

Long Non-Coding RNA MAFG-AS1 as a Potential Biomarker for Hepatocellular Carcinoma: Linkage with Tumor Features, Markers, Liver Functions, and Survival Profile

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Yongyi Zeng First Affiliated Hospital of Fujian Medical University, China

Reviewed by:

Dedong Zhu, Ningbo No. 2 Hospital, China Qiu Zhao, Wuhan University, China Lisha Zhang, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Harbin Medical University, China Lei Zhan, Hubei Province Academy of Traditional Chinese Medicine, China

*Correspondence:

Xiaoning Sun xnsun_0108@163.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Surgical Oncology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Surgery

> Received: 05 January 2022 Accepted: 26 April 2022 Published: 17 May 2022

Citation:

Tian Y, Wang J, Tian G, Li B, Chen M and Sun X (2022) Long Non-Coding RNA MAFG-AS1 as a Potential Biomarker for Hepatocellular Carcinoma: Linkage with Tumor Features, Markers, Liver Functions, and Survival Profile. Front. Surg. 9:848831. doi: 10.3389/fsurg.2022.848831 Yuanyuan Tian^{1†}, Jiao Wang^{2†}, Ge Tian³, Bing Li¹, Moli Chen¹ and Xiaoning Sun^{4*}

¹Department of Gastroenterology, Hainan General Hospital/Hainan Affiliated Hospital of Hainan Medical University, Haikou, China, ²Department of Infectious Diseases, Hainan General Hospital/Hainan Affiliated Hospital of Hainan Medical University, Haikou, China, ³Section of Scientific Research, Beijing Xian Nong Tan Sports Technical College, Beijing, China, ⁴Clinical College, Hainan Medical University, Haikou, China

Purpose: Long non-coding RNAs musculoaponeurotic fibrosarcoma oncogene family, protein G antisense 1 (Inc-MAFG-AS1) regulates hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) progression and treatment resistance in multiple ways, while its engagement in HCC clinical management remains obscure. The current study aims to explore the relationship of Inc-MAFG-AS1 with tumor features, liver function indexes, tumor markers, and prognosis in HCC patients.

Methods: One hundred and fifty-two surgical HCC patients who underwent tumor resection were retrospectively analyzed. Their tumor and adjacent tissues were acquired and then proposed to reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction to detect Inc-MAFG-AS1 expression.

Results: Lnc-MAFG-AS1 expression was increased in HCC tumor tissue than in adjacent tissue [median (interquartile range): 2.730 (1.685–4.198) vs. 0.990 (0.703–1.468), p < 0.001], with a high area under the curve [0.889, 95% confidence interval (CI): 0.854–0.924] to distinguish them via receiver operating characteristic curve analysis. Tumor Inc-MAFG-AS1 was linked with multifocal nodules (p < 0.001), increased Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer (BCLC) stage (p = 0.018), and elevated China Liver Cancer (CNLC) stage (p = 0.008), which also correlated with an abnormal alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) level (p = 0.004), However, Inc-MAFG-AS1 was not linked with other disease conditions, tumor properties, liver function indexes, or tumor markers (all p > 0.05). In addition, patients with a high expression of Inc-MAFG-AS1 exhibited worse overall survival than those with a low expression of Inc-MAFG-AS1 [median (95% CI): 34.0 (24.5–43.5) vs. 48.0 (41.5–54.5) months] (p = 0.011), which was further validated by univariate Cox's analysis [hazard ratio (HR) = 1.827, p = 0.013] and multivariate Cox's analysis (HR = 1.697, p = 0.040).

1

Conclusion: Lnc-MAFG-AS1 relates to multifocal nodules, increased BCLC stage, elevated CNLC stage, and abnormal AFP level and predicts pejorative prognosis in HCC patients.

Keywords: hepatocellular carcinoma, Inc-MAFG-AS1, tumor features, tumor markers, prognosis

INTRODUCTION

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) ranks as one of the most prevalent and deadly cancers with 906,000 new cases and 830,000 deaths per year globally (1). Several disease-stage classifications are proposed to stratify treatment options and estimate the prognosis of HCC, such as Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer (BCLC) and China Liver Cancer (CNLC) stages (2, 3). Among the recommended treatment choices for HCC, surgical resection with or without neoadjuvant/adjuvant therapy is still the most convincing (4–6). However, the prognosis still differs among patients receiving tumor resection due to tumor heterogeneity and responsiveness. Therefore, prognostic markers are now emerging, drawing great attention from the medical fraternity.

