloth-rubber beam to provide enough stiffness for surgical applications. Also, in the origami design of Zhakypov et al. (2018), the shape memory alloys endow the design with the possibility to lift 50 times more of its weight. However, most studies do not discuss bending stiffness, which plays a significant role in wall-climbing applications.

In nature, octopus suction cups provide the best solution for adaptation and bending stiffness. Octopus, similar to other Cephalopod mollusks, such as squids and cuttlefish, employ muscular hydrostats which make them capable of active stiffening and force generation Kier and Smith (2008); Grasso and Setlur (2008). In the suction cup, the octopus uses muscular hydrostats to not only adapt the surface and generate the negative pressure required for grasping but also control the bending stiffness and manipulate the grasped object using the extrinsic muscle of the suction cup. The extrinsic muscle is the muscle that connects the sphincter to the arm of the octopus. The stiffness regulation properties in octopus inspired us to design a new suction cup that similar to octopus can adapt to steep angular surfaces, while guarantees high lateral stiffness after adaptation.

Therefore, In this study, we propose a new granular jamming base suction cup inspired by the rotary behavior of octopus suction cups Mazzolai et al. (2019); Grasso and Setlur (2008) and jamming designs Park et al. (2021) Jiang et al. (2014). This design adapts steep angular surfaces with the material's compliance and provides high bending stiffness after the attachment with the vacuum of granular particles. The stiffness modulation in this design does not require an additional actuator, which helps weight and energy efficiency. In addition, the design is sensorless and simplifies the control in many applications. This study investigated how changing parameters such as pressure, angle, and material compliance affect the design performance.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Design and fabrication

In the design shown in Figure 1, a soft stalk is attached to a conventional suction pad to imitate wrist-like behavior for passive adaptation to angular surfaces. Although in this design, the flexibility of compliant materials renders the possibility of adaptation to steep angles, the low stiffness of such materials reduces the bending tolerance which can be disadvantageous in some applications such as wall-climbing and grasping object from lateral side. Therefore, stiffness modulation techniques endow this design with high flexibility of compliant materials for adaptation and high shear resistance after surface attachment. As mentioned, most current solutions for stiffness tuning of suction cups requires additional sensor to detect the attachment and actuators to enables the modulation. To overcome this limitation, as shown in Figure 1, the soft stalk is filled with granular particles, and is connected to the vacuum through the cavities in the braided filter. We chose granular jamming as a stiffness modulation method, since it can be activated by negative pressure. In this design, when the suction pad is not attached to a surface, the vacuum suction cup is not sealed and the stalk is complaint. After the attachment, the whole system is sealed, and the vacuum not only attach the suction pad to an object or a surface, but also provides the jamming in the granular stalk and increase the stiffness significantly. This creates the possibility to use the same source of negative pressure for activation of both suction cup and stiffness tunable stalk without requiring additional sensor. This stalk design perform similar to octopus extrinsic muscle which enables angular manipulation and adaptation for the suction cup.

For fabrication of the suction pad, we degassed silicone rubber (1atm, degassing time: 10 min) and poured it into a 3D-printed mold. For the experiments, series of suction cups were fabricated with different silicone rubbers (Ecoflex 00–10 and Dragonskin 10, Smooth ON) to study how the suction pad softness affects the adaptation. In the fabrication of the soft stalk, as presented in **Figure 2**, we cast a thin film of Ecoflex 00–10 with a thickness of 0.2 mm on a plate using the doctor blade casting method Berni et al. (2004) and cured it in the oven (60°). Using such a thin film makes the design significantly compliant during adaptation and stiff after attachment. After the silicon was cured, we



(A) Schematic illustration of octopus suction cup and the connection to its arm *via* extrinsic muscle. The extrinsic muscles enable the octopus suction cup to have rotary motion and also provide capability of stiffness modulation. (B) Conceptual design of the proposed suction cup. (C) The adaptation sequence of the suction cup to an angular surface.



