Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Luke Hughes, Northumbria University, United Kingdom

REVIEWED BY J. Derek Kingsley, Kent State University, United States Nicholas Rolnick, Lehman College, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Kyle J. Hackney 🛙 kyle.hackney@ndsu.edu

RECEIVED 22 October 2024 ACCEPTED 20 February 2025 PUBLISHED 13 March 2025

CITATION

Garner AR, Fanno JD, McGrath R, Erickson J and Hackney KJ (2025) Autonomic modulation and skeletal muscle oxygenation with intermittent low-load blood flow restriction knee extension. Front. Sports Act. Living 7:1515412. doi: 10.3389/fspor.2025.1515412

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Garner, Fanno, McGrath, Erickson and Hackney. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Autonomic modulation and skeletal muscle oxygenation with intermittent low-load blood flow restriction knee extension

Andrew R. Garner¹, Jacob D. Fanno¹, Ryan McGrath¹, Jacob Erickson² and Kyle J. Hackney^{1*}

¹Department of Health, Nutrition, and Exercise Sciences, North Dakota State University, Fargo, ND, United States, ²Department of Human Performance, Sport, and Health, Bemidji State University, Bemidji, MN, United States

Introduction: This investigation determined if an acute bout of low-load knee extension (KE) with intermittent blood flow restriction (BFR) influenced autonomic modulation and skeletal muscle oxygenation (SmO₂%).

Methods: Fourteen physically active males completed three different sessions: one-repetition maximum (1RM), KE with BFR (BFR-KE) at 20% 1RM (cuff pressure=143 ± 13 mmHg), and KE with free blood flow at 20% 1RM (Control-KE). Heart rate variability (HRV) metrics: logarithmically transformed (ln) square root of the mean differences of successive R-R intervals (lnRMSSD), high frequency power (lnHF), and low frequency power (lnLF), as well as SmO₂%, and rating of perceived exertion (RPE) were measured. Repeated measures analyses of variance were used to analyze HRV metrics and SmO₂%, while a paired *t*-test was used to analyze RPE. A significance level of P < 0.05 was used for analyses.

Results: From baseline to 15 min post-exercise lnRMSSD decreased in both BFR-KE and Control-KE ($4.34 \pm 0.43 - 3.75 \pm 0.82$ ms, P = 0.027). Thereafter, lnRMSSD (+7%), lnHF (+8%), and lnLF (+7%) increased from 15 to 30 min post-exercise in both BFR-KE and Control-KE (P < 0.05). BFR-KE reduced SmO₂% in the vastus lateralis compared to Control-KE (36% vs. 53%; P < 0.001). RPE was greater in BFR-KE (7.0 AU) compared to Control-KE (4.5 AU; P < 0.001).

Conclusion: Unilateral BFR exercise with individualized cuff pressure and intermittent application facilitated greater localized muscular stress and perceptual effort, but there was no influence of vascular occlusion on post-exercise autonomic modulation compared to volume-matched exercise with free blood flow.

KEYWORDS

blood flow restriction, autonomic modulation, heart rate variability, skeletal muscle oxygenation, resistance exercise

1 Introduction

Based on a recent review it is suggested that resistance exercise acutely modulates autonomic nervous system control during the post-exercise recovery period by attenuating vagal tone (e.g., parasympathetic activity) (1). These acute alterations can be measured using time domain and frequency indexes of heart rate variability (HRV) (2). The time domain metric, square root of the mean differences of successive R-R intervals (RMSSD), is used as a measure of vagal activity (3). Regarding the frequency domain, high frequency power (HF) is used as a measure of vagal activity, while low frequency (LP) power is a measure of both vagal and sympathetic activity (4). The calculated LF to HF ratio indicates sensitivity for sympathovagal dominance (5). With high-load resistance exercise acute vagal withdrawal (\downarrow HF) has been reported for 25–35 min post-exercise (6, 7) with additional suppression at 24 h (8). Several factors are related to these alterations which include catecholamine release, metabolite accumulation, plasma volume shifts, and activation of the arterial baroreflex (1).

An alternative to high-load resistance exercise is low-load blood flow restriction (BFR) exercise (9). BFR exercise uses partial vascular occlusion by a pneumatic cuff or tourniquet (10). The cuff is placed at the most proximal portion of the active limb and lower exercise loads [20%-40% of one-repetition maximum (1RM)] are utilized (11). BFR exercise facilitates acute homeostatic disturbances, greater metabolic activity, and increased molecular signaling to enhance exercise adaptations (12). Reduced skeletal muscle oxygenation in muscle groups distal to the cuff placement has been consistently shown (13, 14). As BFR exercise is becoming more common in clinical settings (15–17), it is critical to examine the influence vascular occlusion on post-exercise HRV given a decrease in vagal modulation is associated with higher all-cause mortality (18), cardiac events (19), and ventricular arrhythmias (20).

