Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Shaoming He, Beijing Institute of Technology, China

REVIEWED BY Önder Tutsoy, Adana Science and Technology University, Türkiye Zhilin Liu, Harbin Engineering University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Chengren Yuan, ☑ ycr497539764@gmail.com Zhanshuo Zhang, ☑ 15827139024@163.com

RECEIVED 24 March 2025 ACCEPTED 04 June 2025 PUBLISHED 23 June 2025

CITATION

Yuan C, Shuai C, Zhang Z, Li B, Cheng Y and Ma J (2025) Geometric line-of-sight guidance law with exponential switching sliding mode control for marine vehicles' path following. *Front. Robot. Al* 12:1598982. doi: 10.3389/frobt.2025.1598982

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Yuan, Shuai, Zhang, Li, Cheng and Ma. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Geometric line-of-sight guidance law with exponential switching sliding mode control for marine vehicles' path following

Chengren Yuan^{1,2}*, Changgeng Shuai^{1,2}, Zhanshuo Zhang^{1,2}*, Buyun Li^{1,2}, Yuqiang Cheng^{1,2} and Jianguo Ma^{1,2}

¹Institute of Noise and Vibration, Naval University of Engineering, Wuhan, China, ²National Key Laboratory on Ship Vibration and Noise, Wuhan, China

Marine vehicle guidance and control technology serves as the core support for advancing marine development and enabling scientific exploration. Its accuracy, autonomy, and environmental adaptability directly determine a vehicle's mission effectiveness in complex marine environments. This paper explores path following for marine vehicles in the horizontal plane. To tackle the limitation of a fixed look-ahead distance, we develop a novel geometric line-of-sight guidance law. It adapts to diverse compound paths by dynamically adjusting according to cross-track errors and local path curvature. Then, to enhance control performance, we present an improved exponential switching law for sliding mode control, enabling rapid convergence, disturbance rejection, and chatter reduction. Additionally, integral sliding mode control is integrated to stabilize yaw angular velocity, ensuring the system's global asymptotic stability. Through a series of numerical simulations, the effectiveness, robustness, and adaptability of our proposed methods are verified.

KEYWORDS

guidance law, line-of-sigh, path following, sliding mode control, marine vehicles, global asymptotic stability

1 Introduction

Marine vehicles, particularly those emphasizing autonomy and intelligence, have gained significant importance (Xu and Pan, 2022; Song et al., 2024a). Unmanned marine vehicles such as USVs and UUVs demonstrate high efficiency in marine resource surveying and development (Wang et al., 2023; Rong and Xu, 2022). However, ensuring optimal control performance remains critical for successful mission execution (Heshmati-Alamdari et al., 2020; Song et al., 2024b).

The control system framework is typically divided into three distinct components: guidance, navigation, and control (GNC) (Fossen, 2011). Uncertainties in models, timevarying oceanic environments, and actuator limitations pose significant challenges for constructing path-following controllers (Kim et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2024). While previous studies, such as Yu et al. (2019); Elmokadem et al. (2017); Lei and Zhang (2017); Reis et al. (2019); Qiao and Zhang (2019), have focused on integrating the guidance and control layers to improve path-following accuracy, many overemphasize control law design for accuracy while neglecting actuator constraints (Yu et al., 2019; Song et al., 2025). Therefore, researching guidance laws to enhance overall GNC system performance and maintain balance among its components is essential.

The line-of-sight (LOS) guidance law is intuitively designed for helmsmen, enabling vehicles to reach desired positions by maintaining alignment with the look-ahead angle (Fossen, 2011; Fossen and Pettersen, 2014). Encarnacao and Pascoal (2000) projected the UUV into a 3D Serrent-Frenet frame and designed a controller integrating the desired path's kinematic characteristics with the UUV's dynamic model. However, this method suffered from complexity and singularity issues. To address these, Breivik and Fossen (2005b) introduced a virtual reference point on the desired path within the Serrent-Frenet frame, developing a classical nonsingular LOS guidance law for 2D and 3D path following. Yet, this law remained sensitive to ocean currents and used a fixed look-ahead angle. Subsequent studies (Borhaug et al., 2008; Fossen et al., 2014; Fossen and Lekkas, 2017; Miao et al., 2017) focused on mitigating current vulnerability. For instance, Miao et al. (2017) proposed a compound LOS guidance law to estimate sideslip angles and compensate for time-varying current effects in the horizontal plane. Despite these advancements, engineering practice still demands an LOS guidance law with automatic look-ahead angle adjustment. Wang et al. (2022) addressed this by introducing an adaptive LOS guidance law via reinforcement learning, dynamically adjusting the look-ahead angle using a data-driven UUV model. Mu et al. (2018) employed a fuzzy optimization approach to determine optimal look-ahead distances for surface vessels, using Euclidean distances between virtual target points and current positions as fuzzy logic inputs. Xiang et al. (2015) dynamically adjusted look-ahead distances based on path curvature via virtual target points, though these points did not fully reflect real-time vehicle positions.