Long non-coding RNAs musculoaponeurotic fibrosarcoma oncogene family, protein G antisense 1 (lnc-MAFG-AS1), which belongs to non-coding RNAs that lack protein-coding ability with a length of more than 200 bp, has been recently discovered to be an oncogene in several cancers (7-12). For example, Inc-MAFG-AS1 facilitates gastric cancer growth via serving as competing endogenous RNA of microRNA (miR)-505 for polo-like kinase-1 (7); another study has found that Inc-MAFG-AS1 induces ovarian cancer progression by activating NF-kB1-mediated insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF1) (8). From the perspective of HCC, lnc-MAFG-AS1 is known to increase its proliferation, migration, invasion, and epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) through sponging miR-6852 and miR-3196/OTX1 axis (13, 14). Besides, Inc-MAFG-AS1 enhances drug resistance by regulating miR-3196mediated STRN4 in HCC (15). Based on the above information, it is hypothesized that lnc-MAFG-AS1 possesses potency as a biomarker in HCC.

Therefore, the current study aims to investigate the relationship of lnc-MAFG-AS1 with tumor features, liver function indexes, tumor markers, and prognosis in surgical HCC patients.

METHODS

Patients

This study retrospectively analyzed 152 surgical HCC patients treated by surgical resection from the period January 2016 to December 2019. The screening of patients was based on the following criteria: (1) those who had a pathological diagnosis of primary HCC, (2) those primarily treated by surgical resection, (3) those who had retrievable tumor and adjacent tissues that were fresh-frozen in liquid nitrogen, and (4) those whose preoperative clinical data, laboratory test data, as well as survival data were accessible and available. The following

patients were omitted: (1) those who had tumor or adjacent tissue specimens were not eligible for RNA isolation due to improper preservation, (2) those who had a history of other cancers, and (3) those who had failed to follow-up within 3 months after surgery. Ethical approval was acquired from the Ethics Committee. Written informed consent was required from either the patients or their families.

Specimen Acquisition

All patients' tumor and adjacent tissues (stored in liquid nitrogen) were acquired from the hospital specimen library. All samples were cryopreserved and feasible for reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) assay. In addition, patients' clinical data, including basic information, tumor features, liver function indexes, and tumor markers, were also abstracted from their medical records. The CNLC stage of the patients was retrospectively assessed according to the documented tumor features (16). Moreover, overall survival (OS) time up to June 2021 was calculated on the basis of follow-up records. Apart from surgical resection, 8 patients received neoadjuvant transarterial chemoembolization (TACE), and 37 patients received adjuvant treatment (such as TACE, chemotherapy, and interferon).

Lnc-MAFG-AS1 Detection

RT-qPCR was carried out to detect lnc-MAFG-AS1 in the tumor and adjacent tissues. The RT-qPCR procedures were implemented as described in a previous study (17), using the following kits: TRIzol[™] Reagent (Invitrogen[™], Carlsbad, CA, USA) for total RNA extraction; iScript[™] cDNA Synthesis Kit (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) for cDNA Synthesis; QuantiNova SYBR Green PCR Kit (Qiagen, Duesseldorf, Nordrhein-Westfalen, Germany) for qPCR. glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) was applied as a reference gene. The relative expression of lnc-MAFG-AS1was calculated using the 2^{- $\Delta\Delta$ Ct} method. RT-qPCR was performed in triplicate. The primers were constructed according to the previous study (17): lnc-MAFG-AS1: 5′-ATG ACGACCCC CAATAAAGGG-3′ (sense); 5′-CACCGACATGGT TACCAGC-3′ (antisense). GAPDH: 5′-GAAGGTGAAGGTCG GAGT-3′ (sense); 5′-GAAGATGGTGAACTGGGATTT-3′ (antisense).

