Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Yang Lv, Peking University Third Hospital, China

REVIEWED BY HONGYI SHAO, Beijing Jishuitan Hospital, China Yunfei Hou, Peking University People's Hospital, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Hao-yang Wang 🖾 wanghaoyang-scu@163.com Zong-ke Zhou 🖾 zhouzongke@scu.edu.cn

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

⁺These authors have contributed equally to this work

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Orthopedic Surgery, a section of the journal Frontiers in Surgery

RECEIVED 25 October 2022 ACCEPTED 05 December 2022 PUBLISHED 04 January 2023

CITATION

Cao J, Liao K, Li Z-x, Wang D, Chen J-l, Wang H-y and Zhou Z-k (2023) Femoral and tibial cementless fixation neither increases blood loss nor impedes early functional recovery: A randomized controlled trial. Front. Surg. 9:1079120. doi: 10.3389/fsurg.2022.1079120

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Cao, Liao, Li, Wang, Chen, Wang and Zhou. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Femoral and tibial cementless fixation neither increases blood loss nor impedes early functional recovery: A randomized controlled trial

Jian Cao^{1†}, Kai Liao^{2†}, Ze-xi Li³, Duan Wang¹, Jia-li Chen⁴, Hao-yang Wang^{1†*} and Zong-ke Zhou^{1†*}

¹Department of Orthopedics, Orthopedic Research Institute, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China, ²Department of Radiology, West China Hospital, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China, ³West China School of Medicine, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China, ⁴West China School of Nursing, Sichuan University/Department of Orthopedics, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China

Background: Whether cementless fixation on femoral and tibial components increases blood loss during total knee arthroplasty (TKA) is unclear. The purpose of this randomized controlled trial was to compare blood loss and early functional recovery between patients who underwent cementless or cemented TKA.

Methods: Between November 2021 and April 2022, sixty-one eligible patients at our medical center were randomized to cementless and cemented group. The primary outcome was total blood loss (TBL). Secondary outcomes were drainage, knee swelling, anemia, transfusion, hematological indicators, early functional recovery, and postoperative complications. The early functional recovery included range of motion (ROM), Hospital for Special Surgery (HSS) score, walking distance.

Results: A total of 61 patients were analyzed, of whom 30 underwent cementless fixation. On postoperative day 1, the mean TBL was 394.39 ml (SD 182.97 ml) in the cementless group and 382.41 ml (SD 208.67 ml) in the cemented group (P = 0.863). By postoperative day 3, the corresponding mean TBL was higher at 593.48 ml (SD 230.04 ml) and 603.80 ml (SD 213.16 ml) (P = 0.751). The two groups did not differ significantly in drainage, knee swelling, anemia, levels of hemoglobin or hematocrit or platelets, ROM, HSS score, walking distance, or rates of transfusion or postoperative complications.

Conclusions: Cementless fixation on femoral and tibial components during TKA does not increase blood loss or impede early functional recovery, which suggests that clinicians need not worry about blood loss and early functional recovery when deciding what type of fixation to perform during TKA.

Trial registration: Number: ChiCTR2100052857; Date: November 6, 2021.

KEYWORDS

cementless fixation, cemented fixation, blood loss, drainage, early functional recovery, total knee arthroplasty

Abbreviations

TKA, total knee arthroplasty; TBL, total blood loss; ROM, range of motion; HSS, Hospital for Special Surgery; DVT, deep venous thrombosis; PE, pulmonary embolism; Hb, hemoglobin; HCT, hematocrit; PLT, platelet count; PBV, patients blood volume; SD, standard deviation; IQR, interquartile range.

Introduction

Total knee arthroplasty (TKA) is a successful surgical procedure to relieve pain, correct deformities, and restore physical function. Aseptic loosening is the most common reason for failure in TKA and is a major concern (1). This has led some clinicians to consider cementless fixation instead of standard cement fixation in order to achieve long-lasting biological fixation of the implant to the bone (2). The available evidence suggests that cementless fixation is associated with lower risk of aseptic loosening than cemented fixation in TKA (3-5).