In the GNC system, control law design is crucial for path following, second only to the guidance system block. Sliding mode control (SMC) is widely adopted to address environmental disturbances and model uncertainties due to its high robustness (Roy et al., 2020). For instance, Elmokadem et al. (2017) proposed terminal SMC (TSMC), fast TSMC (FTSMC), and non-singular TSMC (NTSMC) as effective approaches to reduce following errors under environmental disturbances. To overcome the singularity issue in traditional TSMC, Lei and Zhang (2017) developed an adaptive non-singular integral TSMC scheme, ensuring local finitetime convergence of velocity and position errors to zero. Tutsoy and Barkana (2021) introduced a model-free digital adaptive control for under-actuated manipulators, capable of handling delays, saturations, and uncertainties. This method also extended to chaos control, enabling the learning of unbiased smooth policies in chaotic regions, and real-time experiments verified its accurate long-term prediction and control performance. Moreover, Ma et al. (2023) presented a novel actor-model-critic architecture that combines a neural network model with the traditional actor-critic framework. The neural network model was designed to learn the state transition function, exploring the spatio-temporal variation patterns of the AUV and its surrounding environment.

This paper proposes a novel geometric LOS (GLOS) guidance law and exponential switching law for the horizontal-plane GNC system of unmanned marine vehicles. The objective is to reduce the control layer's workload and balance the operational burden between the guidance and control laws, thereby enhancing the GNC system's robustness and adaptability. The desired trajectory is realized by updating the velocity of a virtual target point via the GLOS guidance law, integrated with integral sliding mode control (ISMC) that employs an adaptively adjusted improved exponential switching law. The main contributions are summarized as follows:

- The GLOS guidance law is designed to adjust the look-ahead distance based on both cross-track errors and local path curvature, thereby avoiding the influence of individual factors such as distance (Liu et al., 2017) or curvature (Xiang et al., 2015) alone.
- An enhanced exponential switching law is proposed for general SMC methods. Compared with the conventional exponential switching law, the proposed law demonstrates better performance in rapid convergence, disturbance rejection, and chatter suppression. Based on this, an ISMC law is developed to stabilize the virtual angular velocity of yaw.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: Section 2 introduces the notation for path following and the modeling of marine vehicles. The proposed methods are detailed in Section 3. Section 4 then presents the results of numerical simulations, and Section 5 concludes with a comprehensive summary.

2 Notation and modeling

2.1 Notation

To construct the coordinate system for path following, the following reference frames, including inertial frame *I*, body-fixed frame *B*, Serret-Frenet frame *F*, and resultant velocity frame *V* (Encarnacao and Pascoal, 2000), are introduced, as shown in Figure 1. The origin of frame *B* is set to coincide with the vehicle's center of buoyancy at $Q = [x, y]^{T}$. In the horizontal plane, the 3 degrees of freedom (DOF) kinematic and dynamic models for the under-actuated marine vehicle are configured as (Fossen, 2011).

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = u \cos \psi - v \sin \psi \\ \dot{y} = u \sin \psi + v \cos \psi \\ \dot{\psi} = r \end{cases}$$
(1)

$$\begin{cases} \dot{u} = f_u + g_u \tau_u + d_u \\ \dot{v} = f_v + d_v \\ \dot{r} = f_r + g_r \tau_r + d_r \end{cases}$$
(2)

In Equations 1, 2, set $\boldsymbol{\eta} = [x, y, \psi]^{\mathsf{T}}$ as the vehicle pose in frame $\{I\}$, $\boldsymbol{v} = [u, v, r]^{\mathsf{T}}$ as the vehicle velocity in frame $\{B\}$, where the azimuth angle is $\chi = \psi + \beta$, $\beta = \arctan(v/u)$ (Xia et al., 2022). The vector of resultant velocity is defiend as $\boldsymbol{U}_V = [U, 0]^{\mathsf{T}}$ in frame $\{V\}$, where $U = \sqrt{u^2 + v^2}$. Additionally, $\boldsymbol{g} = [g_u, 0, g_r]^{\mathsf{T}}$, $\boldsymbol{f} = [f_u, f_v, f_r]^{\mathsf{T}}$, $\boldsymbol{d} = [d_u, d_v, d_r]^{\mathsf{T}}$, and $\boldsymbol{\tau} = [\tau_u, 0, \tau_r]^{\mathsf{T}}$ represent the reciprocal of added mass matrix, corresponding hydrodynamic damping, compound disturbance, and control force and moment (Yuan et al., 2023). Subject to time-varying disturbances, including, uncertain interferences and parameter perturbations, it is denoted that $\boldsymbol{d} \neq 0$.



2.2 Control objective

In path following, the marine vehicle with length *L* aims to follow a predefined path continuously parameterized by a timeindependent variable $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ as $\eta_d = [x_d(\omega), y_d(\omega), \chi_d(\omega)]^\top$ via a virtual target point $P = [x_d(\omega), y_d(\omega)]^\top$, and the time derivative of position vector is $\dot{P}_d = [\dot{x}_d(\omega)\dot{\omega}, \dot{y}_d(\omega)\dot{\omega}]^\top$, where $\dot{x}_d(\omega) = \partial x_d/\partial \omega$, $\dot{y}_d(\omega) = \partial y_d/\partial \omega$ (Xiang et al., 2017). Thus, the referenced azimuth angle is defined as $\chi_d = \arctan(\dot{y}_d(\omega)/\dot{x}_d(\omega))$. The curvature of referenced path κ_p should be limited for the inherent constraints of the vehicle as $\kappa_p \leq 1/\xi_{\min}$, where ξ_{\min} is the minimum turning radius of the vehicle. Therefore, the problem of path following has converted that the following error $\eta_e = \eta - \eta_d$ globally converge to a certain neighborhood of zero within a limited time under bounded disturbances.

