Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Marco Sapienza, University of Catania, Italy

REVIEWED BY

Sidong Yang, Third Hospital of Hebei Medical University, China Andrea Perna, Agostino Gemelli University Polyclinic (IRCCS), Italy

*CORRESPONDENCE Xi-feng Zhang 656780949@qq.com

[†]The authors have contributed equally to this work

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Orthopedic Surgery, a section of the journal Frontiers in Surgery

RECEIVED 25 June 2021 ACCEPTED 22 November 2022 PUBLISHED 06 January 2023

CITATION

Du J-w, Zhang L-m, Yan Y-q, Zhang Y-n, Rong X-q, Xiao S-h and Zhang X-f (2023) Case Report: Adult degenerative scoliosis in two patients treated with percutaneous spinal endoscopic-assisted lumbar interbody fusion and percutaneous pedicle screw fixation. Front. Surg. 9:730504.

doi: 10.3389/fsurg.2022.730504

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Du, Zhang, Yan, Zhang, Rong, Xiao and Zhang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Case Report: Adult degenerative scoliosis in two patients treated with percutaneous spinal endoscopic-assisted lumbar interbody fusion and percutaneous pedicle screw fixation

Jian-wei Du^{1†}, Lei-ming Zhang^{2†}, Yu-qiu Yan³, Ya-ning Zhang⁴, Xue-qin Rong⁵, Song-hua Xiao⁶ and Xi-feng Zhang⁶*

¹Department of Orthopedics, Affiliated Hospital of Yangzhou University, Yangzhou, China, ²Department of Neurosurgery, The Sixth Medical Center of PLA General Hospital, Beijing, China, ³Department of Spine Surgery, Beijing Aiyuhua Hospital, Beijing, China, ⁴Department of Spinal Surgery, Linfen People's Hospital, Linfen, China, ⁵Department of Pain Treatment, The Third people's Hospital of Hainan Province, Sanya, China, ⁶Department of Orthopedics, Tsinghua University Affiliated Beijing Tsinghua Changgung Hospital, Beijing, China

Adult degenerative scoliosis (ADS) is a serious disease that often affects middle-aged and elderly people. ADS does not only cause sagittal and coronal deformity of the lumbar spine but also causes severe back and leg pain secondary to the compression of the neural structures. Open surgery remains the main method for correcting the occurring deformity and decompression of the neural structures; however, its benefit is limited in cases of large trauma. Minimally invasive spinal (MIS) surgery is an alternative method that has recently witnessed rapid development. It has the advantage of providing rapid recovery with less trauma as compared to conventional open surgery. We report two cases of ADS treated with percutaneous spinal endoscopic-assisted lumbar interbody fusion (EALIF) and percutaneous pedicle screw fixation. Both cases had moderate deformities of the lumbar spine (load-sharing classification 4-7 points) with severe back and leg pain, and they underwent successful MIS surgery. At 6 months of follow-up, the visual analog scale and Oswestry disability index scores of both patients improved and the deformity was corrected. For moderate ADS, percutaneous spinal EALIF and percutaneous pedicle screw fixation may achieve an effective correction of the deformity with direct decompression of neural structures.

KEYWORDS

endoscopy, minimally invasive surgery, adult degenerative scoliosis, Cobb angles 3, orthopedics

Introduction

Adult degenerative scoliosis (ADS) is a morphological and functional abnormality of the spine resulting from asymmetric degenerative changes in facet joints and intervertebral discs, aging, osteoporosis, and compression fractures (1–3). Currently, open spinal surgery remains the conventional method to treat ADS (4). However, there are many downsides to this technique, such as the large surgical range, the prolonged time of surgery, and the amount of resulting trauma. Moreover, middle-aged and elderly patients with comorbid conditions have a greater risk of developing complications.

With the continued progress of surgical techniques, microinvasive spinal (MIS) surgical techniques have recently witnessed rapid development. Compared with traditional open surgery, MIS has the advantage of providing rapid recovery with less trauma, and its indication can be effectively conducted by the minimally invasive spinal deformity surgery (MISDEF2) algorithm (5). Herein, we report two cases of ADS undergoing percutaneous lumbar interfusion and percutaneous pedicle screw fixation with the assistance of a spinal endoscope.