However, some authors have noted that the absence of cement during TKA fails to prevent bleeding from cancellous bone (2) or from veins and sinusoids (6). Thus, the potentially greater blood loss in cementless fixation is also a clinical consideration in the choice of fixation type. In fact, two randomized controlled trials (7, 8) indicated femoral or tibial cementless fixation did not influence blood loss. But to our knowledge, whether cementless fixation on femoral and tibial components increases total blood loss (TBL) during TKA remains inconclusive: four studies (9-13) concluded that it does, while two concluded that it does not (14). A recent meta-analysis (3) pooled higher TBL in femoral and tibial cementless fixation, but only included three randomized controlled trials with blood loss as the secondary outcome (9, 10, 14) and two were conducted more than 20 years ago. It is well known that great progress has been made in perioperative blood management based on the implementation of the "Enhanced Recovery After Surgery" program (15) within the last decade, and it is intriguing to re-evaluate the blood loss between the two fixation types.

Therefore, we conducted a prospective, randomized controlled trial to compare blood loss between patients who underwent cementless or cemented fixation on femoral and tibial components. In addition, we compared the two approaches in terms of early functional recovery.

Materials and methods

This prospective, single-center, randomized controlled trial was approved by the Ethics Committee at our institution, and it was registered at the Chinese Clinical Trial Registry (ChiCTR2100052857). Written informed consent was obtained from participants before enrollment. Data in the trial were collected, analyzed and reported in conformity with the Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) Statement.

Participants

We consecutively recruited patients 18-80 years old at our institution who were diagnosed with end-stage knee osteoarthritis and who underwent primary unilateral TKA between November

2021 and April 2022. Patients were excluded if they had a history of knee surgery, except arthroscopy; severe osteoporosis [The threshold of bone density value below the -2.5 SD of T-score, determined by dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry, and the presence of fragility fractures (16)] or bone defects; a history of deep venous thrombosis (DVT) or pulmonary embolism (PE); hematopoietic or hemorrhagic disorder; current use of anticoagulant therapies (warfarin or heparin); hemoglobin (Hb) < 100 g/L or C-reactive protein >10 mg/L (17); acupuncture or puncture of the target knee within three months before TKA; uncontrolled hypertension; allergy to the prosthesis; or refusal to participate.

Interventions, randomization, and blinding

Eligible patients were allocated a unique number and randomized to groups that received cementless or cemented femoral and tibial components using a computer-generated randomization table by one independent investigator not involved in the data collection and analysis. This table, without patient identifiers such as the name, assigned each participant with its unique trial number to a corresponding intervention allocation code. Another two investigators who were blinded to group allocation collected data, while a fourth investigator, also blinded to group allocation, conducted statistical analysis of the data. Only patients were blinded to group allocation.

Surgical procedure and perioperative management

One senior surgeon performed all procedures using a standard medial parapatellar approach while patients were under general anesthesia. Femoral resection was performed using an intramedullary alignment guide; tibial resection, using an extramedullary alignment guide. All patients received fixed bearings and posterior stabilized prostheses (Just Medical Devices, Tianjin, China). The aforementioned femoral and tibial prostheses were coated with titanium to increase friction and induce bone ingrowth. Meanwhile, three-dimensional printing technology was used to construct a three-zone trabecular structure (the pore size, porosity, and elastic modulus of trabecular bone in the inner, middle, and outer zones were different) on the back of the tibial plateau to avoid aseptic loosening caused by uneven stress distribution.

A drainage tube was used until 24 h after surgery. Prophylactic intravenous antibiotic was applied within the first 24 h postoperatively. A standardized venous thromboembolism prevention protocol was adopted, involving intermittent inflatable calf pump, ankle dorsal and plantar flexion, as well as enoxaparin, which was administered as 2,000 IU subcutaneously 6 h postoperatively and then 4,000 IU once daily until discharge. Rivaroxaban (10 mg) was administered orally 10 days after discharge. Trained rehabilitation nurses instructed the patients to perform active range of motion (ROM) exercises, lower-extremity strength training and ambulation according to standard procedures.

Perioperative multimodal blood management

Blood was managed intraoperatively using hemostasis with electrocautery, a tourniquet with pressure set around 240 mmHg, sealing of the femoral medullary canal with autologous bone, minimization of surgical trauma (All operations were performed by the same experienced joint surgeon, which can shorten the operation time and avoid excessive soft tissue release and repetitive osteotomy.), and maintenance of systolic blood pressure around 100 mmHg. Tranexamic acid was given as five intravenous doses at 2 h before surgery (2 g), then at 3, 6, 12, and 24 h after surgery (1 g each). Postoperative drainage-clamping was conducted for 4 h.

Outcome measures

The primary outcome was TBL. Secondary outcomes included drainage, knee swelling, anemia, transfusion, hematological indicators, early function recovery, and postoperative complications.

