Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Alessandro Gonfiotti, University of Florence, Italy

REVIEWED BY Andrea De Vico, Azienda Usl Teramo, Italy Lara Girelli, European Institute of Oncology (IEO), Italy Filippo Tommaso Gallina, Hospital Physiotherapy Institutes (IRCCS), Italy

*correspondence Antonia A. Pontiki antonia.pontiki@kcl.ac.uk

[†]These authors share senior authorship.

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Thoracic Surgery, a section of the journal Frontiers in Surgery

RECEIVED 05 May 2022 ACCEPTED 26 July 2022 PUBLISHED 15 August 2022

CITATION

Pontiki AA, Lampridis S, De Angelis S, Lamata P, Housden R, Benedetti G, Bille A and Rhode K (2022) Creation of personalised rib prostheses using a statistical shape model and 3D printing: Case report.

Front. Surg. 9:936638.

doi: 10.3389/fsurg.2022.936638

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Pontiki, Lampridis, De Angelis, Lamata, Housden, Benedetti, Bille and Rhode. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Creation of personalised rib prostheses using a statistical shape model and 3D printing: Case report

Antonia A. Pontiki^{1*}, Savvas Lampridis², Sara De Angelis¹, Pablo Lamata¹, Richard Housden¹, Giulia Benedetti³, Andrea Bille^{2†} and Kawal Rhode^{1†}

¹Department of Surgical & Interventional Engineering, School of Biomedical Engineering and Imaging Sciences, King's College London, London, United Kingdom, ²Department of Thoracic Surgery, Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust, London, United Kingdom, ³Department of Radiology, Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust, London, United Kingdom

Management of chest wall defects after oncologic resection can be challenging, depending on the size and location of the defect, as well as the method of reconstruction. This report presents the first clinical case where patientspecific rib prostheses were created using a computer program and statistical shape model of human ribs. A 64-year-old male was diagnosed with nonsmall-cell lung cancer originating in the right upper lobe and invading the lateral aspect of the 3rd, 4th, and 5th ribs. Prior to surgical resection, a statistical shape model of human ribs was created and used to synthesise rib models in the software MATLAB (MathWorks, Natick, MA, USA). The patient's age, weight, height, and sex, as well as the number and side of the ribs of interest, were the inputs to the program. Based on these data, the program generated digital models of the right 3rd, 4th, and 5th ribs. These models were 3D printed, and a silicone mould was created from them. The patient subsequently underwent right upper lobectomy with en bloc resection of the involved chest wall. During the operation, the silicone mould was used to produce rigid prostheses consisting of methyl methacrylate and two layers of polypropylene mesh in a "sandwich" fashion. The prosthetic patch was then implanted to cover the chest wall defect. Thirty days after the surgery, the patient has returned to his pre-disease performance and physical activities. The statistical shape model and 3D printing is an optimised 3D modelling method that can provide clinicians with a time-efficient technique to create personalised rib prostheses, without any expertise or prior software knowledge.

KEYWORDS

case report, chest wall, non-small cell lung cancer, prosthesis, statistical shape model, 3D printing

Introduction

As a result of advancements in healthcare technologies, particularly in imaging investigations and multimodality treatments, the number of patients with lung cancer undergoing surgery has increased. To provide adequate resection margins and improve patient prognosis, *en bloc* resection of lung cancers involving the chest wall is

crucial (1). Lung resections that require chest wall excision account for approximately 5% of all lung tumours and present a three-times higher death rate than standard lung resections (2). This is mainly due to the impact of major chest wall resections on the mechanics of respiration. Therefore, reconstruction of the chest wall should restore the mechanics of the thorax, avoid paradoxical movement, and preserve the intrathoracic volume, with an acceptable cosmetic result (3).

Various techniques combining imaging and additive manufacturing have been previously used to create an improved shape for three-dimensional (3D) prostheses of thoracic structures. In recent years, additive manufacturing has been increasingly utilised in the medical field and has shown promising results in thoracic surgery, not only for the creation of personalised prostheses, but also for surgical planning, improving postoperative outcomes (4, 5). In our unit, the current method of reconstruction involves 3D printing and moulding of methyl methacrylate to produce a patient-specific prosthesis (6, 7). Image segmentation is used to reconstruct the patient's anatomy from preoperative computed tomography (CT) scans to generate personalised 3D rib prostheses that are manufactured using 3D printing and moulding.

The optimised, less labour-intensive method to produce a patient-specific, 3D digital rib model, described by Pontiki et al. (8), was used to create the prosthesis of a patient undergoing chest wall resection and reconstruction for non-small cell lung cancer. A custom software developed using MATLAB (MathWorks, Natick, MA, USA) and a statistical shape model were used to generate three rib models based on the patient's age, height, weight, and gender. We report the first clinical case where patient-specific rib prostheses were created using a computer program and statistical shape model of human ribs.

