#### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Piergiorgio Settembrini, University of Milan, Italy

REVIEWED BY Vincenzo Catanese, Campus Bio-Medico University, Italy

\*CORRESPONDENCE Andrew B Haymet a.haymet@gmail.com

#### SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Vascular Surgery, a section of the journal Frontiers in Surgery

ABBREVIATIONS

GSV, great saphenous vein; RA, radial artery.

RECEIVED 30 May 2022 ACCEPTED 09 August 2022 PUBLISHED 26 August 2022

#### CITATION

Haymet AB, Pinto N, Peden S, Cohen T, Vallely MP, McGiffin D, Naidoo R, Jenkins J, Suen JY and Fraser JF (2022) Current intraoperative storage and handling practices of autologous bypass conduit: A survey of the royal australasian college of surgeons. Front. Surg. 9:956177.

doi: 10.3389/fsurg.2022.956177

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Haymet, Pinto, Peden, Cohen, Vallely, McGiffin, Naidoo, Jenkins, Suen and Fraser. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

## Current intraoperative storage and handling practices of autologous bypass conduit: A survey of the royal australasian college of surgeons

# AB Haymet<sup>1,2,3</sup>\*, N Pinto<sup>1,4</sup>, S Peden<sup>1</sup>, T Cohen<sup>5</sup>, MP Vallely<sup>6</sup>, D McGiffin<sup>7,8</sup>, R Naidoo<sup>9</sup>, J Jenkins<sup>1,4</sup>, JY Suen<sup>2,3</sup> and JF Fraser<sup>2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Vascular Surgery, The Royal Brisbane and Women's Hospital, Herston, QLD, Australia, <sup>2</sup>Critical Care Research Group, The Prince Charles Hospital, Chermside, QLD, Australia, <sup>3</sup>Faculty of Medicine, University of Queensland, St Lucia, QLD, Australia, <sup>4</sup>Herston Biofabrication Institute, Royal Brisbane and Women's Hospital, Herston, QLD, Australia, <sup>6</sup>Department of Vascular Surgery, The Princess Alexandra Hospital, Woolloongabba, QLD, Australia, <sup>6</sup>Department of Cardiovascular Surgery, Mount Sinai Morningside/Icahn School of Medicine, New York, NY, United States, <sup>7</sup>Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery, The Alfred Hospital, Melbourne, VIC, Australia, <sup>8</sup>Faculty of Medicine, Monash University, Melbourne, Australia, <sup>9</sup>Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery, The Prince Charles Hospital, Chermside, QLD, Australia

During bypass surgery for peripheral arterial occlusive disease and ischaemic heart disease, autologous graft conduit including great saphenous veins and radial arteries are frequently stored in solution. Endothelial damage adversely affects the performance and patency of autologous bypass grafts, and intraoperative graft storage solutions have been shown to influence this process. The distribution of storage solutions currently used amongst Cardiothoracic and Vascular Surgeons from Australia and New Zealand is not well defined in the literature. The aim of this study was to determine current practices regarding autologous graft storage and handling amongst this cohort of surgeons, and discuss their potential relevance in the context of early graft failure. From this survey, the most frequently used storage solutions were heparinized saline for great saphenous veins, and pH-buffered solutions for radial arteries. Duration of storage was 30-45 min for almost half of respondents, although responses to this question were limited. Further research is required to investigate whether ischaemic endothelial injury generates a prothrombotic state, whether different storage media can alter this state, and whether this is directly associated with clinical outcomes of interest such as early graft failure.

#### KEYWORDS

conduit, bypass, graft, intraoperative, RACS = royal australasian college of surgeons, early graft failure

## Introduction

During bypass surgery for peripheral arterial occlusive disease and ischaemic heart disease, autologous graft conduit including great saphenous veins (GSV) and radial arteries (RA) are frequently stored in solution prior to anastomosis. Early graft failure (EGF), defined as occlusion within 30 days (1), remains a serious postoperative

surgical complication, with rates of 4.5% and 3% previously reported for infrainguinal and coronary bypass graft procedures, respectively (2, 3). Data reporting the most frequently used storage solutions, as well as intraoperative handling practices (i.e. use of "no touch" techniques" where the vein is harvested with a pedicle of surrounding tissue (4), and the extent to which the vein is mechanically distended) amongst Cardiothoracic and Vascular surgeons in Australia and New Zealand are lacking. Previous studies have suggested that storage media can influence conduit endothelial injury, and influence early graft patency (5). However, this is an area which is currently in need of further research (6). The aim of this study was to determine current practices regarding autologous graft storage and handling amongst surgeons in Australia and New Zealand, and discuss their potential relevance in the context of EGF.

## **Methods**

Institutional Review Board approval was obtained (ID 69579, The Prince Charles Hospital HREC (EC00168), 22 October 2020). An electronic survey was distributed online *via* the Cardiothoracic and Vascular divisions of the Royal Australasian College of Surgeons using SurveyMonkey (San Mateo, CA, United State of America). Responses were anonymous and not identifiable. The survey questions are available in the Appendix.

#### Results

43 responses were received from 395 members approached (response rate = 10.9%). Response rate for Cardiothoracic and Vascular divisions was 8.3% (12/145) and 12.4% (31/250), respectively. 93% of respondents (n = 40) were currently practicing. All respondents (100%) routinely harvested GSV, and 33.3% routinely harvested RA. For GSV, storage solutions used were heparinized saline (76.2%), "other" (11.9%), autologous blood (7.1%), or pH-buffered solution (4.8%)

(Figure 1A). "Other" solutions included heparinized saline and papaverine (7.1%), autologous blood and verapamil (2.4%) or no storage at all (2.4%). For RA, storage solutions used were "other" (46.1%), pH-buffered solution (23.1%), autologous blood (15.4%) and heparinized saline (15.4%) (Figure 1B). For "other" solutions, these included heparinized blood and verapamil (15.4%), glyceryl trinitrate and verapamil (7.7%), papaverine solution to the extraluminal vessel only (7.7%), heparinized blood with papaverine (7.7%), or heparinized blood with diltiazem and glyceryl trinitrate (7.7%). Specialty-specific responses regarding storage solution, duration, "no-touch" harvesting and extent of conduit distension are shown in Table 1.

