Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Panagiotis Korovessis, Olympion Medical Center, Greece

REVIEWED BY Zelong Song, Nankai University, China Amey Kelkar, University of Toledo, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Tao Li ⊠ litao19812022@163.com Wen Zhang ⊠ stzhangwen@163.com

[†]These authors share first authorship

RECEIVED 09 May 2023 ACCEPTED 24 July 2023 PUBLISHED 07 August 2023

CITATION

Peng F, Gao M, Li Q, Jiang Z, Chen F, Sun M, Lai Y, Wang H, Wang X, Li T and Zhang W (2023) Supraspinous ligament arc tangent guided freehand thoracic pedicle screw insertion technique: high parallelism between screws and upper endplate. Front. Surg. 10:1219816. doi: 10.3389/fsurg.2023.1219816

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Peng, Gao, Li, Jiang, Chen, Sun, Lai, Wang, Wang, Li and Zhang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Supraspinous ligament arc tangent guided freehand thoracic pedicle screw insertion technique: high parallelism between screws and upper endplate

Fushuai Peng^{1†}, Meng Gao^{1†}, Qiang Li², Zhensong Jiang¹, Fei Chen¹, Mingtong Sun¹, Yudong Lai¹, Haoyu Wang¹, Xingpeng Wang¹, Tao Li^{1*} and Wen Zhang^{1*}

¹Department of Spine Surgery, Shandong Provincial Hospital Affiliated to Shandong First Medical University, Jinan, China, ²Department of Radiology, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Shandong First Medical University, Taian, China

Research objective: To propose a technique for placing pedicle screws in the thoracic spine using the Supraspinous ligament Arc Tangent (SLAT) as a guide to increase the safety and stability of screw placement.

Content and methods: A retrospective analysis of postoperative anteroposterior and lateral x-ray images was performed for 118 patients with thoracic spine diseases who received conventional freehand technique from January 2016 to May 2020 and SLAT-guided technique since June 2020 to present. The diagnoses included thoracic spinal stenosis, deformity, fractures, infections, and tumors. The angle between the screw and the upper endplate was categorized as grade 1 (0°–5°), grade 2 (5°–10°), and grade 3 (>10°). Three surgeons with more than 10 years of experience in spinal surgery measured the angle between the screw and the upper endplate in the lateral view. Chi-square test was used for statistical analysis, and p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results: A total of 1315 pedicle screws were placed from T1 to T12 in all patients. In the conventional freehand technique group, 549 screws were grade 1, 35 screws were grade 2, and 23 screws were grade 3. In the SLAT-guided freehand technique group, 685 screws were grade 1, 15 screws were grade 2, and 8 screws were grade 3. The data of each group was p < 0.05 by Chi-squared test, which was statistically significant, indicating that the SLAT-guided freehand technique resulted in a higher rate of parallelism between the screws and the upper endplate. All patients underwent intraoperative neurophysiological monitoring, immediate postoperative neurological examination, postoperative x-ray examination, and assess the eventual recovery. The screws were safe and stable, and no complications related to pedicle screw placement were found.

Conclusion: The SLAT-guided freehand technique for placing pedicle screws in the thoracic spine can achieve a higher rate of screw-upper endplate parallelism, making screw placement safer and more accurate. Our method provides a convenient and reliable technique for most spinal surgeons, allowing for increased accuracy and safety with less fluoroscopic guidance.

KEYWORDS

freehand technique, Supraspinous ligament Arc Tangent, thoracic pedicle, screws, parallelism

1. Introduction

Since the description of the pedicle screw-rod system in 1963 by Roy-Camille, this technique has been constantly developed and has become increasingly mature, and is now one of the commonly used techniques by spine surgeons (1, 2). Because this technique can fix the three columns of the spine and has strong three-dimensional corrective ability, it is often used to treat diseases such as spinal degeneration, deformity, fractures, infections, and tumors (1, 3-10). This technique was first applied to the lumbar spine (1), Subsequently, some scholars proposed that this technique could also be used to treat thoracic spine diseases. However, human-specific anatomical structures show that the pedicles of the thoracic spine are thinner than those of the lumbar spine (11-13), and the screws placed close to the vertebral canal, blood vessels, and important organs may pose potential risks, even though this technique has been continuously improved in recent years, and there are related literature reports that this technique can safely and reliably treat all types of diseases in the thoracic spine (13, 14). Inappropriate screw placement may cause irreversible complications. According to relevant literature reports, there is a certain correlation between improper screw placement and screw loosening, screw fracture, pedicle fracture, dura mater tearing, and poor reduction (15-19). Therefore, accurate and safe pedicle screw placement methods are of great significance.