Case description

A 64-year-old man presented with productive cough, haemoptysis, and weight loss. He was a smoker who had accumulated an 80 pack-year history. His medical history also included chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and stage 3 chronic kidney disease. A contrast-enhanced thoracic computed tomography (CT) revealed a lobulated pulmonary mass within the right upper lobe measuring $68 \times 60 \times 79$ mm and invading the lateral aspect of the 3rd, 4th, and 5th ribs, as well as marginally enlarged right paratracheal lymph nodes measuring up to 12 mm. А subsequent 18Ffluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography integrated with CT demonstrated heterogeneous radiotracer uptake within the mass, with a maximum standardised uptake value of 20.6, as well as low-grade metabolic activity in subcarinal and right lower paratracheal lymph nodes (Figure 1). Magnetic resonance imaging of the head showed no evidence of intracranial metastatic disease. A transthoracic core needle biopsy of the tumour under CT guidance indicated non-small cell lung cancer, not otherwise specified. Endobronchial ultrasound with transbronchial needle aspiration from lymph node stations 4L, 4R, and 7 did not reveal malignancy. The case was discussed at a multidisciplinary team meeting, with a consensus for multimodality treatment with upfront surgical resection. Preoperative pulmonary function tests showed forced expiratory volume in 1 s of 77% of predicted and diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide of 65% of predicted.

Surgery was performed under general anaesthesia with double-lumen tracheal intubation. The patient was placed in the lateral decubitus position and underwent a right posterolateral thoracotomy through the 6th intercostal space. The involved chest wall along with a 4-cm margin of normal tissue was removed *en bloc* with the right upper lobe. Systematic lymph node dissection was undertaken from stations 2R, 4R, 7, 9R, 10R, 11R, and 12R.

In this case report, the optimised 3D modelling method described by Pontiki et al. (8) was used to create 3D models of the 3rd, 4th, and 5th right ribs prior to the surgery. The software MATLAB was used, where a program was created to generate rib digital models within a few seconds, using a rib statistical shape model. The MATLAB code takes as inputs the patient's age (years), height (cm), weight (kg), and sex, as well as the number and side (left or right) of ribs. Based on a rib statistical shape model, the software generates a digital model for a corresponding rib mesh that would be representative of an "average" patient within this specified demographic. For our patient, the process was repeated three times to generate the three ribs of interest. Therefore, the inputs to the MATLAB code were 64, 177, 70.5, male, and 3, 4, or 5 for the first, second and third iteration, respectively. The three rib models were generated and saved as a mesh in a stereolithography (STL) file format. The digital models were processed using the software Meshmixer (Autodesk, San Rafael, CA, USA) to keep only the rib segments that were involved by the tumour, and hence they would be resected and reconstructed during surgery (Figure 2A). This method of creating the 3D meshes of the three ribs and processing the meshes lasted approximately 6 min. The slicing software Cura version 4.8 (Ultimaker, Utrecht, Netherlands) was then used to convert the rib meshes into G-code, a series of instructions that the 3D printer can process. The G-code was imported into the 3D printer (Ender-3, Creality, Shenzhen, China), which printed the ribs using polylactic acid filament (Polyplus, Polymaker, Shanghai, China) (Figure 2B). The printing of the ribs was completed in approximately 6 h.

The rib prostheses were manufactured using the silicone mould method described previously by Pontiki et al. (6, 7). The silicone mould was sterilised prior to the surgery and was used in the operating room under sterile conditions. Methyl methacrylate was mixed into a paste and applied to the silicone mould to create the prostheses of the resected



segments of the 3rd, 4th, and 5th ribs. Two layers of polypropylene mesh (Prolene, Ethicon, Bridgewater, NJ, USA) were placed on the cement to create a "sandwich". The meshes were tailored accordingly to leave 3 cm of prosthetic material for securing the patch to the chest wall (**Figure 2C**). Once the methyl methacrylate solidified after approximately 7 min, the prosthesis was removed from the mould. Suture holes were drilled in the edges of the prosthetic and corresponding autologous ribs. Heavy gauge, nonabsorbable,

braided sutures (Ethibond, Ethicon, Somerville, NJ, USA) were placed through the holes and tied. The polypropylene meshes were secured on the surrounding intercostal muscles with Prolene sutures (Figure 3). A 28-Fr drain was inserted prior to closure. The latissimus dorsi and serratus anterior muscles were reattached to the prosthesis to increase stability, and the incision was closed in a standard fashion.