Storage duration for these conduits was 30–45 min in 46.2% (6/13) (**Figure 2A**). A "no-touch" technique was used in 41.5% (17/41). Mechanical distension of the conduit was beyond twice the native vessel diameter in 2.4%, 1.5–2 times native diameter in 46.3%, filled but not distended in 48.8%, and no distension in 2.4% (**Figure 2B**).

## Discussion

The present study aimed to identify current intraoperative conduit storage practices in Australia and New Zealand, and discuss their potential relevance with respect to EGF, which remains a substantial problem in cardiovascular surgery (2, 3). From this survey, for great saphenous veins, heparinized saline was clearly the predominant storage solution. For radial arteries, which are of primary relevance to cardiothoracic surgeons in Australia and New Zealand as a second arterial conduit following an internal thoracic arterial graft (7), storage solutions were more diversely spread across heparinized saline, autologous blood, buffer solutions and modified buffer solutions with vasodilators. This may potentially suggest a lack of consensus with respect to radial artery storage. Storage time was up to 45 min in almost half of respondents, although responses to this question were limited. It was also noted that the response rate to the survey was relatively low, which must be borne in mind when interpreting the findings.



Storage solutions for harvested great suphenous veins     Heparinized saline     25     7     32       71.99%     5.04%     7.13%     2.188%     8.33%     8.33%     8.33%     8.33%     8.33%     8.33%     8.33%     8.33%     8.33%     8.33%     8.33%     8.647%     3.33%     16.647%     9.647%     9.644%     9.641%     9.641%     9.661%     9.6
17.99%     5.04%       R135     12.88%       83.33%     38.33%       0.72%     1.44%       33.33%     16.67%       20     0.72%     1.44%       33.33%     16.67%     2       337%     16.67%     2       0%     1.44%     3       337%     16.67%     2       0%     100%     2       0%     10.67%     2       0%     10.657%     2       0%     0.02%     0.2       0%     10.05%     2       0%     10.05%     2       0%     1.05%     2       0%     1.00%     2       0%     1.00%     3       0%     1.00%     3       0%     1.00%     2       0%     1.00%     2       0%     1.00%     3       0%     1.00%     3       0%     1.00%     3       0%     1.00%     3
Autologous blood 1 2 3   Autologous blood 1 2 3   333% 16607% 333% 16607%   0% 10% 10% 10%   0% 10% 10% 10%   0% 10% 10% 10%   0% 10% 10% 10%   0% 10% 10% 10%   0% 10% 10% 10%   0% 10% 10% 10%   10 1 1 1   0% 10% 10% 10%   10 1 1 1   10 1 1 1   10 1 1 1   10 1 1 1   10 1 1 1   10 1 1 1   10 1 1 1   10 1 1 1   10 1 1 1   10 1 1 1   10 1 1 1   10 1 1 1   10 1 1 1   10 1 1
Autologous blood12317123133%166%333%66.6%333%16.7%333%16.7%111120%16.67%0%16.6%0%16.67%0%16.6%0%16.67%0%16.6%0%16.7%0%16.6%10112100%16.6%16.6%101121010%16.6%16.6%1010%16.6%16.6%11011110111210%16.6%16.6%141011515 min021515 min041515 min041515 min041515 min061515 min011515 min011515 min011515 min011515 min10%10%1515 min10%11515 min10%11515 min10%11515 min10%11515 min10%11515 min10%11515 min10%11610%10%1610% </td
not optimized solution     0     1.44%       333%     066%       3.33%     166%       3.33%     166%       3.33%     166%       0%     144%       0%     100%       0%     100%       0%     100%       0%     100%       0%     100%       100     2       0%     072%       50%     50%       50%     50%       50%     50%       60     2       60     2       60     3       0%     1.44%       0%     1.44%       0%     1.44%       0%     1.44%       0%     1.44%       0%     1.44%       0%     1.667%       100%     2.16%       100%     2.16%       100%     1.667%       100%     2.16%       100%     2.16%       100%     2.8%       100%<
No. touch" technique used to harvest the vein or artery (c. the vein or artery (b. che vein
Note on a first set of a state o
pH- buffred solution     0     2     2       Storage solutions for harvested radial arteries     Heparinized saline     1     1     2       50 orage solutions for harvested radial arteries     Heparinized saline     1     1     2       50 orage solutions for harvested radial arteries     Heparinized saline     1     1     2       60%     50%     50%     50%     50%     50%       70%     60%     60%     2     2       60%     100%     60%     1     44%       0%     100%     2     2       60%     2.16%     3     3       90%     100%     2     2       60%     2.16%     3     3       90%     100%     2     2       100%     0%     1     0     1       100%     0%     2     2     2       100%     1     0%     1     4       100%     0%     1     4     1       10%
Note on a result of harvested radial arteries     Heparinized alline     1     1     2       50°     06°     16.67%     07.2%
Storage solutions for harvested radial arteriesHeparinized saline1 0.73%10% 0.73%1Storage solutions for harvested radial arteriesHeparinized saline1 0.73%0.72% 0.72%50%3.33%0.72%50%50%10% 0.72%10%022 0.76%10% 0.76%10% 0.76%10% 0.76%10% 0.76%10% 0.76%4011 0.76%0.76%10% 0.76