Few investigations have evaluated changes in HRV metrics following BFR exercise (21, 22). Comparisons are generally limited to high-load resistance exercise vs. low-load BFR and studies have only evaluated continuous BFR exercise methodology (21, 22). Continuous BFR exercise refers to when the cuff remains inflated during the rest interval following a set as opposed to intermittent BFR where the cuff is deflated during the rest interval following a set (11, 23). There are also differences in the application of cuff pressures and exercise load across studies loads utilized. For example, Okuno et al. (2014) reported high-load (80% 1RM) leg press exercise induced greater vagal disturbance compared to continuous BFR leg press exercise (40% 1RM, standard pressure 100 mmHg, cuff width=14 cm, length=70 cm) (21). Tai et al. (2019) showed both high-load (70% 1RM) and low-load BFR (30% 1RM) bench press exercise (using perceptual rating for cuff pressure of 7 of 10 using 77 mm-wide wraps), decreased vagal activity 30 min postexercise, with greater disturbances in high-load resistance exercise (22). Given few reports to how BFR exercise may influence HRV metrics and application of BFR methodology is variable, the purpose of this study was to determine if an acute bout of lowload intermittent BFR exercise affects autonomic modulation during a unilateral knee extension (KE) exercise at the same relative intensity and volume. The decision on "continuous" or "intermittent" application may be largely up to the clinician or research scientist, however, the tolerability of the exercise is also a significant factor for patients and/or athletes. Our laboratory has found acute upregulation of circulating progenitor cells from a single acute session (14) and chronic strength gains over time (24) with intermittent BFR application. Others have also shown no differences in whole blood lactate responses, plasma volumes, or muscle activity following intermittent vs. continuous BFR applications (25). However, hemodynamic responses and perceived exertion measures are generally lower with intermittent BFR application (26). Thus, intermittent BFR application may be more tolerable for patients or athletes using the methodology. We hypothesized BFR exercise, even with intermittent application, would lead to greater perceptual effort, increased localized muscle hypoxia, and larger disturbances in post-exercise autonomic modulation compared to knee extension with free blood flow.

2 Methods and measurements

2.1 Participants

A within-participant, crossover design was utilized for this investigation. Adults aged 18–35 years were eligible if they had at least 6 months of strength training experience and were at low risk of blood clotting. Exclusion criteria were any known cardiovascular, pulmonary, metabolic diseases, previous diagnosis of exertional rhabdomyolysis, sickle cell anemia, deep venous thrombosis, recent surgery, body mass index of >35 kg/m², or significant orthopedic pain. Participants who self-reported as current smokers, taking heart medications, or had implanted medical devices were excluded.

The Physical Activity Readiness Questionnaire for Everyone (PAR-Q+) (27), a deep venous thrombosis assessment (28), and a study specific questionnaire were used for screening. Adequate sample size was estimated from analysis of variance with repeated measures a priori using partial eta squared effect size (0.474) for an interaction effect for LnRMSSD (22), resulting in a predicted sample (effect size f = 0.94, alpha 0.05, power = 0.80) size of n = 10. As such, our final analytical sample included 14 participants which was representative of previous studies conducted on HRV and exercise (12, 21, 22, 29). Participants completed three exercise sessions in the following order: 1RM, KE with BFR at 20% 1RM (BFR-KE) and KE with free blood flow at 20% 1RM (Control-KE). Each session was separated by at least 72 h. Before each session, participants maintained the same dietary pattern and avoided caffeine and alcohol for up to 12 h before exercise testing. Participants avoided high-intensity exercise for at least 48 h before each session. Written informed consent was provided by participants before entering study and the university's institutional review board approved all protocols.

3 Methods and measures

3.1 Descriptive characteristics and one repetition maximum (1RM)

Age was self-reported. A stadiometer (Seca 213, Chino, CA) and floor-level scale (Detecto, Webb City, MO) measured height (cm) and body mass (kg), respectively. Body mass index was calculated as kg/m². The limb circumference (cm) of the

participant's right thigh was measured with a Gulik tape measure at the maximum portion of the upper thigh, between the greater trochanter and lateral condyle of the femur, in order to select the appropriate cuff size for each individual. The measurement was performed in a standing position with weight partially shifted to the left limb and the right knee slightly flexed. Prior to 1RM assessment, participants completed a 5-minute warmup on a cycle ergometer. A KE exercise machine (Cybex International, Owatonna, MN) was used to assess 1RM. A modified protocol from Okuno et al. (2014) was used to estimate 1RM on the KE exercise to facilitate efficiency (21). Participants were asked for their bilateral predicted 5-repetition maximum KE via survey. Subsequently, the equation $[1RM = -0.46 + (0.79 \times 5)]$ reps) + (1.08×load kg)] was used to predict each participant's bilateral 1RM (30), which was then divided in half to estimate a unilateral 1RM for each leg. 1RM was then tested for each leg using 5 sets with 3-min rest intervals between each set. Before testing, KE machine adjustments were made for each participant based on limb anatomy and were recorded to ensure consistency for each session. This included ensuring the lower extremity shin pad was positioned slightly above the tongue of the shoe, KE equipment lever arm was adjusted to accommodate for varying leg lengths, and the participant was able to complete a full repetition through the full range of motion. The settings were recorded using the standard numbers on the KE machine and used for subsequent sessions. Each participant underwent 1RM testing on each of their lower limbs separately. The right leg was performed first then the left.

