



VIPDA: A Visually Driven Point Cloud Denoising Algorithm Based on Anisotropic Point Cloud Filtering

Tiziana Cattai, Alessandro Delfino, Gaetano Scarano and Stefania Colonnese*

Department of Information Engineering, Electronics and Telecommunications, University La Sapienza of Rome, Rome, Italy

Point clouds (PCs) provide fundamental tools for digital representation of 3D surfaces, which have a growing interest in recent applications, such as e-health or autonomous means of transport. However, the estimation of 3D coordinates on the surface as well as the signal defined on the surface points (vertices) is affected by noise. The presence of perturbations can jeopardize the application of PCs in real scenarios. Here, we propose a novel visually driven point cloud denoising algorithm (VIPDA) inspired by visually driven filtering approaches. VIPDA leverages recent results on local harmonic angular filters extending image processing tools to the PC domain. In more detail, the VIPDA method applies a harmonic angular analysis of the PC shape so as to associate each vertex of the PC to suit a set of neighbors and to drive the denoising in accordance with the local PC variability. The performance of VIPDA is assessed by numerical simulations on synthetic and real data corrupted by Gaussian noise. We also compare our results with state-of-the-art methods, and we verify that VIPDA outperforms the others in terms of the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR). We demonstrate that our method has strong potential in denoising the point clouds by leveraging a visually driven approach to the analysis of 3D surfaces.

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Hagit Messer, Tel Aviv University, Israel

Reviewed by:

Milos Brajovic, University of Montenegro, Montenegro Miaohui Wang, Shenzhen University, China

*Correspondence:

Stefania Colonnese stefania.colonnese@uniroma1.it

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Statistical Signal Processing, a section of the journal Frontiers in Signal Processing

Received: 23 December 2021 Accepted: 15 February 2022 Published: 16 March 2022

Citation:

Cattai T, Delfino A, Scarano G and Colonnese S (2022) VIPDA: A Visually Driven Point Cloud Denoising Algorithm Based on Anisotropic Point Cloud Filtering. Front. Sig. Proc. 2:842570. doi: 10.3389/frsip.2022.842570 Keywords: point cloud, denoising, non-Euclidean domain, angular harmonic filtering, graph signal processing

1 INTRODUCTION

Digital representation of real 3D surfaces has a crucial importance in a variety of cutting-edge applications, such as autonomous navigation (Huang J. et al., 2021), UAV fleets (Ji et al., 2021), extended reality streaming, or telesurgery (Huang T. et al., 2021). Point clouds represent 3D surfaces by means of a set of 3D locations of points on the surface. In general, those points can be acquired by active or passive techniques (Chen et al., 2021; Rist et al., 2021), in presence of random errors, and they may be associated with color and texture information as well. Point cloud denoising can in general be applied as an enhancement stage at the decoder side of an end-to-end communication system, involving volumetric data, for e.g., for extended reality or mixed reality services. Although lossless compression of point clouds is feasible (Ramalho et al., 2021), color point cloud lossy coding based on 2D point cloud projection (Xiong et al., 2021) is increasingly relevant both in sensor networks (de Hoog et al., 2021) and autonomous systems (Sun et al., 2020). Nonlocal estimation solutions (Zhu et al., 2022) or color-based (Irfan and Magli 2021b) solutions as well as solutions for point cloud sequences (Hu et al., 2021a) have been proposed.

The extraction of visually relevant features on point cloud is needed for tasks as pattern recognition, registration, compression and quality evaluation (Yang et al.s, 2020; Diniz et al., 2021), and semantic segmentation. Point cloud (PC) processing has been widely investigated, and many of the proposed processing methods are based on the geometric properties (Hu et al., 2021b;

1

Erçelik et al., 2021). Still, feature extraction on PC is mostly focused on information related to the point cloud shape. In addition, new PC acquisition systems for surveillance (Dai et al., 2021) or extended reality (XR) (Yu et al., 2021) require processing tools operating both on geometry and texture. Shape and texture processing needs development of new tools because of the non-Euclidean nature of the real surfaces modeled by a point cloud. In this direction, few studies in the literature simultaneously leverage both geometry and texture information. Furthermore, in the context of classical image processing, several effective tools have been inspired by the human visual systems (HVSs), which process both texture and shape, and it is sensitive to angular patterns such as edges, forks, and corners (Beghdadi et al., 2013). In particular, twodimensional circular harmonic functions (CHFs) have been investigated for visually driven image processing and specifically for angular pattern detection. CHFs have been successfully applied to interpolation (Colonnese et al., 2013), deconvolution (Colonnese et al., 2004), and texture synthesis (Campisi and Scarano 2002). On the contrary, point cloud processing lacks HVS-inspired processing tools, which can, in principle, provide alternative perspectives.

In this article, we leverage a class of point cloud multiscale anisotropic harmonic filters (MAHFs) inspired by HVS. MAHFs were recently introduced in our conference article (Conti et al. (2021)). First, we recall the MAHF definition and describe their local anisotropic behavior that highlights directional components of the point cloud texture or geometry. In addition, we show their applicability to both geometric and textured PC data. Second, we illustrate how MAHF can be applied to visually driven PC denoising problems. Denoising is a crucial preprocessing step for many further point cloud processing techniques. In real acquisition scenarios, the perturbations on the PC vertices or on the associated signal severely affect the PC usability. MAHF is used to drive an iterative denoising algorithm so as to adapt the restoration to the local information. The proposed method differs from other competitors (Zhu et al., 2022 and the references) in linking the denoising with visually relevant features, as estimated by suitable anisotropic filtering in the vertex domain. We test the performance of the visually driven point cloud denoising algorithm (VIPDA) on synthetic and real data from the public database (Turk and Levoy 1994; d'Eon et al., 2017). Specifically, considering different signal-to-noise-ratios by adding Gaussian noise to the original data, we verify that our method outperforms state-of-the-art alternatives in denoising data.

The structure of the article is as follows. In Section 2, we review a particular class of HVS-inspired image filters, namely the circular harmonic filters, which are needed to introduce our point cloud filtering approach. In Section 3, we present a class of multiscale anisotropic filters, formerly introduced in Conti et al. (2021), and we illustrate their relation with visually driven image filters. In Section 5, we present the visually driven point cloud denoising algorithm (VIPDA) based on the proposed manifold filters. In Section 6, we show by numerical simulations that the VIPDA outperforms state-of-the-art competitors. Section 7 concludes the article.

2 CIRCULAR HARMONIC FUNCTIONS FOR HVS-BASED IMAGE FILTERING: A REVIEW

Before the introduction of the MAHFs, a step back is necessary in order to contextualize the research problems by investigating other filter methods in the Euclidean domain.