3 Proposed approach

3.1 LOS guidance law and problem

As stated in reference Breivik and Fossen (2005b), the controlled vehicle aligns with the look-ahead angle χ_r in the horizontal plane to reach the desired path. As shown in Figure 2, set *s* and *e* represent along-track error and cross-track error, the position errors of path following are $P_e = [s, e]^{\top} = (R_F^I)^{\top}(Q - P)$, where $x_e = x - x_d$ and $y_e = y - y_d$. According to Yuan et al. (2023), set $R_V^F = [\cos \chi_r, -\sin \chi_r; \sin \chi_r, \cos \chi_r]^{\top}$ as the rotation matrix of frame $\{V\}$ with respect to frame $\{F\}$, and $R_F^I = [\cos \chi_d, -\sin \chi_d; \sin \chi_d, \cos \chi_d]^{\top}$ as the rotation matrix of frame $\{I\}$. The time derivative of P_e are derived in Equation 3 (Yu et al., 2020).

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{P}}_{\boldsymbol{e}} = \boldsymbol{S}_{\boldsymbol{F}}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{e}} + \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{V}}^{\boldsymbol{F}} \boldsymbol{U}_{\boldsymbol{V}} - \boldsymbol{U}_{\boldsymbol{d}}$$
(3)

where $U_d = [U_d, 0]^{\top}$ is the velocity of virtual target point, $U_d = \dot{\omega} \sqrt{\dot{x}_d^2(\omega) + \dot{y}_d^2(\omega)}$, $S_F = [0, -\dot{\chi}_d; \dot{\chi}_d, 0]^{\top}$ is skewsymmetric matrix (Breivik and Fossen, 2005a). To stabilize the position errors of the vehicle, the following Lyapunov function candidate is selected as

$$V_{11} = \frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{P}_e\|^2 \tag{4}$$

Take the time derivative of Equation 4 and simplify it as

$$\dot{V}_{11} = s \left(U_d \cos \chi_r - U_p \right) + e U \sin \chi_r \tag{5}$$

To ensure the Lyapunov function Equation 5 is negative-definite, the update rate of *P* is set as

$$\dot{\omega} = \frac{U_d \cos \chi_r + k_s s}{\sqrt{\dot{x}_d^2(\omega) + \dot{y}_d^2(\omega)}} \tag{6}$$

with the LOS guidance law is designed as

$$\chi_r = \arctan\left(\frac{e}{\Delta}\right) \tag{7}$$

where $k_s > 0$, the look-ahead distance is usually set as $\Delta \in [2L, 5L]$ (Han et al., 2018). Substitute the update velocity Equation 6 and guidance law Equation 7 into Equation 5 as

$$\dot{V}_{11} = -k_s s^2 - \frac{U}{\sqrt{e^2 + \Delta^2}} e^2 \tag{8}$$

In Equation 8, the current LOS guidance law features an indeterminate parameter Δ , which limits its applicability across diverse missions and vehicles. For instance, a larger Δ prolongs adjustment time, while a smaller Δ increases oscillations and overshoots. In the basic LOS guidance law, Δ is typically set as a constant.

3.2 GLOS guidance law

In this paper, we explore the geometric relationship between the desired path and vehicle, and further find that the crosstrack error *e* and the local path curvature have more effects on Δ . As shown in Figure 3, when $\Delta = 4L$, the vehicle's steady-state velocity for a circular path is consistent across different horizontal initial positions, though larger *e* increases overshoot risk. Figure 4 demonstrates that convergence rate decreases with increasing Δ from a fixed position. Also, it illustrates effect of curvature on errors, revealing Δ does not affect along-track error *s*. Thus, unlike reference Liu et al. (2017), we disregard the influence of *s* influence on Δ .

As for the local path curvature κ , the oriented bounding box (OBB) method is used to efficient calculate the point of intersection around the vehicle in green box, as shown in Figure 5. Set OBB(Q₂[$\vec{x}_b, \vec{y}_b, \vec{z}_b$],[A,B,C]) is the function to compute the set of points at the boundary of the bounding box (Ding et al., 2004), where [$\vec{x}_b, \vec{y}_b, \vec{z}_b$] are the unit vectors for three axes in frame {*B*}, and [*A*, *B*, *C*] are the length, width and height of the bounding box, as shown in Figure 6.

