Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Emanuele Rubilotta, Integrated University Hospital Verona, Italy

REVIEWED BY Mohamad Moussa, Lebanese University, Lebanon

*CORRESPONDENCE Xu Zhang 🛙 xzhang301@163.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share last authorship

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Genitourinary Surgery, a section of the journal Frontiers in Surgery

RECEIVED 14 December 2022 ACCEPTED 04 January 2023 PUBLISHED 23 January 2023

CITATION

Lv C, Yuan Q, Yan JM, Sun B and Zhang X (2023) Commentary: The impact of palliative transurethral resection of the prostate on the prognosis of patients with bladder outlet obstruction and metastatic prostate cancer: A population-matched study. Front. Surg. 10:1123602. doi: 10.3389/fsurg.2023.1123602

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Lv, Yuan, Yan, Sun and Zhang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Commentary: The impact of palliative transurethral resection of the prostate on the prognosis of patients with bladder outlet obstruction and metastatic prostate cancer: A population-matched study

Chao Lv¹, Qing Yuan², JingMin Yan¹, Bin Sun^{1†} and Xu Zhang^{2*†}

¹Department of Urology, PLA Air Force Medical Center, Beijing, China, ²Department of Urology, 3rd Medical Center of PLA General Hospital, Beijing, China

KEYWORDS

palliative transurethral resection of the prostate, metastatic prostate cancer (mPCa), bladder outlet obstruction (BOO), overall surivival 总生存率, cancer specific survival (CSS)

A Commentary on

The impact of palliative transurethral resection of the prostate on the prognosis of patients with bladder outlet obstruction and metastatic prostate cancer: A population-matched study

By Lv C, Yuan Q, Yan JM, Sun B and Zhang X. (2023) Front Surg. 10: 1123602. doi:10.3389/fsurg. 2023.1123602

Introduction

We are pleased to go through the manuscript by Fang et al., published in Frontiers in Surgery, which focuses on the prognosis of palliative transurethral resection of the prostate (pTURP) in the treatment of metastatic prostate cancer (mPCa) with bladder outlet obstruction (BOO), as he brings up an excellent discussion topic (1). The data were obtained from the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) database. A propensity score match (PSM) (1:1) was used to balance covariates, such as demographic characteristics, oncology features and cancer treatment. There were 1942 patients each in the pTURP and no-surgery groups. The results showed that the OS (overall survival) and CSS (cancer-specific survival) in the pTURP group were significantly lower than the non-surgical group (36.49 ± 0.94 vs. 45.52 ± 1.23 months in OS and 50.1 ± 1.49 vs. 61.28 ± 1.74 months in CSS). Overall mortality (HR: 1.19, p < 0.001) and cancer-specific mortality (HR: 1.23, p < 0.001) were both increased by pTURP.

Discussion

Palliative transurethral resection of the prostate is not intended to treat prostate cancer or BOO, but to relieve lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS) or bleeding caused by local progression of prostate cancer. Whether a patient suitable for pTURP or not is based on degree of secondary LUTS or bleeding, physical conditions and urodynamic parameters. We think it is very important a topic to discuss in the journal of Frontiers in Surgery. Previous studies showed that pTURP could benefit CSS and 5-year survival in mPCa and locally advanced PCa patients with BOO (2, 3). However, Krupski et al. hold the opposite views on pTURP and thought it as a feature of poor prognosis (4). The main reason was imbalance in disease severity between the two groups, particularly in terms of performance status and self-care ability (e.g., ECOG score), which would have led to a substantial selection bias in the prognostic analysis. ECOG score was considered to be a significant prognostic factor in castration-resistant prostate cancer (5).

The conclusion that pTURP reduced OS and CSS and increased mortality by the authors in patients with mPCa might be due to several shortcomings: (1) Patients in the Non-surgical group might not have BOO, or they might be unable to undergo surgical treatment due to poor general conditions, and only receive cystostomy or indwelling catheter. These two conditions would lead to different prognosis. (2) Two groups were balanced with respect to general conditions and oncology characteristics. However, data on prostate volume, degree of LUTS, and nonsurgical treatment due to BOO were lacking. (3) Although the tumor stage and metastasis were balanced in PSM, the ECOG scores related to the prognosis of PCa patients were unclear.

Given the data of interest mentioned above were not included in the SEER database, and selection bias between groups might lead to inaccurate or even contrary conclusions. Therefore, recommendations from the database studies need to be reexamined for scientific validity and rationality, and further prospective studies will provide a higher level of evidence.

References

1. Fang K, Song P, Zhang J, Yang L, Liu P, Lu N, et al. The impact of palliative transurethral resection of the prostate on the prognosis of patients with bladder outlet obstruction and metastatic prostate cancer: a population-matched study. *Front Surg.* (2021) 8:726534. doi: 10.3389/fsurg.2021. 726534

2. Qu M, Zhu F, Chen H, Lian B, Jia Z, Shi Z, et al. Palliative transurethral resection of the prostate in patients with metastatic prostate cancer: a prospective study of 188 patients. *J Endourol.* (2019) 33(7):570–5. doi: 10.1089/end.2019.0108

Author contributions

CL and QY contributed to the writing of the manuscript. BS and XZ participated in the discussion of the application value of pTURP in mPCa. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Acknowledgment

We thank Zhao Mingyue for revising the manuscript.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

3. Marszalek M, Ponholzer A, Rauchenwald M, Madersbacher S. Palliative transurethral resection of the prostate: functional outcome and impact on survival. *BJU Int.* (2007) 99(1):56–9. doi: 10.1111/j.1464-410X.2006.06529.x

4. Krupski TL, Stukenborg GJ, Moon K, Theodorescu D. The relationship of palliative transurethral resection of the prostate with disease progression in patients with prostate cancer. *BJU Int.* (2010) 106(10):1477–83. doi: 10.1111/j.1464-410X.2010.09356.x

5. Chen WJ, Kong DM, Li L. Prognostic value of ecog performance Status and gleason score in the survival of castration-resistant prostate cancer: a systematic review. *Asian J Androl.* (2021) 23(2):163–9. doi: 10.4103/aja.aja_53_20