



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY Anju Gupta, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, India

*CORRESPONDENCE
Barbara Sena

☑ barbara.sena@unibg.it

RECEIVED 05 August 2025 ACCEPTED 20 August 2025 PUBLISHED 03 September 2025

CITATION

Sena B, De Luca E and Giarelli G (2025) Editorial: Palliative and end-of-life care in the post-pandemic era: old problems and new perspectives. *Front. Sociol.* 10:1679984. doi: 10.3389/fsoc.2025.1679984

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Sena, De Luca and Giarelli. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these

Editorial: Palliative and end-of-life care in the post-pandemic era: old problems and new perspectives

Barbara Sena^{1*}, Enrico De Luca² and Guido Giarelli³

- ¹Department of Letters, Philosophy, Communication, University of Bergamo, Bergamo, Italy,
- ²Department of Nursing and Midwifery, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom,
- ³Department of Health Sciences, University 'Magna Graecia' of Catanzaro, Catanzaro, Italy

KEYWORDS

end-of-life care, palliative care, post-COVID, health services, health policies and management

Editorial on the Research Topic

Palliative and end-of-life care in the post-pandemic era: old problems and new perspectives

The problem of prolonging life or accompanying death is not new in the fields of social science and healthcare and has been a subject of discussion for many years. It remains one of the most emblematic issues in modern medical science, which Illich (1976) defined as the "medicalisation of dying," highlighting its impact on how death is approached in modern culture. Sociological interest in this topic began with the work of Glaser and Strauss (1965) on awareness of dying and continues today with studies on the challenges of managing dying patients in various care settings (e.g., Sudnow, 1967; Broom, 2015; Bandini, 2020; Sena and De Luca, 2022).

In this context, palliative care (initially known as "terminal care") has become synonymous with end-of-life management. It can be considered a *postmodern* specialty because it lacks a specific disease, bodily organ, or life stage to call its own. Therefore, it continues to be subject to prejudice, which relegates the role of palliative care to only treating pain in dying patients (Masel and Kreye, 2018).

During the most critical phases of the COVID-19 pandemic, managing the end-of-life became exceedingly complex, especially in emergency wards and intensive care units. This complexity extended even to countries and regions that had previously experienced high mortality rates and whose healthcare workers were well-versed in providing compassionate palliative care, despite resource limitations and during humanitarian crises. However, the significant global rise in COVID-19-related deaths further intensified and complicated the delivery of end-of-life and palliative care, requiring innovative approaches beyond existing ones. Many healthcare professionals were unprepared to navigate the ethical dilemmas between proper treatment and a dignified death (Nicoli and Gasparetto, 2020). As healthcare resources and facilities faced unprecedented pressure, valuable lessons could be learned from models of care in other settings around the world.

Three years after the pandemic, the field of end-of-life and palliative care remains underexplored in health sociology. This Research Topic addresses this gap with seven contributions.

Sena et al. 10.3389/fsoc.2025.1679984

The first article, by Clancy et al., presented a co-produced Creative Toolkit© to support the wellbeing of palliative care professionals, especially in relation to COVID-19-related stress. This arts-based resource, incorporating music, visuals, and theater, addressed holistic needs. Organizations such as Hospiscare UK have reported emotional benefits and stronger team bonds as a result of using the toolkit. The study aligns with wider evidence on the role of art in mental health and calls for its broader implementation.

The second article, by Hodge et al., examined the barriers to palliative care in the South-West of England—a region that is facing aging and rural healthcare challenges. Through 13 focus groups, the authors identified issues such as poor out-of-hours services, fragmented communication, and discomfort around death. The authors called for more coordinated, person-centered practices that reflect patient values and support equitable, compassionate end-of-life experiences.

Next, Zhang et al. analyzed the attitudes toward palliative care of 541 cancer patients in China, using a multi-method approach. Despite its benefits, acceptance was low. Education, occupation, caregiving experience, insurance, cancer stage, and anxiety influenced perceptions. From a sociological perspective, the study shows how culture and inequality shape understanding and stresses the need for education and culturally sensitive approaches.