Case description

Case 1

A 56-year-old female patient complained of back pain for 20 years and left lower limb pain for 7 years that aggravated for 20 days. There were no cardiopulmonary deficits and no other underlying diseases. The muscle power, including the bending of hip and knee, extending the knee, dorsum at hallux and metatarsal flexion at hallux of both lower limbs was level 5. Accordingly, a diagnosis of ADS was established. At baseline, the visual analog scale (VAS) was 9 for back pain and 6 for leg pain, and the Oswestry disability index (ODI) score was 76%. Moreover, the Silva–Lenke type was IV. Radiological examinations showed lumbar scoliosis with a Cobb angle of 30 and lumbar kyphosis (LK) with an angle of 16 (Figure 1). In the past 2 years, the pain was severe, and it was managed with traditional Chinese acupuncture and massage. However, the condition did not improve.

Case 2

A 61-year-old female presented with back pain and left lower leg pain for 20 years with progressive aggravation for more than 2 months. There were no cardiopulmonary deficits and no other underlying diseases. The muscle power of the hip as well as that of both lower limbs was 4/5 level upon physical examination, which was indicative of establishing a diagnosis of ADS. The VAS was 6 points for back pain and 5 points for leg pain, the ODI score was 64%, and the Silva-Lenke type was IV. Radiological examination showed lumbar scoliosis with a Cobb angle of 30 and LK with lordosis of 12° (**Figure 2**). During the past year, pain was severe, and was managed with traditional Chinese acupuncture and massage. However, no improvement was noted.

Methods

In this report of two cases, we conducted all surgical procedures in accordance with the CARE guidelines (6). An informed consent was taken from both cases prior to examination or surgical correction. After both patients were indicated to have the surgery, our team conducted the necessary examinations and investigations preoperatively before correcting the scoliotic curve to adequately plan the surgical technique and have enhanced outcomes. The surgical approach was divided into two major steps. The first step was to perform intervertebral decompression and fusion with iliac bone grafting assisted by spinal endoscopy under intravenous anesthesia. The second step included the use of percutaneous pedicle screws for internal fixation and deformity correction Preoperative under general anesthesia. assessment, intraoperative manipulation, and postoperative appearance of both cases are provided (Figures 1, 2). The information of both cases was kept confidential by not providing any identifying data.

Postoperative assessment

The deformity of the lumbar spine was corrected, and low back and leg pain were significantly relieved. No complications were observed during the follow-up period (**Table 1**). Pre- and postoperative data for both cases are reported in **Table 1**.

Discussion

Most surgical approaches proposed in the literature for the management of ADS aim to correct the resulting misalignment and neurogenic claudication, as well as to relieve the occurring back and lower limb pain. Treatment of ADS with percutaneous spinal endoscopic-assisted lumbar interbody fusion (EALIF) and percutaneous pedicle screw fixation has incomparable advantages (7–9). For instance, the percutaneous pedicle screw technique may avoid the sacrospinous muscle dissection, effectively reduce or avoid the spinal nerve dorsal branch



injury, and protect the function of multiplex muscle. Over oblique lumbar interbody fusion (OLIF) is one type of lateral lumbar interbody fusion (LLIF), and through it, surgeons can access the disc space *via* an anterolateral approach between the aorta and psoas muscle. Direct lateral interbody fusion (DLIF) mitigates many of the vascular complications and bony resections associated with other interbody fusion techniques. However, there are concerns regarding



TABLE 1	General	data	and	operation-related	indexes	of two	patients.
---------	---------	------	-----	-------------------	---------	--------	-----------