In several important applications in the field of image processing, circular harmonic functions (CHFs) have been used (Panci et al., 2003; Colonnese et al., 2010). As mentioned previously, CHFs have been widely applied in image processing applications because they are able to detect relevant image features, such as edges, lines, and crosses, i.e., they perform the analysis in an analogous way to the behavior of the HVS during the pre-attentive step. It is important to remark here that the results of the order-1 CHFs are complex images, in which the module corresponds to the edge magnitude while the phase describes the orientation. Taken together, this filtering procedure returns precious information about the structures of the output image; in fact, it underlines the edges by simultaneously measuring their intensity and direction. The interest in CHFs also stems from the fact that they can be integrated within an invertible filter bank, thereby being exploited for suitable processing, for e.g., image enhancement, in the CHF-transformed domain (Panci et al., 2003).

CHFs' properties relate to the specific way in which they characterize the information belonging to two points. In fact, they encode the distance as well as the geometric direction that joins them.

These aspects are evident in the mathematical formulation of CHFs. Let us consider the 2D domain of the continuous CHF described by the polar coordinates (r, ϑ) that, respectively, represent the distance from the origin and the angle with the reference *x* axis. The CHF of order *k* is the complex filter defined as:

$$h^{(k)}(r,\vartheta) = g_k(r)e^{jk\vartheta},\tag{1}$$

where the influence of the radial (r) and the angular (ϑ) contributions are separated by the two factors. With the aim of preserving the isomorphism with the frequency space, the functions $g_k(r)$ in **Eq. 1** are usually isotropic Gaussian kernels. The variable *k* defines the angular structure of the model. For k = 0, the zero-order CHF returns output as a real image, represented by the low-pass version of the original one. As a general consideration, when the order k increases, CHFs are able to identify more and more complex structures on the images, such as edges (for k = 1), lines (for k = 2), forks (for k = 3), and crosses (for k = 4). We can see the effect of increasing the *k* order of the heat kernel on a sphere in Conti et al. (2021).

Based on the definition of CHF and the introduction of a scale parameter α , circular harmonic wavelet (CHW) (Jacovitti and Neri 2000) of order *k* can be introduced and can be typically applied in the context of multi-resolution problems.

Finally, it is worth observing that the CHF output has been shown to be related to the Fisher information of the input w.r.t rotation and translation parameters. The Fisher information of an image w.r.t. shift/rotation estimation is associated with the power of the image first derivative w.r.t. the parameter under concern



TABLE 1 | Table of main notation.

| Notation | Description | | | |
|----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| \mathcal{M} | Manifold | | | |
| G | Graph associated to the point cloud | | | |
| Α | Adjacency matrix | | | |
| D | Degree matrix | | | |
| L | Laplacian matrix | | | |
| λ, u | Eigenvalues and eigenvectors | | | |
| p ₀ , p | Coordinates of point on the continuous manifold | | | |
| p _i , p _i | Coordinates of point on the discrete manifold | | | |
| qi | Noisy coordinates of points on the discrete manifold | | | |
| $\widehat{\mathbf{p}}_i$ | Reconstructed coordinates after denoising | | | |
| n _p , n _{pi} | Direction orthogonal to the continuous and discrete manifold | | | |
| $\phi^{(k)}$ | MAHF of k order on the continuous Manifold | | | |
| $\varphi^{(k)}$ | MAHF of k order on the discrete Manifold | | | |

(Friedlander 1984). The CHF in the 2D account for a local derivative of the signal has been shown to be related to the Fisher information w.r.t. localization parameters (Neri and Jacovitti 2004).

In order to show a visual example of the application of CHF on real data, we consider the "cameraman image," and we apply firstorder CHF as an example to represent the effect of CHF on a real image. We report the results in **Figure 1**, in which we can see the module in panel A and the phase in panel B.

Stemming on these studies on directional harmonic analysis of 2D signals, we introduce in the following sections the multiscale anisotropic harmonic filters to be adopted in the non-Euclidean manifold domain.

3 MULTISCALE ANISOTROPIC HARMONIC FILTERS

Although the HVS is very complex in nature, its low-level behavior, as determined by the primary visual cortex, is well characterized by being bandpass and orientation selective (Wu et al., 2017). Therefore, the CHF mimics these features on 2D images, and in this section, we show how to extend this behavior

to manifold filters. Specifically, in this section, we describe a new class of visually driven filters operating on a manifold in the 3D space; the preliminary results on such filters appear in Conti et al.(2021). We extend the presentation in Conti et al. (2021) by an in-depth analysis of their relation with the CHF and by providing new results about their applications to point cloud filtering.

Our general idea consists in the extension of CHFs to 2D manifolds embedded in 3D domains. In this direction, the two key points to adapt to this new scenario are as follows: 1) we need to define a smoothing kernel that corresponds to the isotropic Gaussian smoothing in the 2D case; and 2) we have to identify an angular measurement on the surface of the manifold in the 3D space.

In the following sections, we elaborate on the filters description first in the case of a 2D manifold defined in a continuous 3D domain and then in the case of its discretized version, as represented by a point cloud. The main notation is reported in **Table 1**.

3.1 MAHF on Manifolds

We first introduce the multiscale anisotropic harmonic filter (MAHF) on a continuous manifold \mathcal{M} in \mathbb{R}^3 . The first step consists in the definition of a smoothing kernel, which is necessary to adapt to **Eq. 1** in this scenario. The smoothing kernel should account on the intrinsic (non-Euclidean) distance between a point \mathbf{p}_0 and a different point \mathbf{p} on the manifold surface.

To this aim, we resort to the heat kernel that describes the diffusion of the heat from a point-wise source located at a point \mathbf{p}_0 on the manifold to a generic other manifold point \mathbf{p} , after the time *t*. In formulas, the heat kernel $K_t: \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{R}$ is found as the fundamental solution of the heat propagation equation¹ under the initial condition $f_0(\mathbf{p}) = \delta(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}_0)$.

$$\Delta f(\mathbf{p}, t) = -\delta_t f(\mathbf{p}, t), f(\mathbf{p}, t = 0) = f_0(\mathbf{p}), \qquad (2)$$

where $f(\mathbf{p}, t)$ is the solution under initial condition $f_0(\mathbf{p})$.

¹Let Δ denote the Laplace–Bertrami operator, i.e., a linear operator computing the sum of directional derivatives of a function defined on the manifold. The heat propagation equation is written as:





With these positions, given two points \mathbf{p}_0 and \mathbf{p} on the manifold surface, the heat kernel is expressed as an infinite of suitable functions on the manifold. Specifically, let χ_s ($\mathbf{p}, s = 0, ...$ be the eigenfunctions of the Laplace–Bertrami (sum of directional derivatives) manifold operator. Therefore, $\chi_s: \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{R}$. For any point pair (\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_0) on the manifold, the heat kernel is written as:

$$K_{t}^{(\mathcal{M})}\left(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p}_{0}\right)=\sum_{s=0}^{\infty}e^{-t\alpha_{s}}\chi_{s}\left(\mathbf{p}\right)\chi_{s}\left(\mathbf{p}_{0}\right).$$
(3)

The heat kernel $K_t^{(\mathcal{M})}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_0)$ has the interesting property to represent a smooth function on the \mathcal{M} manifold (Hou and Qin 2012), smoothly decreasing as a bell-shaped function at a rate depending on the parameter *t* (Conti et al., 2021).