Various safe methods for pedicle screw placement have been reported, including freehand pedicle screw placement techniques (13, 20, 21), fluoroscopy-guided techniques (22, 23), optoelectronic navigation technology (24), 3D printing personalized navigation technology (25, 26), and robot-guided technology (27, 28). Each method has its own advantages and disadvantages. The classic pedicle screw placement technique guided by fluoroscopy has significant advantages in terms of accuracy and safety, but it exposes both doctors and patients to more radiation. Emerging technologies such as photonic navigation, 3D-printed personalized navigation, and robotic guidance techniques reduce additional radiation exposure, but their practicality and popularity have not yet reached satisfactory levels. In contrast, freehand pedicle screw placement techniques have a series of advantages, such as reducing intraoperative radiation exposure, shortening surgery time, and reducing patients' economic burden (14, 29–31), but this technique heavily relies on the surgeon's experience and has a long learning curve for beginners. Furthermore, this technique does not allow surgeons to observe the movement of the screw in real-time, which creates a certain hidden danger. Therefore, a simple and safe freehand pedicle screw placement technique is particularly important.

The anatomical structure of the thoracic spine demonstrates that the supraspinous ligament is relatively stable and does not have the same degree of mobility as the lumbar spine. Therefore, it can serve as a reference landmark during surgery. During our study, we found that the arc tangent of the supraspinous ligament in the thoracic spine is perpendicular to the superior endplate of the corresponding vertebra (Figure 1A). We randomly selected preoperative MRI images of 10 patients, including those with scoliosis, kyphosis, and without any deformities, and measured the angle between the supraspinous ligament arc tangent and the superior endplate on the images, which verified the good perpendicular relationship between the two (Figures 1B-D). Based on the above two aspects, we propose a freehand pedicle screw placement technique guided by the supraspinous ligament arc tangent (SLAT) for the thoracic spine, aiming to safely implant screws parallel to the superior endplate and achieve stable spinal fixation with a rod.

2. Content and methods

2.1. Inclusion criteria

This retrospective study reviewed the data of 58 patients with thoracic spine diseases treated with conventional freehand techniques by senior spinal surgeons in our department from January 2016 to May 2020, and 60 patients with thoracic spine diseases treated with SLAT-guided freehand techniques from June 2020 to present (Table 1). The diagnoses included: (1) spinal scoliosis (including adolescent idiopathic scoliosis, congenital scoliosis, and neurofibromatosis-associated scoliosis,



FIGURE 1

(A) Phenomenon of the supraspinous ligament arch tangent being perpendicular to the endplate of the corresponding vertebra on MRI; (B) schematic diagram of preoperative MRI measurement of a patient with thoracic spinal stenosis; (C) schematic diagram of preoperative MRI measurement of a patient with spinal kyphosis; (D) schematic diagram of preoperative MRI measurement of a patient with spinal scoliosis.

TABLE 1 Patient statistics.

| Disease category | Grou | Group | | | |
|--------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Conventional freehand technique group (Number of patients) | SLAT-guided freehand technique group (Number of patients) | | | |
| Spinal scoliosis | 20 | 19 | | | |
| Spinal kyphosis | 11 | 23 | | | |
| Thoracic spinal stenosis | 16 | 10 | | | |
| Spinal fractures | 7 | 5 | | | |
| Infections | 1 | 1 | | | |
| Tumors | 3 | 2 | | | |
| Total | 58 | 60 | | | |

etc.); (2) spinal kyphosis (including Scheuermann's disease, ankylosing spondylitis-associated kyphosis, etc.); (3) common diseases (including thoracic spinal stenosis, spinal fractures, infections, and tumors, etc.). This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Provincial Hospital affiliated with Shandong First Medical University and informed consent was obtained from each patient or their guardian.