(A) Casting silicone rubber on a plate and using a sharp blade to make uniform thin film. (B) The silicone rubber cast on the plate and left to be cured. (C) Peeling the film from the plate (D) making a thin film stalk by wrapping the film around a cylinder and sealing the two edges of the film. (E) Attaching the suction pad to the stalk. (F) Adding coffee and placing the filter in the suction cup.

peeled off the film and rounded it on a cylinder with a diameter of 12 mm to attach two sides of the film using the same silicone rubber. Finally, the suction cup was cast on top of the cylindrical film. Based on Cheng et al. (2012), the area in the thin film tube was filled with coffee grains as the granular particle to enhance modulation. In addition to the granular stalk, we cast silicon rubber arms (Ecoflex 00–10 and Dragonskin 10) with the exact dimensions as the granular stalk (20 mm) to compare the adaptation of the proposed design with adaptation using only material flexibility and without stiffness modulation. As shown in **Figure 1**, a fine braided textile was used as cylindrical filter and is placed in the middle of the suction cup to have an air connection and prevent coffee grains from entering the pump.



The L-beam used to model the behavior of the suction cup during the adaptation. (A) the initial shape of the beam and the suction cup. (B) The deformed suction cup with the deformed L-shape beam. (C) the free body diagram (FBD) of the stalk, here F is the required force for adaptation, γ is the surface angle, θ is the tip deflection, φ is the angle between the force and stalk which in this case is 180°, and also a moment relative to the force and the radius of the suction pad is also applied to the beam.

In addition to preventing coffee from going into the pump, the filter also improves the suction cup's axial load capacity.

2.2 Theory

As shown later, the dynamic behavior of the suction cup during the adaptation is complex, especially with granular particles moving during the deformation. Therefore, we modeled the suction pad and the stalk as an L-shape beam to simplify such complicated behavior, as presented in Figure 3. Since the stalk deforms significantly during the adaptation, the conventional static beam load-deflection equations are invalid. Hence, the dynamic beam deflection model presented in Howell (2001) is used. In this model, when a straight beam is under load F with force angle ϕ , and moment M, the beam bending differential equation is

$$\frac{d^2\theta(s)}{ds^2} = \frac{Fsin(\theta(s) - \phi)}{EI} \quad with \quad \theta(0) = 0 \quad \theta(L) = \frac{M}{EI}$$
(1)

Where E is Young's modulus, I is the second moment of inertia, and S is the length coordinate which is between 0 and the length of the beam (L). In our case, as shown in the free body diagram (FBD) in Figures 3C, the moment depends on the force applied to the stalk. In addition, Eq. 1 is dependent on geometry and material properties. Therefore, to make the equation independent of the length of the stalk and the stalk material, Eq. 1 is normalized as follows:

$$\frac{d^2\theta(s)}{ds^2} = \alpha sin(\theta(\bar{s}) - \phi) \quad with \quad \theta(0) = 0 \quad \frac{d \theta(L)}{ds}$$
$$= \frac{\alpha R}{L} \quad Define: \alpha = \frac{FL^2}{EI} \tag{2}$$

Where R is the radius of the suction pad. This equation is the second order differential equation with two boundary condition. In order to solve Eq. 2, we used a numerical approach presented in Su (2009). To find the α for each angle, we numerically solve the equation until the tip angle (θ) equals the surface angle (γ). By solving

TABLE 1 The required α for different angles from the theory presented in Eq. 2.

Angle (°)	Required <i>a</i>	
0	0	
15	0.445	
30	0.772	
45	1.03	
60	1.254	
75	1.467	

this equation, the α required for adaptation to various angles can be calculated, as shown in Table1 for angles from 0° to 90° with 15° increments. Later, to convert the calculated α to the adaptation force, we used measured data from the stiffness test to calculate the stiffness of each scenario.