For what concerns angles, several definitions have been proposed in the context of convolutional neural networks on the manifold. Specifically, the polar coordinates on the geodesic can be defined using angular bins. Alternatively, a representation of points on the plane \mathcal{T} , which is the plane tangent to the manifold in the point **p**. In this work, we define the angle $\vartheta^{(\mathcal{M})}$ in a similar way to the second approach. As represented in the panel A in **Figure 2**,

the angle $\vartheta^{(\mathcal{M})}$ corresponds to the azimuth in the spherical coordinates of the point **p**, when the reference is the system (t_1,t_1,n) with the origin centered in **p** and the n axis is normal to the tangent plane \mathcal{T} .

With these positions, the multiscale anisotropic harmonic filters (MAHFs) ϕ of *k* order and centered in **p**₀ are defined as follows (Conti et al., 2021):

$$\phi^{(k)}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_{0}) = \underbrace{K_{t}^{(\mathcal{M})}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_{0}) \cos(k \, \vartheta^{(\mathcal{M})}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_{0}))}_{\phi_{R}^{(k)}} + j \underbrace{K_{t}^{(\mathcal{M})}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_{0}) \sin(k \, \vartheta^{(\mathcal{M})}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_{0}))}_{\phi_{t}^{(k)}}, \quad (4)$$

with $K_t^{(\mathcal{M})}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_0)$ defined as in 3 and where we recognize the real $\phi_R^{(k)}$ and imaginary $\phi_I^{(k)}$ part of the complex function $\phi^{(k)}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_0)$.

3.2 MAHF on Point Clouds

In this subsection, we focus on the definition of MAHF on a 3D point cloud. Let us consider the graph \mathcal{G} associated with the point cloud and defined as $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$, where \mathcal{V} is the set of N point



cloud vertices \mathbf{p}_i with i = 1..N, and \mathcal{E} is the set of edges (or links). The edge weights are represented by the $N \times N$ weighted adjacency matrix \mathbf{A} or by the Laplacian graph $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{D} - \mathbf{A}$, being \mathbf{D} , the degree matrix, which is a diagonal matrix with elements on the principal diagonal computed as $d_{ii} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{ij}$. For 3D point clouds, the edge weights are selected such that the Laplacian \mathbf{L} approximates the continuous domain Laplace–Beltrami operator (Belkin et al., 2009).

Let λ_n and \mathbf{u}_n , n = 0, ..., N - 1 denote the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of **L**, respectively. In this case, the heat kernel at the *i*-th and *j*-th point cloud points $(\mathbf{p}_i, \mathbf{p}_j)$ is obtained as follows:

$$K_t^{(\mathcal{G})}(\mathbf{p}_i, \mathbf{p}_j) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e^{-t\lambda_n} \mathbf{u}_n[i] \mathbf{u}_n[j],$$
(5)

i.e., it depends on the weighted sum of the products of the *i*-th and *j*-th coefficients of each and every Laplacian eigenvector. The eigenvectors corresponding to small eigenvalues, i.e., the low-frequency vectors of the graph Fourier transform defined on the graph, dominate the sum for large values of the parameter t.

As the continuous case, in the discrete scenario, we define the angle $\vartheta^{(\mathcal{G})}$ as the azimuth of the \mathcal{T} tangent plane to p_i , as graphically represented in **Figure 2B**.

Similar to the continuous case, the multiscale anisotropic harmonic filters (MAHFs) φ of k order and centered in p_i are defined as:

$$\varphi^{(k)}(\mathbf{p}_{i},\mathbf{p}_{j}) = \underbrace{K_{t}^{(\mathcal{G})}(\mathbf{p}_{i},\mathbf{p}_{j}) \cos(k \, \vartheta^{(\mathcal{G})}(\mathbf{p}_{i},\mathbf{p}_{j}))}_{\varphi_{R}^{(k)}} + j \underbrace{K_{t}^{(\mathcal{G})}(\mathbf{p}_{i},\mathbf{p}_{j}) \sin(k \, \vartheta^{(\mathcal{G})}(\mathbf{p}_{i},\mathbf{p}_{j}))}_{\varphi_{l}^{(k)}}, \quad (6)$$

with $K_t^{(\mathcal{G})}(\mathbf{p}_i, \mathbf{p}_j)$ defined as in **Eq. 5** and where we recognize the real $\varphi_R^{(k)}$ and imaginary $\varphi_I^{(k)}$ parts of the complex function $\varphi^{(k)}(\mathbf{p}_i, \mathbf{p}_j)$.

4 VISUALLY DRIVEN POINT CLOUD FILTERING: MAHF AS ANISOTROPIC ANALYSIS OF TEXTURE AND SHAPE IN POINT CLOUDS

Let us consider a real-valued *D*-dimensional signal on the point cloud vertices $\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{p}_i) \in \mathbb{R}^D$, i = 0, ..., N - 1. Applying *k*-th-order MAHF for the vertex domain signal on graph filtering obtains the output point cloud signal $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{p}_i)$ as:

$$\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{p}_i) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \varphi^{(k)}(\mathbf{p}_i, \mathbf{p}_j) \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{p}_j).$$
(7)

The filtering realized by the MAHFs performs an anisotropic harmonic angular filtering of the signal defined on the point cloud. The period of the harmonic analysis decreases as the filter order increases, and MAHF of different orders k are matched to different angular patterns of the input signal $\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{p}_i)$ i = 0, ..., N - 1.

MAHF applies to both texture and shape signals, depending on the choice of the input signal $\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{p}_i)$ i = 0, ..., N - 1. For video point clouds, the signal $\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{p}_i)$ can represent the luminance and the chrominances observed at the point \mathbf{p}_i . If this is the case, the MAHF output highlights texture patterns on the surface. On the other hand, the signal $\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{p}_i)$ i = 0, ..., N - 1 can be selected so as to represent geometric information. A relevant case is when the signal represents the normal to the point cloud surface at each vertex \mathbf{p}_i as follows:



FIGURE 5 Schematic representation of the VIPDA iteration. We report the original point $\hat{p}_i^{(l-1)}$ obtained at the (l-1) iteration, with the normal to the tangent plane $n^{(l-1)}$ with $\hat{p}_i^{(l-1)}$. At the iteration (*L*), the position of the point is updated obtaining the point $\tilde{p}_i^{(0)}$.

$$\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{p}_i) = \begin{bmatrix} n_x(\mathbf{p}_i) \\ n_y(\mathbf{p}_i) \\ n_z(\mathbf{p}_i) \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{p}_i), \ i = 0, \dots, N-1.$$
(8)

The application of MAHF to the signal defined as in **Eq. 8** will be exploited in the following derivation of VIPDA.