Combining the cross-track error *e* and the local path curvature κ into LOS guidance law, a novel GLOS guidance law is proposed to adaptively adjust Δ , and the correction of Δ is designed as

$$\Delta = \begin{cases} \Delta_{\min} + (\Delta_{\max} - \Delta_{\min}) e^{-\lambda_1 e^2}, & n_q = 0\\ \Delta_{\min} + (\Delta_{\max} - \Delta_{\min}) e^{-(\lambda_1 e^2 + \lambda_2 \kappa)}, & n_q \ge 1 \end{cases}$$
(9)

In Equation 9, $\Delta_{min} = 2L$, $\Delta_{max} = 5L$ (Han et al., 2018), and n_q is the number of intersections between OBB(Q, $[\vec{x}_b, \vec{y}_b, \vec{z}_b]$, [A, B, C]) and the desired path. λ_1 and λ_2 are adjustable parameters of e and κ , and the selection of λ_2 often needs to consider the length of vehicle L and ξ_{min} .

In the GLOS guidance law, κ differs from the reference Xiang et al. (2015). The latter considers the curvature of the





virtual target point on a continuous known desired path, as plotted in Figure 5 as *P*. By comparison, κ in this paper offers the following advantages:

- The method avoids calculating non-existent curvature at the junction of compound paths.
- The approach prevents oscillations at points where curvature abruptly changes in a compound desired path.

• The adjustable parameters *B* and *C* enable the vehicle to follow the desired path flexibly.

Set the number of intersections n_q for the desired path and bounding box as $\mathbf{Q} = [Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_n]$. According to n_q , it is divided into $n_q = 0$, $n_q = 1$, and $n_q \ge 2$, as shown in Figure 7. As for $n_a =$ 0, the vehicle is far from the desired path, and the update of Δ depends on e. When the vehicle approaches the desired path, the desired path and bounding box intersect. The curvature of discrete points is then calculated to correct Δ . As for $n_q \ge 2$, the intersections are sequenced according x value in frame {*I*}. Set $\kappa = \rho(Q_1, Q_n)$ is calculated according to the curvature of discrete point Q1, Q, and Q_n . If $n_a = 2$, κ is calculated with Q_1 , Q, and Q_2 , as shown in Figure 5 with blue line. Set Q_1 and Q_2 are the intersections, and $(Q_1)_r < (Q_2)_r$. R and O are the radius and center of circumcircle. Theoretically, the desired path and bounding box have infinite intersection points, but due to the curvature constraint in $\kappa_p \leq 1/\xi_{\min}$, there are no more than four intersection points, as shown in Figure 7. Therefore, the calculation of κ is efficient. Especially, as for $n_a = 1$, that means the vehicle is approaching to enter or exit the desired path, set $\kappa = 0$. Above all, $\kappa \in [0, 1/\xi_{\min}]$, and the pseudo-code of GLOS guidance law is present in Algorithm 1.

3.3 Controller design

In Section 3.2, only position error is controlled. According to Section 2.2, the heading error $\chi_e = \chi - \chi_d$ must also be considered. Define the Lyapunov function candidate as $V_{12} = (1 - \cos \chi_e)$. By combining with Equation 4, the Lyapunov function candidate for



(a) Trace the circular path as $x_d^2 + y_d^2 = 900$ from initial s = 0 and e = 10 with different Δ ; (b) Trace the sinusoidal path as $y_d = 10$ sin $0.05x_d$ from initial s = 0 and e = 0 with different Δ .



position and posture is constructed as

$$=V_{11}+V_{12}$$
(10)

The time derivative of Equation 10 are derived as

 V_1

$$\dot{V}_{1} = -k_{s}s^{2} - \frac{U}{\sqrt{e^{2} + \Delta^{2}}}e^{2} + \left(r - \dot{\beta} - \dot{\chi}_{d}\right)\sin\chi_{e}$$
(11)

In order to convert χ_e into the error of virtual angular velocity $r_e = r - r_d$ according to Equation 11, the referenced virtual angular velocity of yaw r_d is designed as

$$r_d = \dot{\beta} + \dot{\chi}_d - k_r \sin \chi_e \tag{12}$$

where the control gain is $k_r > 0$. Substitute the control law Equation 12 in Equation 11 yields Equation 13 as

$$\dot{V}_1 = -k_s s^2 - \frac{U}{\sqrt{e^2 + \Delta^2}} e^2 - k_r \sin^2 \chi_e$$
(13)

In order to stabilize r_e , we introduce an ISMC with a novel switching control law to help the sliding mode surface S_r related to r_e get to zero. The integral sliding surface S_r is defined as

 S_r

$$= r_e + a_r \int_0^t r_e dt \tag{14}$$

where $a_r > 0$ is constant. Substitute the dynamic model Equation 2 in the time derivative of Equation 14 yields Equation 15 as

$$\dot{S}_r = f_r + b_r \tau_r + d_r - \dot{r}_d + a_r r_e$$
 (15)

Therefore, the yaw DOF controller is designed as

$$\pi_{r} = \frac{1}{b_{r}} \left(\dot{r}_{d} - \hat{f}_{r} - \hat{d}_{r} - a_{r} r_{e} \right) - \frac{1}{b_{r}} f(r_{e}, S) sat(r_{e})$$
(16)

$$f(r_e, S_r) = \frac{\mu}{\sigma + (1 + |r_e|^{-m} - \sigma) e^{-n|S_r|}}$$
(17)

In Equations 16, 17, \hat{f}_r and \hat{d}_r are the estimation values, and *sat*() is saturation function according to the reference Patre et al. (2018). $\mu > 0$ is coefficient of variational velocity, m > 0 and $n \ge 1$ are the coefficients of approach.