2.3 Experimental setup

As mentioned, the design goal is high flexibility during adaptation and high stiffness after attachment. We performed two experiments to characterize the design behavior for both claims. A universal tensile test machine (Instron 3,343, Instron, United States) with the shown setup (Figure 4) was used for all the tests. In the first experiment, the adaptation force of the suction cup to the angular surface was measured by vertically pushing the suction pad to the surface with constant speed (1 mm/s) while a vacuum pump provided the negative pressure. The applied force was measured until the suction pad was attached to the surface, monitored by measuring the pressure sensor. Then, we experimented with various surface angles (15°-90° with 15° increments) to measure adaptation force and ultimate adaptation



angle. For each scenario, the test was conducted 4 times to check the repeatability of the suction cup behavior.

Furthermore, we conducted the experiment with different lengths of the granular stalk (5–10–20 mm) and different stalk materials (Ecoflex 00–10 with tensile Strength 827 KPa and Dragonskin 10 with tensile strength of 3.275 MPa) but with the same diameter to exhibit how stiffness change affects the adaptation force and ultimate adaptation angle, and also how the granular joint performs compare to uniform soft material. Finally, to investigate the effect of suction pad material on adaptation, an Ecoflex 00–10 suction pad was attached to the 20 mm granular joint, and the adaptation test was performed. In different adaptation test scenarios, the surface was plexiglass, and the pressure after grasping was –60 KPa relative pressure. In addition, we changed the plexiglass substrate with P120 sandpaper to study how change in substrate affect the suction cup performance.

We performed the bending stiffness experiment with the setup shown in Figure 4 to assess the stiffness modulation impact on the bending stiffness of the stalk. In this experiment, while the suction pad was attached to the surface with the vacuum pump, the tensile test machine applied a force to the end of the soft stalk with a constant speed of (1 mm/s). The applied force to the stalk end and the respective deflection was measured until the deflection was 5 mm we use 5 mm to guarantee attachment in all scenarios since for the 5 mm stalk, after 5 mm, the suction cup deflected significantly, resulting in detachment from the surface. To evaluate the effect of the length factor on the stalk stiffness, we carried out the test with different joint lengths (same as the previous experiment). Moreover, the bending stiffness of silicone rubber joints from earlier experiments was measured to compare to granular joint performance. In this test, the measured force demonstrates how much lateral force the suction cup tolerates in the worst situation before deforming 5 mm.

3 Result

3.1 Adaptation test

In this test, we experimented with the adaptation of the proposed design to various angles and measured the required force for such adaptation. We performed this experiment with different lengths of the granular joint, joint materials, and suction pad materials. As mentioned, each prototype was tested four times to check the repeatability of the suction cup performance. In general, as presented in Figure 5 and Table 2, the adaptation experiment demonstrated that the proposed suction cup could adapt to very steep angles with a small force. For instance, with a 20 mm granular joint, the suction cup was adapted to various angles with a force range of 0.33 ± 0.01 N at 85° to 0.48 \pm 0.02N at 30° and had ultimate adaptation angles of 85° (See Supplementary Video S1). In addition, we repeated the test with different granular stalk lengths. The results show that a longer granular stalk would improve the ultimate adaptation angle and force. For example, for 10 mm, the force increased to a range of 0.43 \pm 0.04N at 80° to 0.72 \pm 0.02N at 45°, while for 5 mm, the force was 0.51 ± 0.02 N-1.81 ± 0.13 N. During the test, the pressure of the suction cup was measured. As presented in Figure 6, the pressure inside the granular stalk is -8 KPa which is due to small self jamming between granular particles before the complete adaptation. This small vacuum value is due to the granular size, suction cup design, and geometry and was nearly stays the same value without accumulation. In addition, As soon as the suction cup attaches to the surface, the pressure reaches -60 KPa and create the full attachment and jamming. For all angles the response time for the suction cup to reach vacuum pressure was around 0.4 s, and 3 s for vacuum release during the detachment.