3.2 Blood flow restriction and control knee extension

A BFR cuff that was 11.5 cm × 86 cm × 5 mm (Delfi Medical Innovations Inc., Vancouver BC, CA) was applied to the upper thigh of each leg to restrict venous blood flow during the BFR-KE exercise (31). After an HRV recording was taken in the supine position, the participant remained supine and a BFR cuff was applied to the upper leg and inflated on one limb to measure 100% limb occlusion pressure (LOP). After approximately one-minute rest, the opposite leg was tested. Although there is a variability in LOP with different body positions, measurement in the supine position is the most reliable (32). The lowest LOP between each leg was used to identify the 80% LOP for each participant to use for the exercise sessions. Previous research has shown that there are no significant differences between legs when 100% LOP is measured in the supine position (33) and the lowest pressure was used in this study for participant safety. Once 80% LOP had been identified, participants completed a 5-min warm-up on the cycle ergometer. Participants were then fitted with a BFR cuff on one limb at their 80% LOP. A total of 75 repetitions over 5 sets has been shown to increase muscle adaptation significantly in previous studies when BFR is applied (11). A modified protocol from Patterson et al. (2019) and Okuno et al. (2014) was used to obtain a goal of 75 repetitions on the KE exercise for each participant (11, 21). The protocol consisted of 5 sets of 15 repetitions on each leg with a 1-minute rest interval between each set. Participants completed all five sets on the right leg before switching to the left. The BFR cuff was deflated during the rest interval to ensure participant safety and allow free blood flow to the previously exercised muscles. The exercise weight was 20% of each participant's 1RM for BFR-KE.

The Control-KE consisted of the identical KE exercise protocol. Participants began with a 5-min warm-up on the cycle ergometer and then began the exercise protocol without BFR. The exercise weight was 20% of each participant's 1RM for Control-KE and matched the weight of BFR-KE. The BFR-KE was completed before the Control-KE for the reason that a participant may not be able complete all the sets or repetitions when BFR was applied. This allowed researchers to control consistency of set and repetitions completed for each session and ensure exercise volume was equal between participants; as all participants completed the prescribed sets and repetitions at 20% 1RM for both BFR-KE and Control-KE.

3.3 Skeletal muscle oxygenation and rate of perceived exertion

During both the Control-KE and BFR-KE sessions, skeletal muscle oxygen saturation was assessed using a near-infrared spectroscopy sensor (Moxy Monitor, Fortiori Design LLC, Hutchinson, MN) placed on the vastus lateralis (VL) muscle of the right leg. The placement of the sensor on the VL muscle was directly below and not in contact with the BFR cuff in BFR-KE and this location was replicated for Control-KE. Rate of perceived exertion (RPE) was measured immediately after the final set of exercise for BFR-KE and Control-KE and with each leg exercised using 0-10 scale (34). Subjects were asked to provide a rating of their overall effort of the session after exercising each leg. A rating of 0 was considered no effort and a rating of 10 was related to a maximal effort (34). For the BFR-KE session, RPE measurement occurred with the BFR cuff deflated following the last set. For Control-KE session, RPE occurred following the last set as there was no BFR cuff used. The RPE for each leg was averaged so that a comparison could be made between BFR-KE and Control-KE.

3.4 Heart rate variability

A Polar heart rate (Polar Electro H10, Kempele, FIN) chest monitor was used to measure HRV indices at baseline and postexercise (15 min and 30 min) (35). HRV indices were measured in 5-min increments during baseline and post-exercise procedures in the supine position, which is highly reliable (36). The supine position was selected in order for HRV measurement to be more comfortable for participants over the 30 min postexercise recovery window and to avoid posture specific changes in blood pressure or metabolic activity (36). The Elite HRV application was used to collect HRV data. Files were transferred to Kubios HRV software (Kubios HRV Standard version 3.5.0, Kuopio, FIN) in order to calculate HRV indices (via time and frequency domains). For the time domain, the square root of the mean differences of successive R-R intervals (RMSSD) was determined (3). For the frequency domain, LF power was defined as bands 0.04–0.15 Hz and HF power was defined as bands 0.15–0.40 Hz (1). Normality tests determined that RMSSD, LF and HF, were not normally distributed, and as with common practice (3), these variables to be logarithmically (ln) transformed to meet the assumptions for parametric statistics and analyzed as: LnRMSSD, LnLF, LnHF.

3.5 Data analysis

Continuous descriptive data, 1RM, exercise session, and occlusion pressure are presented as mean ± standard deviation. A 2×3 ANOVA with repeated measures was used to analyze HRV metrics. A 2×2 ANOVA with repeated measures was used to analyze skeletal muscle oxygenation between the BFR-KE and Control-KE. When significance was detected, additional *post-hoc* analysis was completed using Sidak to control for multiple comparisons. Partial eta squared ($p\eta^2$) effect size estimations are included for interpretation as $p\eta^2$ 0.2–0.12 is considered a small effect, 0.13–0.25 is a medium effect, and >0.26 is a large effect (37). RPE was analyzed using a paired *t*-test between BFR-KE and Control-KE. All analyses were performed using SPSS statistical software (IBM Corporation, Armonk, New York, USA, version 28) and an alpha level of less than 0.05 was used to determine statistical significance.

4 Results

The descriptive characteristics of the participants are presented in Table 1. HRV metric lnRMSSD was the only time-domain variable measured during the study (Table 2). There was a significant time effect for lnRMSSD (F = 7.215, P = 0.011, $p\eta^2 = 0.357$). *post-hoc* analyses determined there was a significant decline in lnRMSSD from baseline to 15 min post-exercise (P = 0.027) and a significant increase from 15 min post-exercise

TABLE 1 Participant descriptives and KE exercise parameters.

Variable	Mean <u>+</u> SD
Age (yr)	21.50 ± 2.69
Height (cm)	177.81 ± 9.08
Body mass (kg)	81.98 ± 11.30
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	25.85 ± 2.69
Thigh circumference (cm)	59.71 ± 5.88
KE 1RM left leg (kg)	46.22 ± 14.02
KE 1RM right leg (kg)	46.22 ± 14.02
KE exercise weight-left leg (kg)	9.70 ± 3.13
KE exercise weight-right leg (kg)	9.70 ± 3.13
100% LOP (mmHg)	179.11 ± 16.65
80% LOP (mmHg)	143.29 ± 13.32

KE, knee extension; 1RM, one repetition maximum; LOP, limb occlusion pressure.

to 30 min post-exercise with both BFR-KE and Control-KE (P = 0.005). There were no significant group (F = 0.013, P = 0.911, $p\eta^2 = 0.001$) or group×time interaction (F = 0.297, P = 0.297, $p\eta^2 = 0.0890$) for lnRMSSD.