2.2. SLAT guided freehand technique

After adequate preoperative preparation, the patient who had undergone general anesthesia was placed prone on the operating table. The area that needs to be operated on is identified by pressing the spinous process and using fluoroscopy, and then the skin is incised along the midline of the spinous process. The subcutaneous fat, muscles, and fascia are dissected using an electric scalpel, exposing the supraspinous ligament, facet joints, lamina, and transverse process. At the junction of the upper articular process and transverse process, an opening is made at a position 3 mm from the tail. A probe is used to detect the length from the pedicle of the vertebral arch to the anterior edge of the vertebral body. The horizontal direction is inclined inward by 30° at T1 and T2 levels, and inward by 20° at T3 to T12 levels. Subsequently, the screw channel is expanded using a wire tap perpendicular to the arc tangent of the corresponding spinal supraspinal ligament (Figures 2A–C). The pedicle wall is detected with a soft probe, and after confirming that there is no breach of the pedicle wall, the corresponding screw is placed into the prepared channel. After all screws are placed, a connecting rod is inserted, and lateral fluoroscopy is performed using a C-arm. Intraoperative neurophysiological monitoring was used for all patients, and early neurological examination was performed immediately after the surgery to adjust the screws suspected to be misplaced.

2.3. Measuring methods

We identified whether the screws were placed on the left or right side in the anteroposterior radiographs, and measured the angle between the screws and the upper endplate in the lateral radiographs (**Figures 3A–C**). All postoperative images were measured by three surgeons with more than 10 years of spinal surgery experience, and the angle between the screw and the upper endplate was classified as grade 1 for 0° to 5°, grade 2 for 5° to 10°, and grade 3 for over 10°. All the measurement data were collected and the final results were summarized, and there was no statistical difference among the measurers. All patients underwent intraoperative fluoroscopy and neurophysiological monitoring, and early neurological examination was performed immediately after the operation. Any suspected improperly placed screws were adjusted in a timely manner.

2.4. Statistical analysis

SPSS 13.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA) was used for statistical analysis. Chi-square test was performed on the collected data. In the analysis, p < 0.05 indicates statistical significance. There was no difference between the measurers.



FIGURE 2

(A) Schematic diagram of accurate exposure of the supraspinous ligament in a patient with spinal scoliosis during surgery; (B) schematic diagram of the insertion of a straight probe perpendicular to the tangent of the supraspinous ligament arch; (C) schematic diagram of a probe almost horizontally aligned with the upper endplate under fluoroscopy.



FIGURE 3

(A) Schematic diagram of postoperative measurement in a patient with spinal scoliosis; (B) schematic diagram of postoperative measurement in a patient with spinal kyphosis; (C) schematic diagram of postoperative measurement in a patient with thoracic spinal stenosis.

3. Results

A total of 1,315 pedicle screws were included during the study period. There were 2 (0.15%) screws at T1, 23 (1.75%) screws at T2, 42 (3.19%) screws at T3, 79 (6.01%) screws at T4, 124 (9.43%) screws at T5, 137 (10.42%) screws at T6, 137 (10.42%) screws at T7, 147 (11.18%) screws at T8, 159 (12.09%) screws at T9, 146 (11.10%) screws at T10, 163 (12.40%) screws at T11, and 156 (11.86%) screws at T12. The angles between the pedicle screws and the upper endplate at each level were measured by three surgeons. Using conventional freehand technique, A total of 293 screws were placed in 20 patients with spinal scoliosis, 176 screws were placed in 11 patients with spinal kyphosis, and 138 screws were placed in 27 patients with common diseases (16 with thoracic spinal stenosis, 7 with spinal fractures, 1 with infection, and 3 with tumors). Using SLAT-guided freehand technique, A total of 254 screws were placed in 19 patients with spinal scoliosis, 342 screws were placed in 23 patients with spinal kyphosis, and 112 screws were placed in 18 patients with common diseases (10 with thoracic spinal stenosis, 5 with spinal fractures, 1 with infection, and 2 with tumors). In the conventional freehand technique group, The number of grade 1 screws was 549 (90.44%), 35 (5.77%) were grade 2, and 23 (3.79%) were grade 3; In the SLAT-guided freehand technique group, The number of grade 1 screws was 685 (96.75%), 15(2.12%) were grade 2, and 8 (1.13%) were grade 3 (Table 2). No abnormally placed screws were found during the operation, and no complications related to pedicle screws were found during hospitalization. After processing and analyzing the data, the results of Chi-squared test showed that $p \approx 0.037 < 0.05$ in scoliosis group, $p \approx 0.046 < 0.05$ in kyphosis group, $p \approx 0.000 < 0.05$ in conventional disease group and $p \approx 0.000 < 0.05$ in total, and the first level rate of SLAT group was higher than that of the conventional technology group, indicating that the SLAT-guided freehand technique resulted in a higher rate of parallelism between the screws and the upper endplate. All patients underwent intraoperative neurophysiological monitoring, immediate postoperative neurological examination, postoperative x-ray examination, and assess the eventual recovery (Table 3). The screws were safe and stable, and no complications related to pedicle screw placement were found. In order to reduce the number of times patients receive radiation, we will no longer require patients to undergo routine CT examinations after evaluating their postoperative effectiveness.