To sum up, the MAHFs can be applied to different kinds of data defined on point clouds, and they provide a way to extract several point-wise shape and texture point cloud features for different values of the order k. A schematic representation of MAHF application to texture (luminance) and shape (point cloud normals) information is illustrated in **Figure 3**.

5 VISUALLY DRIVEN POINT CLOUD DENOISING ALGORITHM

In this section, we illustrate the VIPDA approach, based on application of the aforementioned MAHF to the problem of PC denoising.

Let us consider the case in which the point cloud vertices are observed in presence of an additive noise. Thereby, the observed coordinates are written as

$$\mathbf{q}_i = \mathbf{p}_i + \mathbf{w}_i, \ i = 0, \dots, N-1, \tag{9}$$

where \mathbf{w}_i is an i.i.d. random noise. The denoising provides an estimate $\hat{\mathbf{p}}_i$ of the original locations \mathbf{p}_i . Let us remark that this problem is different from recovery of a signal defined at the vertices, which will be addressed in the future work.

Point cloud denoising algorithms typically leverage 1) data fidelity (Irfan and Magli 2021a), 2) manifold smoothness (low-rankedness) (Dinesh et al., 2020), and 3) local or cooperative averaging (Chen et al., 2019) objectives.

Here, the local manifold smoothness is accounted by adapting the estimator $\hat{\mathbf{p}}_i$ to the local shape variability as estimated at the first algorithm stage. Specifically, the MAHF is applied to the point cloud estimated normals $\mathbf{n}^{(0)}(\mathbf{q}_i)$ as:

$$\mathbf{v}^{(0)}(\mathbf{q}_i) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \varphi^{(k)}(\mathbf{q}_i, \mathbf{q}_j) \mathbf{n}^{(0)}(\mathbf{q}_j).$$
(10)

Thereby, each point \mathbf{q}_i is assigned a weight related to the normal variations in its neighborhood. The key idea is that when fast variations of the normal are observed around \mathbf{q}_i , the surface smoothness is reduced, and the set of neighboring points to be exploited to compute the estimate $\hat{\mathbf{p}}_i$ should be reduced accordingly. Therefore, the size K_i of the neighborhood of the point \mathbf{q}_i to be used in the estimation stage is selected based on the MAHF-filtered signal $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{q}_i)$. Specifically, K_i is selected as a function of the mean square value of the MAHF output, namely







$$K_i = \mathcal{K}(\|\boldsymbol{v}(\mathbf{q}_i)\|^2),$$

where \mathcal{K} is an integer function defined on R. This is exemplified in **Figure 4** (left), which illustrates a point cloud (namely a low-resolution version of the Stanford bunny in Turk and Levoy (1994)) and two neighborhoods of different sizes K_i and K_j around points characterized by different values of the mean square value of the MAHF output, namely $\|\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{q}_i)\|^2$, plotted in **Figure 4** (right).

After the size of the estimation window at each point is given, the denoising algorithm iteratively alternates 1) the computation of a candidate estimate of the point location based on spatially adaptive averaging over the K_i -size neighborhood of the *i*-th vertex and 2) the update of the current estimate, along the direction of the normal to the surface.

In formulas, at the *l*-th iteration, the candidate estimate of the *i*-th point cloud vertex is computed as

$$\tilde{\mathbf{p}}_i^{(l)} = \alpha_0 \hat{\mathbf{p}}_i^{(l-1)} + \sum_{j \in \eta(i;K_i)} \alpha_j \hat{\mathbf{p}}_j^{(l-1)},$$

where $\eta(i; K_i)$ denotes the set of K_i nearest neighbors of the *i*-th point cloud vertex. Then, the estimate is updated as

$$\hat{\mathbf{p}}_{i}^{(l)} = \hat{\mathbf{p}}_{i}^{(l-1)} + \rho_{0}^{l} \mathbf{n}^{(l-1)} (\hat{\mathbf{p}}_{i}^{(l-1)}) \mathbf{n}^{(l-1)} (\hat{\mathbf{p}}_{i}^{(l-1)})^{T} (\tilde{\mathbf{p}}_{i}^{(l)} - \hat{\mathbf{p}}_{i}^{(l-1)}),$$

where $\rho_0 \in (0, 1]$ is a parameter controlling the update rate throughout the iterations. Finally, the normals $\mathbf{n}^{(l)}(\hat{\mathbf{p}}_i^{(l)})$ are recomputed on the estimated point cloud $\hat{\mathbf{p}}_i^{(l)}$, i = 0, ..., N - 1.

To sum up, the MAHF is applied once for all at the beginning of the iterations. For each vertex, the set of neighboring points is identified. Then, a candidate new point is computed as a weighted average of the neighbor and of the point itself. Then, the point estimated at the previous iteration is updated only by projection of the correction on the direction of the normal to the surface, as illustrated in **Figure 5**.



The normals to the surface are recomputed. The algorithm is terminated after few iterations (1-3 in the presented simulation results).

The algorithm is summarized as follows:

Input: Noisy point clouds coordinates \mathbf{q}_i , i = 0, ..., N - 1; heat kernel spread t; update rate control parameter ρ_0 .

Output: Denoised point clouds coordinates $\hat{\mathbf{p}}_i$, i = 0, ..., N - 1.

Inizialization:

Computation of the heat kernel. $K_t^{(\mathcal{G})}(\mathbf{p}_i, \mathbf{p}_j), j = 0, \dots, N-1, i = 0, \dots, N-1$

Computation of the normals $\mathbf{n}^{(0)}$ and of their filtered version. $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{p}_i) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \varphi^{(1)}(\mathbf{q}_i, \mathbf{q}_j) \mathbf{n}^{(0)}(\mathbf{q}_j)$

Computation of $K_i = \mathcal{K}(\|\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{q}_i)\|^2)$ and of the K_i nearest neighborhood $\eta(i; K_i)$

Iteration: for l = 1, ..., L - 1

Computation of the candidate estimate $\tilde{\mathbf{p}}_i^{(l)} = \alpha_0 \hat{\mathbf{p}}_i^{(l-1)} + \sum_{j \in \eta(i;K_i)} \alpha_j \hat{\mathbf{p}}_j^{(l-1)}$, with $\alpha_j = (\alpha_0)/K_i$

 $\begin{array}{c} \underset{j \in \eta(i; k, i)}{\text{Update}} \quad \text{of the current estimate.} \\ \rho^{l} [\mathbf{n}^{(l-1)}(\hat{\mathbf{p}}_{i}^{(l-1)})] [\mathbf{n}^{(l-1)}(\hat{\mathbf{p}}_{i}^{(l-1)})]^{T} (\tilde{\mathbf{p}}_{i}^{(l)} - \hat{\mathbf{p}}_{i}^{(l-1)}) \end{array} \qquad \hat{\mathbf{p}}_{i}^{(l)} = \hat{\mathbf{p}}_{i}^{(l-1)} + \\ \end{array}$

Update of the normals $\mathbf{n}^{(l)}(\hat{\mathbf{p}}_i^{(l)})$.