Statistical Analysis

A comparison of lnc-MAFG-AS1 expression was done by using the Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test or the Wilcoxon rank-sum test. The accuracy of lnc-MAFG-AS1 in identifying the different tissues was analyzed using the receiver operator characteristic curve. When evaluating the correlation between lnc-MAFG-AS1 and OS, the patients were classified into lnc-MAFG-AS1 high and low groups on the basis of the median expression of lnc-MAFG-AS1 in the total tumor tissue. The Kaplan-Meier curve for OS was analyzed using the log-rank test. Prognostic factor analysis was performed using univariable and multivariable Cox's proportional hazard regression. A *p*-value below 0.05 indicated statistical significance. SPSS 24.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) and GraphPad Prism 7.01 (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) were used for analysis and graphing, respectively.

RESULTS

Patients' Features

Analyzed HCC patients showed an age of 57.3 ± 8.9 years; among them, 86.8% were males, and 13.2% were females (**Table 1**). The BCLC and CNLC stages were assessed according to ECOG PS, Child–Pugh, tumor nodule number, tumor size, etc. It was found that 1.3%, 48.7%, 20.4%, and 29.6% patients belonged to the BCLC stage 0, A, B, and C, respectively; 18.4%, 41.4%, 24.4%, and 15.8% patients belonged to the CNLC stage Ia, Ib, IIa, and IIb, respectively. Detailed information on these patients' features is given in **Table 1**.

Lnc-MAFG-AS1 Dysregulation

Lnc-MAFG-AS1 was higher in HCC tumor tissue than in adjacent tissue [median (interquartile range): 2.730 (1.685–4.198) vs. 0.990 (0.703–1.468), p < 0.001] (Figure 1A). Besides, lnc-MAFG-AS1 distinguished HCC tumor tissue from adjacent tissue with an AUC of 0.889 [95% confidence interval (CI): 0.854–0.924] (Figure 1B).

Relationship of Inc-MAFG-AS1 with Patients' Features

In terms of disease conditions and tumor properties, lnc-MAFG-AS1 expression was related to multifocal nodules (p < 0.001), increased BCLC stage (p = 0.018), and elevated CNLC stage (p = 0.008) (**Table 2**). From the perspective of liver function indexes and tumor markers, lnc-MAFG-AS1 expression was associated with an abnormal alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) level (p = 0.004) (**Table 3**). However, lnc-MAFG-AS1 was not linked with other disease conditions, tumor properties, liver function indexes, or tumor markers in HCC patients.

Linkage of Inc-MAFG-AS1 with Prognosis

During a median follow-up of 30 months (range: 5–60 months) in this study, unfortunately 71 (46.7%) patients died. It was then observed that patients with a high expression of lnc-MAFG-AS1 exhibited worse OS than those with a low expression of lnc-MAFG-AS1 [median (95% CI): 34.0 (24.5–43.5) vs. 48.0 (41.5–54.5) months] (p = 0.011) (**Figure 2**). In detail, the 1-, 2-, 3-, and 4-year OS rates of patients with low lnc-MAFG-AS1 were 97.4%, 90.4%, 70.3%, and 47.8%, respectively. The 1-, 2-, 3-, and 4-year OS rates

TABLE 1 | Clinical characteristics.

Items	HCC patients (N = 152				
Age (years), mean ± SD	57.3 ± 8.9				
Gender, no. (%)					
Female	20 (13.2)				
Male	132 (86.8)				
History of HB, no. (%)	122 (80.3)				
History of liver cirrhosis, no. (%)	114 (75.0)				
ECOG PS score, no. (%)					
0	107 (70.4)				
Score 1	45 (29.6)				
Child–Pugh stage, no. (%)					
Stage A	119 (78.3)				
Stage B	33 (21.7)				
Tumor nodule number, no. (%)					
Unifocal	80 (52.6)				
Multifocal	72 (47.4)				
Largest tumor size, no. (%)					
<5.0 cm	81 (53.3)				
≥5.0 cm	71 (46.7)				
BCLC stage, no. (%)					
Stage 0	2 (1.3)				
Stage A	74 (48.7)				
Stage B	31 (20.4)				
Stage C	45 (29.6)				
CNLC stage, no. (%)					
Stage la	28 (18.4)				
Stage Ib	63 (41.4)				
Stage IIa	37 (24.4)				
Stage IIb	24 (15.8)				
ALT (U/L), median (IQR)	29.9 (22.4–45.1)				
AST (U/L), median (IQR)	39.3 (27.0–52.8)				
ALP (U/L), median (IQR)	94.7 (73.4–154.6)				
TBIL (μmol/L), median (IQR)	14.9 (10.7–24.9)				
CEA (ng/ml), median (IQR)	4.8 (3.1–7.0)				
CA199 (U/ml), median (IQR)	24.7 (14.9–44.7)				
AFP (ng/ml), median (IQR)	109.6 (12.0–917.7)				

HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; SD, standard deviation; HB, hepatitis B; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; BCLC, Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer; CNLC, China Liver Cancer; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; IQR, interquartile range; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALP, alkaline phosphatase; TBIL, total bilirubin; CEA, carcinoembryonic antigen; CA199, carbohydrate antigen 199; AFP, alpha-fetoprotein.

of those with high lnc-MAFG-AS1 were 94.6%, 65.0%, 47.5%, and 34.3%, respectively.

In addition, univariate Cox's analysis found that lnc-MAFG-AS1 expression (high vs. low) correlated with unfavorable OS [hazard ratio (HR) = 1.827, p = 0.013] (**Figure 3A**). Subsequent multivariate Cox's analysis identified that lnc-MAFG-



AS1 expression (high vs. low) related to a less-prolonged OS (HR

= 1.697, p = 0.040) (Figure 3B). Furthermore, subgroup analysis was conducted, which disclosed that high lnc-MAFG-AS1 was not related to OS in BCLC 0/A patients (p = 0.118) (Figure 4A) but was linked with worse OS in BCLC B/C patients (p = 0.010) (Figure 4B).

DISCUSSION

Many lncRNAs have been discovered to be ontogenetic, such as lncRNA NEAT1, lncRNA GAS5, and lncRNA MALAT1 (18– 24). With regard to lnc-MAFG-AS1, it exhibits tumorigenesis by regulating the miR-574/SOD2 axis in breast cancer (9); it also reverses miR-34a maturation to induce glioblastoma growth (10); besides, it accelerates pancreatic cancer progression by binding miR-3196 to outburst NFIX (25). It is also worth noting that lnc-MAFG-AS1 serves as a potential biomarker for prognostication in several cancers (17, 26–28). For example, lnc-MAFG-AS1 correlates with invasive depth, tumor, node, metastasis (TNM) stage and unsatisfied diseasefree survival (DFS), and OS in colorectal cancer patients (17); it is also related to diffuse type, extensive invasion depth, frequent lymph node metastasis, and distant metastasis and predicts worse OS in gastric cancer patients (26).

Apart from the carcinogenic role of lnc-MAFG-AS1 in other cancers, it is also closely involved in HCC pathogenesis and treatment response (13–15, 29). For instance, lnc-MAFG-AS1 enhances HCC cell proliferation, migration, and invasion, which is hampered by miR-6852 (13), which also facilitates these malignant behaviors of HCC via miR-3196-mediated OTX1 (14). Then, silencing lnc-MAFG-AS1 expression is found to impede the tumor progression of HCC both *in vitro* and *in vivo* by interacting with NM IIA subunits (MYH9,

MYL12B, and MYL6) (29). More inspiringly, lnc-MAFG-AS1 is engaged in the drug resistance of HCC through the miR-3196/STRN4 axis (15). However, the clinical involvement of lnc-MAFG-AS1 in HCC patients remains obscure. The current study observed that lnc-MAFG-AS1 was upregulated in HCC tissue compared with that in adjacent tissue. This may result from the tumorigenesis role of lnc-MAFG-AS1 to promote HCC development. Therefore, it is overexpressed in tumor tissue and not in adjacent non-tumor tissue (9, 10, 13, 14).

In addition, the present study also discovered that Inc-MAFG-AS1 expression was related to multifocal nodules, increased BCLC stage, elevated CNLC stage, and abnormal AFP level in HCC patients. The possible explanations are listed: First, Inc-MAFG-AS1 promotes HCC migration and invasion in multiple ways, such as miR-6852, miR-3196-mediated OTX1, MYH9, MYL12B, and MYL6, to facilitate the development of multifocal nodules (13, 14). Second, Inc-MAFG-AS1 enhances tumor growth, mobility, and EMT in multiple ways mentioned above, and, therefore, correlates with advanced tumor stages (BCLC stage and CNLC stage) (13–15, 29). Third, Inc-MAFG-AS1 induces HCC progression to secrete more AFP. Therefore, it is related to an abnormal AFP level (13–15, 29).