Furthermore, we changed the granular stalk to silicone rubber and repeated the experiment to compare the adaptation of the proposed



(A) Measured required force for adaptation to various angles. Since at 60° and 75° 5 mm granular, Dragonskin 10 and Ecoflex 10 pad suction cup could not attach to surface, the values are not shown. (B) The measured value of the adaptation force and the required force calculated from the theory. The stiffness of different cases was calculated using the measurements shown in Figure 7. Similar to (A), the missing data are for the cases that suction cup could not attach. The standard deviation of each experiment is shown with error bar.

TABLE 2 Ultimate adaptation angle and the required force for adaptation at these angles for various scenarios.

Adaptation	Ultimate	Force at
Scenarios	adaptation angles (°)	ultimate angle (N)
20 mm Granular with Dragonskin 10 suction pad	85	0.33 ± 0.01
10 mm Granular with Dragonskin 10 suction pad	80	0.43 ± 0.04
5 mm Granular with Dragonskin 10 suction pad	55	1.17 ± 0.06
20 mm Ecoflex 00-10 with Dragonskin 10 suction pad	70	1.09 ± 0.01
20 mm Dragonskin 10	45	4.96 ± 0.02
20 mm Granular with Ecoflex 10 suction pad	45	0.69 ± 0.04
20 mm Granular with Dragonskin 10 suction pad on Sandpaper Substrate	87	0.36 ± 0.02

design with using only material softness. For the Ecoflex 00–10 stalk, the suction cup adapted to angles up to 70° with a force range of 0.51N \pm 0.01N at 15° to 1.15N \pm 0.02N at 45° (See **Supplementary Video S1**). For Dragonskin 10, the adaptation force increased significantly to almost 5N at a 45° angle which was also the ultimate adaptation.

In order to investigate the effect of the suction pad stiffness on adaptation, we changed the suction pad from Dragonskin 10 to Ecoflex 00–10 and attached the pad to 20 mm granular stalk. With this change, the measured force at 15° was 0.36 ± 0.02 N, lower than 20 mm granular with Dragonskin pad. However, at 30°, the force rises to 0.73 ± 0.04 N which is higher than granular cases with Dragonskin pad. Moreover, in this case, the ultimate adaptation angle was only 45°, much lower than 20 mm coffee with stiffer pad.

Finally, by changing the substrate from plexiglass to p120 sandpaper, we studied how substrate roughness attachment. After changing the substrate to sandpaper, the required adaptation forces for 20 mm granular stalk increased to the range of 0.36 ± 0.01 N to 0.54 ± 0.04 N. In addition, we observed that the maximum adaptation angles increased slightly to 87° due to higher friction between suction pad and the substrate. However, the pressure after attachment to the surface changes from -60 KPa for plexiglass to -58 KPa for sandpaper.

3.2 Bending stiffness test

As mentioned in 2.3, we conducted the bending stiffness test to investigate the granular jamming impact on the stalk's bending stiffness and compare it to silicone rubber stalks. Here, the force was applied to the stalk's end and measured until the stalk end deflected 5 mm. Looking at Figure 7, it is apparent that shorter granular joints improve the stalk's shear force strength. For instance, 1.02N deflected 20 mm granular stalk 5 mm, while the required for such deflection for 10 mm and 5 mm were 2.74N and 2.91N, respectively.

Moreover, the obtained results show that using granular jamming instead of uniformly soft stalk provides higher bending stiffness. For example, the measured force at 5 mm deflection for Ecoflex 00–10 stalk was 0.51N, lower than all the granular scenarios. For Dragonskin 10 also, the maximum recorded shear force was 1.64N, which means 10 mm and 5 mm granular stalks can resist higher shear force than Dragonskin10.

