



Hip Torque Is a Mechanistic Link Between Sprint Acceleration and Maximum Velocity Performance: A Theoretical Perspective

Kenneth P. Clark^{1*} and Laurence J. Ryan²

¹ Human Performance Laboratory, Department of Kinesiology, West Chester University, West Chester, PA, United States,

² Independent Researcher, Dallas, TX, United States

Sprinting performance is critical for a variety of sports and competitive activities. Prior research has demonstrated correlations between the limits of initial acceleration and maximum velocity for athletes of different sprinting abilities. Our perspective is that hip torque is a mechanistic link between these performance limits. A theoretical framework is presented here that provides estimates of sprint acceleration capability based on thigh angular acceleration and hip torque during the swing phase while running at maximum velocity. Performance limits were calculated using basic anthropometric values (body mass and leg length) and maximum velocity kinematic values (contact time, thigh range of motion, and stride frequency) from previously published sprint data. The proposed framework provides a mechanistic link between maximum acceleration and maximum velocity, and also explains why time constant values (τ , ratio of the velocity limit to acceleration limit) for sprint performance curves are generally close to one-second even for athletes with vastly different sprinting abilities. This perspective suggests that specific training protocols targeted to improve thigh angular acceleration and hip torque capability will benefit both acceleration and maximum velocity phases of a sprint.

Keywords: bipedal gait, sprinting, force, acceleration, running biomechanics

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Brian Hanley,
Leeds Beckett University,
United Kingdom

Reviewed by:

Datao Xu,
Ningbo University, China

*Correspondence:

Kenneth P. Clark
kclark@wcupa.edu

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to
Biomechanics and Control of Human
Movement,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Sports and Active Living

Received: 16 May 2022

Accepted: 23 June 2022

Published: 12 July 2022


Citation:

Clark KP and Ryan LJ (2022) Hip
Torque Is a Mechanistic Link Between
Sprint Acceleration and Maximum
Velocity Performance: A Theoretical
Perspective.
Front. Sports Act. Living 4:945688.
doi: 10.3389/fspor.2022.945688

INTRODUCTION

Linear speed is a key variable determining athletic performance. Sprinting ability may differentiate athletes of various sports, positions, and playing levels (Cometti et al., 2001; Sierer et al., 2008; Vescovi, 2012; Cross et al., 2015; Wild et al., 2018; Watkins et al., 2021). The importance of linear speed has generated numerous studies examining the different phases of a sprint, as indicated by recent advances in force-velocity profiling. While prior research has demonstrated correlations between initial acceleration and maximum velocity performance (Vescovi and Mcguigan, 2008; Mendez-Villanueva et al., 2011; Buchheit et al., 2014; Clark et al., 2019), formal mechanistic connections linking the acceleration and maximum velocity phases have not been fully established.

As shown in **Figure 1A**, a runner performing a maximum effort sprint from a stationary start to maximum velocity consistently produces an exponential velocity-time curve (Furusawa et al., 1927; Di Prampero et al., 2005; Samozino et al., 2016; Cross et al., 2017; Morin et al., 2019). This curve is defined by the maximum limits of acceleration (a_0) and velocity (v_0) with an exponential time constant ($\tau = v_0/a_0$) (Chelly and Denis, 2001). During the sprint, the average horizontal force



for each step decreases linearly as running velocity increases (Samozino et al., 2016; Morin et al., 2019). As shown in **Figure 1B**, since maximum horizontal acceleration (m/s^2) is equivalent to the maximum horizontal force relative to body mass (N/kg), the resultant acceleration-velocity curve can be defined by the limits a_0 and v_0 with a negative linear slope ($S_{fv} = -a_0/v_0 = -1/\tau$). Mean values for τ and S_{fv} , either directly reported or calculated from published maximum velocity and

maximum force data, consistently have a magnitude of around one ($\tau \approx 1 \text{ s}$ or $S_{fv} \approx -1 \text{ s}^{-1}$) even for athletes from a variety of sports with vastly different sprinting abilities (Cross et al., 2015; Rabita et al., 2015; Slawinski et al., 2017a; Jiménez-Reyes et al., 2018; Haugen et al., 2019; Healy et al., 2019; Morin et al., 2019; Watkins et al., 2021; Edwards et al., 2022). This indicates that the acceleration and velocity performance limits are related and generally proportional.