Storage solutions for harvested radial arteriesHeparinized salue112Storage solutions for harvested radial arteriesHeparinized salue112Storage solutions for harvested radial arteriesHeparinized salue112Autologous blood0%1.44%33.3%8.33%22O%1.04%1.04%0%1.667%33Pit- baffered solution0333633O%1.00%0%1.00%0%1.667%333633
Storage solutions for harvested radial arteries Heparinized saline 1 1 2   072% 072% 070%   50% 050% 50%   50% 050% 20   333% 833% 2   Autologous blood 0 2 2   0% 100% 00% 100%   0% 100% 0 3 3   0% 2.16% 0% 2.16%   0% 2.16% 0% 100%   0% 2.16% 0% 100%   0% 2.16% 0% 10   0% 100% 0% 1   0% 1.44% 0 1   0% 2.16% 0% 1   0% 1.44% 0% 1   0% 1.00% 0% 1   0% 1.44% 0% 1   0% 1.44% 0% 1   0% 1.44% 0% 1   0% 1.44% 0% 1   15 to 30 min 0% 1.667%   0% 1.00% 1.00%   0% 1.00% 1.00%   0% 0.00% 1.00%
0.72%     0.72%     0.72%       50%     50%     50%       50%     8.33%     8.33%       8.33%     8.33%     8.33%       0%     1.44%     0     2     2       0%     1.00%     0%     1.00%     0%     3       0%     2.16%     0%     2.16%     0%     2.16%     0%     2.16%     0%     1.00%     0%     2.16%     0%     1.00%     0.0%     2.5%     0%     1.00%     0.0%     2.5%     0%     1.00%     0.0%     2.5%     0%     1.00%     0.0%     2.5%     0%     1.00%     0.0%     2.5%     0%     1.00%     0.0%     1.00%     0.0%     1.00%     0.0%     1.00%     0.0%     1.00%     0.0%     1.00%     0.0%     1.00%     0.0%     1.00%     0.0%     1.00%     0.0%     1.00%     0.0%     1.00%     0.0%     1.00%     0.0%     1.00%     0.0%     1.00%     0.0%     1.00%     0.0%     1.00%     0.0%<
0.72%     0.72%     0.72%       50%     50%     50%       50%     8.33%     8.33%       8.33%     8.33%     8.33%       0%     1.44%     0     2     2       0%     1.00%     0%     1.00%     0%     3       0%     2.16%     0%     2.16%     0%     2.16%     0%     2.16%     0%     1.00%     0%     2.16%     0%     1.00%     0.0%     2.5%     0%     1.00%     0.0%     2.5%     0%     1.00%     0.0%     2.5%     0%     1.00%     0.0%     2.5%     0%     1.00%     0.0%     2.5%     0%     1.00%     0.0%     1.00%     0.0%     1.00%     0.0%     1.00%     0.0%     1.00%     0.0%     1.00%     0.0%     1.00%     0.0%     1.00%     0.0%     1.00%     0.0%     1.00%     0.0%     1.00%     0.0%     1.00%     0.0%     1.00%     0.0%     1.00%     0.0%     1.00%     0.0%     1.00%     0.0%<
50%     50%     50%       Autologous blood     2       0%     1.44%       0%     1.00%       0%     1.667%       0%     2.16%       0%     2.06%       0%     2.06%       0%     2.06%       0%     2.06%       0%     2.06%       0%     2.06%       0%     2.06%       0%     0.06       0%     1.00%       0%     0.06       0%     0.06       0%     1.00%       0%     1.00%       0%     1.00%       0%     1.00%       0%     1.00%       0%     1.00%       0%     1.00%       100%     0.06       0%     1.00%       0%     1.00%       0%     1.00%       0%     1.00%       0%     1.00%       0%     1.00%       0%     1.00%       0%
Nutrologous blood     8.33%     8.33%     8.33%     9       0     2     2     2       0%     100%     100%     100%       0%     16.67%     3     3       0%     2.16%     100%     10%       0%     2.16%     00%     1       0%     2.16%     00%     1       0%     2.16%     00%     1       0%     0.0%     1     1     0     1       0%     0.0%     0.0%     1 <td< td=""></td<>
Autologous blood     0     2     2       0%     1.44%     1.44%     1.44%     1.0% <t< td=""></t<>
PH- buffered solution     0%     1.4%       0%     1.00%     00%       400     3     3       0%     2.16%     00%       0%     2.16%     00%       0%     2.16%     00%       0%     2.16%     00%       0%     2.16%     00%       0%     0.00%     0%       0%     0.00%     0%       0%     0.00%     0%       0%     0.00%     0%       0%     1.00%     0%       100%     0%     2.26%       100%     0%     1.44%       0%     1.667%     4.44%       0%     1.00%     3.33%       15 to 30 min     0     4     4.44%       0%     2.88%     0%     1.667%       15 to 30 min     0     6     6       0%     4.32%     0%     1.667%       15 to 30 min     0     6     6       0%     1.00%     3.33% <t< td=""></t<>
PH-buffered solution     0%     100%       0%     1.6.67%     3       0%     2.16%     3       0%     2.16%     00%       0%     2.16%     00%       0%     2.16%     00%       0%     2.16%     00%       0%     2.16%     00%       0%     0.00%     00%       1     0     1       0.72%     0%     1       0.72%     0%     1       0.72%     0%     1       0.72%     0%     1       0.72%     0%     2       100%     0%     2       100%     1.44%     3       0%     16.67%     4       15 to 30 min     0%     4     4       0%     100%     3     3       0%     0     6     6       0%     16.67%     3     3       15 to 30 min     0%     6     6       0%     6