The frequency-domains HRV variables analyzed in the study were lnHF, lnLF, and LF/HF ratio (Table 2). There was a significant time effect for lnHF (F = 4.165, P = 0.027, $p\eta^2 = 0.243$). *post-hoc* analysis determined a significant increase from 15 min post-exercise to 30 min post-exercise with both BFR-KE and Control-KE (P = 0.016). There was also a significant time effect for lnLF (F = 4.157, P = 0.028, $p\eta^2 = 0.243$). *post-hoc* analysis determined a significant increase from 15 min post-exercise to 30 min post-exercise from 15 min post-exercise to 30 min post-exercise to 30 min post-exercise from 15 min post-exercise to 30 min post-exercise to 30 min post-exercise to 30 min post-exercise with both BFR-KE and Control-KE (P = 0.028). No significant time effects were found for LF/HF ratio (F = 0.137, P = 0.873, $p\eta^2 = 0.069$).

There was a significant group effect for VL $SmO_2\%$ (*F* = 45.53, P < 0.001, $p\eta^2 = 0.770$). post-hoc analysis determined VL SmO₂% was significantly lower during BFR-KE compared to Control-KE (P < 0.001, Figure 1). There was also a significant group effect of oxygenated hemoglobin (F = 16.131, P = 0.001, $p\eta^2 = 0.554$). post hoc analysis determined oxygenated hemoglobin was significantly lower in the VL muscle during BFR exercise with the rest interval compared to the control exercise with the rest interval (P < 0.001, Table 3). There was also a significant group effect for VL deoxygenated hemoglobin (F = 13.817, P = 0.003). post-hoc determined VL deoxygenated hemoglobin was analysis significantly higher in the VL during BFR exercise combined with the rest interval compared to the control exercise combined with the rest interval P = 0.003, Table 3). There was no significant time (F = 0.609, P = 0.449, $p\eta^2 = 0.045$), group $(F = 0.058, P = 0.814, p\eta^2 = 0.004)$, or group by time $(F = 1.192, P = 0.058, P = 0.814, p\eta^2 = 0.004)$, or group by time (F = 1.192, P = 0.014)P = 0.295, $p\eta^2 = 0.84$) effects for total hemoglobin.

The paired *t*-test revealed that RPE was significantly higher when BFR was applied compared to the control session [t (13) = 5.885, P < 0.001, Table 3].

5 Discussion

This investigation examined the influence of an acute session of low-load intermittent BFR exercise on autonomic modulation and SmO₂% during a unilateral KE exercise. We hypothesized BFR would lead to greater perceptual effort, increased localized muscle hypoxia, and lead larger disturbances in post-exercise autonomic modulation. Consistent with our hypothesis, SmO₂% declined to a greater degree with BFR-KE and this was coupled with higher RPE ratings, suggesting enhanced local muscle hypoxia and perceptual effort with vascular occlusion compared to Control-KE. Contrary to our hypothesis vagal activity decreased from baseline to 15-minutes post-exercise and increased 15–30-min post-exercise in both BFR-KE and Control-KE; with no apparent influence of vascular occlusion.

In the present study, the HRV indicator lnRMSSD significantly declined from baseline to 15 min post-exercise in both BFR-KE and Control-KE. This would suggest a disturbance in vagal activity with the low-load exercise, regardless of whether vascular occlusion was

Variable		Baseline		15 min post-exercise			30 min post-exercise		
	BFR-KE	Control-KE	Average	BFR-KE	Control-KE	Average	BFR-KE	Control-KE	Average
lnRMSSD(ms)	4.31 ± 0.39	4.16 ± 0.46	4.34 ± 0.43	3.72 ± 0.87	3.78 ± 0.76	$3.75\pm0.82^{\star}$	4.00 ± 0.86	4.05 ± 0.60	$4.03 \pm 0.73^{\#}$
lnHF(ms ²)	7.43 ± 0.80	6.95 ± 1.34	7.19 ± 1.07	6.65 ± 0.95	6.59 ± 1.35	6.62 ± 1.15	7.23 ± 0.92	7.07 ± 1.01	$7.15 \pm 0.97^{\#}$
lnLF(ms ²)	7.42 ± 0.81	7.08 ± 1.05	7.25 ± 0.93	6.80 ± 0.92	6.82 ± 0.81	6.81 ± 0.87	7.41 ± 0.77	7.15 ± 0.91	$7.28\pm0.84^{\#}$
LF/HF (%)	1.33 ± 0.96	1.43 ± 1.15	1.38 ± 1.06	1.49 ± 0.84	1.48 ± 0.95	1.49 ± 0.90	1.43 ± 0.93	1.59 ± 1.18	1.51 ± 1.06

TABLE 2 Heart rate variability metrics at baseline, 15 min and 30 min post-exercise.

N = 14.

*Significant time effect vs. baseline, P < 0.05.

[#]Significant time effect vs. 15 min-post, P < 0.05. Data are mean \pm standard deviation.



TABLE 3 Rating of perceived exertion and skeletal muscle oxygenation between BFR-KE and control-KE.