One commonality between these distinct performance limits is that the hip requires torque capability for maximum propulsion during ground-contact of initial acceleration and also for rapidly repositioning the legs during the swing phase as maximum velocity is attained (Holmlund and von Hertzen, 1997; Nagahara et al., 2017, 2020). During a maximum effort sprint from a stationary start, horizontal force accelerates the body forward (Rabita et al., 2015) as the center of mass (COM) rises slightly (Nagahara et al., 2014). During the initial steps, the COM has angular acceleration as it rotates about the fixed contact point on the ground established by the leg, similar to an inverted

Abbreviations: a_0 , maximum propulsive acceleration limit (m/s^2); BMI, body mass index (kg/m^2); COM, center of mass; F_0 , maximum propulsive force limit (N); f_{str} , stride frequency (one-half the step frequency) (strides/s or Hz); h_b , body height (m); I_{com} , moment of inertia of the COM ($\text{kg}\cdot\text{m}^2$); I_{leg} , moment of inertia of the extended leg ($\text{kg}\cdot\text{m}^2$); L_c , ground-contact length (m); L_{leg} , leg length (m); m_b , body mass (kg); m_{leg} , leg mass (kg); S_{fv} , slope of acceleration-velocity curve (s^{-1}); t , time (s); t_c , ground-contact time (s); v_0 , maximum velocity limit (m/s); α_{com} , maximum angular acceleration of COM (rad/s^2); α_{leg} , maximum angular acceleration of extended leg (rad/s^2); α_{max} , maximum angular acceleration of thigh (rad/s^2); θ_t , total thigh range of motion (rad); ρ , radius of gyration from the proximal end (m); τ , time constant of acceleration-velocity curve (s).

pendulum (Jacobs and van Ingen Schenau, 1992). After the first few steps, runners quickly establish a thigh angular range of motion and stride frequency that is nearly constant (Nagahara et al., 2014, 2017). Runners with faster maximum sprinting speed generally exhibit larger values of maximum thigh range of motion and frequency (Clark et al., 2020). This is indicative of the rapid thigh reversal occurring at peak flexion and extension (Mann et al., 1986; Nagahara et al., 2017; Clark et al., 2020; Kakehata et al., 2021), and can be quantified by the maximum angular acceleration value (Clark et al., 2021) and corresponding torque.

The purpose of this perspective is to provide a theoretical framework that considers the torque capability of the hip as a mechanistic link between maximum acceleration and maximum velocity performance. The analysis will show that the maximum horizontal acceleration limit during ground-contact can be estimated using the maximum angular acceleration of the leg during the swing phase. The analysis will also demonstrate that the time constant τ can be expressed in terms of basic kinematic variables and maintains a mean value of around one-second over the normal range of gait values. This perspective suggests that the a_0 and v_0 performance limit values are fundamentally proportional and that specific training protocols targeted to improve hip torque capability would benefit both the acceleration phase and maximum velocity phase for an athlete.

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

Newton's second law for a rotating system defines the torque as the product of the moment of inertia (I) and angular acceleration (α) about the axis of rotation. We apply Newton's second law for two specific conditions of the sprint. The first condition is during the ground-contact phase of the first few steps of initial acceleration. Using an inverted pendulum model for general bipedal locomotion, torque at the hip joint results in a rotation of the line from the fixed contact point on the ground to the body's COM (Raibert et al., 1989; McGeer, 1990; Pratt, 2000). Thus, during acceleration, the body's COM has forward translation and angular acceleration as it sweeps through an angle relative to the ground-contact point of the leg (Jacobs and van Ingen Schenau, 1992). The second condition is during the swing phase at maximum velocity. Using a sinusoidal model for thigh motion, the angular acceleration at the hip joint approaches maximum values as the thigh reaches peak flexion and extension (Clark et al., 2021). Our perspective is that the torque limit at the hip joint is equivalent for the first and second conditions described above:

$$I_{com} \alpha_{com} = I_{leg} \alpha_{leg} \quad (1)$$

where I_{com} is the moment of inertia of the body COM ($\text{kg}\cdot\text{m}^2$), α_{com} is the maximum angular acceleration of the COM (rad/s^2), I_{leg} is the moment of inertia of the extended leg ($\text{kg}\cdot\text{m}^2$), and α_{leg} is the maximum angular acceleration of the leg (rad/s^2).

The linear acceleration of the COM when the leg is in contact with the ground is:

$$a_0 = \alpha_{com} L_{com} = \frac{I_{leg} \alpha_{leg}}{I_{com}} L_{com} \quad (2)$$

where a_0 is the maximum propulsive acceleration limit (m/s^2), α_{com} is the maximum angular acceleration of the COM derived from Equation 1, and L_{leg} is leg length (m).

The moment of inertia of the body as represented by the point COM is:

$$I_{com} = m_b L_{leg}^2 \quad (3)$$

where m_b is body mass (kg).