Postoperatively, the patient was transferred to the ward (level 1 of care). The only postoperative complication was a prolonged



FIGURE 2

(A) 3D digital model of the right 3rd, 4th, and 5th rib sections to be resected and reconstructed. (B) 3D printed right 3rd, 4th, and 5th rib sections in polylactic acid, used to create the silicone mould. (C) Polypropylene mesh and methyl methacrylate were placed on a silicone mould, which was created from 3D printed rib segments, to create a personalised composite implant.

air leak due to the history of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and multiple adhesions between the right lung and chest wall. The air leak was successfully treated with autologous blood pleurodesis. Thirty days after his surgery, the patient has remained free of other adverse effects. He has gradually returned to his pre-disease performance status, and he is satisfied with the functional and cosmetic result ((**Figure 4**). Histopathological analysis of the resected specimens revealed a large-cell carcinoma measuring 82 mm in maximal dimension, which was completely resected. The pathological classification of the cancer was pT4N0M0 (stage IIIA) according to the 8th edition of the international staging of thoracic malignancies.



FIGURE 3

 (A) A personalised prosthesis made of methyl methacrylate and polypropylene mesh in a "sandwich" fashion was implanted to reconstruct a chest wall defect involving the 3rd, 4th, and 5th ribs.
(B) The prosthetic patch was secured to the chest wall with heavy gauge, nonabsorbable, polyfilament sutures.

Discussion

In cases of locally advanced lung cancer involving the chest wall, surgical resection with adequate margins is considered the best treatment. Such operations may result in defects that require stabilization in order to restore the structural integrity of the chest wall and reduce the risk of postoperative complications, with an aesthetic reconstruction. Over the years, several methods have been used to achieve alloplastic reconstruction of rib defects with preservation of the original anatomical shape. As an example, Suzuki et al. (9) used a Steinmann pin and Penrose drain to form a neo-rib made by methyl methacrylate. Other authors have used automatic segmentation methods to construct the 3D shape of rib prostheses. Staal et al. (10) implemented a 5-step framework, in which voxels describing the target rib were detected and used to create primitives, which were further classified and grouped to fully segment the anatomy. Belal et al. (11), on the other hand, proposed a deep learning-based technique. A convolutional neural network (CNN) was used to identify a set of anatomical landmarks, which were then fed to another CNN that performed the final rib segmentation.

Our previously described technique of chest wall reconstruction involves the construction of the digital rib models by semi-automatically segmenting the patient's preoperative CT scan (6, 7). This method produced a customed rib prosthesis with successful clinical outcomes. However, it is labour-intensive and time-consuming. Indeed, semi-automatic image segmentation took 11.56 ± 1.60 min to reproduce the shape of a single rib. Similarly, the automatic rib segmentation method described by Staal et al. (10) took approximately 6.5 min, and the CNN-based method by Belal et al. (11) took 2 min per CT to generate automated segmentations. However, the method described in the present report takes $0.027 \pm$ 0.009 min to generate the shape of a single rib. Specifically, the creation of the 3D meshes for the three rib segments took 5.081 ± 0.013 min to achieve the final digital model of the prosthesis, including the time to cut the segment of the rib that required resection and reconstruction. Moreover, segmentation methods require access to CT scans and good knowledge of the segmentation software, which is more complex and uses a multi-step process, compared to the software used in this case which involves inputting five values and running the program. Any member of the clinical care team with no specific expertise, could be quickly trained to use the software described in this method, in order to generate the rib models in less than a minute.

The method described here is characterised by some limitations. The most significant limitation is that the new statistical shape model method does not provide the patient with an identical replication of their original anatomy. The prosthesis is anatomically accurate for any patient with the characteristics used as input for the software, with average





error of less than 2 mm (8). However, this patient's postoperative cosmetic result was satisfactory, with restoration of the natural chest contour. Moreover, this method requires access to and knowledge of operating a 3D printer, any member of staff though could easily be trained on this technology. This method introduces an extra cost of £184 to the standard technique, including the printer cost itself. The 3D printed models and silicone mould for each case adds on average £33 to the standard MMA technique, which is not significant in the context of a major resection surgery, or compared to customized titanium alternatives available on the market, costing \$1,200 for 2 ribs (12). Finally, spirometry was not used to assess potential changes in lung function; in our practice, we only perform pulmonary function tests postoperatively if they are clinically indicated.