TBL on postoperative days 1 and 3 was calculated as described by Gross and Nadler et al. (18, 19). The detailed information of the calculated TBL is presented in (1-4).

- ① TBL (ml) = patient's blood volume (PBV) × (HCT_{pre}-HCT_{post})/HCT_{ave};
- ② PBV (ml) = $1,000 \times [K_1 \times \text{height (m)} + K_2 \times \text{weight (kg)} + K_3]$ (K₁ = 0.3669, K₂ = 0.03219, and K₃ = 0.6041 for men; and K₁ = 0.3561, K₂ = 0.03308, and K₃ = 0.1833 for women);
- ③ HCT_{pre} = the initial preoperative HCT level; HCT_{post} = the HCT on the morning of the postoperative day; HCT_{ave} = the average of the HCT_{pre} and HCT_{post};
- ④ If either reinfusion or allogeneic transfusion was performed, the TBL is equal to the loss calculated from the change in HCT plus the volume transfused.

A graduated cylinder was used to measure drainage at 12 and 24 h postoperatively. Knee swelling was measured in terms of the circumference at the superior pole of the patella preoperatively and on postoperative days 2 and 3. The hematological indicators of Hb, hematocrit (HCT) and platelet count (PLT) were evaluated preoperatively and on postoperative days 1 and 3, week 6, and month 3.

Early functional recovery was assessed in terms of ROM, score on the Hospital for Special Surgery (HSS) scale (20), and walking distance. ROM was calculated as flexion minus flexion contracture with a oniometer while the patient was in a supine position; it was measured preoperatively and on postoperative day 3, week 6, and month 3. HSS score was determined preoperatively and on postoperative week 6 and month 3. Walking distance was recorded preoperatively and on postoperative month 3 according to HSS score.

Anemia, transfusion, and complications were documented during the 90 days after surgery. Diagnostic criteria for anemia were postoperative minimum Hb \leq 12 g/dl in men and Hb \leq 11 g/dl in non-pregnant women. Anemia was subclassified as mild if postoperative minimum Hb > 9 g/dl; moderate, 6–9 g/dl; severe, 3–6 g/dl; or extremely severe, \leq 30 g/dl (21). Transfusion was performed when postoperative minimum Hb < 7 g/dl, or when postoperative minimum was 7–10 g/dl and the patient showed anemia symptoms such as dizziness or fatigue (22). The following complications were documented during the 90 days after surgery: DVT, PE, infection, readmission or mortality.

Statistical analysis and sample size

Data were analyzed using SPSS 26.0 (IBM, Chicago, IL, USA). The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to test whether data were normally distributed. Continuous data were reported as mean and standard deviation (SD) if they showed a normal distribution, or as median and interquartile range (IQR) if they showed a skewed distribution. Categorical data were reported as numbers and percentages. Intergroup differences in continuous variables were assessed for significance using an independent-samples *t*-test if data were normally distributed, or the Mann–Whitney *U* test if data showed skew or unequal variance. Intergroup differences in categorical variables were assessed using the Pearson chi-squared test or Fisher's exact test. Differences associated with P < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

The sample size was estimated based on a previously reported mean significant difference in blood loss of 143.8 ml (10) between cementless TKA and cemented TKA. To expand the sample size, our definition of 110 ml (SD \pm 150 ml) as the smallest clinically meaningful reduction in blood loss between two groups. A power analysis implied that 29 participants per group were needed to achieve the power of 0.8 at 0.05 significance level.

Results

Patient characteristics

A total of 86 patients were assessed for eligibility, of whom 25 were excluded because they failed to meet the inclusion criteria or they fulfilled the exclusion criteria. The remaining 61 patients were randomly allocated to a cementless group (n = 30) or cemented group (n = 31). All patients were followed up for 3 months

(Figure 1). The two groups did not differ significantly in baseline characteristics (Table 1).

Blood loss

Mean TBL was 394.39 ml (SD 182.97 ml) in the cementless group and 382.41 ml (SD 208.67 ml) cemented group on postoperative day 1 (P = 0.863), which increased marginally to 593.48 ml (SD 230.04 ml) and 603.80 ml (SD 213.16 ml) on postoperative day 3 (P = 0.751).

The two groups did not differ significantly in knee circumference, drainage, or rate of anemia (Table 2), nor did they differ significantly in Hb, HCT, or PLT levels throughout the 90-day follow-up (Figure 2). The Hb and HCT levels of the final follow-up were significantly lower than preoperative values (P = 0.001, P = 0.003, respectively) between the two groups. No patients required intra- or postoperative transfusion.