While running at or near maximum velocity, each thigh rotates about the hip axis with sinusoidal motion (Mann et al., 1986; Clark et al., 2020). The angular motion of the thigh coincides with the angular motion of the leg when extended during swing phase. Thus, the maximum angular acceleration of the leg at peak flexion or extension is (Clark et al., 2021):

$$\alpha_{leg} = \alpha_{max} = 2 \pi^2 \theta_t f_{str}^2 \quad (4)$$

where α_{max} is the maximum angular acceleration of the thigh (rad/s^2), θ_t is the total thigh range of motion (rad) and f_{str} is the stride frequency which is one-half the step frequency (strides/s or Hz).

The moment of inertia for the extended leg is:

$$I_{leg} = m_{leg} \rho^2 = 0.161 m_b (0.560 L_{leg})^2 \approx 0.05 m_b L_{leg}^2 \quad (5)$$

where m_{leg} is leg mass (kg) and ρ is the radius of gyration from the proximal end (m). Standard anthropometric data are used for $\rho = 0.560 \bullet L_{leg}$ and $m_{leg} = 0.161 \bullet m_b$ (Winter, 2009, Table 4.1 for the total leg).

The relationships from Equations 3–5 can then be inserted into Equation 2 for the maximum propulsive acceleration limit:

$$a_0 = \frac{(0.05 m_b L_{leg}^2)(2 \pi^2 \theta_t f_{str}^2)}{(m_b L_{leg}^2)} L_{leg} \approx L_{leg} \theta_t f_{str}^2 \quad (6)$$

Thus, a_0 can be calculated from leg length and maximum velocity kinematic values of thigh range of motion and stride frequency. The resultant value for a_0 can also be equivalently expressed in units of normalized force (F_0/m_b , N/kg) using Newton's second law.

Velocity can be defined in terms of basic gait variables using the relationship (Cavagna et al., 1976):

$$v_0 = \frac{L_c}{t_c} \approx \frac{L_{leg}}{t_c} \quad (7)$$

where v_0 is the maximum velocity limit (m/s), L_c is the ground-contact length (m), t_c is the ground-contact time (s), and $L_c \approx L_{leg}$.

The time constant (τ) for the linear acceleration-velocity curve and the exponential velocity-time curve (**Figure 1**) can be expressed as:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau &= \frac{v_0}{a_0} = \frac{v_0}{L_{leg} \theta_t f_{str}^2} = \frac{L_c}{t_c L_{leg} \theta_t f_{str}^2} \\ &= \frac{L_c/L_{leg}}{t_c \theta_t f_{str}^2} \approx \frac{1}{t_c \theta_t f_{str}^2} \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

using Equations 6-7. Thus, τ can be calculated from three basic kinematic gait variables (t_c , θ_t , and f_{str}) which are measured during the maximum velocity phase of the sprint.

PERFORMANCE CALCULATIONS USING THE THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

In the first section of **Table 1**, we evaluated Equation 8 across an array of input values for t_c , θ_t , and f_{str} based on previously published data (Nagahara et al., 2014; Mann and Murphy, 2018; Murphy et al., 2021; Clark et al., 2020) to establish the general range of τ values under normal sprint conditions. Note that mathematically, stride frequency is a function of contact and flight time, and given relatively consistent flight times across a range of top speeds (Weyand et al., 2000), briefer t_c will be associated with faster f_{str} . The listed values for θ_t represent a maximum thigh range of motion ranging from 1.5 to 1.9 radians (approximately 85 to 110 degrees). Across the array of input values, the resultant τ values maintained a relatively narrow range from 0.94 to 1.29 s with a mean value of 1.11 s.

In the second section of **Table 1**, we calculated outputs for v_0 , a_0 , and τ using inputs h_b , m_b , L_{leg} , t_c , θ_t , and f_{str} for four

athletes with representative data. Anthropometric data for height (h_b) and body mass (m_b) were based on a body mass index (BMI = m_b/h_b^2) of $\sim 23 \text{ kg/m}^2$ and leg length was calculated as $L_{leg} = 0.53 \bullet h_b$ (Winter, 2009, Figure 4.1). Kinematic values for t_c , θ_t , and f_{str} were based on previously published data (Nagahara et al., 2014; Mann and Murphy, 2018; Murphy et al., 2021; Clark et al., 2020) for fast, intermediate, and slow athletes over a range of body dimensions. The resultant τ values maintained a range from 1.15 to 1.25 s across the range of input values. The different sprint performances from the representative athletes are illustrated by the velocity-time curves in **Figure 1C** and the acceleration-velocity curves in **Figure 1D**.