Characteristic	Case 1	Case 2	
Follow-up time (months)	24.3	25.5	
Operation time (min)	144.5	154.6	
Intraoperative blood loss (ml)	312.4	346.5	
Back VAS (scores)			
Preoperative	9.0	6.0	
Last follow-up	2.6	1.7	
Leg VAS (scores)			
Preoperative	6.0	5.0	
Last follow-up	2.7	1.5	
ODI (%)			
Preoperative	76.0	64.0	
Last follow-up	27.0	25.0	
Cobb's angle (°)			
Preoperative	30.0	30.0	
Last follow-up	15.7	16.6	
SVA (mm)			
Preoperative	29.3	28.8	
Last follow-up	28.1	28.0	
LK (°)			
Preoperative	16.0	12.0	
Last follow-up	14.5	10.7	

VAS, visual analog scale; ODI, Oswestry disability index; SVA, sagittal vertical axis; LK, lumbar kyphosis.

postoperative neural complications since indirect decompression of the foramen has not been consistently demonstrated.

Recently, Heo et al. (10) assessed the efficacy of ALIF combined with percutaneous pedicle screw fixation in patients with adult lumbar spinal deformity. In their study, the ALIF technique was associated with favorable clinical and radiological outcomes. However, it is important to mention that the effects of ALIF were more evident in patients with sagittal vertical access >95 mm or pelvic tilt >30°. This finding is of critical importance in practice for proper patient selection and management. Furthermore, this technique is associated with non-negligible rates of vascular (up to 24%) and visceral injuries (up to 6%) (11-14). Therefore, the advent of another technique that provides preferable outcomes with reduced complications was deemed necessary. DLIF approach provides better outcomes compared to the previously mentioned approach in terms of reducing morbidity and complication rates (15, 16). First, this technique performs decompression under endoscopy, which is more advantageous than indirect decompression by DLIF and OLIF. Second, compared with the lateral anterior fusion of large vessels and abdominal organs, endoscopic fusion is less likely to damage nearby structures. Third, the endoscopic fusion surgery is performed under local anesthesia, and realtime feedback of patients reduces the risk of nerve structural damage. In addition, different MIS surgeries are selected for combined application according to different lesions in patients with ADS.

There are various advantages for conducting the surgical technique presented in this study. First, this technique performs decompression under endoscopy, which is more advantageous than indirect decompression by DLIF and OLIF. Second, compared with the lateral anterior fusion of large vessels and abdominal organs, endoscopic fusion is less likely to damage nearby structures. Third, the endoscopic fusion surgery is performed under local anesthesia, and real-time feedback of patients reduces the risk of nerve structural damage. However, our findings should be carefully interpreted due to several limitations. First, this article presents the findings of two cases only and when retrieving their relevant information, some were missed. Moreover, conducting adequate investigations was not feasible at our center since the necessary equipment was not available when the cases presented. Second, the follow-up period is not sufficient to provide a comprehensive conclusion about the long-term outcomes of this procedure. Therefore, prospective studies of larger sample sizes and longer follow-up periods are warranted to confirm the therapeutic potential of percutaneous spinal endoscopic-assisted lumbar interbody fusion with percutaneous pedicle screw fixation in patients with ADS. Additionally, we are not confident whether the reported outcomes are correlated with a degree of misalignment. Thus, we recommend future studies to include patients with variable clinical characteristics to determine if the effect of the reported technique would be modified based on these characteristics.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Tsinghua University Affiliated Beijing Tsinghua Changgung Hospital. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

Jw-D and L-mZ wrote the manuscript. Y-qY and Y-nZ kept track of patient records. X-qR and S-hX analyzed the patient

data. X-fZ approved the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

References

1. Haddas R, Xu M, Lieberman I, Yang J. Finite element based-analysis for pre and post lumbar fusion of adult degenerative scoliosis patients. *Spine Deform.* (2019) 7(4):543–52. doi: 10.1016/j.jspd.2018.11.008

2. Sun XY, Kong C, Lu SB, Wang W, Cheng YZ, Sun SY, et al. The parallelogram effect of degenerative structures around the apical vertebra in patients with adult degenerative scoliosis: the influence of asymmetric degeneration and diagonal degeneration on the severity of deformity. *Med Sci Monit.* (2019) 25:3435–45. doi: 10.12659/msm.914551