4 Discussion

In this letter, we describe a suction cup design capable of adapting angular surfaces and assess how stiffness impacts the design in such adaptation. The results from the conducted experiments indicate that granular stalks adapting to angular surfaces required less force (max 0.48 ± 0.02 N) than silicone rubber stalks with the same length (max 1.15 ± 0.02 N for Ecoflex and 4.96 ± 0.02 N for Dragonskin) due to the lower stiffness of granular particles without jamming as. In addition, the Ecoflex stalk adapted easier to higher angles than the Dragonskin



(A) The recorded pressure during the adaptation test for various angles (I) the initial pressure due to the self jamming of the granular particle during the adaptation (II) The pressure after the surface attachment (III) the pressure after detachment. (B) The pressure against the adaptation force at 45° surface angle. The force is only recorded until the suction cup attached to the surface, since after the attachment the tensile tester records the force for lifting the substrate.



The required force to deflect the stalk's end in various scenarios and the deflection values. The pressure for all scenarios except the last one is -60 KPa. In the last scenario the pressure was set to -8 KPa to measure the bending stiffness of the stalk due to granular particles self jamming before surface attachment. The error bar shows the standard deviation of the measured data.

stalk. Therefore, these results agree with Eq. 2, in which with having same α , more compliant stalk due to lower Young's modulus requires less adaptation force. Besides low stiffness, another reason for the significant difference in the adaptation of the granular stalk is that the granular particles reshape during adaptation. As shown in **Figure 8**, such reshaping endows the granular stalk with a hinge-like behavior to adapt easier to steep angles, unlike silicone rubber stalk, which requires uniform bending to achieve adaptation. These results suggest that using granular stalks perform better adaptation than silicone rubber stalks.

Furthermore, the adaptation force and the ultimate angle were compared for various granular stalk lengths. The results reveal that a longer stalk requires less force to adapt to angular surfaces and adapts to higher angles because of higher compliance. In addition to compliance, another possible explanation for this result is the suction cup geometry during adaptation to steep angles. For instance, with a 20 mm diameter suction pad, the 5 mm granular stalk was too short of providing enough bending motion required for adaptation to angles higher than 60°, and the force increased remarkably at 45°. Moreover, after changing the substrate to sandpaper, we observed a slight increase in the recorded force. One reason for this phenomenon is the changes in deformation of the suction pad in contact with the sandpaper due to the higher friction of this substrate. In addition, since the sandpaper reduces the slippage of the suction cup and steep angles, the maximum adaptation angles also increase to 87°, which is 2° more than plexiglass. Another interesting point is the recorded pressure after attachment to the sandpaper, which is 3 KPa less than plexiglass due to surface roughness and the suction pad's inability to seal the contact area completely. As a result, although rougher surfaces improve the adaptation angle and prevent slippage at higher angles, the rougher surface may lead to not proper attachment to the surface due to air leakage. In our design, the suction cup was unable to adapt to P80 and rougher sandpapers.

Another point in the adaptation test is the trend that emerged in which for granular stalks and Ecoflex stalk extremum occurs in the recorded forces. Although from Eq. 2, we expect higher forces at higher angles, but during the adaptation, the stalks would wrinkle and behave similar to a buckled beam which result in lower forces at higher angles. As expected, the extremum angle is higher for the stiffer stalk, since the stiffer stalk requires more force to be wrinkled and behave as a buckled beam. For instance, the maximum for 20 mm was recorded at 30°, while for 10 mm and 5 mm, it was at 45°.

By combining the adaptation and stiffness experiment results, the 20 mm granular suction cup not only adapts with less than 0.5N force to angles up to 85° but also had twice the bending stiffness of Ecoflex 00–10 stalk, which required twice the force at some angles. In addition, 10 mm and 5 mm granular stalks had higher bending stiffness than Dragonskin 10 stalk, which required nearly seven times more force adapting to 45° surface than 10 mm granular. Hence, these findings suggest that granular stalks endow the suction cup with more effortless passive adaptation to angular surfaces and provide higher bending stiffness than passive adaptation with just material flexibility.