4. Discussion

In the past, there have been many types of freehand techniques for inserting pedicle screws in the thoracic spine, and it has been difficult to standardize the trajectory of screws in the sagittal plane. Although Kim et al. reported an effective freehand technique in 2004, they did not provide a precise sagittal plane trajectory standard (32). In 2008, Karapinar et al., in 2009, Modi

TABLE 2 Number of screws of different grade and levels of thoracic vertebrae in different groups and techniques (Conventional freehand technology group replaced by A; SLAT-guided freehand technique group replaced by B).

| | Group | | | | | | |
|----------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------|---------|--|
| | Scoliosis Kyphosis group group | | | Conventional diseases group | | | |
| | А | В | А | В | А | В | |
| | Grade | Grade 1/ Grade 1/ | | Grade 1/ | | | |
| Thoracic | Grade 2/ | | Grade 2/ | | Grade 2/ | | |
| vertebra | Grade 3 | | Grade 3 | | Grade 3 | | |
| T1 | 0/0/0 | 0/0/0 | 0/0/0 | 2/0/0 | 0/0/0 | 0/0/0 | |
| T2 | 6/0/1 | 4/0/0 | 6/2/0 | 4/0/0 | 0/0/0 | 0/0/0 | |
| T3 | 12/2/1 | 7/1/0 | 8/0/0 | 6/2/1 | 0/0/0 | 2/0/0 | |
| T4 | 12/2/5 | 13/0/1 | 10/1/3 | 16/2/2 | 6/2/0 | 4/0/0 | |
| T5 | 27/2/0 | 18/1/0 | 17/1/1 | 22/1/0 | 16/2/0 | 15/0/1 | |
| Т6 | 31/1/0 | 20/0/0 | 18/2/0 | 27/1/0 | 18/0/1 | 18/0/0 | |
| T7 | 25/1/0 | 19/1/0 | 14/1/0 | 36/0/0 | 16/3/1 | 18/2/0 | |
| T8 | 28/0/1 | 24/0/0 | 16/0/1 | 33/0/1 | 22/2/0 | 19/0/0 | |
| Т9 | 31/1/0 | 33/1/0 | 16/1/0 | 40/0/0 | 16/2/2 | 16/0/0 | |
| T10 | 33/0/0 | 30/0/0 | 19/0/0 | 46/0/0 | 10/0/0 | 8/0/0 | |
| T11 | 34/1/0 | 43/0/0 | 18/0/0 | 50/1/0 | 8/1/2 | 5/0/0 | |
| T12 | 32/2/2 | 36/1/1 | 18/2/1 | 47/1/1 | 6/1/1 | 4/0/0 | |
| Total | 271/12/10 | 247/5/2 | 160/10/6 | 329/8/5 | 118/13/7 | 109/2/1 | |

TABLE 3 Comparison of two techniques within different groups and comparison of the sum of three groups. (Conventional freehand technology group replaced by A; SLAT-guided freehand technique group replaced by B).

| Group | | Grade | | | p |
|-----------------------|---|--------------|------------|------------|-------|
| | | Grade 1 | Grade 2 | Grade 3 | |
| Scoliosis | A | 271 (92.49%) | 12 (4.10%) | 10 (3.41%) | 0.037 |
| | В | 247 (97.24%) | 5 (1.97%) | 2 (0.79%) | |
| Kyphosis | A | 160 (90.91%) | 10 (5.68%) | 6 (3.41%) | 0.046 |
| | В | 329 (96.20%) | 8 (2.34%) | 5 (1.46%) | |
| Conventional diseases | A | 118 (85.51%) | 13 (9.42%) | 7 (5.07%) | 0.000 |
| | В | 109 (97.32%) | 2 (1.79%) | 1 (0.89%) | |
| Total | A | 549 (90.44%) | 35 (5.77%) | 23 (3.79%) | 0.000 |
| | В | 685 (96.75%) | 15 (2.12%) | 8 (1.13%) | |