PH-buffered solution     0%     16.67%     3     3       0%     16.07%     3     3       0%     0.10%     00%     100%       0%     100%     00%     100%       0%     0.10%     00%     1       0.72%     0.72%     0.72%     0.72%       100%     0%     0.72%     0.72%       100%     0%     0.72%     0.72%       100%     0.72%     0.72%     0.72%       100%     0.72%     0.72%     0.72%       100%     0.72%     0.72%     0.72%       100%     0.72%     0.72%     0.72%       100%     0.72%     0.72%     0.72%       100%     1.44%     0.72%     1.72%       15 to 15 min     0     4     2.88%       0%     1.28%     1.66%     1.72%       15 to 30 min     0     6     6     1.72%       16 to 90%     1.60%     1.64%     1.72%       16 th vin or artery is ha
PH- buffered solution     0     3     3       0%     2.16%     0%     100%       0%     100%     0%     1       4verage storage duration of harvested conduits     Less than 5 min     1     0     1       0.72%     0%     0%     1     1     1     1     1       0.72%     0%     0%     1 <td< td=""></td<>
%     2.16% (9%)     100% (100%)       Average storage duration of harvested conduits     Less than 5 min     1     0     1       Average storage duration of harvested conduits     Less than 5 min     1     0     1       0.72%     0%     0%     1     1     0     1       0.72%     0%     0%     2     2     1     1     0     1       0.72%     0%     0%     0%     2     2     1     1     0     1
$egin{array}{ccc} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & $
Nerage storage duration of harvested conduits     Less than 5 min     1     0     1       Average storage duration of harvested conduits     Less than 5 min     1     0     1       Average storage duration of harvested conduits     Less than 5 min     1     0     1       Average storage duration of harvested conduits     Less than 5 min     0     0     1       Average storage duration of harvested conduits     5 to 15 min     0     0     2     2       Brown of the conduct of the conduc
Average storage duration of harvested conduits     Less than 5 min     1     0     1       0.72%     0%
0.72%     0%       100%     0%       3.33%     0%       3.33%     0%       3.33%     0%       3.33%     0%       0%     1.44%       0%     100%       0%     16.67%       15 to 30 min     0     4     4       0%     2.88%     0%     100%       0%     33.33%     6     6       0%     3.33%     0     6       0%     3.33%     0     6       0%     100%     3     3.33%       0%     3.33%     0     6       0%     4.32%     0     6       0%     50%     100%     100%       0%     50%     10     10       10.00%     50%     10     10       0%     5.294%     47.06%     3.33%       10.50%     14.39%     2.88%     16.67%
100%     0%       3.33%     0%       3.33%     0%       0     2     2       0%     1.44%       0%     100%       0%     106       0%     16.67%       0%     2.88%       0%     00%       0%     33.33%       0%     33.33%       0%     33.33%       0%     33.33%       0%     33.33%       0%     33.33%       0%     33.33%       0%     30.00%       0%     30.00%       0%     50.00%       "No-touch" technique used to harvest the vein or artery     Yes     9     8     17       (i.e. the vein or artery is harvested with a pedicle of surrounding tissue)     52.94%     47.06%     52.94%       20     4     2.84%     2.84%     2.83%       14.39%     2.88%     83.33%     16.67%
3.33%     0%       5 to 15 min     0     2     2       0%     1.44%     0%     100%       0%     16.67%     0     4     4       0%     2.88%     0%     100%       15 to 30 min     0     4     4       0%     2.88%     0%     3.33%       0%     30 to 45 min     0     6     6       0%     4.32%     0%     3.33%     6       0%     50%     100%     100%     10%     10%       10 to 45 min     0     6     8     3.33%     16.67%       10 to 45 min     0     6     6     10%     10%     10%     10%     10%     10%     10%     10%     10%     10%     10%     10%     10%     10%     11%     11%     11%     11%     11%     11%     11%     11%     11%     11%     11%     11%     11%     11%     11%     11%     11%     11%     11%
5 to 15 min   0   2   2     0%   1.44%   0%   1.44%     0%   100%   00%   100%     15 to 30 min   0   4   4     0%   2.88%   0%   100%     0%   33.33%   0%   6     0%   0%   33.33%   6     0%   0%   100%   100%     0%   0%   100%   10%     0%   0%   100%   10%     0%   0%   100%   10%     0%   0%   100%   10%     0%   0%   100%   10%     0%   0%   100%   10%     0%   100%   100%   10%     0%   0%   100%   10%     0%   100%   10%   10%     0%   100%   10%   10%     0%   100%   10%   10%     0%   100%   10%   10%     0%   100%   10%   10%     0%   0
%     1.44%       %     100%       0%     16.67%       15 to 30 min     0     4     4       0%     2.88%     0%     2.88%       0%     33.33%     6     6       0%     33.33%     6     6       0%     6     6     6       0%     4.32%     0%     100%       0%     6     6     6       0%     4.32%     0%     100%       0%     50%     10     6       %     647%     5.76%     16       %     52.94%     47.06%     14       %     66.67%     2.88%     14.39%       %     2.0     4     24       14.39%     2.88%     16.67%