An overview of the VIPDA algorithm stages appears in Figure 6.

5.1 Remarks

As far as the computational complexity of VIPDA is concerned, a few remarks are in order. First, VIPDA implies an initial MAHFfiltering stage that implies the eigendecomposition. For the computation in 5, the use of all the elements of the eigendecomposition has a really high computational cost for large *N*. To solve this limitation, Chebychev polynomial approximation by Huang et al. (2020); Hammond et al. (2011) can be applied in order to rewrite **Eq. 5** as a polynomial in **L**. For the sake of concreteness, we have evaluated the time associated with each stage of the algorithm, implemented in Matlab© over a processor using this approximation for the Bunny cloud with N = 8,146. The net time for the computation of the heat kernel $K_t^{(G)}(\mathbf{p}_i, \mathbf{p}_j), j = 0, ..., N - 1, i = 0, ..., N - 1$ sums up to $T_{K_t} = 40.7[s]$, the computation of the filtered normals $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{p}_i)$ requires up to $T_v = 9.6[s]$, and the computation of the L = 3 or 4 iterations requires $T_L = 1.8[s]$. Overall, the iterative denoising algorithms require $T_{VIPDA} = 60.74$, which is comparable with state-of-the-art methods (e.g., the execution time on the same machine for the method in Dinesh et al., 2020 is about 70[s]).

Second, VIPDA is iterative, and it is not suited for parallelization. This observation stimulated the definition of an alternative version of the algorithm, namely VIPDAfast, boiling down to a single iteration and suitable for parallelization. This is achieved by a simplified application of the VIPDA key concept, that is, the adaptation of the size of the estimation neighborhood to the MAHF-filtered output. In the single iteration algorithm VIPDAfast, each point is straightforwardly estimated on a patch whose size is as a given function of the MAHF output at that point. Since all the estimates are obtained directly from the noisy sample, the algorithm may be parallelized on different subsets of points. The numerical simulation results will show that VIPDAfast, suited for parallelization, approximates the performance of the complete iterative VIPDA, especially on relatively smooth point clouds. VIPDAfast is expected to reduce the execution time in a way proportional to the number of available concurrent threads.



Finally, a remark on the noise model is in order. Indeed, the VIPDA at each iteration performs a local averaging, which tackles Gaussian noise and, in a suboptimal way, also impulsive noise. Still, the core of VIPDA allows 1) to adaptively select the neighborhood of the vertex to be used in the estimate and 2) to apply the correction to the noise component orthogonal to the mesh surface. These two principles can also be applied when the actual estimate is realized by different nonlinear operators tuned to the actual noise statistics by Ambike et al. (1994). Therefore, VIPDA can be extended to deal with different kinds of noise by replacing the average operator with a suitable nonlinear one, this is left for further study.

6 SIMULATION RESULTS

In this section, we present simulation results associated with the application of MAHF on synthetic and real PC, and we measure the performances of VIPDA. In particular, in **subsec.6.1**, we illustrate the MAHF behavior, also in comparison with CHF, and in **subsec.6.2** we assess the performance of VIPDA, also in comparison with state-of-the-art denoising algorithms.

6.1 MAHF-Based Point Cloud Filtering

In this subsection, we present some examples on the application of MAHF on different point clouds. In this article, we introduce a point cloud filtering method inspired by HVS, and we show its potential to

both geometric and texture PC data. The proposed class of filters presents a local anisotropic behavior that highlights directional components of the point cloud texture or geometry. The filter output can be leveraged as input to various adaptive processing tasks.

First, we consider the case of a point cloud obtained by equispaced sampling of a planar surface, over which a discontinuous signal is defined. This case is illustrated in Figure 7A), in which we see the point cloud in which a twovalued signal is defined; the signal is characterized by a discontinuity in the middle. Then, MAHF filtering (with k = 1) is applied. In Figure 7B, we report the square of the module of the related MAHF output. As expected, the MAHF highlights the vertices in correspondence with the signal discontinuity. In order to compare MAHF with CHF behavior, we take into account an analogous scenario for CHF filtering, namely we consider an image representing a discrete bidimensional step function, which is represented in **Figure 8A**. We apply the k = 1 CHF to the image, and we separately plot its module and phase in the panel Figures 8B, **C**, respectively. The output of the k = 1 MAHF and CHF filters highlights the areas in correspondence with the discontinuity of the signal. Thereby, we recognize that the k = 1 MAHF filter straightforwardly extends to the planar point cloud domain, the behavior observed applying the k = 1 CHF filter on image data. Indeed, we remark that the MAHF and CHF filters definitions in the point cloud domain and image domain, respectively, are analogous, and it is expected that the MAHF can retrieve structured discontinuities of the signals defined on a point cloud.



| TABLE 2 Table of SNR with Stanford bunny point cloud. | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| SNR _{noisy} | SNR _(Dinesh et al. 2020) | SNR _{average} | SNR _{iter} | SNR _{iterPARA} |
| 35 | 35.07 | 35.42 | 35.89 | 35.94 |
| 38 | 38.28 | 38.28 | 38.92 | 38.96 |
| 40 | 40.69 | 40.15 | 40.97 | 40.98 |
| 48 | 46.69 | 46.49 | 48.62 | 48.62 |

Highest values for each SNR are highlighted in bold.

After exemplifying the relation between MAHF and CHF, we apply MAHF to open access point clouds. For this study, we consider two point clouds belonging to the 8iVSLF dataset (d'Eon et al., 2017). Specifically, we consider the point clouds red and black and long dress (d'Eon et al., 2017), which are the 3D point clouds illustrated in the insets of panels A and B in **Figure 9**. Each point cloud vertex is associated to the red, green, and blue components of the surface color as seen using a multi-camera rig. The original point clouds red and black and long dress have been resampled to a number of points equal to N = 9,622 and N = 9,378, respectively.

First of all, we apply MAHF to the normals \mathbf{n}_{p_i} , i = 0, ..., N - 1 to the point cloud surface², and we compute the square module of the

MAHF output, namely the estimated $\|\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{p}_i)\|^2$, i = 0, ..., N - 1 in **Figure 9**. We graphically represent it in gray-scale pseudo-colors in **Figure 9**. The largest values of $\|\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{p}_i)\|^2$, i = 0, ..., N - 1 are associated to the vertices characterized by curvature changes in each point cloud.