H et al., and in 2010, Modi HN et al., all reported their own views, but still did not propose a method for sagittal plane trajectory (33-35). In 2011, Parker, SL et al. supported the view that screws should be parallel to the upper endplate, but achieving this trajectory requires the use of fluoroscopy during the operation (29). In 2014, Fennell, VS et al. and in 2016, Avila, MJ et al.both supported the view that screws should always be orthogonal to the corresponding horizontal physiological curvature of the spine to achieve the parallelism between the screw and the upper endplate, However, this method was later considered difficult to master by Swaminathan, G et al. (13, 36, 37). In 2015, Li, J et al. described a manual technique that referenced the supraspinous ligament, but this study only involved fractures and did not verify the method in scoliosis and kyphosis, making the sample population limited (38). In 2018, Gokcen, HB et al. and in 2019, Zhang, ZF et al. both described a sagittal plane trajectory perpendicular to the vertebral body, but this reference marker is easily affected by the angle of view (21, 39). In 2018, Kim, TH et al. described the direction of the screw perpendicular to the superior articular process, which allows the screw to enter the vertebral body obliquely. However, for vertebrae with thinner pedicles, the usefulness of this method still needs to be further verified (40).

Most scholars support the sagittal plane state in which the screw is parallel to the upper endplate. In 2020, Swaminathan, G et al. verified the Fennell technique through simulation experiments with pedicle screws and proved that inserting screws parallel to the upper endplate is safe (36). In 2021, Jarvers, JS et al. experimentally proved that inserting pedicle screws parallel to the upper endplate in osteoporotic lumbar vertebrae has the best fatigue performance and the maximum resistance to pullout force (41). Therefore, different experiments also support the sagittal plane state where the screw is parallel to the upper endplate. Based on stability and safety, we also agree with the sagittal plane trajectory where the screw is parallel to the upper endplate. However, no one has provided a good reference standard for how to make the screw parallel to the upper endplate. In order to reduce the number of times patients receive radiation, we will no longer require patients to undergo routine CT examinations after evaluating the effectiveness of the surgery, and there is relevant literature indicating that postoperative CT is not necessary either (42).

We propose a simple and standardized technique for the placement of thoracic pedicle screws using only manual techniques.

In the sagittal plane, the screw is inserted perpendicular to the arc tangent of the corresponding supraspinous ligament. Our results show that compared to the conventional freehand technique, the use of the arc tangent of the supraspinous ligament as a reference leads to a higher satisfaction rate for parallelism of the screw with the superior endplate. Our technique allows spine surgeons to accurately and easily place pedicle screws, resulting in a safe and stable spinal screw system that maintains parallelism with the superior endplate in the sagittal plane. We not only evaluated the effectiveness of this technique for common diseases, but also further evaluated its effect on scoliosis and kyphosis. We included patients with scoliosis and kyphosis, and achieved satisfactory results, demonstrating that this technique can still be applied when correcting certain types of deformities.

The development of this technique depends on rich clinical experience in pedicle screw placement. Beginners need to overcome a learning curve when evaluating the arc tangent of the supraspinous ligament. Currently, this technique only applies to thoracic vertebrae, and research on cervical and lumbar vertebrae will be conducted in the future. Furthermore, due to individual differences, some extreme cases may require more personalized treatment plans.

5. Conclusion

The Supraspinous Ligament Arc Tangent (SLAT) freehand technique, which uses the arc tangent of the supraspinous ligament as a guide for perpendicular insertion of the screw in the sagittal plane, yields satisfactory results. It allows spine surgeons to accurately and safely place screws in the thoracic region, and is a simple and easy-to-learn technique that greatly benefits beginners.

Data availability statement

The data analyzed in this study is subject to the following licenses/ restrictions: The data used to support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request. Requests to access these datasets should be directed to TL, litao19812022@163.com.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of Shandong Provincial Hospital Affiliated to Shandong First Medical University. Written informed consent from the participants' legal guardian/next of kin was not required to participate in this study in accordance with the national legislation and the institutional requirements.