The resulting output values in **Table 1** are in close agreement with previously published data. Values for a_0 or normalized F_0 (N/kg) are similar to those from several recent experimental investigations (Cross et al., 2015; Rabita et al., 2015; Slawinski et al., 2017a; Haugen et al., 2019; Morin et al., 2019, 2021; Watkins et al., 2021). Values for τ are slightly greater than one-second, agreeing with experimentally determined values (Healy et al., 2019; Morin et al., 2019), or values calculated from previously published maximum velocity and maximum force data (Cross et al., 2015; Rabita et al., 2015; Slawinski et al., 2017a; Haugen et al., 2019; Watkins et al., 2021; Edwards et al., 2022).

TABLE 1 | Performance calculations using the theoretical framework.

Evaluation of Equation 8 across a range of fundamental gait parameters									
Input variables							Output variables		
	t_c (s)		θ_t (rad)		f_{str} (Hz)		τ (s)		
	0.090		1.50		2.40		1.29		
	0.090		1.70		2.40		1.13		
	0.090		1.90		2.40		1.02		
	0.110		1.50		2.20		1.25		
	0.110		1.70		2.20		1.10		
	0.110		1.90		2.20		0.99		
	0.140		1.50		2.00		1.19		
	0.140		1.70		2.00		1.05		
	0.140		1.90		2.00		0.94		

Calculated performance outputs for four athletes using representative data									
Input variables							Output variables		
Athlete	h_b (m)	m_b (kg)	L_{leg} (m)	t_c (s)	θ_t (rad)	f_{str} (Hz)	v_0 (m/s)	a_0 (m/s ²)	τ (s)
A	1.80	75.0	0.95	0.080	1.8	2.4	11.9	9.9	1.21
B	1.65	63.0	0.87	0.083	1.8	2.4	10.5	9.1	1.16
C	1.80	75.0	0.95	0.106	1.7	2.2	9.0	7.8	1.15
D	1.65	63.0	0.87	0.125	1.6	2.0	7.0	5.6	1.25

Variables: h_b = body height, m_b = body mass, L_{leg} = leg length, t_c = ground-contact time at maximum velocity, θ_t = total thigh range of motion at maximum velocity, f_{str} = stride frequency at maximum velocity, v_0 = maximum velocity limit, a_0 = maximum sprint acceleration limit equivalent to F_0 normalized to body mass (N/kg), and τ = time constant. Values for input variables t_c , θ_t , and f_{str} are based on Nagahara et al. (2014), Mann and Murphy (2018), Clark et al. (2020) and Murphy et al. (2021) to correspond with maximum velocities ranging from approximately 7.0 to 12.0 m/s.

Output variables are calculated based on input variables using Equations 6–8.

DISCUSSION

Theoretical Framework Considerations

The linear force-velocity relationship during maximum effort sprinting (**Figure 1B**) has been studied and validated for almost a century (Furusawa et al., 1927). The initial push-off step in a sprint start utilizes both legs before transitioning to one leg to generate the propulsive force. Subsequent steps include both propulsive and braking forces as the runner moves faster while transitioning into a more upright position. The average horizontal force during each step decreases linearly until it approaches zero near maximum velocity. Mathematically, the limit F_0 is the projected y-axis intercept where the initial velocity is zero (**Figure 1B**). Our framework estimates this limit and establishes F_0 as the maximum propulsive force that can be applied by each leg. The corresponding limit of acceleration ($a_0 = F_0/m_b$) can then be determined.

In a typical field setting, the velocity-time curve is measured using a timing system, radar or laser gun, robotic tether system, or similar technology. The limit values for a_0 , v_0 , and $\tau = v_0/a_0$ are then determined using least-squares regression of the measured velocity curve (**Figure 1A**). Several external factors may cause measured values for a_0 to differ from our estimated limit values. Lower measured values of a_0 may occur if there is insufficient friction between the ground and foot, if the athlete starts from a standing two-point stance (Slawinski et al., 2017b), or when testing developmental athletes (Cahill et al., 2020; Feser et al., 2021) who may have less experience in starting technique. Additionally, athletes with higher estimated a_0 capability may control the initial horizontal acceleration to optimize the projection angle of the COM (Kugler and Janshen, 2010), also resulting in lower measured values of a_0 . Another important factor is the initiation of the timing system. If the timing mechanism is triggered from a timing gate or when the athlete's base hand lifts off the ground, higher measured values of a_0 may be obtained if force production and initial forward acceleration of the COM begin prior to the timing trigger (Clark et al., 2019).