Early functional recovery and complications

The two groups did not differ significantly in ROM, total or functional HHS scores, or walking distance at any time point (**Table 3**). No patient in the study developed DVT, PE, or infection, nor were any cases of readmission or mortality recorded.

Discussion

Our work diverges from the previous four studies involving femoral and tibial cementless or cemented fixation (9-12) in three important respects. One is that previous work reported significantly greater blood loss after cementless fixation than after cemented fixation, whereas we found similar blood loss between the two cases. Another is that previous work reported greater mean blood loss than our study, both for cementless fixation (700-1,300 vs. 394.4 ml) and for cemented fixation (200-600 vs. 382.4 ml). In addition, previous work reported high transfusion rates for both types of fixations, while no transfusion occurred in our study. From our point of view, these discrepancies may reflect advances in perioperative blood management, especially tranexamic acid is routinely used (23). A significant body of literature has demonstrated that tranexamic acid, as an antifibrinolytic agent, is an effective blood-sparing technique (24-33). The above four studies were conducted 20 years ago (9-12), implying that they used some relatively old blood management protocols, especially notably that all did not mention the application of TXA. In contrast, the current



(n = 2), hemoglobin <100 g/L or CRP > 10 mg/L (n = 2), uncontrolled hypertension (n = 1), acupuncture or puncture 3 months before surgery (n = 1).

TABLE 1 Baseline characteristics.

Characteristics	Cementless group (n = 30)	Cemented group (n = 31)	<i>P-</i> value*	
Demographics				
Age ^a , y	64.7 (3.95)	65.03 (5.13)	0.778	
Gender, No. (%)			0.687	
Female	24 (80)	27 (87.1)		
Male	6 (20)	4 (12.9)		
Weight ^a , kg	63.29 (8.59)	64.03 ± 7.01	0.713	
Height ^a , m	1.55 (0.06)	1.57 (0.06)	0.411	
BMI ^a , kg/m ²	26.28 (3.06)	26.08 (2.07)	0.765	
PBV ^a , ml	2,906.03 (335.61)	2,908.42 (264.66)	0.975	
Operation side, No. (%)			0.096	
Right	12 (40)	19 (61.3)		
Left	18 (60)	18 (60) 12 (38.7)		
Operation time ^a , min	72.53 (16.15)	78.71 (14.41)	0.120	
ASA clasification, No. (%)			0.704	
Ι	0 (0)	0 (0)		
II	23 (76.7)	25 (80.6)		
III	7 (23.3)	6 (19.4)		
Hypertension, No. (%)	14 (46.7)	12 (38.7)	0.530	
Type 2 DM, No. (%)	3 (10)	2 (6.5)	0.671	
Preoperative clinical	evaluation			
Knee circumference ^a , cm	37.58 (4.01)	37.38 (2.33)	0.817	
Deformity, No. (%)			0.537	
Varus	24 (80)	22 (71)		
Valgus	0 (0)	2 (6.5)		
Neutral	6 (20)	7 (22.6)		
ROM ^b , °	100 (78.75-110)	92.50 (85-110)	0.783	
HSS score ^a				
Total	60.53 (13.8)	56.58 (10.95)	0.219	
Pain	15.9 ± 5.83	14.19 ± 6.2	0.277	
Function	14.6 (3.5)	12.71 (3.45)	0.060	
Walking distance, No. (%)			0.079	
Unlimited	0 (0)	0 (0)		

(continued)

TABLE 1 Continued

Characteristics	Cementless group (n = 30)	Cemented group (n = 31)	<i>P-</i> value*
2.5–5 km	5 (16.7)	1 (3.2)	
0.5–2.5 km	14 (46.7)	11 (35.5)	
<0.5 km	11 (36.7)	19 (61.3)	
Unable	0 (0)	0 (0)	
Preoperative laborato	ory test ^a		
Hemoglobin, g/dl	13.5 (1.5)	13.5 (1.2)	0.947
Hematocrit, L/L	0.43 (0.04)	0.42 (0.03)	0.670
Platelet count, 10 ⁹ /L	195.83 (61.28)	201.29 (52.1)	0.709
APTT, s	26.64 (2.78)	26.4 (2.3)	0.834
Prothrombin time, s	10.72 (0.81)	10.55 (0.55)	0.680

BMI, body mass index; PBV, patient's blood volume; ASA, american society of anesthesiologists; DM, diabetes mellitus; ROM, range of motion; HSS, hospital for special surgery; APTT, activated partial thromboplastin time. ^aValues are given as mean and standard deviation.