9%     100%       0%     16.67%       15 to 30 min     0     4     4       0%     2.88%     0%     100%       0%     30 to 45 min     0     6     6       0%     33.33%     0%     33.33%     6       0%     6
15 to 30 min     0%     16.67%       0%     2.88%     0%       0%     2.88%       0%     33.33%       0%     33.33%       0%     6       0%     4.32%       0%     100%       0%     100%       0%     6.67%       52.94%     47.06%       14.39%     2.88%       14.39%     2.88%
15 to 30 min   0   4   4     0%   2.88%   0%   100%     0%   33.33%   0%   33.33%     30 to 45 min   0   6   6     0%   4.32%   0%   100%     0%   00%   50%   10     *No-touch" technique used to harvest the vein or artery (i.e. the vein or artery is harvested with a pedicle of surrounding tissue)   Yes   9   8   17     52.94%   47.06%   57.6%   52.94%   47.06%   14.39%   2.88%     No   20   4   24   24   24   24     14.39%   2.88%   16.67%   57.6%   53.33%   16.67%   53.33%
0%     2.88%       0%     100%       0%     33.33%       0%     6       0%     4.32%       0%     00%       0%     4.32%       0%     50%       100%     50%       100%     50%       100%     50%       100%     50%       100%     50%       100%     50%       100%     50%       100%     50%       100%     50%       100%     50%       100%     50%       100%     50%       100%     50%       100%     50%       100%     50%       100%     66.67%       14.39%     2.88%       14.39%     2.88%
9%     100%       0%     33.33%       30 to 45 min     0     6     6       0%     4.32%     0%     100%       0%     0%     4.32%     0%     100%       0%     0%     50%     10       **No-touch" technique used to harvest the vein or artery     Yes     9     8     17       (i.e. the vein or artery is harvested with a pedicle of surrounding tissue)     52.94%     47.06%     14.39%     2.88%       No     20     4     24     14.39%     2.88%       14.39%     2.88%     16.67%     16.67%
30 to 45 min     0%     33.33%       30 to 45 min     0     6     6       0%     4.32%     0%     100%       0%     50%     50%     10       *No-touch" technique used to harvest the vein or artery (i.e. the vein or artery is harvested with a pedicle of surrounding tissue)     Yes     9     8     17       6.47%     5.76%     52.94%     47.06%     14.39%     2.88%       14.39%     2.88%     16.67%     14.39%     2.88%
30 to 45 min     0     6     6       0%     4.32%     0%     100%       0%     0%     0%     50%       "No-touch" technique used to harvest the vein or artery (i.e. the vein or artery is harvested with a pedicle of surrounding tissue)     Yes     9     8     17       52.94%     47.06%     57.6%     52.94%     47.06%     52.94%     47.06%     52.94%     47.06%     52.94%     52.
0%   4.32%     0%   100%     0%   50%     "No-touch" technique used to harvest the vein or artery   Yes   9   8   17     (i.e. the vein or artery is harvested with a pedicle of surrounding tissue)   52.94%   47.06%   14.39%   288%     No   20   4   24   14.39%   2.88%     14.39%   2.88%   16.67%   16.67%
%     0%     100%       "No-touch" technique used to harvest the vein or artery     Yes     9     8     17       (i.e. the vein or artery is harvested with a pedicle of surrounding tissue)     52,94%     47.06%     57.6%       30%     66.67%     30%     66.67%     24     24       14.39%     2.88%     16.67%     16.67%     26
- - - 50%   "No-touch" technique used to harvest the vein or artery Yes 9 8 17   (i.e. the vein or artery is harvested with a pedicle of - 5.76% 5.76%   surrounding tissue) - - 30% 66.67%   No 20 4 24   14.39% 2.88% -   83.33% 16.67%
"No-touch" technique used to harvest the vein or artery   Yes   9   8   17     (i.e. the vein or artery is harvested with a pedicle of   6.47%   5.76%     surrounding tissue)   52.94%   47.06%     30%   66.67%   30%     14.39%   2.88%   2.88%     83.33%   16.67%   16.67%
(i.e. the vein or artery is harvested with a pedicle of surrounding tissue) 6.47% 5.76%   surrounding tissue) 52.94% 47.06%   30% 66.67%   20 4 24   14.39% 2.88%   83.33% 16.67%
(i.e. the vein or artery is harvested with a pedicle of surrounding tissue) 5.76%   surrounding tissue) 52.94%   30% 66.67%   30% 66.67%   20 4 24   14.39% 2.88%   83.33% 16.67%
surrounding tissue) 52.94% 47.06% 30% 66.67% No 20 4 24 14.39% 2.88% 83.33% 16.67%
30% 66.67% No 20 4 24 14.39% 2.88% 83.33% 16.67%
No 20 4 24 14.39% 2.88% 83.33% 16.67%
83.33% 16.67%
66.67% 33.33%
Extent of mechanical distension the conduit following None 0 1 1
Extent of mechanical distension the conduit following None 0 1 1   harvest: 0% 0.72%
0% 100%
0% 8.33%
Enough to fill the conduit but not 12 8 20
expand it beyond its native (pre- 8.63% 5.76%
dissection) diameter 60% 40%
40% 40% 40%
The conduit expands up to $1.5-2x$ its 16 3 19
native (pre-dissection) diameter 11.51% 2.16% 84.21% 15.79%
84.21% 15.79%   53.33% 25%
The conduit expands more than $2x$ its 1 0 1
native (pre-dissection) diameter. 0.72% 0%
100% 0%
100% 0% 3.33% 0%
5.5370 070