It was also uncovered that lnc-MAFG-AS1 related to unfavorable OS in HCC patients, which was validated by using K–M curve analysis, univariate Cox's analysis, and multivariate Cox's analysis. The possible explanations are: First, lnc-MAFG-AS1 relates to multifocal nodules, increased BCLC stage, elevated CNLC stage, and abnormal AFP level in HCC patients, which are indirectly related to deteriorative prognosis. Second, lnc-MAFG-AS1 regulates the drug resistance of HCC, which then affects the preoperative and postoperative treatment response, or the response to therapy after relapse, to directly relate to HCC prognosis (15).

Items	Lnc-MAFG-AS1 expression Median (IQR)	<i>p</i> -Value
Age		0.657
<60 years	2.715 (1.630–3.928)	
≥60 years	2.900 (1.758-4.370)	
Gender		0.685
Female	3.040 (1.310–4.798)	
Male	2.730 (1.685–3.945)	
History of HB		0.781
No	2.960 (1.160-4.753)	
Yes	2.730 (1.730–3.965)	
History of liver cirrhosis		0.540
No	2.600 (1.565–3.413)	
Yes	2.750 (1.695–4.328)	
ECOG PS score		0.131
Score 0	2.640 (1.640-3.930)	
Score 1	3.150 (1.850–4.935)	
Child-Pugh stage		0.724
Stage A	2.820 (1.700–3.950)	
Stage B	2.220 (1.545-5.150)	
Tumor nodule number		<0.001
Unifocal	2.150 (1.513-3.300)	
Multifocal	3.380 (2.108–4.895)	
Largest tumor size		0.056
<5.0 cm	2.540 (1.635–3.560)	
≥5.0 cm	3.210 (1.800–4.960)	
BCLC stage		0.018
Stage 0/A	2.275 (1.513–3.583)	
Stage B/C	3.135 (1.915–4.883)	
CNLC stage		0.008
Stage I	2.270 (1.520–3.600)	
Stage II	3.360 (2.025–4.990)	

TABLE 2 | Correlation of Inc-MAFG-AS1 expression with patients' characteristics.

 TABLE 3 | Correlation of Inc-MAFG-AS1 expression with liver function indexes and tumor markers.

Items	Lnc-MAFG-AS1 expression Median (IQR)	p-Value
ALT		0.793
Normal (<40 U/L)	2.610 (1.760–3.950)	
Abnormal (≥40 U/L)	3.240 (1.510–4.755)	
AST		0.081
Normal (<40 U/L)	2.550 (1.665–3.410)	
Abnormal (≥40 U/L)	3.245 (1.725–4.878)	
ALP		0.938
Normal (<128 U/L)	2.715 (1.765–3.695)	
Abnormal (≥128 U/L)	3.140 (1.173–5.080)	
TBIL		0.683
Normal <19 µmol/L	2.880 (1.780-3.780)	
Abnormal (≥19 µmol/L)	2.220 (1.520-5.060)	
CEA		0.366
Normal (<5 ng/ml)	2.640 (1.750–3.530)	
Abnormal (≥5 ng/ml)	2.800 (1.580-4.850)	
CA199		0.190
Normal (<37 U/ml)	2.720 (1.640-3.680)	
Abnormal (<u>></u> 37 U/ml)	3.030 (1.890–4.910)	
AFP		0.004
Normal (<25 ng/ml)	2.140 (1.633–3.270)	
Abnormal (≥25 ng/ml)	3.220 (1.865–4.865)	

Lnc-MAFG-AS1, long non-coding RNAs musculoaponeurotic fibrosarcoma oncogene family, protein G antisense 1; IQR, interquartile range; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALP, alkaline phosphatase; TBIL, total bilirubin; CEA, carcinoembryonic antigen; CA199, carbohydrate antigen 199; AFP, alphafetoprotein.



Lnc-MAFG-AS1, long non-coding RNAs musculoaponeurotic fibrosarcoma oncogene family, protein G antisense 1; IQR, interquartile range; HB, hepatitis B; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; BCLC, Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer; CNLC, China Liver Cancer.