^bValues are given as median and interquartile range.

values are given as median

*P < 0.05 is significant.

study used relatively proven perioperative multimodal blood management, including the use of tranexamic acid.

But it is worth noting that another recent study concluded that insufficient tranexamic acid may fail to reduce the additional intra-articular blood loss caused by femoral and tibial cementless fixation (13). In their study, a regimen of single-dose (1-2 g) topical or intravenous TXA was used in partial participants. They discovered that two fixations had similar TBL, but cementless fixation still resulted in more drainage during 24 h postoperatively. We relied on a multiple dosing of Intravenous tranexamic acid (the total dose is 6 g) in our study, reflecting findings from our medical center that multiple-dose intravenous tranexamic acid reduces blood loss in cemented TKA more effectively than single-dose tranexamic acid without increasing the risk of complications (29-31). We revealed similar TBL and postoperative 24-hour drainage, which proved their guess. Furthermore, no complications, readmission, or mortality occurred in our cohort up to 90 days after TKA.

In fact, Hood et al. (13) retrospectively found that there was no difference in change in Hb level from preoperatively to day 1 or day 2 postoperatively between the two fixation types on femoral and tibial components, which is consistent with a prospective study (14) (with a significant difference in baseline Hb level between the two groups). Our research reinforces their finding. Also, we observed no significant difference between the two groups in HCT and PLT levels. Importantly, we followed up with hematologic indicators four times over three months after surgery, and we found a consistent recovery in both

TABLE 2 B	lood Loss.
-----------	------------

Characteristics	Cementless group (n = 30)	Cemented group (<i>n</i> = 31)	<i>P-</i> value*
Total blood loss ^a , m	1		
Postoperative day 1	394.39 (182.97)	382.41 (208.67)	0.863
Postoperative day 3	593.48 (230.04)	603.80 (213.16)	0.751
Drainage ^a , ml			
Postoperative 12 h	91.67 (75.66)	87.1 (61.6)	0.960
Postoperative 24 h	123.33 (102.03)	115.48 (77.62)	0.983
Knee circumference	^a , cm		
Postoperative day 2	39.37 (4.16)	38.38 (2.63)	0.740
Postoperative day 3	40.6 (4.36)	40.54 (2.63)	0.801
Anemia, No. (%)			0.526
None	14 (46.7)	16 (51.6)	
Mild	15 (50)	12 (38.7)	
Moderate	1 (3.3)	3 (9.7)	
Transfusion, No. (%)	0 (0)	0 (0)	

^aValues are given as mean and standard deviation.

*P < 0.05 is significant.

groups, although Hb and HCT levels did not recover to preoperative values at the last follow-up.

Our findings support the results of two previous studies (7, 8) that reported no significant difference in blood loss, including Hb and HCT levels, volumes of postoperative suction drainage and TBL, and transfusion rate between cementless and cemented fixations. However, there was a main difference between their research and ours in the study design. In the study of Ishii et al. (8), the cementless group used cementless tibial components, and the cemented group used the cemented tibial components (tibial side). Meanwhile, both groups received cementless femoral components. Demey et al. (7) respectively applied the cementless and cemented femoral components to cementless and cemented groups (femoral side), and both groups received cemented tibial components. The similar findings of the three studies together reinforce the notion that cementless fixation on the tibial or/and femoral side does not significantly increase blood loss during TKA.

One further concern brought about by blood loss is that it may cause anemia and knee swelling, affecting respectively the patient's overall condition and local condition, which in turn affect early function recovery (31, 34–36). Our findings suggest that cementless TKA does neither increase risk of anemia or knee swelling nor compromise early functional recovery, which differs from a recent meta-analysis (3) that have suggested that a significantly worse postoperative Knee Society Score and



