



OPEN ACCESS

APPROVED BY Aali Jan Sheen,

Manchester Royal Infirmary, United Kingdom

*CORRESPONDENCE Frontiers Editorial Office editorial.office@frontiersin.org

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Surgical Oncology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Surgery

RECEIVED 07 July 2022 ACCEPTED 07 July 2022 PUBLISHED 26 July 2022

CITATION

Frontiers Editorial Office (2022) Retraction: Laparoscopic vs. open

pancreaticoduodenectomy after learning curve: A systematic review and meta-analysis of single-center studies.

Front. Surg. 9:988654. doi: 10.3389/fsurg.2022.988654

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Editorial Office. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Retraction: Laparoscopic vs. open pancreaticoduodenectomy after learning curve: A systematic review and meta-analysis of single-center studies

Frontiers Editorial Office*

KEYWORDS

pancreatic cancer, pancreaticoduodenectomy, laparoscopic, whipple, meta-analysis

A Retraction of the Systematic Review Article

Laparoscopic vs. Open Pancreaticoduodenectomy After Learning Curve: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Single-Center Studies

By Feng Q, Xin Z, Qiu J and Xu M (2021). Front. Surg. 8:715083. doi: 10.3389/fsurg.2021.715083

The journal and Chief Editors retract the 10 September 2021 article cited above.

"Following publication, the cited article was found to be substantially similar to a previously published study by Feng et al. in Gland Surgery (2021;10(5):1655–1668; doi: 10.21037/gs-20-916). Our investigation, conducted in accordance with Frontiers' policies, concluded that this similarity was unacceptably high, and the Publisher is retracting the article

This retraction was approved by the Chief Editors of Frontiers in Surgery and the Chief Executive Editor of Frontiers. The authors have agreed to the retraction."