Several limitations could be mentioned in the study. First, because the enrolled HCC patients were mostly not local patients, the treatment they received during the follow-up period and a detailed DFS information could not be obtained, leading to a lack of proper analysis. Second, only resectable HCC patients were included in this study. Therefore, the related findings might not be suitable for unresectable HCC patients.

In conclusion, lnc-MAFG-AS1 relates to multifocal nodules, increased BCLC stage, elevated CNLC stage, and abnormal AFP level and predicts pejorative prognosis in HCC patients. These findings may help prognostic risk stratification of HCC, while further validations are needed.

Lnc-MAFG-AS1 expression (high vs. lov Age (≥60 years vs. <60 year	0 0 0 1 2							
Age (>60 years vs. <60 year	v) 0.013	1.827 (1.137-2.935)	,	•				
Age (200 years vs. 500 year	s) 0.109	1.485 (0.915-2.410)	+	•				
Gender (male vs. femal	e) 0.716	0.878 (0.435-1.771)						
History of HB (yes vs. n	o) 0.443	0.790 (0.432-1.444)						
History of liver cirrhosis (yes vs. n	o) 0.968	1.011 (0.579-1.768)						
ECOG PS score (score 1 vs. score	0) 0.059	1.593 (0.982-2.586)	+	•				
Child-Pugh stage (stage B vs. stage /	A) 0.005	2.225 (1.269-3.901)						
Tumor nodule number (multifocal vs. unifoca	al) 0.218	1.352 (0.837-2.183)	+	• 1				
Largest tumor size (≥5.0 cm vs. <5.0 cr	n) <0.001	2.639 (1.638-4.252)		F	•		-	
BCLC stage (stage B/C vs. stage 0/	A) 0.002	2.178 (1.325-3.581)						
CNLC stage (stage II vs. stage	l) 0.005	1.974 (1.229-3.170)		·•				
ALT (abnormal vs. norma	al) 0.452	1.205 (0.742-1.957)	- +					
AST (abnormal vs. norma	al) 0.047	1.607 (1.006-2.566)	-	•				
ALP (abnormal vs. norma	al) 0.021	1.772 (1.092-2.877)	F	•				
TBIL (abnormal vs. norma	al) 0.054	1.593 (0.991-2.560)	+	•				
CEA (abnormal vs. norma	al) 0.320	1.276 (0.789-2.062)	- +	•1				
CA199 (abnormal vs. norma	al) 0.024	1.810 (1.080-3.036)	F	•	Ť			
AFP (abnormal vs. norma	al) 0.086	1.610 (0.935-2.770)	+	•				
		0	1	2	3	4	5	
В								
Forward stepwise multiva	iriable Cox's	s proportional hazards	regres	sion an	alysis f	for OS		
Variables	P value	HR (95% CI)						
Lnc-MAFG-AS1 expression (high vs. lov	v) 0.040	1.697 (1.025-2.809)	-					
Child-Pugh stage (stage B vs. stage A	A) 0.002	2.905 (1.466-5.758)		•				
Largest tumor size (≥5.0 cm vs. <5.0 cn	n) <0.001	7.281 (4.011-13.219)		F	•			
BCLC stage (stage B/C vs. stage 0/A	A) <0.001	6.089 (3.176-11.674)		. <u> </u>	•			
AST (abnormal vs. norma	l) 0.005	2.116 (1.257-3.559)	F	•				
		a proce of ender annuals (reader 2018)	0 1	3	6	9	12	15
			51	0	Ū	5	12	10



subgroup (**B**).