Input: Navigation information $[\boldsymbol{\eta}, \boldsymbol{v}] = [x, y, \psi, u, v, r]^{\top}$ Desired path $\boldsymbol{\eta}_d = [x_d, y_d, x_d]^{\mathsf{T}}$ Output: Look-ahead angle x_r Update law ϖ 1 Initialize all Parameters; 2 Calculate U_d , s and e; 3 Update $OBB(Q, [\vec{x_b}, \vec{y_b}, \vec{z_b}][A, B, C]);$ 4 $n_a = \boldsymbol{\eta}_d \cap OBB$; 5 if $n_a = 0$ then
$$\label{eq:delta_max} \begin{split} \mathbf{6} \quad \Delta = \Delta_{\min} + (\Delta_{\max} - \Delta_{\min}) e^{-\lambda_1 e^2} \; ; \end{split}$$
7 else 8 **if** $n_q = 1$ **then** 9 $\kappa = 0$: 10 else 11 $\kappa = \rho(Q_1, Q_n);$ $12 \quad \Delta = \Delta_{\text{min}} + (\Delta_{\text{max}} - \Delta_{\text{min}}) e^{-(\lambda_1 e^2 + \lambda_2 \kappa)};$ 13 $x_r = \arctan\left(\frac{e}{A}\right);$ 14 $\dot{\varpi} = (U_d \cos x_r + k_s s) / \sqrt{\dot{x}_d^2(\varpi)} + \dot{y}_d^2(\varpi);$ 15 Repeat

Algorithm 1. GLOS.

When r_e is far away from S_r , r_e and S_r are bigger, that is $\lim_{r_e, S_r \to \infty} f(r_e, S_r) = \mu/\sigma$, r_e quickly approaches S_r . As r_e approaches S_r , $S_r \to 0$, that is $\lim_{S_r \to 0} f(r_e, S_r) = \mu/(1 + |r_e|^{-m})$, r_e quickly get to original point with suppressing for the chattering problem. To verify the stability of whole system in the horizontal plane, consider the following Lyapunov function candidate as

$$V_2 = V_1 + \frac{1}{2}S_r^2 + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_f^{-1}\tilde{f}_r^2 + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_d^{-1}\tilde{d}_r^2$$
(18)

where the estimation error of d_r and f_r are $\tilde{d}_r = d_r - \tilde{d}_r$ and $\tilde{f}_r = f_r - \hat{f}_r$, the time derivative of Equation 18 is drived and simplified as

$$\dot{V}_{2} = \dot{V}_{1} - f(r_{e}, S_{r})|S_{r}| + \varepsilon_{f}^{-1}\tilde{f}_{r}\dot{f}_{r} + \varepsilon_{d}^{-1}\tilde{d}_{r}\dot{d}_{r} + \varepsilon_{f}^{-1}\tilde{f}_{r}\left(\varepsilon_{f}S_{r} - \hat{f}_{r}\right) + \varepsilon_{d}^{-1}\tilde{d}_{r}\left(\varepsilon_{d}S_{r} - \hat{d}_{r}\right)$$
(19)

In order to set $V_{\rm 2}$ negative semi-definite, the adaptive interference laws are designed as

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{f}_r &= \varepsilon_f S_r \\ \widehat{d}_r &= \varepsilon_d S_r \end{aligned}$$
 (20)

Substitute the adaptive interference law Equation 20 in Equation 19 as

$$\dot{V}_{2} \leq -k_{s}s^{2} - \frac{U}{\sqrt{e^{2} + \Delta^{2}}}e^{2} - k_{r}\sin^{2}\chi_{e} - f(r_{e}, S_{r})|S_{r}| + \varepsilon_{f}^{-1}\tilde{f}_{r}\dot{f}_{r} + \varepsilon_{d}^{-1}\tilde{d}_{r}\dot{d}_{r}$$
(21)

In Equation 21, according to the reference Yuan et al. (2022), $\tilde{d}_r \dot{d}_r \leq 0$ and $\tilde{f}_r \dot{f}_r \leq 0$. Also, $f(r_e, S_r) > 0$, and $\dot{V}_2 \leq 0$. If and only if s = 0, e = 0, $\chi_e = 0$, and $S_r = 0$, that $\dot{V}_2 = 0$. The control system converges asymptotically according to the Lyapunov stability theorem. For the surge velocity u, the PID controller





or the dynamic controller in Equation 16 stabilize the error of surge velocity u_e . Therefore, this paper will not do too much elaboration.