Variable	BFR-KE	Control-KE
RPE (AU 0-10)	$7.10 \pm 0.46^{*}$	4.50 ± 0.45
Oxygenated Hb	$4.66 \pm 0.42^{*}$	6.69 ± 0.26
Deoxygenated HB	$8.92 \pm 0.43^{*}$	6.10 ± 0.31
Total HB	12.72 ± 0.07	12.71 ± 0.08

Data are mean ± standard error.

*Significantly different between groups (p < 0.05).

applied during the sets of muscle contractions. Thereafter, significant increases in lnRMSSD, lnHF, and lnLF were observed in both groups from 15 to 30-min post-exercise, which suggests the potential for co-activation in both sympathetic and parasympathetic nervous systems. Co-activation can occur when sympathetic activity is slow to return to baseline following a stressor, while parasympathetic activity is increased to promote recovery. This event is observed in other studies regarding "vagal rebound" in different stressful situations (38, 39). These findings suggest that exercise as a stressor can elicit a nonreciprocal response from the sympathetic and parasympathetic nervous systems (40). The underlying mechanisms of vagal rebound are

unclear but some suggest exercise-related plasma volume changes and baroreflex activity may be associated with this response (41).

In comparison to the other investigations exploring continuous application of BFR and post-exercise autonomic modulation, Tai et al. (2019) examined if lnRMSSD, lnHF, and lnLF were affected following bilateral bench press using two 70 mm-wide knee wraps that were wrapped around both upper arms while using a rating scale of 7 of 10 occlusion pressure (22). Significant decreases from rest were reported in lnRMSSD for both the lowload BFR (25-30 min post-exercise) and high-load (15-20 min & 25-30 min post-exercise) exercise sessions. Tai et al. (2019) found a greater decline in lnRMSSD and lnHF during the highload session compared to the low-load BFR session (22). In the present investigation, the $p\eta^2$ effect sizes to determine group (0.043) and group×time interactions (0.024) were trivial, suggesting a large sample size (n = 38 to 68, respectively using)G*Power 3.1.9.7) would be required to determine overall statistical significance between BFR-KE and Control-KE and statistical differences at a specific post-exercise time point (42).

Okuno et al. (2014) also investigated autonomic modulation during an acute high and low-intensity session, along with a single session of continuous low-intensity BFR at a standard

10.3389/fspor.2025.1515412

pressure (100mmHg) with 40% 1RM during a unilateral leg press exercise. RMSSD decreased below resting levels for low-intensity BFR 10-min post-exercise and 30-min post-exercise for highintensity before returning to baseline measures (21). However, post-exercise RMSSD during low-intensity exercise remained the same compared to baseline, until 24-hours. A decrease in RMSSD measures were observed during the high-intensity exercise compared to the low-intensity exercise session up to 1-hour post-exercise, while the low-intensity BFR exercise session was significantly higher compared to the high-intensity at 10 and 30-min post-exercise (21). HRV metric lnLF decreased from baseline measures to 10-min post-exercise in the high-intensity session and during the 1 and 5-h time period after low-intensity BFR only (21). lnLF significantly increased for low-intensity and low-intensity BFR compared to the high-intensity session at 10-min post-exercise. High-intensity and low-intensity BFR lnHF significantly decreased up to the 1-h post-exercise time period. High-intensity measures were significantly lower than lowintensity BFR and low-intensity sessions for up to 30-min post-exercise (21).

It is difficult to directly compare post-exercise autonomic responses across protocols given differences in repetitions, sets, rest periods, amount of weight used across different studies (11). In addition, total exercise volume, BFR cuff pressure, cuff size, and whether the cuff pressure was released during the rest interval (e.g., intermittent) or maintained during the rest interval (e.g., continuous) makes comparison to the literature difficult. Nonetheless, the present investigation used intermittent BFR application. Previous studies have shown that muscle activation in muscle distal to cuff placement is not different between intermittent and continuous BFR protocols (23) and intermittent BFR application may be associated with less swelling or metabolic stress (11), which may be advantageous for clinical populations or those that cannot tolerate high perceptual discomfort. Further, the BFR device used in the present study is considered an autoregulation device (43), in which device engineering allows for precise and rapid control of pressure during different phases of muscle contraction (44). Although research is just beginning to understand these engineering differences (43), non-autoregulated BFR application may enhance hemodynamics and perceptual responses (45) leading to larger disturbances in post-exercise autonomic modulation.

When BFR was applied VL $\text{SmO}_2\%$ significantly decreased compared to the control session. Oxygenated hemoglobin was significantly lower when BFR was applied compared to the control session, and inversely deoxygenated hemoglobin was significantly higher during BFR compared to the control session. Shriver et al. (2023) reported similar findings during a walking treadmill protocol in $\text{SmO}_2\%$ where LOP (0%, 40%, 80%, & 100%) were randomized during seven stages on both legs at the most proximal location of the leg (46). The VL muscle being monitored during the walking treadmill protocol showed a significant decrease in $\text{SmO}_2\%$ at all levels of LOP's. Reis et al. (2019) also investigated how VL tissue $\text{SmO}_2\%$ were affected during a unilateral KE exercise (47). Investigators used multiple different LOP (non-BFR, 40%, 60%, and 80%) while occluding venous blood flow. The change in deoxygenated hemoglobin remained similar between 60% and 80% LOP. However, compared to 60% and 80% LOP, non-BFR and 40% LOP resulted in significantly lower deoxygenated hemoglobin during exercise (47). Overall, our study findings are in agreement with the previous studies in that BFR is an effective exercise method to reduce muscle tissue oxygenated hemoglobin and increase deoxygenated hemoglobin during low-intensity exercise. This response suggests greater muscle specific metabolic stress, which in combination with mechanical tension and muscle damage, may be linked with the conditions required to induce muscle hypertrophy (48).