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material; further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author/s.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by the Hainan General Hospital/Hainan Affiliated Hospital of Hainan Medical University. The patients/ participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

REFERENCES

- Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, et al. Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin.* (2021) 71:209–49. doi: 10.3322/caac.21660.
- Chang L, Wang Y, Zhang J, Guo T. The best strategy for HCC patients at each BCLC stage: a network meta-analysis of observational studies. *Oncotarget*. (2017) 8:20418–27. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.14668.
- Li CX, Zhang H, Wu XF, Han S, Jiao CY, Wang D, et al. Clinical efficacy and prognostic factors analysis following curative hepatectomy for hepatocellular carcinoma patients with different China liver cancer staging. *Zhonghua Wai Ke Za Zhi*. (2021) 59:134–43. doi: 10.3760/cma.j. cn112139-20200803-00605.
- Kudo M, Kawamura Y, Hasegawa K, Tateishi R, Kariyama K, Shiina S, et al. Management of hepatocellular Carcinoma in Japan: JSH consensus statements and recommendations 2021 update. *Liver Cancer*. (2021) 10:181–223. doi: 10.1159/000514174.
- Xie DY, Ren ZG, Zhou J, Fan J, Gao Q. 2019 Chinese clinical guidelines for the management of hepatocellular carcinoma: updates and insights. *Hepatobiliary Surg Nutr.* (2020) 9:452–63. doi: 10.21037/hbsn-20-480.
- Swersky A, Kulik L, Kalyan A, Grace K, Caicedo JC, Lewandowski RJ, et al. Contemporary algorithm for the management of hepatocellular carcinoma in 2021: the Northwestern approach. *Semin Intervent Radiol.* (2021) 38:432–7. doi: 10.1055/s-0041-1735528.
- Fu Y, Wen J, Li X, Gong M, Guo Z, Wang G. LncRNA MAFG-AS1 upregulates polo-like kinase-1 by sponging miR-505 to promote gastric adenocarcinoma cell proliferation. *Crit Rev Eukaryot Gene Expr.* (2021) 31:27–32. doi: 10.1615/CritRevEukaryotGeneExpr.2021038813.
- Bai Y, Ren C, Wang B, Xue J, Li F, Liu J, et al. LncRNA MAFG-AS1 promotes the malignant phenotype of ovarian cancer by upregulating NFKB1-dependent IGF1. *Cancer Gene Ther.* (2021) 29:277–91. doi: 10.1038/s41417-021-00306-8.
- Dai J, Zhang S, Sun H, Wu Y, Yan M. LncRNA MAFG-AS1 affects the tumorigenesis of breast cancer cells via the miR-574-5p/SOD2 axis. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* (2021) 560:119–25. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2021.04.133.
- Zhao H, Li J, Yan X, Bian X. LncRNA MAFG-AS1 suppresses the maturation of miR-34a to promote glioblastoma cell proliferation. *Cancer Manag Res.* (2021) 13:3493–501. doi: 10.2147/CMAR.S274615.
- Tang C, Wu Y, Wang X, Chen K, Tang Z, Guo X. LncRNA MAFG-AS1 regulates miR-125b-5p/SphK1 axis to promote the proliferation, migration, and invasion of bladder cancer cells. *Hum Cell.* (2021) 34:588–97. doi: 10. 1007/s13577-020-00470-3.
- Wu Q, Jiang J. LncRNA MAFG-AS1 promotes lung adenocarcinoma cell migration and invasion by targeting miR-3196 and regulating SOX12 expression. *Mol Biotechnol.* (2022). doi: 10.1007/s12033-022-00455-7. [Epub ahead of print]

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

XS: conceived and designed the study. YT, JW, BL, and MC: collected and analyzed the data. GT and XS: prepared the figures and tables. YT, JW, GT, BL, and MC: wrote the manuscript. XS: revised the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This study was supported by the Hainan Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (821QN390) and the Hospitallevel Project of Hainan General Hospital of China (2021QNXM01, 2021QNXM08). Scientific research project of universities in Hainan Province(No. Hnky2020ZD-15).