4 Numerical simulations

To verify the GLOS guidance law and improved exponent switching law for path following in the horizontal plane, this paper takes REMUS 100 AUV as the research object and adopts the hydrodynamic parameters from reference Prestero (2001). The main parameters of the proposed scheme are as follows: L = 1.33, $k_s = 0.1$, $k_r = 2$, $\lambda_1 = 5$, $\lambda_2 = 30$, $a_r = 5$, $\mu = 1$, m = 1, n = 2, $\sigma = 1$, $\varepsilon_f = 7$, and $\varepsilon_d = 3$. All the simulation were impacted by unknown interferences as $d_u = 0.2 \sin(0.05t + \pi/3) + n(t)$, $d_v = 0.1 \sin(0.04t + \pi/3) + n(t)$, and $d_r = 0.2 \sin(0.05t + \pi/3) + n(t)$, where $\mathbb{E}[n(t)] = 0$. All the initial velocity and angular velocity were set as $[u, v, r]^{\top} = [0, 0, 0]^{\top}$.





4.1 Case I

Case I employs a compound straight-line and curve desired path to verify the effectiveness of the proposed method. Four different methods track the compound desired path starting from $[x, y, \psi]^{\top} = [5, -5, \pi/2]^{\top}$: (1) The proposed method, which is the GLOS guidance law with an improved exponent switching law based on the SMC; (2) Method 1, the LOS guidance law with

a traditional switching law based on the SMC; (3) Method 2, the LOS guidance law with an improved exponent switching law based on the SMC and a fixed $\Delta = 2L$; (4) Method 3, the LOS guidance law with an improved exponent switching law based on the SMC and a fixed $\Delta = 5L$. The desired path is set as Equation 21

$$y_{d} = \begin{cases} \frac{4}{3}x_{d}, & 0 \le x_{d} < 30\\ \left(1600 - (x_{d} - 70)^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + 40, & 30 \le x_{d} \le 110\\ \frac{4}{3}\left(100 - x_{d}\right) + 40, & 110 < x_{d} \le 140 \end{cases}$$
(22)

As shown in Figure 8, for Methods 2 and Methods 3, a smaller Δ leads to slower convergence to the desired path, while a larger Δ causes overshoots in the initial phase under the same control law. In contrast, the proposed method and Method one utilize the GLOS guidance law, which helps the vehicle avoid the slow convergence and overshoot issues mentioned above, particularly at the initial position and turning points. Figure 9 displays more details about the following errors of different methods. As shown in Figure 10, compared with Method 1, the improved exponent switching law significantly suppresses τ_r oscillations under the same parameters and quickly stabilizes r_e with strong anti-disturbance performance.

4.2 Case II

Case II uses the sinusoidal desired path, which is $y_d = 20 \sin 0.03 x_d$, to verify the robustness of proposed method. The









desired path is tracked by proposed method from different initial position as: (1) e = 0, $[x, y, \psi]^{\top} = [0, 0, \pi/2]^{\top}$; (2) e = 5, $[x, y, \psi]^{\top} = [0, -5, \pi/2]^{\top}$; (3) e = 10, $[x, y, \psi]^{\top} = [5, -10, \pi/2]^{\top}$; (4) e = 15, $[x, y, \psi]^{\top} = [10, -15, \pi/2]^{\top}$.

Figure 11 demonstrates the proposed method applied under different initial positions. The GLOS guidance efficiently directs the vehicle regardless of the initial cross-track error distance *e*. As shown in Figure 12, even when following a desired path with variable curvature, the following errors stabilize at all positions, particularly at the start position and corners with larger curvature. As shown in Figure 13, at the beginning of the following, the change of Δ is mainly affected by cross-track error *e*, Δ increase with the decrease of *e*. After following up the desired path, the change of Δ is mainly affected by κ . Δ decrease with the increase of κ . In general, the change of Δ better helps the vehicle to realize path following control.

5 Conclusion

The guidance layer and control layer enhance the GNC system capabilities of marine vehicles. This paper presents a balanced approach, integrating guidance and control law calculations, to boost the GNC system's robustness and adaptability, instead of merely optimizing the control law. To overcome the fixed lookahead distance limitation, a novel GLOS guidance law is proposed. It can adaptively adjust according to the cross-track error and the curvature of nearby points, enabling the marine vehicles to handle various compounded paths. This law outperforms traditional LOS guidance laws in several aspects. For the control law, an improved exponential switching law based on the ISMC method stabilizes the yaw's virtual angular velocity, featuring rapid convergence, anti-disturbance, and chatter suppression. The Lyapunov stability theorem verifies the global asymptotic stability of the designed system. Simulation results confirm the robustness and adaptability of these proposed schemes.

Future work will focus on verifying the proposed methods through actual tests using various vessels (e.g., AUVs and USVs), with validation conducted on these platforms.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Author contributions

CY: Project administration, Conceptualization, Writing – original draft, Software. CS: Funding acquisition, Resources, Writing – review and editing. ZZ: Conceptualization, Writing – original draft, Software, Methodology. BL: Writing – review and editing, Formal analysis, Resources. YC: Writing – review and editing, Funding acquisition. JM: Visualization, Methodology, Writing – review and editing, Supervision.

References

Borhaug, E., Pavlov, A., and Pettersen, K. Y. (2008). "Integral los control for path following of underactuated marine surface vessels in the presence of constant ocean currents, in 2008 47th IEEE conference on decision and control (IEEE), 4984–4991.