Frontiers in Surgery

Characteristics	Cementless group (n = 30)	Cemented group (n = 31)	<i>P-</i> value*	
ROM ^a , °				
Postoperative day 3	105 (100–110)	110 (100-110)	0.095	
Postoperative week 6	112.5 (95–120)	107.5 (98.75– 120)	0.445	
Postoperative month 3	110 (100-120)	110 (95-120)	0.919	
HSS total score ^b				
Postoperative week 6	79.23 (9.05)	77.26 (9.83)	0.418	
Postoperative month 3	83.63 (9.19)	85.23 (6.04)	0.426	
HSS function score ^b				
Postoperative week 6	14.7 (3.64)	14.61 (3.84)	0.928	
Postoperative month 3	16.63 (3.46)	17.55 (2.83)	0.262	
Walking distance, No. (%)			0.473	
Unlimited	4 (13.3)	5 (16.1)		
2.5–5 km	10 (33.3)	12 (38.7)		
0.5–2.5 km	13 (43.3)	14 (45.2)		
<0.5 km	3 (10)	0 (0)		
Unable	0 (0)	0 (0)		
90-day complications, N	0. (%)			
Deep vein thrombosis	0 (0)	0 (0)		
Pulmonary embolism	0 (0)	0 (0)	0)	
Infections	0 (0)	0 (0)		
Readmission	0 (0)	0 (0)		
Mortality	0 (0)	0 (0)		

TABLE 3	Early	Functional	Recovery	and	Complications.
---------	-------	------------	----------	-----	----------------

ROM, range of motion; HSS, hospital for special surgery. ^aValues are given as median and interquartile range. ^bValues are given as mean and standard deviation.

*P < 0.05 is significant.

ROM in cementless TKA. We believe that the consistent postoperative function recovery between the two groups in our study is closely related to similar blood loss. Unfortunately, previous studies that reported significantly greater blood loss with cementless TKA did not verify whether that translated to worse early functional recovery (9-14).

While this study is, to our knowledge, the first prospective trial to compare blood loss as primary outcome and early functional recovery between cementless and cemented TKA under modern perioperative blood management, its findings should be interpreted with caution given that we cannot identify with certainty why our results differ from those of several comparative studies published previously and the sample size of our study is relatively limited (The probability of making a type 2 error increases). In addition to advances in perioperative blood management, other explanations include advances in surgical techniques and prosthesis design. Future studies should explore the reasons why cementless fixation gives similar outcomes to cemented fixation, even though several studies indicate that it can lead to increased blood loss in some cases. Future work should also aim to optimize dose, timing and route of tranexamic acid administration. In any case, our findings should be verified and extended in larger, multi-site studies, preferably with even longer follow-up.

Conclusions

Our study suggests that cementless fixation on femoral and tibial components during TKA neither increases blood loss nor impedes early functional recovery in comparison with cemented fixation, which implies that clinicians need not worry about blood loss and early functional recovery when deciding what type of fixation to perform during TKA.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author/s.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Biomedical Ethics Review Committee, West China Hospital, Sichuan University. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

JC and KL applied for ethical, registered the clinical trial, and drafted the work and revised it critically for important intellectual content. KL, Z-xL, J-lC and DW collected data, and analyzed and interpreted data for the work. JC and Z-kZ participated in the final approval of the version to be published. H-yW and Z-kZ contributions to the conception and design of the work. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This research was funded by and 1.3.5 project for disciplines of excellence of Sichuan University West China Hospital (grant number: ZYJC18039), the Regional Innovation & Cooperation program of Science & Technology Department of Sichuan Province (grant number: 2021YFQ0028), West China Nursing Discipline Development Special Fund Project, Sichuan University (HXHL20003) and Key Research & Development program of Science & Technology Department of Sichuan Province (grant number: 2021YFS0167).

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the staff surgeons, nurses, and research staff for guidance and assistance, and for their support and collaboration in our institution. We thank the participants in the present study.

References

1. Sharkey PF, Lichstein PM, Shen C, Tokarski AT, Parvizi J. Why are total knee arthroplasties failing today-has anything changed after 10 years? *J Arthroplasty.* (2014) 29(9):1774–8. doi: 10.1016/j.arth.2013.07.024

2. Dalury DF. Cementless total knee arthroplasty: current concepts review. Bone Joint J. (2016) 98-B(7):867-73. doi: 10.1302/0301-620X.98B7.37367

3. Mercurio M, Gasparini G, Sanzo V, Familiari F, Castioni D, Galasso O. Cemented total knee arthroplasty shows less blood loss but a higher rate of aseptic loosening compared with cementless fixation: an updated meta-analysis of comparative studies. *J Arthroplasty*. (2022) 37(9):1879–87.e4. doi: 10.1016/j. arth.2022.04.013

4. Sinicrope BJ, Feher AW, Bhimani SJ, Smith LS, Harwin SF, Yakkanti MR, et al. Increased survivorship of cementless versus cemented TKA in the morbidly obese. A minimum 5-year follow-up. *J Arthroplasty.* (2019) 34 (2):309–14. doi: 10.1016/j.arth.2018.10.016