In another experiment, one unanticipated result occurred when we replaced the Dragonskin suction pad with Ecoflex. We expected using more compliant materials for the suction pad decreases the adaptation force, which also can be seen in the result at 15°. However, at 30° and 45°, the suction cup with Ecoflex pad required more adaptation force than the Dragonskin pad. This unexpected result



The suction cup shape while attached to a steep angle. (A) the wrinkle and hinge-like behavior of the granular stalk (B) the uniform bending curvature in silicone rubber stalk.



FIGURE 9

(A) Deformation of suction cup with Dragonskin pad which keep the moment arm (R_1) enough for bending the stalk. (B) Deformation of the suction cup with Ecoflex 00–10 suction pad, with shorter moment arm (R_2) due to flexibility of the pad. (C) The adaptation of suction cup with Ecoflex suction pad which deformed irregularly compare to Dragonskin pad.

is because the softer suction pad deforms more and faster than the stiffer pad which leads to moment arm of L-shape beam be smaller **Figure 9**. As a result, the moment on the stalk will be lower and makes the adaptation harder at higher angles. In addition, shortening the moment arm also leads to significant deformation in the stalk (**Figure 9**) which also increase the adaptation force. Moreover, the ultimate adaptation angle of this suction cup was only 45°, much lower than the Dragonskin pad. Therefore, although Ecoflex suction pads can attach to rougher surfaces, using such pad impacts the adaptation drastically. It is important to bear in mind that using a material with more stiffness than Dragonskin does not necessarily improve the adaptation result, since stiffer suction pad may require much higher force for deformation and may not changing the moment arm considerably. Further studies are needed





to understand better how the suction pad design itself affects the adaptation.

For final discussion, although in theory, we can calculate the required force for adaptation at any angle, in reality, if the force overcomes the friction between the suction pad and the surface, the suction cup slips on the surface. For instance, in theory the required force for adaptation of suction cup with dragon skin 10 is calculated for 60° and 75°, while in the experiments, the maximum adaptation angle is 45°. In such situations, the applied force only moves the suction pad on the surface and can not bend the stalk to achieve adaptation. With this point in mind, it is also possible to calculate the ultimate adaptation angle by taking friction and more detailed theory into account, which is beyond the scope of this study. Furthermore, despite the theory shows the general trend of the suction cup behaviour, there is an error between the actual measured force and the force obtained from the theory. The main reason behind the difference is that the suction pad with the stalk is modelled as a single L-shape beam while in reality there are deformation at the connection point which is neglected in the model. In addition, we represent the stalk as a beam with uniform young's modulus. However, due to composite structure of the granular stalk, and also particles movement during adaptation, this assumption is not accurate as well.

5 Conclusion and future work

This study sets out to develop a suction cup design capable of adapting to angular surfaces and having high lateral stiffness after adaptation. The results of this study have identified that using granular jamming techniques offers adaptation and lateral stiffness, which could not be matched with adaptation with only material flexibility. Therefore, the proposed design could be used to enhance the performance in unconstructed areas. For instance, by having a 10 mm granular suction cup as the adhesion to surface method, the actuator or the robot can attach to all surfaces with less than 80° slope, with less than 0.7N, which most soft actuators can provide. Furthermore, the suction cup would tolerate 270gr weight with only 5 mm deflection. Besides adaptation to high angles and high lateral stiffness, one main strength of this design is that the modulation is sensorless and without an additional actuator, which reduces the complexity in both design and control. Therefore, this design allows soft actuators to adapt and manipulate easily in undefined environments.