In conclusion, this case highlights the ease-of-use and efficiency of the proposed new method of the 3D prosthesis design. This technique further developed the previously used method of 3D printing and a silicone mould for chest wall reconstruction, providing the advantage of the software's time efficiency. It is completely reproducible since it can be used for any input of patient characteristics, does not require trained staff with knowledge of thoracic anatomy, and reduces the time of data acquisition and processing, achieving a satisfactory prosthesis, without significant loss of fidelity. The optimised technique could increase productivity due to its time-efficiency and could make this method more accessible in the clinical setting.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author/s.

Ethics statement

Written informed consent was obtained from the individual (s) for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this article.

Author contributions

AAP and AB conceptualised the report. AAP and SL wrote the paper. AB and SL analysed patient data. SDA, PL and RH worked on the computer program used in the study. GB reported and provided the imaging investigations. AB and KR supervised the research. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This work was supported by core funding from the Wellcome/EPSRC Centre for Medical Engineering [WT203148/Z/16/Z]. P. Lamata holds a Wellcome Trust Senior Research Fellowship [209450/Z/17/Z]. For the purpose of open access, the author has applied a Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence to any Author Accepted Manuscript version arising from this submission.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their

References

1. Downey RJ, Martini N, Rusch VW, Bains MS, Korst RJ, Ginsberg RJ. Extent of chest wall invasion and survival in patients with lung cancer. *Ann Thorac Surg.* (1999) 68(1):188–93. doi: 10.1016/S0003-4975(99)00456-7

2. Stoelben E, Ludwig C. Chest wall resection for lung cancer: indications and techniques. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* (2009) 35(3):450–6. doi: 10.1016/j.ejcts.2008.11.032

3. Cardillo G, Spaggiari L, Galetta G, Carleo F, Carbone L, Morrone A, et al. Pneumonectomy with en bloc chest wall resection: is it worthwhile? Report on 34 patients from two institutions. *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg.* (2013) 17 (1):54–8. doi: 10.1093/icvts/ivt091

4. Kwok JK, Lau RW, Zhao ZR, Yu PS, Ho JY, Chow SC, et al. Multidimensional printing in thoracic surgery: current and future applications. *J Thorac Dis.* (2018) 10(Suppl 6):S756-63. doi: 10.21037/jtd.2018.02.91

5. Kim MP, Ta AH, Ellsworth IV WA, Marco RA, Gaur P, Miller JS. Three dimensional model for surgical planning in resection of thoracic tumors. *Int J Surg Case Rep.* (2015) 16:127–9. doi: 10.1016/j.ijscr.2015.09.037

6. Pontiki AA, Natarajan S, Parker FN, Mukhammadaminov A, Dibblin C, Housden R, et al. Chest wall reconstruction using 3-dimensional printing: functional and mechanical results. *Ann Thorac Surg.* (2021) S0003-4975(21): 01575-7. doi: 10.1016/j.athoracsur.2021.07.103

7. Smelt J, Pontiki A, Jahangiri M, Rhode K, Nair A, Bille A. Threedimensional printing for chest wall reconstruction in thoracic surgery: building affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

on experience. Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. (2020) 68(4):352-6. doi: 10.1055/s-0039-1678611

8. Pontiki AA, De Angelis S, Dibblin C, Trujillo-Cortes I, Lamata P, Housden RJ, et al. Development and evaluation of a rib statistical shape model for thoracic surgery. In Proc. of the 44th Annual Int. Conf. on IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc. (2022). Glasgow, UK [Online]. IEEE Xplore. Available from: http://www.ieee.org.

9. Suzuki K, Park BJ, Adusumilli PS, Rizk N, Huang J, Jones DR, et al. Chest wall reconstruction using a methyl methacrylate neo-rib and mesh. *Ann Thorac Surg.* (2015) 100(2):744–7. doi: 10.1016/j.athoracsur.2015.02.127

10. Staal J, van Ginneken B, Viergever MA. Automatic rib segmentation and labeling in computed tomography scans using a general framework for detection, recognition and segmentation of objects in volumetric data. *Med Image Anal.* (2007) 11(1):35–46. doi: 10.1016/j.media.2006.10.001

11. Belal SL, Sadik M, Kaboteh R, Enqvist O, Ulén J, Poulsen MH, et al. Deep learning for segmentation of 49 selected bones in CT scans: first step in automated PET/CT-based 3D quantification of skeletal metastases. *Eur J Radiol.* (2019) 113:89–95. doi: 10.1016/j.ejrad.2019.01.028

12. Wen X, Gao S, Feng J, Li S, Gao R, Zhang G. Chest-wall reconstruction with a customized titanium-alloy prosthesis fabricated by 3D printing and rapid prototyping. *J Cardiothorac Surg.* (2018) 13:4. doi: 10.1186/s13019-017-0692-3