3. Zhang XL, Yuan L, Zeng Y, Mai S. Advance in evaluation of lumbar function after long fixation of degenerative scoliosis. *Zhonghua Wai Ke Za Zhi.* (2019) 57(5):397–400. doi: 10.3760/cma.j.issn.0529-5815.2019.05.016

4. Birknes JK, White AP, Albert TJ, Shaffrey CI, Harrop JS. Adult degenerative scoliosis: a review. *Neurosurgery.* (2008) 63(3 Suppl):94–103. doi: 10.1227/01. NEU.0000325485.49323.B2

5. Mummaneni PV, Park P, Shaffrey CI, Wang MY, Uribe JS, Fessler RG, et al. The MISDEF2 algorithm: an updated algorithm for patient selection in minimally invasive deformity surgery. *J Neurosurg Spine*. (2019) 32(2):221–8. doi: 10.3171/ 2019.7.Spine181104

6. Gagnier JJ, Kienle G, Altman DG, Moher D, Sox H, Riley D. The CARE Guidelines: consensus-based clinical case reporting guideline development. J Med Case Rep. (2013) 7(1):1-6. doi: 10.1186/1752-1947-7-223

7. Kam JKT, Gan C, Dimou S, Awad M, Kavar B, Nair G, et al. Learning curve for robot-assisted percutaneous pedicle screw placement in thoracolumbar surgery. *Asian Spine J.* (2019) 13:920–7. doi: 10.31616/asj.2019.0033

8. Proietti L, Perna A, Schiro GR, Noia G, Fumo C, Tamburrelli FC. Residual mobility after removal of instrumentation in patient, with type A2-A3 vertebral fractures, treated with percutaneous pedicle screw fixation. *J Biol Regul Homeost Agents*. (2019) 33(2 Suppl 1):133–9.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

9. Uei H, Tokuhashi Y. Therapeutic impact of percutaneous pedicle screw fixation on palliative surgery for metastatic spine tumors. *Indian J Orthop*. (2019) 53(4):533-41. doi: 10.4103/ortho.IJOrtho_474_18

10. Heo DH, Jang JW, Lee DC, Park CK. Is it sufficient to treat adult lumbar spinal deformity using anterior lumbar interbody fusion with percutaneous pedicle screw fixation? *J Clin Neurosci.* (2020) 81:210–9. doi: 10.1016/j.jocn. 2020.09.047

11. Asha MJ, Choksey MS, Shad A, Roberts P, Imray C. The role of the vascular surgeon in anterior lumbar spine surgery. *Br J Neurosurg.* (2012) 26(4):499–503. doi: 10.3109/02688697.2012.680629

12. Brau SA, Delamarter RB, Schiffman ML, Williams LA, Watkins RG. Vascular injury during anterior lumbar surgery. *Spine J.* (2004) 4(4):409–12. doi: 10.1016/j.spinee.2003.12.003

13. Chiriano J, Abou-Zamzam Jr. AM, Urayeneza O, Zhang WW, Cheng W. The role of the vascular surgeon in anterior retroperitoneal spine exposure: preservation of open surgical training. *J Vasc Surg.* (2009) 50(1):148–51. doi: 10. 1016/j.jvs.2009.01.007

14. Inamasu J, Guiot BH. Vascular injury and complication in neurosurgical spine surgery. *Acta Neurochir*. (2006) 148(4):375–87. doi: 10.1007/s00701-005-0669-1

15. Oliveira L, Marchi L, Coutinho E, Pimenta L. A radiographic assessment of the ability of the extreme lateral interbody fusion procedure to indirectly decompress the neural elements. *Spine*. (2010) 35(26 Suppl):S331–7. doi: 10. 1097/BRS.0b013e3182022db0

16. Phillips FM, Isaacs RE, Rodgers WB, Khajavi K, Tohmeh AG, Deviren V, et al. Adult degenerative scoliosis treated with XLIF: clinical and radiographical results of a prospective multicenter study with 24-month follow-up. *Spine.* (2013) 38(21):1853–61. doi: 10.1097/BRS.0b013e3182a43f0b