TABLE 1 Distribution of storage solutions, storage duration, "no-touch" harvesting and degree of conduit distension by surgical specialty<sup>a</sup>.

<sup>a</sup>Data reported as Count, Percent of total, Row percentage, Column percentage.



The effect of intraoperative graft storage solutions on endothelial damage, potential upregulated thrombogenicity, and potential subsequent graft failure is worthy of discussion. The PREVENT IV trial identified that in 2,817 patients who underwent CABG, veins stored in saline or blood-based solutions demonstrated a higher rate of failure at one year compared to buffered saline (5). Previously suggested reasons for saline being harmful to endothelium include its lack of ionic balance, and its acidic pH (5.5) (8).

Thrombosis is the major cause of early graft failure (9). Whilst thrombogenicity in this setting is highly multifactorial, endothelial injury of the conduit arguably plays an important role, and intraoperative storage solutions influence this process (5, 8, 10). Structurally, the endothelial surface layer is defined as the endothelial cellular glycocalyx, which is a layer of glycans lining all human cells, and its associated plasma proteins (11-13). The glycocalyx is a matrix consisting of various proteoglycans, glycosaminoglycans (GAGs), and plasma proteins, and it provides endothelial cellular mechanosensation and transduction (14). Its principal GAGs include heparan sulphate (HS) and hyaluronic acid (HA), and core proteins primarily include syndecans and glypicans (11). Damage to the endothelial cell glycocalyx appears to be the earliest detectable injury to the vascular wall during the development of atherosclerosis and is associated with increased vascular permeability and adhesiveness (15). Destruction of the endothelial glycocalyx, which ranges from 200 to 2000nm in thickness, decreases vascular barrier function and leads to protein extravasation and tissue oedema, loss of substrate supply to tissues, and an increase in platelet and leucocyte adhesion (16).

During surgery, early endothelial injury begins during conduit preparation, including the harvesting technique used (e.g. open versus endoscopic), the extent to which the graft is manipulated and distended (17, 18), and surgical technique used during anastomosis. This is reflected by the teaching of "no touch" or minimal graft handling techniques, minimizing the overdistension of bypass conduits, and meticulous attention to anastomoses (19). It is worth noting that in certain circumstances, such as in-situ infrainguinal bypass surgery, storage of a free graft will be obviated, and periodic flushing is often employed in this setting once a proximal anastomosis has been completed. Endothelial damage, such as mechanical deendothelialization, is frequently observed in free saphenous vein grafts (20) and exposes the underlying extracellular matrix. This triggers local release of tissue factors with reduced bioavailability of prostacyclin and nitric oxide (NO), which culminates in enhanced platelet activation, fibrin deposition, and ultimately thrombosis (21). During conduit harvesting, the endothelium is also rendered ischaemic due to separation from the systemic circulation and disruption of vasa vasorum of the vessel wall. Ischaemia generates oxidative stress, which may activate a procoagulant state (22). Luminal expression of prothrombotic molecules, such as thromboxane A2 and plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 upregulates the interaction between an activated endothelial surface with platelets and leucocytes. This sets in motion an accelerating process of inflammation and thrombosis, and ultimately, graft thrombosis (23).

The endothelial expression of thromboprotective proteins, such as thrombomodulin, plays a vital role in early graft patency (9). Thrombomodulin is a surface glycoprotein which modulates the activity of thrombin from a procoagulant to an anticoagulant protease (24), and its expression is vital in graft thromboresistance. When bound to thrombomodulin on the endothelial surface, thrombin is unable to generate fibrin or activate platelets but instead becomes a potent activator of

10.3389/fsurg.2022.956177

protein C. The activated form of protein C (APC) is an anticoagulant protease that selectively inactivates coagulation factors Va and VIIIa, providing an essential feedback mechanism to prevent excessive coagulation. Although activation of protein C in vivo is completely dependent on thrombomodulin, the efficiency of protein C activation is enhanced by another endothelial cofactor, the endothelial protein C receptor (EPCR) (25). Furthermore, ischaemic injury has been shown to downregulate thrombomodulin expression (26). Kim et al demonstrated, in a rodent model, that early loss of TM expression significantly impairs vein graft thromboresistance and results in enhanced local thrombin generation (9). Immunohistochemical staining of autologous rabbit vein graft sections revealed that the expression of TM, but not EPCR, was reduced significantly early after graft implantation. Western blot analysis revealed that TM expression was reduced by >95% during the first 2 weeks after implantation, with gradual but incomplete recovery by 42 days (9).

Despite the clinical burden of acute conduit occlusion, whilst some studies have previously investigated the influence of different storage solutions on endothelial integrity, few have investigated their effect on thrombogenesis (27). In order to mitigate endothelial shedding secondary to ischaemic injury, as well as the prothrombotic and proinflammatory state which accompanies it, a small number of novel treatment solutions have been studied in vitro and in vivo. Normal saline, whilst extensively used as a graft storage solution, has been shown to be damaging to autologous grafts, demonstrated both histologically as well as functionally, with impaired endothelial-dependent vasoreactivity (28, 29). Cardioplegia is used for myocardial protection during cardiac surgery. Generally, they may be classified as blood or crystalloid forms, such as St Thomas', del Nido, and Bretschneider solutions. Crystalloid cardioplegia was initially used to achieve myocardial protection until Buckberg introduced the concept of blood-based cardioplegia, which subsequently became increasingly popular (30). With respect to graft conduit storage, a recent prospective trial by Papakonstantinou et al reported that cardioplegia may better protect endothelial cells compared to heparin enriched solutions, however the association with clinical outcomes remains to be proven (31).

Furthermore, a new chloride-poor, iron-chelator-enhanced cardioplegic solution (Custodiol-N) has demonstrated improved liver, lung and heart preservation in different experimental studies (32–34). In a large animal study by Veres *et* al., this novel (Custodiol-N) conferred greater coronary endothelial protection compared to Custodiol after hypothermic cardiac arrest (35). TiProtec, a chloride-depleted, iron chelator-fortified modified HTK solution and Duragraft, an endothelial damage inhibitor, have shown promising results in preclinical studies involving both in murine aortic tissue and human saphenous veins. In a recent study in 2016, Veres *et al.* reported that in a murine model where aortic arches were harvested, stored in a novel TiProtec

preservation solution, and grafted to the abdominal aorta, endothelial function was better preserved in the TiProtec group when compared with the saline and Custodiol groups (35). In a study of human saphenous vein segments and isolated pig mammary veins by Pachuk *et al.*, normal saline caused damage to vascular endothelium, loss of graft cell viability, and mediated cell damage, whereas no evidence of damage or reactivity was observed in DuraGraft-exposed cells (29).