Juan et al. addressed Singapore's underuse of palliative services, attributing it to limited provider knowledge. Through a nationwide cross-sectional online survey conducted among primary and tertiary healthcare providers, the authors assessed the challenges they face, their palliative education, their confidence in managing patients undergoing palliative care, and their knowledge of palliative surgery. Their findings confirm that healthcare providers in Singapore have poor knowledge of, and misconceptions about, palliative care and surgery, making it essential to improve awareness and education among those caring for seriously ill adults.

Barasteh et al. explored Iran's palliative care landscape through a three-phase qualitative study (2018–2020), outlining future scenarios up to 2030. Their results show that the development of palliative care within the Iranian healthcare system faces serious uncertainties and that palliative care development efforts need to focus on two axes: social acceptance and the need for consistent governance by the Ministry of Health.

Vitorino et al. reflected on how the disruption caused by the COVID-19 pandemic to end-of-life care highlighted the importance of strengthening community support networks (comprising family, friends, neighbors, and community members) as the foundation of compassionate community efforts to enhance their capacity to care for others and improve the overall experience of death, including the process of dying and the ensuing bereavement period. Therefore, active community participation and death education can strengthen a community's capacity to assist those coping with death, dying, and bereavement.

The latest article written by De Luca et al. introduced the final article introduced spiritual care models in palliative care. While Western healthcare increasingly recognizes the importance of spirituality, its integration into daily practice remains rare. Palliative care, however, has begun to address the psychological and existential dimensions of care through holistic models that transcend biomedical frameworks. This concept analysis

examines the challenges faced by clinicians when implementing shared, patient-centered spiritual practices. Drawing on case studies from Thailand and Italy, and introducing two UK-based conceptual models, this analysis advocates for shared, patient-centered spiritual practices and assessments These models enable meaningful dialogue, enhancing the therapeutic relationship and fostering compassionate, person-centered care.

The variety of contexts, approaches and issues addressed in these articles demonstrates the growing attention being given to end-of-life care and management issues in Western and Eastern contexts alike. The aim of this Research Topic was therefore to draw the attention of medical sociology scholars to this topic, in the hope of encouraging further research.

Author contributions

BS: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. ED: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. GG: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

Generative Al statement

The author(s) declare that no Gen AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Any alternative text (alt text) provided alongside figures in this article has been generated by Frontiers with the support of artificial intelligence and reasonable efforts have been made to ensure accuracy, including review by the authors wherever possible. If you identify any issues, please contact us.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Sena et al. 10.3389/fsoc.2025.1679984

References

Bandini, J. I. (2020). Negotiating the 'buffet' of choice: advances in technology and end-of-life decision-making in the intensive care unit setting. *Sociol. Health Illness* 42, 877–891. doi: 10.1111/1467-9566. 13068

Broom, A. (2015). Dying: A Social Perspective on the End of Life, 1st Edn. London: Routledge. doi: 10.4324/9781315578293

Glaser, B. G., and Strauss, A. L. (1965). Awareness of Dying. Chicago, IL: Aldine de Gruyter.

Illich, I. (1976). Limits to Medicine: Medical Nemesis, the Expropriation of Health. London: Marion Boyars Publishers. doi: 10.1097/00004010-197700000-00017

Masel, E. K., and Kreye, G. (2018). Demystification of palliative care: what palliative care teams don't want you to think about them. Memo~11,~193-195. doi: 10.1007/s12254-018-0420-2

Nicoli, F., and Gasparetto, A. (2020). Italy in a time of emergency and scarce resources: the need for embedding ethical reflection in social and clinical settings. *J. Clin. Ethics* 31, 92–94. doi: 10.1086/JCE2020311092

Sena, B., and De Luca, E. (2022). Managing the end of life in COVID patients. The role of palliative care in emergency departments during the pandemic. *Front. Sociol.* 7:1039003. doi: 10.3389/fsoc.2022.1039003

Sudnow, D. (1967). Passing on: The Social Organization of Dying. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.