Perceptual intensity of the exercise session was recorded as RPE following the last repetition of each set on both legs. Our study found RPE ratings were significantly higher following BFR-KE than compared to the Control-KE. Shriver et al. (2023) also reported when occlusion pressure was higher it resulted in an increase in RPE (46). Similarly, Bartolomei et al. (2022) also investigated the difference in RPE when comparing high and low occlusion pressures and found that a LOP of 80% had a significantly greater increase in RPE compared to a LOP of 40% during a barbell preacher curl (49). Although there are differences in exercise protocols and intensities between previous studies, it appears that that the greater LOP the larger the increase RPE due to the muscle being in more of a hypoxic state.

Strengths of our investigation included the individualized and objective prescription of BFR cuff pressure at 80% LOP. We also controlled exercise volume between conditions for a robust evaluation of the effect of vascular occlusion on post-exercise autonomic modulation. Further, investigations into intermittent blood flow restriction application are currently limited in the literature. A potential limitation to our reported autonomic modulation findings was that our subjects were asked to breathe normally while lying in a supine position following each exercise condition given the exercise prescription may have influenced metabolic stress and pulmonary ventilation differently. Controlled breathing techniques can help elicit respiratory sinus arrhythmia which activates the parasympathetic nervous system to help facilitate faster recovery (40, 50). The lack of controlled breathing in our study may have limited the ability of sympathovagal balance and could have caused the co-activation between the sympathetic and parasympathetic nervous systems. Additionally, controlled breathing has been shown to affect thoracic stretch receptor afferents, which can cause a reflex inhibition of the autonomic nervous system and influence HRV spectral components (50).

6 Conclusion

The findings of our study suggest that single joint KE exercise with intermittent BFR facilitates greater perceptual effort and localized muscular stress; however, there was no apparent influence of vascular occlusion on post-exercise autonomic modulation. Consistent with previous studies, when BFR was applied during exercise it significantly decreases oxygenated

10.3389/fspor.2025.1515412

hemoglobin and increases deoxygenated in working muscles distal to the cuff inflation. The findings of this study also suggest when BFR was applied it significantly increases perceptual effort compared to the same exercise without BFR. Performing a unilateral KE when BFR is applied could be a useful exercise training method to mitigate longer acute modulations in vagal activity while improving musculoskeletal adaptations.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by North Dakota State University Institutional Review Board. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

AG: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Software, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. JF: Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing, Data curation, Supervision. RM: Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing, Formal analysis, Methodology. JE: Conceptualization, Data curation, Investigation,

References

1. Kingsley JD, Figueroa A. Acute and training effects of resistance exercise on heart rate variability. *Clin Physiol Funct Imaging*. (2016) 36:179–87. doi: 10.1111/CPF.12223

2. Tsuji H, Venditti FJ, Manders ES, Evans JC, Larson MG, Feldman CL, et al. Determinants of heart rate variability. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* (1996) 28:1539–46. doi: 10.1016/S0735-1097(96)00342-7

3. Shaffer F, Ginsberg JP. An overview of heart rate variability metrics and norms. Front Public Health. (2017) 5:290215. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2017.00258

4. Kleiger RE, Stein PK, Bigger JT. Heart rate variability: measurement and clinical utility. *Ann Noninvasive Electrocardiol.* (2005) 10:88–101. doi: 10.1111/J.1542-474X. 2005.10101.X

5. Marshall EM, Parks JC, Erb EK, Humm SM, Kingsley JD. Cardiac autonomic function following bilateral and unilateral upper body acute resistance exercise. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* (2022) 19:6077. doi: 10.3390/IJERPH19106077

6. Kingsley JD, Hochgesang S, Brewer A, Buxton E, Martinson M, Heidner G. Autonomic modulation in resistance-trained individuals after acute resistance exercise. *Int J Sports Med.* (2014) 35:851–6. doi: 10.1055/S-0034-1371836

7. Heffernan KS, Kelly EE, Collier SR, Fernhall B. Cardiac autonomic modulation during recovery from acute endurance versus resistance exercise. *Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil.* (2006) 13:80–6. doi: 10.1097/01.HJR.0000197470.74070.46

8. Chen JL, Yeh DP, Lee JP, Chen CY, Huang CY, Da LS, et al. Parasympathetic nervous activity mirrors recovery status in weightlifting performance after training. *J Strength Cond Res.* (2011) 25:1546–52. doi: 10.1519/JSC.0B013E3181DA7858

Methodology, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. KH: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Software, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing, Funding acquisition, Resources, Supervision.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

9. Freitas EDS, Karabulut M, Bemben MG. The evolution of blood flow restricted exercise. *Front Physiol.* (2021) 12:747759. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2021.747759

10. Neal BS, McManus CJ, Bradley WJ, Leaney SF, Murray K, Clark NC. The feasibility, safety, and efficacy of lower limb garment-integrated blood flow restriction training in healthy adults. *Phys Ther Sport.* (2023) 60:9–16. doi: 10.1016/J.PTSP.2023.01.006

11. Patterson SD, Hughes L, Warmington S, Burr J, Scott BR, Owens J, et al. Blood flow restriction exercise position stand: considerations of methodology, application, and safety. *Front Physiol.* (2019) 10:448053. doi: 10.3389/FPHYS.2019.00533/BIBTEX