In addition to the above considerations, there were several simplifications used in this framework that enabled fundamental gait parameters to evaluate the performance limits: (1) during the ground-contact phase in initial acceleration, the body was considered as a point COM sweeping through an angle established by the line from the fixed contact point on the ground to the body's COM; (2) during the swing phase at maximum velocity, angular acceleration was derived from the sinusoidal motion of each thigh rotating about the hip axis; (3) leg length was considered equivalent to L_{leg} during the peak propulsive period in the ground-contact phase and during the regions of maximum angular acceleration in the swing phase; (4) the distance traveled by the COM during ground-contact was considered equivalent to L_{leg} ; and (5) air friction was considered negligible. These approximations allowed a simple analytical framework, requiring only inputs of anthropometric values (m_b , L_{leg}) and maximum velocity kinematic values (t_c , θ_t , f_{str}), to establish hip torque as a mechanistic link between maximum acceleration and maximum velocity performance.

Practical Applications

Prior investigations have established a correlation between performance in the acceleration and maximum velocity phases, although the strength of this relationship may depend on the demographics of the sample population (Vescovi and Mcguigan, 2008; Mendez-Villanueva et al., 2011; Buchheit et al., 2014; Clark et al., 2019). While correlational analyses may be sample-dependent, our framework provides a mechanistic link between acceleration and maximum velocity phases. **Table 1** demonstrates the relatively narrow range of τ values calculated from normal maximum velocity kinematic values based on previously published data. Furthermore, despite the different sprint performances expected from the representative athletes as illustrated by the velocity-time curves in **Figure 1C**, the acceleration-velocity curves of the representative data in **Figure 1D** demonstrate the general proportionality of a_0 and v_0 with a range of τ values slightly greater than one-second (**Table 1**). Therefore, this framework presents a macro-level explanation for why group mean τ and S_{fv} values are usually around one ($\tau \approx 1$ s or $S_{fv} \approx -1$ s⁻¹) agreeing with experimentally determined values (Healy et al., 2019; Morin et al., 2019), or values calculated from previously published maximum velocity and maximum force data (Cross et al., 2015; Rabita et al., 2015; Slawinski et al., 2017a; Haugen et al., 2019; Watkins et al., 2021; Edwards et al., 2022).

However, inter-individual differences in force-velocity profiles certainly exist within athletic populations, and are important for specific training prescription (Morin and Samozino, 2016). Our framework could potentially supplement existing force-velocity profiling methods by determining whether athletes are performing up to their F_0 or a_0 capabilities. For an individual athlete, input parameters can be determined from anthropometric dimensions and kinematic measurements at maximum velocity, generating outputs of a_0 to estimate limits of acceleration performance. If the athlete's measured a_0 does not align with the estimated output value, it may indicate that acceleration performance can be improved through additional practice and increased motor skill competency. This may be especially true for developmental athletes who have the capacity to produce high values of α_{max} during maximum velocity sprinting, but may not have the technical proficiency to translate this hip torque into high levels of F_0 or a_0 values during the sprint start.

Finally, our analysis suggests that both the acceleration and maximum velocity phases of a sprint will benefit from specific training protocols targeted to improve hip torque capability. Classic research has indicated that extensor forces get transmitted from the hip to the ground in a proximal-to-distal sequence (Jacobs and van Ingen Schenau, 1992; Jacobs et al., 1996). More recent investigations have demonstrated that the hip extensor (hamstring) muscles play an important role in horizontal force production during sprint accelerations (Morin et al., 2015). Additionally, both the hip extensors and hip flexors are important for the rapid thigh reversal that occurs during the swing phase in maximum velocity sprinting (Dorn et al., 2012; Clark et al., 2021; Kakehata et al., 2021). Therefore, interventions aimed at

enhancing an athlete's α_{max} via increased hip torque capability (Deane et al., 2005; Macadam et al., 2020) may be beneficial, and warrant further investigation.

SUMMARY

An athlete performing a maximum effort sprint from a stationary start approaches maximum velocity with an exponential velocity vs. time curve. This curve is defined by the limits of acceleration and velocity with an exponential time constant expressed as the ratio of these limits. With nearly a century of observations, the time constant is consistently around one-second even for athletes from a variety of sports with vastly different sprinting abilities. This indicates that the acceleration and velocity limits are related and generally proportional. No theory has formally defined an underlying mechanism to account for this proportionality. Our perspective is that the torque capability of the hip is a mechanistic link between the acceleration and velocity limits. Drawing on previously published models and performance data, a theoretical framework was presented here that derived a simple equation

for the time constant based on fundamental gait variables. We demonstrated that the time constant maintains a mean value of around one-second over the physical range of sprinting gait values. Therefore, our perspective suggests that the limit values are fundamentally proportional and that training protocols targeted to improve hip torque capability would benefit both acceleration and velocity performance for an athlete.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