Then, for each point cloud, we analyze the filtering of the color information. At each vertex p_i , i = 0, ..., N - 1, we compute the luminance given by the available RGB values, and we apply MAHF by considering the luminance as the real-valued input signal $s(\mathbf{p}_i)$, i = 0, ..., N - 1 over the point cloud graph. The MAHF output $r(\mathbf{p}_i) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \varphi^{(k)}(\mathbf{p}_i, \mathbf{p}_j) s(\mathbf{p}_j)$, i = 0, ..., N - 1 is then computed. We present the square module $r(\mathbf{p}_i)$ of the MAHF filter output in **Figure 10** for the two point clouds under study. In this case, this method is able to highlight luminance variations on the graph, and it spots out details in the images such as the arms in panel A or the feet in panel B of **Figure 10**.

6.2 VIPDA Performances

After illustrating the application of MAHF to point cloud filtering on shape and texture information by means of examples on real data, we address the assessment of VIPDA in this subsection.

To this aim, we first illustrate the application of VIPDA over synthetic data. Specifically, we consider the point cloud related to the Stanford bunny (Turk and Levoy 1994), resampled at N =8,146. The noisy coordinates \mathbf{q}_i of the points on the PC are obtained as in **Eq. 9**. In the simulations, a Gaussian noise \mathbf{w}_i is added to the original coordinates \mathbf{p}_i . The intensity of the additive

²The point cloud normals are computed using the ©Matlab by the implementation of the method in Hoppe et al. (1992).

| TABLE 3 Table of SNR with fixed SNR | of noisy data at 40 dB with different pc | vint clouds, i.e., Stanford bunny, long dres | ss and red and black, and spherical meshes. |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| | | | |

| Point cloud | SNR _(Dinesh et al. 2020) | SNR _{average} | SNR _{VIPDA} | SNR _{VIPDAfast} |
|----------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
| Stanford bunny | 40.69 | 40.15 | 40.97 | 40.98 |
| Red and black | 40.03 | 40.49 | 40.48 | 40.50 |
| Long dress | 39.99 | 40.49 | 40.63 | 40.63 |
| Sphere | 39.97 | 39.49 | 40.46 | 40.46 |

Highest values for each SNR are highlighted in bold.

TABLE 4 | Table of SNR with fixed SNR of noisy data at 48 dB with several point clouds, i.e., Stanford bunny, long dress and red and black, and spherical meshes.

| Point cloud | SNR _(Dinesh et al. 2020) | SNR _{average} | SNR _{VIPDA} | SNR _{VIPDAfast} |
|----------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
| Stanford Bunny | 46.69 | 46.49 | 48.62 | 48.62 |
| Red and black | 48.05 | 47.72 | 48.26 | 48.27 |
| Long dress | 48.03 | 47.70 | 48.58 | 48.57 |
| Sphere | 48.09 | 38.25 | 48.26 | 48.26 |

Highest values for each SNR are highlighted in bold.

noise is measured by the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR), which is a parameter varied in the following analyses, and it is computed as:

$$SNR_{noisy} = 10 \log_{10} \frac{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \|\mathbf{p}_i\|^2}{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \|\mathbf{p}_i - \mathbf{q}_i\|^2}.$$
 (11)

We plot the original point cloud in **Figure 11A**, in which the pseudo-color is associated to the third coordinate of \mathbf{q}_i , i = 0, ..., N - 1 (coordinate w.r.t. the *z*-axis). In **Figure 11B**, we plot the noisy point cloud obtained for $SNR_{noisy} = 38$ dB. The pseudo-colors of the point cloud represent the square module of the difference between the noisy coordinates $||p_i - q_i||^2$, i = 0, ..., N - 1 and the original ones computed as $||p_i - q_i||^2$. In this manner, the point color (represented in a color scale from blue for zero values and red for the maximum values) reflects how much each point is corrupted by the additive Gaussian noise.

Then, we apply the MAHF to the estimated normals $\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{q}_i)$, i = 0, ..., N - 1 of the noisy point cloud, and we compute the square value of the MAHF output at each vertex, namely $\|\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{q}_i)\|^2$, i = 0, ..., N - 1. The so-obtained values are illustrated in **Figure 11C**, as pseudo-colors at the vertices. We recognize that the largest values are observed in correspondence to vertices in areas of normal changes. These results exemplify that the MAHFs are able to capture the variability of the signals.

Finally, we apply VIPDA to the filtered point cloud, and we show the denoised point cloud in **Figure 11D**. Here, we have the \hat{p}_i points reconstructed by VIPDA, and we define the signal associated to each new point as the error computed between the original coordinates p and the reconstructed ones as $||p_i - \hat{p}_i||^2$. From a visual analysis, we recognize that the points in D are closer to original ones in A with respect to absence of denoising in B; this effect is more visible at the boundary of the point cloud. A more quantitative result is provided by the following SNR computation:

Specifically, we design a signal-dependent feature graph Laplacian regularizer (SDFGLR) that assumes surface normals computed from point coordinates are piecewise smooth with respect to a signal-dependent graph Laplacian matrix.

Finally, we perform analyses based on the SNR and compare our method with different alternatives. In this direction, we first consider the algorithm proposed in Dinesh et al., (2020), in which authors perform a graph Laplacian regularization that starts from the hypothesis that the normals to the surface at the point cloud vertices are smooth w.r.t graph Laplacian. For sake of comparison, we also study the average case, in which we analyze the PC results from the local average of its spatial coordinates. Finally, we consider the VIPDA and the VIPDAfast algorithms. In the simulations, $\mathcal{K}(\|\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{q}_i)\|^2)$ is set equal to a bi-level function, depending on whether $\|\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{q}_i)\|^2$ is above the threshold θ or not. We set t = 10 and $\alpha_0 = 0.9$ on all the data and $\theta = 0.06$, $\mathcal{K} \in \{3, 9\}$ on synthetic data and $\theta = 0.08$, $\mathcal{K} \in \{3, 15\}$ on real data.

In order to perform the computations, we first consider the Stanford bunny point cloud, and we select different levels of SNR, namely $SNR_{noisy} = 35$, 38, 40, 48dB. Then, we take into account different denoising algorithms and report the SNR achieved on the denoised point cloud in **Table 2**. For each method, we compute the SNR as the distance between the reconstructed coordinates and the original ones as

$$SNR = 10 \log_{10} \frac{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1=0}^{N-1} \|\mathbf{p}_i\|^2}{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \|\mathbf{p}_i - \hat{\mathbf{p}}_i\|^2}.$$

Our results show that our denoising method outperforms the alternatives. The method with the parallelization even increases the performances w.r.t. the iterative one. This is due to the particular nature of the point cloud, in which the flat areas and the high curvature areas are relatively easy to distinguish, and the method coarsely operating on the two point sets achieves the best results. This is more clearly highlighted on point clouds acquired on real objects as illustrated in the following: We consider the two other point clouds (Red and Black and Long Dress) for two fixed levels of noise with SNR at 40dB and 48dB. The results are, respectively, reported in Tables 3, 4. SNR values show that the proposed VIPDA, either in its original or fast version, performs better than state-of-the-art competitors in denoising point cloud signals corrupted by Gaussian noise. Finally, we consider a smooth point cloud, namely a sphere with N = 900 points. Also on this smooth point cloud, where the MAHF gives a uniform output and the patch size is fixed, VIPDA achieves an SNR improvement. The correction by VIPDA is restrained to the normal direction and leads to a smooth surface. Thereby, VIPDA achieves a SNR improvement also on smooth surfaces, and an improvement due to the reduction of the normal noise component is observed even in the limit case of a planar mesh.