Breivik, M., and Fossen, T. I. (2005a). "Guidance-based path following for autonomous underwater vehicles," in *Proceedings of oceans 2005 MTS/IEEE (ieee)*, 2807–2814.

Breivik, M., and Fossen, T. I. (2005b). "Principles of guidance-based path following in 2d and 3d," in *Proceedings of the 44th IEEE Conference on Decision and control (IEEE)*, 627–634.

Ding, S., Mannan, M., and Poo, A. N. (2004). Oriented bounding box and octree based global interference detection in 5-axis machining of free-form surfaces. *Computer-Aided Des.* 36, 1281–1294. doi:10.1016/s0010-4485(03)00109-x

Elmokadem, T., Zribi, M., and Youcef-Toumi, K. (2017). Terminal sliding mode control for the trajectory tracking of underactuated autonomous underwater vehicles. *Ocean. Eng.* 129, 613–625. doi:10.1016/j.oceaneng.2016.10.032

Encarnacao, P., and Pascoal, A. (2000). "3d path following for autonomous underwater vehicle,", 3. IEEE, 2977–2982. doi:10.1109/cdc.2000.914272Proc. 39th IEEE Conf. Decis. control cat. No. 00CH37187

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This research has been supported by the National Key Laboratory on Ship Vibration and Noise Foundation (Nos JCKY2024207C105, 6142204240306).

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the facilities and technical assistance of the National Natural Science Foundation of China.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Fossen, T. I. (2011). Handbook of marine craft hydrodynamics and motion control. John Wiley & Sons.

Fossen, T. I., and Lekkas, A. M. (2017). Direct and indirect adaptive integral lineof-sight path-following controllers for marine craft exposed to ocean currents. *Int. J. Adapt. control signal Process.* 31, 445–463. doi:10.1002/acs.2550

Fossen, T. I., and Pettersen, K. Y. (2014). On uniform semiglobal exponential stability (usges) of proportional line-of-sight guidance laws. *Automatica* 50, 2912–2917. doi:10.1016/j.automatica.2014.10.018

Fossen, T. I., Pettersen, K. Y., and Galeazzi, R. (2014). Line-of-sight path following for dubins paths with adaptive sideslip compensation of drift forces. *IEEE Trans. Control Syst. Technol.* 23, 820–827. doi:10.1109/tcst.2014.2338354

Han, P., Liu, Z., Zhou, Z., Ban, L., and Hao, L. (2018). Path tracking control algorithm based on los method for surface self-propulsion vessel. *Appl. Sci. Technol.* 45, 66–70. doi:10.11991/yykj.201706005

Heshmati-Alamdari, S., Nikou, A., and Dimarogonas, D. V. (2020). Robust trajectory tracking control for underactuated autonomous underwater vehicles in uncertain environments. *IEEE Trans. Automation Sci. Eng.* 18, 1288–1301. doi:10.1109/tase.2020.3001183

Kim, E., Fan, S., Bose, N., and Nguyen, H. (2021). Current estimation and path following for an autonomous underwater vehicle (auv) by using a high-gain observer based on an auv dynamic model. *Int. J. Control, Automation Syst.* 19, 478–490. doi:10.1007/s12555-019-0673-5

Lei, Q., and Zhang, W. (2017). Adaptive non-singular integral terminal sliding mode tracking control for autonomous underwater vehicles. *IET Control Theory & Appl.* 11, 1293–1306. doi:10.1049/iet-cta.2017.0016

Liu, T., Dong, Z., Du, H., Song, L., and Mao, Y. (2017). Path following control of the underactuated usy based on the improved line-of-sight guidance algorithm. *Pol. Marit. Res.* 24, 3–11. doi:10.1515/pomr-2017-0001

Ma, D., Chen, X., Ma, W., Zheng, H., and Qu, F. (2023). Neural network model-based reinforcement learning control for auv 3-d path following. *IEEE Trans. Intelligent Veh.* 9, 893–904. doi:10.1109/tiv.2023.3282681

Miao, J., Wang, S., Tomovic, M. M., and Zhao, Z. (2017). Compound line-of-sight nonlinear path following control of underactuated marine vehicles exposed to wind, waves, and ocean currents. *Nonlinear Dyn.* 89, 2441–2459. doi:10.1007/s11071-017-3596-9

Mu, D., Wang, G., Fan, Y., Bai, Y., and Zhao, Y. (2018). Fuzzy-based optimal adaptive line-of-sight path following for underactuated unmanned surface vehicle with uncertainties and time-varying disturbances. *Math. Problems Eng.* 2018, 1–12. doi:10.1155/2018/7512606

Patre, B., Londhe, P., Waghmare, L., and Mohan, S. (2018). Disturbance estimator based non-singular fast fuzzy terminal sliding mode control of an autonomous underwater vehicle. *Ocean. Eng.* 159, 372–387. doi:10.1016/j.oceaneng.2018.03.082

Prestero, T. T. J. (2001). Verification of a six-degree of freedom simulation model for the *REMUS autonomous underwater vehicle*. Cambridge, MA: Ph.D. thesis, Massachusetts institute of technology.