It is justifiable that the conduit endothelium should be protected as much as possible from ischaemic injury from the moment it is harvested. Intraoperative storage solutions may influence this pathophysiological process. Further research is required, however, regarding the effect of intraoperative storage media on expression of thromboprotective proteins, such as thrombomodulin (27), and clinical outcomes, such as angiographic evidence of graft failure, and rates of readmission and reintervention for graft occlusion, limb salvage (peripheral bypass), and mortality.

#### Conclusion

The distribution of storage solutions used in Cardiothoracic and Vascular Surgery in Australia and New Zealand is not well documented in the literature. From this survey, for great saphenous veins, heparinized saline was clearly the predominant storage solution. For radial arteries, storage solutions were more diversely spread across heparinized saline, autologous blood, buffer solutions and modified buffer solutions with vasodilators. This may potentially suggest a lack of consensus with respect to radial artery storage, although responses were limited. Storage time was up to 45 min in almost half of respondents, although responses to this question were limited. Data in the literature suggests that storage with neither saline nor autologous blood is able to protect the endothelium against cold ischaemia and warm reperfusion injury. Further research is required to investigate whether ischaemic endothelial injury generates а prothrombotic state, whether different storage media can alter this state, and whether this is directly associated with clinical outcomes of interest such as early graft failure.

#### Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

## **Ethics statement**

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by ID 69579, The Prince Charles Hospital HREC

(EC00168), 22 October 2020. Written informed consent for participation was not required for this study in accordance with the national legislation and the institutional requirements.

## Author contributions

ABH - study conceptualization and design, conduct of survey, collation and interpretation of results, preparation of manuscript. NP, TC, MPV - study design, analysis of results, critical review of manuscript SP, DM, RN, JJ, JYS, JFF - critical review of manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

## Funding

Funding for completion of this work was provided by Wesley Medical Research, Uniting Care Health, The Wesley Hospital, Auchenflower, Brisbane, QLD.

#### References

1. Motwani JG, Topol EJ. Aortocoronary saphenous vein graft disease: pathogenesis, predisposition, and prevention. *Circulation*. (1998) 97(9):916-31. doi: 10.1161/01.CIR.97.9.916

2. Soma G, Greenblatt DY, Nelson MT, Rajamanickam V, Havlena J, Fernandes-Taylor S, et al. Early graft failure after infrainguinal arterial bypass. *Surgery*. (2014) 155(2):300–10. doi: 10.1016/j.surg.2013.08.010

3. Thielmann M, Massoudy P, Jaeger BR, Neuhäuser M, Marggraf G, Sack S, et al. Emergency re-revascularization with percutaneous coronary intervention, reoperation, or conservative treatment in patients with acute perioperative graft failure following coronary artery bypass surgery. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* (2006) 30(1):117–25. doi: 10.1016/j.ejcts.2006.03.062

4. Souza DS, Arbeus M, Botelho Pinheiro B, Filbey D. The no-touch technique of harvesting the saphenous vein for coronary artery bypass grafting surgery. *Multimed Man Cardiothorac Surg.* (2009) 2009(731): mmcts.2008.003624. doi: 10.1510/mmcts.2008.003624

5. Harskamp RE, Alexander JH, Schulte PJ, Brophy CM, Mack MJ, Peterson ED, et al. Vein graft preservation solutions, patency, and outcomes after coronary artery bypass graft surgery: follow-up from the PREVENT IV randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Surg.* (2014) 149(8):798–805. doi: 10.1001/jamasurg.2014.87

6. Eqbal A, Gupta S, Bisleri G. Storage solutions to improve grafts preservation and longevity in coronary artery bypass grafting surgery: hype or hope? *Curr Opin Cardiol.* (2021) 36(5):616–22. doi: 10.1097/HCO.00000000000875

7. Gaudino M, Benedetto U, Fremes S, Biondi-Zoccai G, Sedrakyan A, Puskas JD, et al. Radial-artery or saphenous-vein grafts in coronary-artery bypass surgery. *N Engl J Med.* (2018) 378(22):2069–77. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa 1716026

8. Toto F, Torre T, Turchetto L, Lo Cicero V, Soncin S, Klersy C, et al. Efficacy of intraoperative vein graft storage solutions in preserving endothelial cell integrity during coronary artery bypass surgery. *J Clin Med.* (2022) 11(4):1093. doi: 10. 3390/jcm11041093

9. Kim AY, Walinsky PL, Kolodgie FD, Bian C, Sperry JL, Deming CB, et al. Early loss of thrombomodulin expression impairs vein graft thromboresistance. *Circ Res.* (2002) 90(2):205–12. doi: 10.1161/hh0202.105097

10. Wilbring M, Tugtekin SM, Zatschler B, Ebner A, Reichenspurner H, Matschke K, et al. Even short-time storage in physiological saline solution impairs endothelial vascular function of saphenous vein grafts. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* (2011) 40(4):811–5. doi: 10.1016/j.ejcts.2011.01.024

**IRB number and date of approval:** ID 69579, The Prince Charles Hospital HREC (EC00168), 22 October 2020

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

11. Tarbell JM, Cancel LM. The glycocalyx and its significance in human medicine. J Intern Med. (2016) 280(1):97-113. doi: 10.1111/joim.12465

12. Möckl L. The emerging role of the mammalian glycocalyx in functional membrane organization and immune system regulation. *Front Cell Dev Biol.* (2020) 8:253. doi: 10.3389/fcell.2020.00253

13. Vink H, Constantinescu AA, Spaan JAE. Oxidized lipoproteins degrade the endothelial surface layer. *Circulation*. (2000) 101(13):1500–2. doi: 10.1161/01.CIR. 101.13.1500

14. Fu BM, Tarbell JM. Mechano-sensing and transduction by endothelial surface glycocalyx: composition, structure, and function. *Wiley Interdiscip Rev Syst Biol Med.* (2013) 5(3):381–90. doi: 10.1002/wsbm.1211