For future work, a more detailed and thorough model would help to optimize the design further. As mentioned in the discussion, the results show that the ratio between the suction pad stiffness and the stalk stiffness considerably influences the suction cup performance. Therefore, the best material stiffness for the suction pad can be selected with a better model. In addition, depending on the actuators and the application, the length of the stalk can accordingly be modified for specific cases. Moreover, to further improve the performance of the suction cup, the suction pad can be modified using the recently developed designs to adapt not only to angular surfaces but also rough and porous surfaces. Another interesting future work can be potential applications of this suction cup by adding it to spider robots or manipulating irregular object such as Figure 10 and Supplementary Video S2.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Author contributions

AG; prototyping, characterization, analyzing the results, and writing the paper. AS; conceptualization, supervision, setting the experiment, writing.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships

References

Baik, S., Kim, D. W., Park, Y., Lee, T.-J., Bhang, S. H., and Pang, C. (2017). A wettolerant adhesive patch inspired by protuberances in suction cups of octopi. *Nature* 546, 396–400.

Bamotra, A., Walia, P., Prituja, A. V., and Ren, H. (2019). Layer-jamming suction grippers with variable stiffness. *J. Mech. Robotics* 11, 035003. doi:10.1115/1.4042630

Berni, A., Mennig, M., and Schmidt, H. (2004). "Doctor blade," in Sol-gel technologies for glass producers and users (Springer), 89–92.

Brown, E., Rodenberg, N., Amend, J., Mozeika, A., Steltz, E., Zakin, M., et al. (2010). Universal robotic gripper based on the jamming of granular material. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 107, 18809–18814. doi:10.1073/pnas.1003250107

Cheng, N. G., Lobovsky, M. B., Keating, S. J., Setapen, A. M., Gero, K. I., Hosoi, A. E., et al. (2012). "Design and analysis of a robust, low-cost, highly articulated manipulator enabled by jamming of granular media," in 2012 IEEE international conference on Robotics and automation (IEEE), 4328–4333. doi:10.1109/ICRA.2012.62 25373

Ditsche, P., and Summers, A. (2019). Learning from northern clingfish (gobiesox maeandricus): Bioinspired suction cups attach to rough surfaces. *Philosophical Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 374, 0204. doi:10.1098/rstb.2019.0204

Follador, M., Tramacere, F., and Mazzolai, B. (2014). Dielectric elastomer actuators for octopus inspired suction cups. *Bioinspiration Biomimetics* 9, 046002. doi:10.1088/1748-3182/9/4/046002

Grasso, F., and Setlur, P. (2008). Inspiration, simulation and design for smart robot manipulators from the sucker actuation mechanism of cephalopods. *Bioinspiration biomimetics* 2, S170–S181. doi:10.1088/1748-3182/2/4/S06

Howell, L. L. (2001). Compliant mechanisms. John Wiley and Sons.

Hughes, J., Culha, U., Giardina, F., Guenther, F., Rosendo, A., and Iida, F. (2016). Soft manipulators and grippers: A review. *Front. Robotics AI* 3. doi:10.3389/frobt.2016.00069

Huh, T. M., Sanders, K., Danielczuk, M., Li, M., Chen, Y., Goldberg, K., et al. (2021). "A multi-chamber smart suction cup for adaptive gripping and haptic exploration," in 2021 IEEE/RSJ international conference on intelligent robots and systems (IROS) (Prague, Czech: IEEE). doi:10.1109/IROS51168.2021.9635852

Jiang, A., Ranzani, T., Gerboni, G., Lekstutyte, L., Althoefer, K., Dasgupta, P., et al. (2014). Robotic granular jamming: Does the membrane matter? *Soft Robot.* 1, 192–201. doi:10.1089/soro.2014.0002

Kier, W. M., and Smith, K. K. (2008). Tongues, tentacles and trunks: The biomechanics of movement in muscular-hydrostats. *Zoological J. Linn. Soc.* 83, 307–324. doi:10.1111/j.1096-3642.1985.tb01178.x

Kim, J., Nakajima, Y., and Kobayashi, K. (2018). A suction-fixing, stiffness-tunable liver manipulator for laparoscopic surgeries. *IEEE/ASME Trans. Mechatronics* 23, 262–273. doi:10.1109/TMECH.2017.2777507