KC and LR contributed equally to the development, drafting, writing, reviewing, and editing of this theoretical perspective. Both authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

REFERENCES

- Buchheit, M., Samozino, P., Glynn, J. A., Michael, B. S., Al Haddad, H., Mendez-Villanueva, A., et al. (2014). Mechanical determinants of acceleration and maximal sprinting speed in highly trained young soccer players. *J. Sports Sci.* 32, 1906–1913. doi: 10.1080/02640414.2014.965191
- Cahill, M. J., Oliver, J. L., Cronin, J. B., Clark, K. P., Cross, M. R., Lloyd, R. S., et al. (2020). Influence of resisted sled-pull training on the sprint force-velocity profile of male high-school athletes. *J. Strength Cond. Res.* 34, 2751–2759. doi: 10.1519/JSC.0000000000003770
- Cavagna, G. A., Thys, H., and Zamboni, A. (1976). The sources of external work in level walking and running. *J. Physiol.* 262, 639–657. doi: 10.1113/jphysiol.1976.sp011613
- Chelly, S. M., and Denis, C. (2001). Leg power and hopping stiffness: relationship with sprint running performance. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 33, 326–333. doi: 10.1097/00005768-200102000-00024
- Clark, K. P., Meng, C. R., and Stearne, D. J. (2020). 'Whip from the hip': thigh angular motion, ground contact mechanics, and running speed. *Biology Open.* 9, bio053546. doi: 10.1242/bio.053546
- Clark, K. P., Rieger, R. H., Bruno, R. F., and Stearne, D. J. (2019). The National Football League combine 40-yd dash: how important is maximum velocity? *J. Strength Cond. Res.* 33, 1542–1550. doi: 10.1519/JSC.0000000000002081
- Clark, K. P., Ryan, L. J., Meng, C. R., and Stearne, D. J. (2021). Evaluation of maximum thigh angular acceleration during the swing phase of steady-speed running. *Sports Biomechanics.* doi: 10.1080/14763141.2021.1986124
- Cometti, G., Maffiuletti, N. A., Pousson, M., Chatard, J. C., and Maffulli, N. (2001). Isokinetic strength and anaerobic power of elite, subelite and amateur French soccer players. *International J. Sports Med.* 22, 45–51. doi: 10.1055/s-2001-11331
- Cross, M. R., Brughelli, M., Brown, S. R., Samozino, P., Gill, N. D., Cronin, J. B., et al. (2015). Mechanical properties of sprinting in elite rugby union and rugby league. *Int. J. Sports Physiol. Perform.* 10, 695–702. doi: 10.1123/ijspp.2014-0151
- Cross, M. R., Brughelli, M., Samozino, P., and Morin, J. B. (2017). Methods of power-force-velocity profiling during sprint running: a narrative review. *Sports Med.* 47, 1255–1269. doi: 10.1007/s40279-016-0653-3
- Deane, R. S., Chow, J. W., Tillman, M. D., and Fournier, K. A. (2005). Effects of hip flexor training on sprint, shuttle run, and vertical jump performance. *J. Strength Cond. Res.* 19, 615–621. doi: 10.1519/00124278-200508000-00022
- Di Prampero, P. E., Fusi, S., Sepulcri, L., Morin, J. B., Belli, A., and Antonutto, G. (2005). Sprint running: a new energetic approach. *J. Exp. Biol.* 208, 2809–2816. doi: 10.1242/jeb.01700
- Dorn, T. W., Schache, A. G., and Pandy, M. G. (2012). Muscular strategy shift in human running: dependence of running speed on hip and ankle muscle performance. *J. Exp. Biol.* 215, 1944–1956. doi: 10.1242/jeb.064527
- Edwards, T., Piggott, B., Banyard, H. G., Haff, G. G., and Joyce, C. (2022). The effect of a heavy resisted sled-pull mesocycle on sprint performance in junior Australian football players. *J. Strength Cond. Res.* doi: 10.1519/JSC.0000000000004269
- Feser, E. H., Korfist, C., Lindley, K., Bezodis, N. E., Clark, K. P., and Cronin, J. (2021). The effects of lower-limb wearable resistance on sprint performance in high school American football athletes: a nine-week training study. *Int. J. Sports Sci. Coaching.* doi: 10.1177/17479541211003403
- Furusawa, K., Hill, A. V., and Parkinson, J. L. (1927). The dynamics of "sprint" running. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* 102, 29–42. doi: 10.1098/rspb.1927.0035
- Haugen, T. A., Breitschädel, F., and Seiler, S. (2019). Sprint mechanical variables in elite athletes: are force-velocity profiles sport specific or individual? *PLoS One.* 14, e0215551. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0215551
- Healy, R., Kenny, I. C., and Harrison, A. J. (2019). Profiling elite male 100-m sprint performance: the role of maximum velocity and relative acceleration. *J. Sport Health Sci.* 11, 75–84. doi: 10.1016/j.jshs.2019.10.002
- Holmlund, U., and von Hertzen, R. (1997). Models of sprinting based on Newton's Second Law of Motion and their comparison. *J. Structural Mech.* 30, 7–16. doi: 10.1016/S0021-9290(96)00167-4
- Jacobs, R., Bobbert, M. F., and van Ingen Schenau, G. J. (1996). Mechanical output from individual muscles during explosive leg extensions: the role of biarticular muscles. *J. Biomechan.* 29, 513–523. doi: 10.1016/0021-9290(95)00067-4
- Jacobs, R., and van Ingen Schenau, G. J. (1992). Intermuscular coordination in a sprint push-off. *J. Biomechan.* 25, 953–965. doi: 10.1016/0021-9290(92)90031-U
- Jiménez-Reyes, P., Samozino, P., García-Ramos, A., Cuadrado-Peñaflor, V., Brughelli, M., and Morin, J. B. (2018). Relationship between vertical and horizontal force-velocity-power profiles in various sports and levels of practice. *PeerJ.* 6, e5937. doi: 10.7717/peerj.5937
- Kakehata, G., Goto, Y., Iso, S., and Kanosue, K. (2021). Timing of rectus femoris and biceps femoris muscle activities in both legs at maximal running speed. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 53, 643–652. doi: 10.1249/MSS.0000000000002497
- Kugler, F., and Janshen, L. (2010). Body position determines propulsive forces in accelerated running. *J. Biomechan.* 43, 343–348. doi: 10.1016/j.jbiomech.2009.07.041
- Macadam, P., Cronin, J. B., Uthoff, A. M., Nagahara, R., Zois, J., Diewald, S., et al. (2020). Thigh loaded wearable resistance increases sagittal plane rotational