Qiao, L., and Zhang, W. (2019). Trajectory tracking control of auvs via adaptive fast nonsingular integral terminal sliding mode control. *IEEE Trans. Industrial Inf.* 16, 1248–1258. doi:10.1109/tii.2019.2949007

Reis, M. F., Jain, R. P., Aguiar, A. P., and de Sousa, J. B. (2019). Robust moving path following control for robotic vehicles: theory and experiments. *IEEE Robotics Automation Lett.* 4, 3192–3199. doi:10.1109/lra.2019.2925733

Rong, S., and Xu, Y. (2022). Motion parameter estimation of auv based on underwater acoustic Doppler frequency measured by single hydrophone. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 9, 1019385. doi:10.3389/fmars.2022.1019385

Roy, S., Baldi, S., and Fridman, L. M. (2020). On adaptive sliding mode control without *a priori* bounded uncertainty. *Automatica* 111, 108650. doi:10.1016/j.automatica.2019.108650

Song, S., Liu, Z., and Wang, Z. (2025). Anti-disturbance tracking control of rigid spacecraft attitude systems with saturation and asymmetric constraints. *IEEE Trans. Aerosp. Electron. Syst.*, 1–14. doi:10.1109/taes.2025.3562840

Song, S., Liu, Z., Yuan, S., and Wang, Z. (2024a). Cascaded extended state observers-based fixed-time line-of-sight path following control for unmanned surface

vessels with disturbances and saturation. IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol. 73, 7733-7747. doi:10.1109/tvt.2024.3357731

Song, S., Liu, Z., Yuan, S., Wang, Z., and Wang, T. (2024b). A finite-time path following scheme of unmanned surface vessels with an optimization strategy. *ISA Trans.* 146, 61–74. doi:10.1016/j.isatra.2024.01.016

Tutsoy, O., and Barkana, D. E. (2021). Model free adaptive control of the underactuated robot manipulator with the chaotic dynamics. *ISA Trans.* 118, 106–115. doi:10.1016/j.isatra.2021.02.006

Wang, C., Cheng, C., Yang, D., Pan, G., and Zhang, F. (2023). Auv planning and calibration method considering concealment in uncertain environments. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 10. doi:10.3389/fmars.2023.1228306

Wang, D., He, B., Shen, Y., Li, G., and Chen, G. (2022). A modified alos method of path tracking for auvs with reinforcement learning accelerated by dynamic data-driven auv model. J. Intelligent & Robotic Syst. 104, 49–23. doi:10.1007/s10846-021-01504-0

Wang, Z., Wang, Y., Sun, Y., and Qin, H. (2024). Fixed-time dynamic event-triggered three-dimensional formation control for multi-auv system with disturbance observer. *Ocean. Eng.* 308, 118165. doi:10.1016/j.oceaneng.2024.118165

Xia, Y., Xu, K., Huang, Z., Wang, W., Xu, G., and Li, Y. (2022). Adaptive energyefficient tracking control of a x rudder auv with actuator dynamics and rolling restriction. *Appl. Ocean Res.* 118, 102994. doi:10.1016/j.apor.2021.102994

Xiang, X., Lapierre, L., and Jouvencel, B. (2015). Smooth transition of auv motion control: from fully-actuated to under-actuated configuration. *Robotics Aut. Syst.* 67, 14–22. doi:10.1016/j.robot.2014.09.024

Xiang, X., Yu, C., and Zhang, Q. (2017). Robust fuzzy 3d path following for autonomous underwater vehicle subject to uncertainties. *Comput. & Operations Res.* 84, 165–177. doi:10.1016/j.cor.2016.09.017

Xu, H., and Pan, J. (2022). Auv motion planning in uncertain flow fields using bayes adaptive mdps. *IEEE Robotics Automation Lett.* 7, 5575–5582. doi:10.1109/lra.2022.3157543

Yu, C., Liu, C., Xiang, X., Zeng, Z., Wei, Z., and Lian, L. (2020). Line-ofsight guided time delay control for three-dimensional coupled path following of underactuated underwater vehicles with roll dynamics. *Ocean. Eng.* 207, 107410. doi:10.1016/j.oceaneng.2020.107410

Yu, C., Xiang, X., Wilson, P. A., and Zhang, Q. (2019). Guidance-error-based robust fuzzy adaptive control for bottom following of a flight-style auv with saturated actuator dynamics. *IEEE Trans. Cybern.* 50, 1887–1899. doi:10.1109/tcyb.2018.2890582

Yuan, C., Shuai, C., Fang, Y., and Ma, J. (2022). Decoupled planes' nonsingular adaptive integral terminal sliding mode trajectory tracking control for xrudder auvs under time-varying unknown disturbances. *J. Mar. Sci. Eng.* 10, 1408. doi:10.3390/jmse10101408

Yuan, C., Shuai, C., Ma, J., Fang, Y., Jiang, S., and Gao, C. (2023). Adaptive optimal 3d nonlinear compound line-of-sight trajectory tracking control for overactuated auvs in attitude space. *Ocean. Eng.* 274, 114056. doi:10.1016/j.oceaneng.2023. 114056