Author contributions

TL and FC initiated and designed the study, SFP and MG contributed to the script writing, SFP, MG, WZ, FC, TMS, DYL, YHW, and PXW contributed to the acquisition and collection of

the data, TL, QL, SZJ and WZ contributed to the acquisition and analysis of the data. All authors were fully involved in the study and approved the final version of this manuscript.

Funding

Tao Li, Grant/Award Number: ZR2020MH084; Xingzhi Jing, Grant/Award Numbers: 82002325, ZR2020QH075; Wen Zhang, Grant/Award Numbers: ZR2021QH045.

Acknowledgments

The authors sincerely acknowledge the entire staff of the Department of Spine Surgery, Shandong Provincial Hospital Affiliated to Shandong First Medical University, who offered assistance throughout the course of this study.

References

1. Roy-Camille R, Saillant G, Mazel C. Internal fixation of the lumbar spine with pedicle screw plating. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* (1986) 203:7–17. doi: 10.1097/00003086-198602000-00003

2. Kim SJ, Mobbs RJ, Natarajan P, Fonseka RD, Walsh WR. Historical note: the evolution of cortical bone trajectory and associated techniques. *Spine Surg Relat Res.* (2022) 6(1):1–9. doi: 10.22603/ssrr.2021-0059

3. Roy-Camille R, Saillant G, Mazel C. Plating of thoracic, thoracolumbar, and lumbar injuries with pedicle screw plates. *Orthop Clin North Am.* (1986) 17 (1):147–59. doi: 10.1016/S0030-5898(20)30425-9

4. Borne GM, Bedou GL, Pinaudeau M. Treatment of pedicular fractures of the axis. A clinical study and screw fixation technique. *J Neurosurg.* (1984) 60(1):88–93. doi: 10. 3171/jns.1984.60.1.0088

5. Huang W, Luo T. Efficacy analysis of pedicle screw internal fixation of fractured vertebrae in the treatment of thoracolumbar fractures. *Exp Ther Med.* (2013) 5 (3):678–82. doi: 10.3892/etm.2013.914

6. Belmont PJ Jr., Klemme WR, Robinson M, Polly DW Jr. Accuracy of thoracic pedicle screws in patients with and without coronal plane spinal deformities. *Spine*. (2002) 27(14):1558–66. doi: 10.1097/00007632-200207150-00015

7. Kim YJ, Lenke LG, Cho SK, Bridwell KH, Sides B, Blanke K. Comparative analysis of pedicle screw versus hook instrumentation in posterior spinal fusion of adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. *Spine*. (2004) 29(18):2040–8. doi: 10.1097/01.brs.0000138268. 12324.1a

8. Liljenqvist U, Hackenberg L, Link T, Halm H. Pullout strength of pedicle screws versus pedicle and laminar hooks in the thoracic spine. *Acta Orthop Belg.* (2001) 67 (2):157–63.

9. Liljenqvist U, Lepsien U, Hackenberg L, Niemeyer T, Halm H. Comparative analysis of pedicle screw and hook instrumentation in posterior correction and fusion of idiopathic thoracic scoliosis. *Eur Spine J.* (2002) 11(4):336–43. doi: 10. 1007/s00586-002-0415-9

10. Liljenqvist UR, Halm HF, Link TM. Pedicle screw instrumentation of the thoracic spine in idiopathic scoliosis. *Spine*. (1997) 22(19):2239-45. doi: 10.1097/00007632-199710010-00008

11. Marchesi D, Schneider E, Glauser P, Aebi M. Morphometric analysis of the thoracolumbar and lumbar pedicles, anatomo-radiologic study. *Surg Radiol Anat.* (1988) 10(4):317-22. doi: 10.1007/BF02107905

12. Kim NH, Lee HM, Chung IH, Kim HJ, Kim SJ. Morphometric study of the pedicles of thoracic and lumbar vertebrae in Koreans. *Spine.* (1994) 19(12):1390-4. doi: 10.1097/00007632-199406000-00014

13. Fennell VS, Palejwala S, Skoch J, Stidd DA, Baaj AA. Freehand thoracic pedicle screw technique using a uniform entry point and sagittal trajectory for all levels: preliminary clinical experience. *J Neurosurg Spine*. (2014) 21(5):778–84. doi: 10. 3171/2014.7.SPINE1489