15. Pohl U, Herlan K, Huang A, Bassenge E. EDRF-mediated shear-induced dilation opposes myogenic vasoconstriction in small rabbit arteries. *Am J Physiol.* (1991) 261(6 Pt 2):H2016–23. doi: 10.1152/ajpheart.1991.261.6.h2016

16. Becker BF, Chappell D, Bruegger D, Annecke T, Jacob M. Therapeutic strategies targeting the endothelial glycocalyx: acute deficits, but great potential. *Cardiovasc Res.* (2010) 87(2):300–10. doi: 10.1093/cvr/cvq137

17. Dreifaldt M, Souza DSR, Loesch A, Muddle JR, Karlsson MG, Filbey D, et al. The "no-touch" harvesting technique for vein grafts in coronary artery bypass surgery preserves an intact vasa vasorum. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* (2011) 141 (1):145–50. doi: 10.1016/j.jtcvs.2010.02.005

18. Kim YH, Oh HC, Choi JW, Hwang HY, Kim KB. No-touch saphenous vein harvesting may improve further the patency of saphenous vein composite grafts: early outcomes and 1-year angiographic results. *Ann Thorac Surg.* (2017) 103 (5):1489–97. doi: 10.1016/j.athoracsur.2016.09.024

19. Tian M, Wang X, Sun H, Feng W, Song Y, Lu F, et al. No-touch versus conventional vein harvesting techniques at 12 months after coronary artery bypass grafting surgery: multicenter randomized, controlled trial. *Circulation*. (2021) 144(14):1120–9. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.121.055525

20. Sabik JF. Understanding saphenous vein graft patency. *Circulation*. (2011) 124(3):273–5. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.111.039842

21. Manchio JV, Gu J, Romar L, Brown J, Gammie J, Pierson IRN, et al. Disruption of graft endothelium correlates with early failure after off-pump coronary artery bypass surgery. *Ann Thorac Surg.* (2005) 79(6):1991–8. doi: 10.1016/j.athoracsur.2004.12.054

22. Yan SF, Mackman N, Kisiel W, Stern DM, Pinsky DJ. Hypoxia/hypoxemiainduced activation of the procoagulant pathways and the pathogenesis of ischemia-associated thrombosis. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. (1999) 19 (9):2029-35. doi: 10.1161/01.ATV.19.9.2029

23. Gaudino M, Antoniades C, Benedetto U, Deb S, Franco AD, Giammarco GD, et al. Mechanisms, consequences, and prevention of coronary graft failure. *Circulation*. (2017) 136(18):1749–64. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.117. 027597

24. Esmon CT. Thrombomodulin as a model of molecular mechanisms that modulate protease specificity and function at the vessel surface. Faseb j. (1995) 9(10):946–55. doi: 10.1096/fasebj.9.10.7615164

25. Taylor Jr. FB, Peer GT, Lockhart MS, Ferrell G, Esmon CT. Endothelial cell protein C receptor plays an important role in protein C activation in vivo. *Blood.* (2001) 97(6):1685–8. doi: 10.1182/blood.V97.6.1685

26. Uygur F, Noyan N, Çeliköz B, Hahaoglu A. Expression of the endothelial thrombomodulin (TM) on the ischemic rat flap model: preliminary study. *Ann Plast Surg.* (2009) 62(6):693–8. doi: 10.1097/SAP.0b013e318180f2b2

27. Woodward LC, Antoniades C, Taggart DP. Intraoperative vein graft preservation: what is the solution? *Ann Thorac Surg.* (2016) 102(5):1736–46. doi: 10.1016/j.athoracsur.2016.05.097

28. Wise ES, Hocking KM, Eagle S. Preservation solution impacts physiologic function and cellular viability of human saphenous vein graft. J Vasc Surg. (2016) 63(1):281. doi: 10.1016/j.jvs.2015.11.009

29. Pachuk CJ, Rushton-Smith SK, Emmert MY. Intraoperative storage of saphenous vein grafts in coronary artery bypass grafting.

Expert Rev Med Devices. (2019) 16(11):989-97. doi: 10.1080/17434440. 2019.1682996

30. Follette DM, Mulder DG, Maloney JV, Buckberg GD. Advantages of blood cardioplegia over continuous coronary perfusion or intermittent ischemia. Experimental and clinical study. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* (1978) 76(5):604–19. doi: 10.1016/S0022-5223(19)41007-6

31. Papakonstantinou NA, Sykaras AG, Vourlakou C, Goudevenos J, Papadopoulos G, Apostolakis E. Cardioplegic storage solution: is it The Guardian of saphenous vein graft endothelium? *J Card Surg.* (2020) 35 (5):996–1003. doi: 10.1111/jocs.14519

32. Pizanis N, Gillner S, Kamler M, de Groot H, Jakob H, Rauen U. Coldinduced injury to lung epithelial cells can be inhibited by iron chelators implications for lung preservation. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* (2011) 40 (4):948–55. doi: 10.1016/j.ejcts.2011.01.052

33. Wu K, Türk TR, Rauen U, Su S, Feldkamp T, de Groot H, et al. Prolonged cold storage using a new histidine-tryptophan-ketoglutarate-based preservation solution in isogeneic cardiac mouse grafts. *Eur Heart J.* (2011) 32(4):509–16. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehq135

34. Bahde R, Palmes D, Gemsa O, Minin E, Stratmann U, de Groot H, et al. Attenuated cold storage injury of rat livers using a modified HTK solution. *J Surg Res.* (2008) 146(1):49–56. doi: 10.1016/j.jss.2007.08.011

35. Veres G, Hegedűs P, Barnucz E, Schmidt H, Radovits T, Zöller R, et al. Tiprotec preserves endothelial function in a rat model. *J Surg Res.* (2016) 200 (1):346–55. doi: 10.1016/j.jss.2015.06.062