12. Santos IF, Lemos LK, Biral TM, de Cavina APS, Pizzo Junior E, Teixeira Filho CAT, et al. Relationship between heart rate variability and performance in eccentric training with blood flow restriction. *Clin Physiol Funct Imaging.* (2022) 42:333–47. doi: 10.1111/CPF.12774

13. Downs ME, Hackney KJ, Martin D, Caine TL, Cunningham D, O'Connor DP, et al. Acute vascular and cardiovascular responses to blood flow-restricted exercise. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* (2014) 46:1489–97. doi: 10.1249/MSS.00000000000253

14. Joshi S, Mahoney S, Jahan J, Pitts L, Hackney KJ, Jarajapu YPR. Blood flow restriction exercise stimulates mobilization of hematopoietic stem/progenitor cells and increases the circulating ACE2 levels in healthy adults. *J Appl Physiol.* (2020) 128:1423–31. doi: 10.1152/JAPPLPHYSIOL.00109.2020

15. Lambert B, Hedt CA, Jack RA, Moreno M, Delgado D, Harris JD, et al. Blood flow restriction therapy preserves whole limb bone and muscle following ACL reconstruction. *Sports Health.* (2019) 7:2325967119S0019. doi: 10.1177/2325967119S00196

16. Kwon SH, Lee JW, Cho SI, Kim SW, Park BS, Lee DW. Application of blood flow restriction exercise in knee patients: current concepts review. *Korean J Sports Med.* (2024) 42:165–75. doi: 10.5763/KJSM.2024.42.3.165

17. Li X, Li J, Qing L, Wang H, Ma H, Huang P. Effect of quadriceps training at different levels of blood flow restriction on quadriceps strength and thickness in the mid-term postoperative period after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: a randomized controlled external pilot study. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. (2023) 24 (1):360. doi: 10.1186/S12891-023-06483-X

18. Tsuji H, Venditti FJ, Manders ES, Evans JC, Larson MG, Feldman CL, et al. Reduced heart rate variability and mortality risk in an elderly cohort. The Framingham heart study. *Circulation*. (1994) 90:878–83. doi: 10.1161/01.CIR.90.2.878

19. Tsuji H, Larson MG, Manders ES, Evans JC, Feldman CL, Levy D. Impact of reduced heart rate variability on risk for cardiac events. The Framingham heart study. *Circulation*. (1996) 94:2850–5. doi: doi:10.1161/01.cir.94.11.2850

20. Vinik AI, Maser RE, Ziegler D. Autonomic imbalance: prophet of doom or scope for hope? *Diabetic Med.* (2011) 28:643–51. doi: 10.1111/J.1464-5491.2010. 03184.X

21. Okuno NM, Pedro RE, Leicht AS, De Paula Ramos S, Nakamura FY. Cardiac autonomic recovery after a single session of resistance exercise with and without vascular occlusion. *J Strength Cond Res.* (2014) 28:1143–50. doi: 10.1519/JSC. 00000000000245

22. Tai YL, Marshall EM, Glasgow A, Parks JC, Sensibello L, Kingsley JD. Autonomic modulation following an acute bout of bench press with and without blood flow restriction. *Eur J Appl Physiol.* (2019) 119:2177–83. doi: 10.1007/s00421-019-04201-x

23. Yasuda T, Loenneke J, Ogasawara R, Abe T. Influence of continuous or intermittent blood flow restriction on muscle activation during low-intensity multiple sets of resistance exercise. *Acta Physiol Hung.* (2013) 100:419–26. doi: 10. 1556/APHYSIOL.100.2013.4.6

24. Stone KA, Mahoney SJ, Paryzek RA, Pitts L, Stastny SN, Mitchell SL, et al. Intermittent blood flow restriction exercise rapidly improves muscular and cardiovascular health in adults with beyond adequate protein intakes. *Acta Astronaut.* (2022) 199:224–31. doi: 10.1016/J.ACTAASTRO.2022.07.050

25. Freitas EDS, Miller RM, Heishman AD, Ferreira-Júnior JB, Araújo JP, Bemben MG. Acute physiological responses to resistance exercise with continuous versus intermittent blood flow restriction: a randomized controlled trial. *Front Physiol.* (2020) 11:511027. doi: 10.3389/FPHYS.2020.00132/BIBTEX

26. Neto GR, Novaes JS, Salerno VP, Gonçalves MM, Piazera BKL, Rodrigues-Rodrigues T, et al. Acute effects of resistance exercise with continuous and intermittent blood flow restriction on hemodynamic measurements and perceived exertion. *Percept Mot Skills.* (2016) 124:277–92. doi: 10.1177/0031512516677900

27. Warburton D, Jamnik V, Bredin S, Shephard R, Gledhill N. The 2021 physical activity readiness questionnaire for everyone (PAR-Q+) and electronic physical activity readiness medical examination (ePARmed-X+). *Health Fitness J Canada*. (2021) 14:83–7. doi: 10.14288/HFJC.V14I1.351

28. Illinois State Medical Society. Are You at Risk for DVT? (2013) Available online at: https://www.gemmat-thrombose.fr/wp-content/uploads/2017/08/caprini-dvt-risk-assessment.pdf (Accessed April 14, 2024).