Koivikko, A., Drotlef, D.-M., Dayan, C., Sariola, V., and Sitti, M. (2021). 3d-printed pneumatically controlled soft suction cups for gripping fragile, small, and rough objects. *Adv. Intell. Syst.* 3, 2100034. doi:10.1002/aisy.202100034

that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/ 10.3389/frobt.2023.1080015/full#supplementary-material

Mazzolai, B., Mondini, A., Tramacere, F., Riccomi, G., Sadeghi, A., Giordano, G., et al. (2019). Octopus-inspired soft arm with suction cups for enhanced grasping tasks in confined environments. *Adv. Intell. Syst.* 1, 1900041. doi:10.1002/aisy.2019 00041

Okuno, Y., Shigemune, H., Kuwajima, Y., and Maeda, S. (2019). Stretchable suction cup with electroadhesion. *Adv. Mater. Technol.* 4, 1800304. doi:10.1002/admt.201 800304

Park, W., Lee, D., and Bae, J. (2021). A hybrid jamming structure combining granules and a chain structure for robotic applications. *Soft Robot.* 9, 669–679. PMID: 34255567. doi:10.1089/soro.2020.0209

Rus, D., and Tolley, M. (2015). Design, fabrication and control of soft robots. *Nature* 521, 467-475. doi:10.1038/nature14543

Sadeghi, A., Beccai, L., and Mazzolai, B. (2012). "Design and development of innovative adhesive suckers inspired by the tube feet of sea urchins," in 2012 4th IEEE RAS EMBS international conference on biomedical Robotics and biomechatronics (BioRob) (IEEE), 617–622. doi:10.1109/BioRob.2012.6290867

Sandoval, J. A., Jadhav, S., Quan, H., Deheyn, D. D., and Tolley, M. T. (2019). Reversible adhesion to rough surfaces both in and out of water, inspired by the clingfish suction disc. *Bioinspiration Biomimetics* 14, 066016. doi:10.1088/1748-3190/ab47d1

Song, S., Drotlef, D.-M., Majidi, C., and Sitti, M. (2017). Controllable load sharing for soft adhesive interfaces on three-dimensional surfaces. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 114, E4344–E4353. doi:10.1073/pnas.1620344114

Song, S., Drotlef, D.-M., Son, D., Koivikko, A., and Sitti, M. (2021). Adaptive selfsealing suction-based soft robotic gripper. *Adv. Sci.* 8, 2100641. doi:10.1002/advs.202 100641

Su, H.-J. (2009). A pseudorigid-body 3R model for determining large deflection of cantilever beams subject to tip loads. J. Mech. Robotics 1. doi:10.1115/1.3046148

Suresh, S. A., Christensen, D. L., Hawkes, E. W., and Cutkosky, M. (2015). Surface and shape deposition manufacturing for the fabrication of a curved surface gripper. *J. Mech. Robotics* 7. doi:10.1115/1.4029492

Takahashi, T., Suzuki, M., and Aoyagi, S. (2016). "Octopus bioinspired vacuum gripper with micro bumps," in 2016 IEEE 11th annual international conference on nano/micro engineered and molecular systems (NEMS) (IEEE), 508-511. doi:10.1109/NEMS.2016.7758301

Tramacere, F., Beccai, L., Mattioli, F., Sinibaldi, E., and Mazzolai, B. (2012). "Artificial adhesion mechanisms inspired by octopus suckers," in 2012 IEEE international conference on Robotics and automation (IEEE), 3846–3851. doi:10.1109/ICRA.2012.62 25058

Yoshida, Y., and Ma, S. (2010). "Design of a wall-climbing robot with passive suction cups," in 2010 IEEE international conference on Robotics and biomimetics (IEEE), 1513–1518. doi:10.1109/ROBIO.2010.5723554

Zhakypov, Z., Heremans, F., Billard, A., and Paik, J. (2018). An origami-inspired reconfigurable suction gripper for picking objects with variable shape and size. *IEEE Robotics Automation Lett.* 3, 2894–2901. doi:10.1109/LRA.2018.2847403