- Ouyang H, Zhang L, Xie Z, Ma S. Long noncoding RNA MAFG-AS1 promotes proliferation, migration and invasion of hepatocellular carcinoma cells through downregulation of miR-6852. *Exp Ther Med.* (2019) 18:2547–53. doi: 10.3892/etm.2019.7850.
- Hu ZQ, Li HC, Teng F, Chang QM, Wu XB, Feng JF, et al. Long noncoding RNA MAFG-AS1 facilitates the progression of hepatocellular carcinoma via targeting miR-3196/OTX1 axis. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci.* (2020) 24:12131–43. doi: 10.26355/eurrev_202012_24002.
- Chen T, Huang B, Pan Y. Long non-coding RNA MAFG-AS1 promotes cell proliferation, migration, and EMT by miR-3196/STRN4 in drug-resistant cells of liver cancer. *Front Cell Dev Biol.* (2021) 9:688603. doi: 10.3389/fcell.2021.688603.
- Zhou J, Sun HC, Wang Z, Cong WM, Wang JH, Zeng MS, et al. Guidelines for diagnosis and treatment of primary liver cancer in china (2017 Edition). *Liver Cancer*. (2018) 7:235–60. doi: 10.1159/000488035.
- Cui W, Wang Y, Shen X, Wu X, Liu H, Xu X. High-expression of LncRNA MAFG-AS1 is associated with the prognostic of patients with colorectal cancer. *Rev Assoc Med Bras.* (2020) 66:1530–5. doi: 10.1590/1806-9282.66.11.1530.
- Akhade VS, Pal D, Kanduri C. Long noncoding RNA: genome organization and mechanism of action. *Adv Exp Med Biol.* (2017) 1008:47–74. doi: 10. 1007/978-981-10-5203-3_2.
- Choudhari R, Sedano MJ, Harrison AL, Subramani R, Lin KY, Ramos EI, et al. Long noncoding RNAs in cancer: from discovery to therapeutic targets. *Adv Clin Chem.* (2020) 95:105–47. doi: 10.1016/bs.acc.2019.08.003.
- Zhang S, Zhu T, Li Q, Sun G, Sun X. Long non-coding RNA-mediated competing endogenous RNA networks in ischemic stroke: molecular mechanisms, therapeutic implications, and challenges. *Front Pharmacol.* (2021) 12:765075. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2021.765075.
- Zhu H, Bian X, Gong J, Yu P, Lu H. Long noncoding RNAs as novel biomarkers for Type 2 diabetes. *Biomark Med.* (2020) 14:1501–11. doi: 10. 2217/bmm-2020-0136.
- Zhang M, Guo J, Liu L, Huang M, Li Y, Bennett S, et al. The role of long noncoding RNA, nuclear enriched abundant transcript 1 (NEAT1) in cancer and other pathologies. *Biochem Genet.* (2021) 60:843–67. doi: 10.1007/s10528-021-10138-8.
- Yang X, Xie Z, Lei X, Gan R. Long non-coding RNA GAS5 in human cancer. Oncol Lett. (2020) 20:2587–94. doi: 10.3892/ol.2020.11809.
- Uthman YA, Ibrahim KG, Abubakar B, Bello MB, Malami I, Imam MU, et al. MALAT1: a promising therapeutic target for the treatment of metastatic colorectal cancer. *Biochem Pharmacol.* (2021) 190:114657. doi: 10.1016/j. bcp.2021.114657.
- Ye L, Feng W, Weng H, Yuan C, Liu J, Wang Z. MAFG-AS1 aggravates the progression of pancreatic cancer by sponging miR-3196 to boost NFIX. *Cancer Cell Int.* (2020) 20:591. doi: 10.1186/s12935-020-01669-y.
- Li C, Wu R, Xing Y. MAFG-AS1 is a novel clinical biomarker for clinical progression and unfavorable prognosis in gastric cancer. *Cell Cycle.* (2020) 19:601–9. doi: 10.1080/15384101.2020.1728017.

- Huang K, Lu Z, Li L, Peng G, Zhou W, Ye Q. Construction of a ceRNA network and a genomic-clinicopathologic nomogram to predict survival for HBV-related HCC. *Hum Cell.* (2021) 34:1830–42. doi: 10.1007/s13577-021-00607-y.
- Du W, Chen W, Shu Z, Xiang D, Bi K, Lu Y, et al. Identification of prognostic biomarkers of hepatocellular carcinoma via long noncoding RNA expression and copy number alterations. *Epigenomics*. (2020) 12:1303–15. doi: 10.2217/ epi-2019-0385.
- 29. Zhang F, Li Y, Gan L, Tong X, Qi D, Wang Q, et al. HBx-upregulated MAFG-AS1 promotes cell proliferation and migration of hepatoma cells by enhancing MAFG expression and stabilizing nonmuscle myosin IIA. *FASEB J.* (2021) 35:e21529. doi: 10.1096/fj.202002374R.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Tian, Wang, Tian, Li, Chen and Sun. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.