29. Rossow LM, Fahs CA, Sherk VD, Il SD, Bemben DA, Bemben MG. The effect of acute blood-flow-restricted resistance exercise on postexercise blood pressure. *Clin Physiol Funct Imaging.* (2011) 31:429–34. doi: 10.1111/J.1475-097X.2011. 01038.X

30. Julio UF, Panissa VLG, Franchini E. Prediction of one repetition maximum from the maximum number of repetitions with submaximal loads in recreationally strength-trained men. *Sci Sports.* (2012) 27:e69–76. doi: 10.1016/J. SCISPO.2012.07.003

31. McEwen JA, Owens JG, Jeyasurya J. Why is it crucial to use personalized occlusion pressures in blood flow restriction (BFR) rehabilitation? *J Med Biol Eng.* (2019) 39:173–7. doi: 10.1007/s40846-018-0397-7

32. Hughes L, Jeffries O, Waldron M, Rosenblatt B, Gissane C, Paton B, et al. Influence and reliability of lower-limb arterial occlusion pressure at different body positions. *PeerJ.* (2018) 2018:1–12. doi: 10.7717/peerj.4697

33. Evin HA, Mahoney SJ, Wagner M, Bond CW, MacFadden LN, Noonan BC. Limb occlusion pressure for blood flow restricted exercise: variability and relations with participant characteristics. *Phys Ther Sport.* (2021) 47:78–84. doi: 10.1016/j. ptsp.2020.11.026

34. Day ML, McGuigan MR, Brice G, Foster C. Monitoring exercise intensity during resistance training using the session RPE scale. *J Strength Cond Res.* (2004) 18:353–8. doi: 10.1519/R-13113.1

35. Schaffarczyk M, Rogers B, Reer R, Gronwald T. Validity of the polar H10 sensor for heart rate variability analysis during resting state and incremental exercise in recreational men and women. *Sensors.* (2022) 22:6536. doi: 10.3390/S22176536

36. Young FLS, Leicht AS. Short-term stability of resting heart rate variability: influence of position and gender. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab.* (2011) 36:210-8. doi: 101139/h10-103

37. Bakeman R. Recommended effect size statistics for repeated measures designs. *Behav Res Methods.* (2005) 37:379–84. doi: 10.3758/bf03192707

38. Page-Gould E, Mendes WB, Major B. Intergroup contact facilitates physiological recovery following stressful intergroup interactions. J Exp Soc Psychol. (2010) 46:854–8. doi: 10.1016/j.jesp.2010.04.006

39. Mezzacappa E, Kelsey RM, Katkin ES, Sloan RP. Vagal rebound and recovery from psychological stress. *Psychosom Med.* (2001) 63:650–7. doi: 10.1097/00006842-200107000-00018

40. Weissman DG, Mendes WB. Correlation of sympathetic and parasympathetic nervous system activity during rest and acute stress tasks. *Int J Psychophysiol.* (2021) 162:60–8. doi: 10.1016/J.IJPSYCHO.2021.01.015

41. Buchheit M, Laursen PB, Al Haddad H, Ahmaidi S. Exercise-induced plasma volume expansion and post-exercise parasympathetic reactivation. *Eur J Appl Physiol.* (2009) 105:471–81. doi: 10.1007/s00421-008-0925-1

42. Faul F, Erdfelder E, Lang AG, Buchner A. G*power 3: a flexible statistical power analysis program for the social, behavioral, and biomedical sciences. *Behav Res Methods*. (2007) 39:175–91. doi: 10.3758/bf03193146

43. Rolnick N, Kimbrell K, De Queiros V. Beneath the cuff: often overlooked and under-reported blood flow restriction device characteristics and their potential impact on practice. *Front Physiol.* (2023) 14:1089065. doi: 10.51224/SRXIV.185

44. Rolnick N. Unpacking the blood flow restriction device features literature: autoregulation. Front Sports Act Living. (2024) 6:1455277. doi: 10.3389/fspor.2024.1455277

45. Hughes L, Rosenblatt B, Gissane C, Paton B, Patterson SD. Interface pressure, perceptual, and mean arterial pressure responses to different blood flow restriction systems. *Scand J Med Sci Sports.* (2018) 28:1757–65. doi: 10.1111/SMS.13092

46. Shriver CT, Figueroa YL, Jayson G, Davis PR. Effects of different percentages of blood flow restriction on muscle oxygen saturation while walking. *Int J Exerc Sci.* (2023) 16(2):411–28. doi: 10.70252/KCIF2483

47. Reis JF, Fatela P, Mendonca G V, Vaz JR, Valamatos MJ, Infante J, et al. Tissue oxygenation in response to different relative levels of blood-flow restricted exercise. *Front Physiol.* (2019) 10:440188. doi: 10.3389/FPHYS.2019.00407/BIBTEX

48. Schoenfeld BJ. The mechanisms of muscle hypertrophy and their application to resistance training. J Strength Cond Res. (2010) 24:2857–72. doi: 10.1519/JSC. 0B013E3181E840F3

49. Bartolomei S, Montesanto P, Lanzoni IM, Gatta G, Cortesi M, Fantozzi S. A comparison between high and low cuff pressures on muscle oxygen saturation and recovery responses following blood-flow restriction resistance exercise. *Sensors (Basel).* (2022) 22(23):9138. doi: 10.3390/S22239138

50. Bloomfield DM, Magnano A, Bigger JT, Rivadeneira H, Parides M, Steinman RC. Comparison of spontaneous vs. Metronome-guided breathing on assessment of vagal modulation using RR variability. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol.* (2001) 280: H1145–50. doi: 10.1152/AJPHEART.2001.280.3.H1145