- work of the thigh resulting in slower 50-m sprint times. *Sports Biomechanics*. 1–12. doi: 10.1080/14763141.2020.1762720
- Mann, R., and Murphy, A. (2018). *The Mechanics of Sprinting and Hurdling*. Las Vegas, NV: CreateSpace Independent Publishing Platform.
- Mann, R. A., Moran, G. T., and Dougherty, S. E. (1986). Comparative electromyography of the lower extremity in jogging, running, and sprinting. *Am. J. Sports Med.* 14, 501–510. doi: 10.1177/036354658601400614
- McGeer, T. (1990). Passive bipedal running. *Proceedings Royal Society London. B. Biological Sciences*. 240, 107–134. doi: 10.1098/rspb.1990.0030
- Mendez-Villanueva, A., Buchheit, M., Kuitunen, S., Douglas, A., Peltola, E., and Bourdon, P. (2011). Age-related differences in acceleration, maximum running speed, and repeated-sprint performance in young soccer players. *J. Sports Sci.* 29, 477–484. doi: 10.1080/02640414.2010.536248
- Morin, J. B., Gimenez, P., Edouard, P., Arnal, P., Jiménez-Reyes, P., Samozino, P., et al. (2015). Sprint acceleration mechanics: the major role of hamstrings in horizontal force production. *Front. Physiol.* 6, 404. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2015.00404
- Morin, J. B., Le Mat, Y., Osgnach, C., Barnabò, A., Pilati, A., Samozino, P., et al. (2021). Individual acceleration-speed profile in-situ: a proof of concept in professional football players. *J. Biomechanics*. 123, p.110524. doi: 10.1016/j.jbiomech.2021.110524
- Morin, J. B., and Samozino, P. (2016). Interpreting power-force-velocity profiles for individualized and specific training. *Int. J. Sports Physiol. Perform.* 11, 267–272. doi: 10.1123/ijsp.2015-0638
- Morin, J. B., Samozino, P., Murata, M., Cross, M. R., and Nagahara, R. (2019). A simple method for computing sprint acceleration kinetics from running velocity data: Replication study with improved design. *J. Biomechanics*. 94, 82–87. doi: 10.1016/j.jbiomech.2019.07.020
- Murphy, A., Clark, K. P., Murray, N., Melton, B., Mann, R., and Rieger, R. (2021). Relationship between anthropometric and kinematic measures to practice velocity in elite American 100 m sprinters. *Clin. Transl. Sci.* 7, 682–686. doi: 10.18053/jctres.07.202105.006
- Nagahara, R., Kameda, M., Neville, J., and Morin, J. B. (2020). Inertial measurement unit-based hip flexion test as an indicator of sprint performance. *J. Sports Sci.* 38, 53–61. doi: 10.1080/02640414.2019.1680081
- Nagahara, R., Matsubayashi, T., Matsuo, A., and Zushi, K. (2014). Kinematics of transition during human accelerated sprinting. *Biology Open*. 3, 689–699. doi: 10.1242/bio.20148284
- Nagahara, R., Matsubayashi, T., Matsuo, A., and Zushi, K. (2017). Alteration of swing leg work and power during human accelerated sprinting. *Biology Open*. 6, 633–641. doi: 10.1242/bio.024281
- Pratt, J. E. (2000). *Exploiting Inherent Robustness and Natural Dynamics in the Control of Bipedal Walking Robots*. Doctoral dissertation. Cambridge, MA: MIT Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science.