It is important to mention that the performance of all the methods, including the proposed method, degrades severely if the SNR decreases. This is due to the fact that, in correspondence with low SNR, the positions of the vertices are displaced such that the positions of the noisy points may even exchange with respect to the original ones, and this phenomenon is not recovered even though the MAHF on the signal is recomputed at each iteration. A possible solution to this would be to initially denoise the Laplacian associated to the point cloud graph by leveraging a spectral prior, as in Cattai et al. (2021), or by jointly exploiting the shape and texture information; this latter point is left for future studies.

To sum up, these findings demonstrate the potential of the proposed VIPDA approach for point cloud denoising and pave the way for designing new processing tools for signals defined over non-Euclidean domains.

7 CONCLUSION

This work has presented a novel point cloud denoising approach, the visually driven point cloud denoising algorithm (VIPDA). The proposed method differs from other competitors in linking the denoising with visually relevant features, as estimated by suitable anisotropic angular filters in the vertex domain.

REFERENCES

- Ambike, S., Ilow, J., and Hatzinakos, D. (1994). Detection for Binary Transmission in a Mixture of Gaussian Noise and Impulsive Noise Modeled as an Alpha-Stable Process. *IEEE Signal. Process. Lett.* 1, 55–57. doi:10.1109/97.295323
- Beghdadi, A., Larabi, M.-C., Bouzerdoum, A., and Iftekharuddin, K. M. (2013). A Survey of Perceptual Image Processing Methods. Signal. Processing: Image Commun. 28, 811–831. doi:10.1016/j.image.2013.06.003
- Belkin, M., Sun, J., and Wang, Y. (2009). "Constructing Laplace Operator from point Clouds in R^d," in Proceedings of the Twentieth Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, New York, January 4–6, 2009 (SIAM), 1031–1040.
- Campisi, P., and Scarano, G. (2002). A Multiresolution Approach for Texture Synthesis Using the Circular Harmonic Functions. *IEEE Trans. Image Process.* 11, 37–51. doi:10.1109/83.977881
- Cattai, T., Scarano, G., Corsi, M.-C., Bassett, D., De Vico Fallani, F., and Colonnese, S. (2021). Improving J-Divergence of Brain Connectivity States by Graph Laplacian Denoising. *IEEE Trans. Signal. Inf. Process. Over Networks* 7, 493–508. doi:10.1109/tsipn.2021.3100302
- Chen, H., Wei, M., Sun, Y., Xie, X., and Wang, J. (2019). Multi-patch Collaborative point Cloud Denoising via Low-Rank Recovery with Graph Constraint. *IEEE Trans. Vis. Comput. Graph* 26, 3255–3270. doi:10.1109/TVCG.2019.2920817
- Chen, H., Xu, F., Liu, W., Sun, D., Liu, P. X., Menhas, M. I., et al. (2021). 3d Reconstruction of Unstructured Objects Using Information from Multiple Sensors. *IEEE Sensors J.* 21–26963. doi:10.1109/jsen.2021.3121343.26951
- Colonnese, S., Campisi, P., Panci, G., and Scarano, G. (2004). Blind Image Deblurring Driven by Nonlinear Processing in the Edge Domain. *EURASIP* J. Adv. Signal Process. 2004, 1–14. doi:10.1155/s1110865704404132

VIPDA leverages properties inspired by those of the human visual system (HVS), and it is viable for application on the texture and geometry data defined over a point cloud. The VIPDA approach leads to smooth denoised surfaces since it iteratively corrects the noise component normal to the manifold underlying the point cloud by projecting the observed noisy vertex toward the plane tangent to the underlying manifold surface. The performance of VIPDA has been numerically assessed on real open access data and compared with state-of-the-art alternatives.

The proposed algorithm is effective in denoising real point cloud data, and thereby it makes point cloud modeling more suitable for real applications. Our findings pave the way to HVSinspired point cloud processing, both for enhancement and restoration purposes, by suitable anisotropic angular filtering.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Publicly available datasets were analyzed in this study. These data can be found at: https://mpeg-pcc.org/index.php/pcc-content-database/.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

TC and SC conceived and designed the analysis; AD collected the data, performed the analysis, and contributed to the algorithm definition; GS contributed to the analysis tools; and TC and SC wrote the manuscript.

- Colonnese, S., Randi, R., Rinauro, S., and Scarano, G. (2010). "Fast Image Interpolation Using Circular Harmonic Functions," in 2010 2nd European Workshop on Visual Information Processing (EUVIP), Paris, France, 5–7 July 2010 (IEEE), 114–118. doi:10.1109/euvip.2010.5699119
- Colonnese, S., Rinauro, S., and Scarano, G. (2013). Bayesian Image Interpolation Using Markov Random fields Driven by Visually Relevant Image Features. Signal. Processing: Image Commun. 28, 967–983. doi:10.1016/j.image.2012. 07.001
- Conti, F., Scarano, G., and Colonnese, S. (2021). "Multiscale Anisotropic Harmonic Filters on Non Euclidean Domains," in 2021 29th European Signal Processing Conference (EUSIPCO) (Virtual Conference), (IEEE), 701–705.
- d'Eon, E., Harrison, B., Myers, T., and Chou, P. A. (2017). 8i Voxelized Full Bodies-A Voxelized point Cloud Dataset. ISO/IEC JTC1/SC29 Joint WG11/WG1 (MPEG/JPEG) input document WG11M40059/WG1M74006 7, 8
- Dai, Y., Wen, C., Wu, H., Guo, Y., Chen, L., and Wang, C. (2021). "Indoor 3d Human Trajectory Reconstruction Using Surveillance Camera Videos and point Clouds," in *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology*. doi:10.1109/tcsvt.2021.3081591
- de Hoog, J., Ahmed, A. N., Anwar, A., Latré, S., and Hellinckx, P. (2021). "Qualityaware Compression of point Clouds with Google Draco," in Advances on P2P, Parallel, Grid, Cloud and Internet Computing. 3PGCIC 2021. Lecture Notes in Networks and Systems. Editor L. Barolli (Cham: Springer) 343, 227–236. doi:10. 1007/978-3-030-89899-1_23
- Dinesh, C., Cheung, G., and Bajic, I. V. (2020). Point Cloud Denoising via Feature Graph Laplacian Regularization. *IEEE Trans. Image Process.* 29, 4143–4158. doi:10.1109/tip.2020.2969052
- Diniz, R., Farias, M. Q., and Garcia-Freitas, P. (2021). "Color and Geometry Texture Descriptors for point-cloud Quality Assessment," in *IEEE Signal Processing Letters*. doi:10.1109/lsp.2021.3088059