5. Bagsby DT, Issa K, Smith LS, Elmallah RK, Mast LE, Harwin SF, et al. Cemented vs cementless total knee arthroplasty in morbidly obese patients. *J Arthroplasty*. (2016) 31(8):1727–31. doi: 10.1016/j.arth.2016.01.025

6. Tronzo RG, Kallos T, Wyche MQ. Elevation of intramedullary pressure when methylmethacrylate is inserted in total hip arthroplasty. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* (1974) 56(4):714–8. doi: 10.2106/00004623-197456040-00006

7. Demey G, Servien E, Pinaroli A, Lustig S, Aït Si Selmi T, Neyret P. The influence of femoral cementing on perioperative blood loss in total knee arthroplasty: a prospective randomized study. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* (2010) 92 (3):536–41. doi: 10.2106/JBJS.H.01159

8. Ishii Y, Matsuda Y. Perioperative blood loss in cementless or hybrid total knee arthroplasty without patellar resurfacing: a prospective, randomized study. *J Arthroplasty.* (2005) 20(8):972–6. doi: 10.1016/j.arth.2004.12.059

9. Kim YH, Park JW, Lim HM, Park ES. Cementless and cemented total knee arthroplasty in patients younger than fifty five years. Which is better? *Int Orthop.* (2014) 38(2):297–303. doi: 10.1007/s00264-013-2243-4

10. Park JW, Kim YH. Simultaneous cemented and cementless total knee replacement in the same patients: a prospective comparison of long-term outcomes using an identical design of NexGen prosthesis. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* (2011) 93(11):1479–86. doi: 10.1302/0301-620X.93B11.27507

11. Mylod Jr AG, France MP, Muser DE, Parsons JR. Perioperative blood loss associated with total knee arthroplasty. A comparison of procedures performed with and without cementing. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* (1990) 72(7):1010–2. doi: 10.2106/00004623-199072070-00008

12. Hays MB, Mayfield JF. Total blood loss in major joint arthroplasty. A comparison of cemented and noncemented hip and knee operations. J Arthroplasty. (1988) 3(Suppl):S47–9. doi: 10.1016/S0883-5403(88)80008-1

13. Hood Jr M, Dilley JE, Ziemba-Davis M, Meneghini RM. Greater blood loss in contemporary cementless total knee arthroplasty than cemented total knee arthroplasty despite tranexamic acid use: a match-controlled retrospective study. *J Knee Surg.* (2021) 34(4):351–6. doi: 10.1055/s-0039-1695796

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

14. Nam D, Lawrie CM, Salih R, Nahhas CR, Barrack RL, Nunley RM. Cemented versus cementless total knee arthroplasty of the same modern design: a prospective, randomized trial. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* (2019) 101(13):1185–92. doi: 10.2106/JBJS.18.01162

15. Kehlet H. Fast-track hip and knee arthroplasty. *Lancet.* (2013) 381 (9878):1600-2. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(13)61003-X

16. Nuti R, Brandi ML, Isaia G, Tarantino U, Silvestri S, Adami S. New perspectives on the definition and the management of severe osteoporosis: the patient with two or more fragility fractures. *J Endocrinol Invest.* (2009) 32 (9):783–8. doi: 10.1007/BF03346537

17. Parvizi J, Tan TL, Goswami K, Higuera C, Della Valle C, Chen AF, et al. The 2018 Definition of Periprosthetic Hip and Knee Infection: An Evidence-Based and Validated Criteria. *J Arthroplasty.* (2018) 33(5):1309–14.e2.

18. Gross JB. Estimating allowable blood loss: corrected for dilution. Anesthesiology. (1983) 58(3):277-80. doi: 10.1097/0000542-198303000-00016

19. Nadler SB, Hidalgo JH, Bloch T. Prediction of blood volume in normal human adults. *Surgery*. (1962) 51(2):224–32.

20. Insall JN, Ranawat CS, Aglietti P, Shine J. A comparison of four models of total knee-replacement prostheses. J Bone Joint Surg Am. (1976) 58(6):754–65. doi: 10.2106/00004623-197658060-00003

21. [Multidisciplinary expert's consensus on the management of perioperative iron deficiency anemia in patients accepting general surgery]. Zhonghua Wai Ke Za Zhi. (2020) 58(4):252–6. doi: 10.3760/cma.j.cn112139-20200210-00073