- Rabita, G., Dorel, S., Slawinski, J., Sáez-de-Villarreal, E., Couturier, A., Samozino, P., et al. (2015). Sprint mechanics in world-class athletes: a new insight into the limits of human locomotion. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine and Science in Sports*. 25, 583–594. doi: 10.1111/sms.12389
- Raibert, M. H., Brown Jr, H. B., Chepponis, M., Koechling, J., and Hodgins, J. K. (1989). *Dynamically stable legged locomotion*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Artificial Intelligence Lab. doi: 10.21236/ADA225713
- Samozino, P., Rabita, G., Dorel, S., Slawinski, J., Peyrot, N., Saez de Villarreal, E., et al. (2016). A simple method for measuring power, force, velocity properties, and mechanical effectiveness in sprint running. *Scand. J. Med. Sci. Sports*. 26, 648–658. doi: 10.1111/sms.12490
- Sierer, S. P., Battaglini, C. L., Mihalik, J. P., Shields, E. W., and Tomasini, N. T. (2008). The National Football League Combine: performance differences between drafted and nondrafted players entering the 2004 and 2005 drafts. *J. Strength Cond. Res.* 22, 6–12. doi: 10.1519/JSC.0b013e31815ef90c
- Slawinski, J., Houel, N., Bonnefoy-Mazure, A., Lissajoux, K., Bocquet, V., and Termoz, N. (2017b). Mechanics of standing and crouching sprint starts. *J. Sports Sci.* 35, 858–865. doi: 10.1080/02640414.2016.1194525
- Slawinski, J., Termoz, N., Rabita, G., Guilhem, G., Dorel, S., and Morin, J. B., et al. (2017a). How 100-m event analyses improve our understanding of world-class men's and women's sprint performance. *Scand. J. Med. Sci. Sports*. 27, 45–54. doi: 10.1111/sms.12627
- Vescovi, J. D. (2012). Sprint speed characteristics of high-level American female soccer players: Female Athletes in Motion (FAiM) Study. *J. Sci. Med. Sport*. 15, 474–478. doi: 10.1016/j.jsams.2012.03.006
- Vescovi, J. D., and McGuigan, M. R. (2008). Relationships between sprinting, agility, and jump ability in female athletes. *J. Sports Sci.* 26, 97–107. doi: 10.1080/02640410701348644
- Watkins, C. M., Storey, A., McGuigan, M. R., Downes, P., and Gill, N. D. (2021). Horizontal force-velocity-power profiling of rugby players: a cross-sectional analysis of competition-level and position-specific movement demands. *J. Strength Cond. Res.* doi: 10.1519/JSC.0000000000004027
- Weyand, P. G., Sternlight, D. B., Bellizzi, M. J., and Wright, S. (2000). Faster top running speeds are achieved with greater ground forces not more rapid leg movements. *J. Appl. Physiol.* 89, 1991–1999. doi: 10.1152/jappl.2000.89.5.1991
- Wild, J. J., Bezodis, I. N., North, J. S., and Bezodis, N. E. (2018). Differences in step characteristics and linear kinematics between rugby players and sprinters during initial sprint acceleration. *Eur. J. Sport Sci.* 18, 1327–1337. doi: 10.1080/17461391.2018.1490459
- Winter, D. A. (2009). *Biomechanics and motor control of human movement, 4th ed.* Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley and Sons, Inc. doi: 10.1002/9780470549148

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Clark and Ryan. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.