- Erçelik, E., Yurtsever, E., and Knoll, A. (2021). 3d Object Detection with Multi-Frame Rgb-Lidar Feature Alignment. *IEEE Access* 9, 143138–143149. doi:10. 1109/ACCESS.2021.3120261
- Friedlander, B. (1984). On the Cramer- Rao Bound for Time Delay and Doppler Estimation (Corresp.). *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theor.* 30, 575–580. doi:10.1109/tit. 1984.1056901
- Hammond, D. K., Vandergheynst, P., and Gribonval, R. (2011). Wavelets on Graphs via Spectral Graph Theory. *Appl. Comput. Harmonic Anal.* 30, 129–150. doi:10.1016/j.acha.2010.04.005
- Hoppe, H., DeRose, T., Duchamp, T., McDonald, J., and Stuetzle, W. (1992). Surface Reconstruction from Unorganized Points. ACM SIGGRAPH Comput. Graph. 26, 71–78. doi:10.1145/142920.134011
- Hou, T., and Qin, H. (2012). Continuous and Discrete Mexican Hat Wavelet Transforms on Manifolds. *Graphical Models* 74, 221–232. doi:10.1016/j.gmod. 2012.04.010
- Hu, W., Hu, Q., Wang, Z., and Gao, X. (2021a). Dynamic point Cloud Denoising via Manifold-To-Manifold Distance. *IEEE Trans. Image Process.* 30, 6168–6183. doi:10.1109/tip.2021.3092826
- Hu, W., Pang, J., Liu, X., Tian, D., Lin, C.-W., and Vetro, A. (2021b). "Graph Signal Processing for Geometric Data and beyond: Theory and Applications," in *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia*. doi:10.1109/tmm.2021.3111440
- Huang, J., Choudhury, P. K., Yin, S., and Zhu, L. (2021). Real-time Road Curb and Lane Detection for Autonomous Driving Using Lidar point Clouds. *IEEE Access* 9, 144940–144951. doi:10.1109/access.2021.3120741
- Huang, S.-G., Lyu, I., Qiu, A., and Chung, M. K. (2020). Fast Polynomial Approximation of Heat Kernel Convolution on Manifolds and its Application to Brain Sulcal and Gyral Graph Pattern Analysis. *IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging* 39, 2201–2212. doi:10.1109/tmi.2020.2967451
- Huang, T., Li, R., Li, Y., Zhang, X., and Liao, H. (2021). Augmented Reality-Based Autostereoscopic Surgical Visualization System for Telesurgery. *Int. J. Comput. Assist. Radiol. Surg.* 16, 1985–1997. doi:10.1007/s11548-021-02463-5
- Irfan, M. A., and Magli, E. (2021a). Exploiting Color for Graph-Based 3d point Cloud Denoising. J. Vis. Commun. Image Representation 75, 103027. doi:10. 1016/j.jvcir.2021.103027
- Irfan, M. A., and Magli, E. (2021b). Joint Geometry and Color point Cloud Denoising Based on Graph Wavelets. *IEEE Access* 9, 21149–21166. doi:10. 1109/access.2021.3054171
- Jacovitti, G., and Neri, A. (2000). Multiresolution Circular Harmonic Decomposition. *IEEE Trans. Signal. Process.* 48, 3242–3247. doi:10.1109/78. 875481
- Ji, T., Guo, Y., Wang, Q., Wang, X., and Li, P. (2021). Economy: Point Clouds-Based Energy-Efficient Autonomous Navigation for Uavs. *IEEE Trans. Netw. Sci. Eng.* 8 (4), 2885–2896. doi:10.1109/tnse.2021.3049263
- Neri, A., and Jacovitti, G. (2004). Maximum Likelihood Localization of 2-d Patterns in the Gauss-Laguerre Transform Domain: Theoretic Framework and Preliminary Results. *IEEE Trans. Image Process.* 13, 72–86. doi:10.1109/ tip.2003.818021
- Panci, G., Campisi, P., Colonnese, S., and Scarano, G. (2003). Multichannel Blind Image Deconvolution Using the Bussgang Algorithm: Spatial and Multiresolution Approaches. *IEEE Trans. Image Process.* 12, 1324–1337. doi:10.1109/tip.2003.818022

- Ramalho, E., Peixoto, E., and Medeiros, E. (2021). Silhouette 4d with Context Selection: Lossless Geometry Compression of Dynamic point Clouds. *IEEE Signal. Process. Lett.* 28, 1660–1664. doi:10.1109/lsp.2021. 3102525
- Rist, C., Emmerichs, D., Enzweiler, M., and Gavrila, D. (2021). "Semantic Scene Completion Using Local Deep Implicit Functions on Lidar Data," in *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*. doi:10.1109/tpami. 2021.3095302
- Sun, X., Wang, S., Wang, M., Cheng, S. S., and Liu, M. (2020). "An Advanced Lidar point Cloud Sequence Coding Scheme for Autonomous Driving," in Proceedings of the 28th ACM International Conference on Multimedia, Seattle, WA, USA, October 12–16, 2020, 2793–2801. doi:10.1145/3394171. 3413537
- Turk, G., and Levoy, M. (1994). "Zippered Polygon Meshes from Range Images," in Proceedings of the 21st annual conference on Computer graphics and interactive techniques, Orlando, Florida, July 24–29, 1994. Computer Graphics Proceedings, Annual Conference Series (ACM SIGGRAPH), 311–318. doi:10.1145/192161.192241
- Wu, J., Li, L., Dong, W., Shi, G., Lin, W., and Kuo, C.-C. J. (2017). Enhanced Just Noticeable Difference Model for Images with Pattern Complexity. *IEEE Trans. Image Process.* 26, 2682–2693. doi:10.1109/tip.2017.2685682
- Xiong, J., Gao, H., Wang, M., Li, H., and Lin, W. (2021). "Occupancy Map Guided Fast Video-Based Dynamic point Cloud Coding," in *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology*.
- Yang, Q., Ma, Z., Xu, Y., Li, Z., and Sun, J. (2020). "Inferring point Cloud Quality via Graph Similarity," in *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*.
- Yu, K., Gorbachev, G., Eck, U., Pankratz, F., Navab, N., and Roth, D. (2021). Avatars for Teleconsultation: Effects of Avatar Embodiment Techniques on User Perception in 3d Asymmetric Telepresence. *IEEE Trans. Vis. Comput. Graphics* 27, 4129–4139. doi:10.1109/tvcg.2021.3106480
- Zhu, D., Chen, H., Wang, W., Xie, H., Cheng, G., Wei, M., et al. (2022). "Non-local Low-Rank point Cloud Denoising for 3d Measurement Surfaces," in *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*. doi:10.1109/tim.2021.3139686

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors, and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Cattai, Delfino, Scarano and Colonnese. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.