22. Wang D, Wang HY, Luo ZY, Pei FX, Zhou ZK, Zeng WN. Finding the optimal regimen for oral tranexamic acid administration in primary total hip arthroplasty: a randomized controlled trial. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* (2019) 101 (5):438–45. doi: 10.2106/JBJS.18.00128

23. Chen KK, Chan JJ, Zubizarreta NJ, Poeran J, Chen DD, Moucha CS. Enhanced recovery after surgery protocols in lower extremity joint arthroplasty: using observational data to identify the optimal combination of components. *J Arthroplasty.* (2021) 36(8):2722–8. doi: 10.1016/j.arth.2021.03.003

24. Su EP, Su S. Strategies for reducing peri-operative blood loss in total knee arthroplasty. *Bone Joint J.* (2016) 98-b(1 Suppl A):98–100. doi: 10.1302/0301-620X.98B.36430

25. Fillingham YA, Ramkumar DB, Jevsevar DS, Yates AJ, Shores P, Mullen K, et al. The efficacy of tranexamic acid in total knee arthroplasty: a network metaanalysis. *J Arthroplasty.* (2018) 33(10):3090–8.e1. doi: 10.1016/j.arth.2018.04.043

26. Gilbody J, Dhotar HS, Perruccio AV, Davey JR. Topical tranexamic acid reduces transfusion rates in total hip and knee arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty.* (2014) 29(4):681–4. doi: 10.1016/j.arth.2013.09.005

27. Hallstrom B, Singal B, Cowen ME, Roberts KC, Hughes RE. The Michigan experience with safety and effectiveness of tranexamic acid use in hip and knee arthroplasty. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* (2016) 98(19):1646–55. doi: 10.2106/JBJS.15. 01010

28. Melvin JS, Stryker LS, Sierra RJ. Tranexamic acid in hip and knee arthroplasty. J Am Acad Orthop Surg. (2015) 23(12):732–40. doi: 10.5435/ JAAOS-D-14-00223

29. Zhang S, Xie J, Cao G, Lei Y, Huang Q, Pei F. Six-Dose intravenous tranexamic acid regimen further inhibits postoperative fibrinolysis and reduces hidden blood loss following total knee arthroplasty. *J Knee Surg.* (2021) 34 (2):224–32. doi: 10.1055/s-0039-1694768

30. Lei Y, Xie J, Xu B, Xie X, Huang Q, Pei F. The efficacy and safety of multipledose intravenous tranexamic acid on blood loss following total knee arthroplasty: a randomized controlled trial. *Int Orthop.* (2017) 41(10):2053–9. doi: 10.1007/ s00264-017-3519-x

31. Xie J, Ma J, Yao H, Yue C, Pei F. Multiple boluses of intravenous tranexamic acid to reduce hidden blood loss after primary total knee arthroplasty without tourniquet: a randomized clinical trial. *J Arthroplasty.* (2016) 31(11):2458–64. doi: 10.1016/j.arth.2016.04.034

32. Zak SG, Tang A, Sharan M, Waren D, Rozell JC, Schwarzkopf R. Tranexamic acid is safe in patients with a history of coronary artery disease

undergoing total joint arthroplasty. J Bone Joint Surg Am. (2021) 103(10):900-4. doi: 10.2106/JBJS.20.01226

33. Goh GS, D'Amore T, Lonner JH, Fillingham YA. Tranexamic acid is associated with decreasing the risk of complications in patients undergoing arthroplasty with preoperative coagulopathy. *J Arthroplasty.* (2021) 36 (12):3864–9.e1. doi: 10.1016/j.arth.2021.08.009

34. Yang Y, Yong-Ming L, Pei-jian D, Jia L, Ying-ze Z. Leg position influences early blood loss and functional recovery following total knee arthroplasty: a randomized study. *Int J Surg.* (2015) 23(Pt A):82–6. doi: 10.1016/j.ijsu.2015.09.053

35. Bierbaum BE, Callaghan JJ, Galante JO, Rubash HE, Tooms RE, Welch RB. An analysis of blood management in patients having a total hip or knee arthroplasty. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* (1999) 81(1):2–10. doi: 10.2106/00004623-199901000-00002

36. Wu Y, Zeng Y, Li C, Zhong J, Hu Q, Pei F, et al. The effect of post-operative limb positioning on blood loss and early outcomes after primary total knee arthroplasty: a randomized controlled trial. *Int Orthop.* (2019) 43(9):2083–91. doi: 10.1007/s00264-018-4174-6