14. Yalniz E, Ciftdemir M, Eşkin D, Dülger H. The safety of pedicle screw fixation in the thoracic spine. *Acta Orthop Traumatol Turc.* (2009) 43(6):522–7. doi: 10.3944/ AOTT.2009.522

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

15. Zhao Q, Zhang H, Hao D, Guo H, Wang B, He B. Complications of percutaneous pedicle screw fixation in treating thoracolumbar and lumbar fracture. *Medicine*. (2018) 97(29):e11560. doi: 10.1097/MD.00000000011560

16. Ebraheim NA, Xu R, Ahmad M, Yeasting RA. Projection of the thoracic pedicle and its morphometric analysis. *Spine*. (1997) 22(3):233-8. doi: 10.1097/00007632-199702010-00001

17. Kapoen C, Liu Y, Bloemers FW, Deunk J. Pedicle screw fixation of thoracolumbar fractures: conventional short segment versus short segment with intermediate screws at the fracture level-a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur Spine J.* (2020) 29(10):2491–504. doi: 10.1007/s00586-020-06479-4

18. Galbusera F, Volkheimer D, Reitmaier S, Berger-Roscher N, Kienle A, Wilke HJ. Pedicle screw loosening: a clinically relevant complication? *Eur Spine J.* (2015) 24 (5):1005–16. doi: 10.1007/s00586-015-3768-6

19. Amaral TD, Hasan S, Galina J, Sarwahi V. Screw malposition: are there long-term repercussions to malposition of pedicle screws? *J Pediatr Orthop.* (2021) 41 (Suppl 1):S80–s6. doi: 10.1097/BPO.00000000001828

20. He J, Luo F, Wang H, Xu J, Zhang Z. SAP Principle guided free hand technique: a secret for T1 to S1 pedicle screw placement. *Orthop Surg.* (2022) 14(11):2995–3002. doi: 10.1111/os.13513

21. Gokcen HB, Erdogan S, Ozturk S, Gumussuyu G, Bayram I, Ozturk C. Sagittal orientation and uniform entry for thoracic pedicle screw placement with free-hand technique: a retrospective study on 382 pedicle screws. *Int J Surg.* (2018) 51:83–8. doi: 10.1016/j.ijsu.2018.01.006

22. Yoshii T, Hirai T, Yamada T, Sumiya S, Mastumoto R, Kato T, et al. Lumbosacral pedicle screw placement using a fluoroscopic pedicle axis view and a cannulated tapping device. *J Orthop Surg Res.* (2015) 10:79. doi: 10.1186/s13018-015-0225-5

23. Mason A, Paulsen R, Babuska JM, Rajpal S, Burneikiene S, Nelson EL, et al. The accuracy of pedicle screw placement using intraoperative image guidance systems. *J Neurosurg Spine*. (2014) 20(2):196–203. doi: 10.3171/2013.11.SPINE13413

24. Cao L, Tan L, Lin X, Wu C. Short-term effectiveness of percutaneous pedicle screw guided with photoelectric navigation for thoracolumbar fractures. *Zhongguo Xiu Fu Chong Jian Wai Ke Za Zhi.* (2016) 30(6):726–31. doi: 10.7507/1002-1892.20160148

25. Li F, Huang X, Wang K, Luo B, Zhang F, Chen Z, et al. Preparation and assessment of an individualized navigation template for lower cervical anterior transpedicular screw insertion using a three-dimensional printing technique. *Spine.* (2018) 43(6):E348–e56. doi: 10.1097/BRS.00000000002341

26. Wang Y, Shi S, Zheng Q, Jin Y, Dai Y. Application of 3-dimensional printing technology combined with guide plates for thoracic spinal tuberculosis. *Medicine*. (2021) 100(6):e24636. doi: 10.1097/MD.00000000024636

27. Tian W, Liu YJ, Liu B, He D, Wu JY, Han XG, et al. Guideline for thoracolumbar pedicle screw placement assisted by orthopaedic surgical robot. *Orthop Surg.* (2019) 11 (2):153–9. doi: 10.1111/os.12453

28. Fujishiro T, Nakaya Y, Fukumoto S, Adachi S, Nakano A, Fujiwara K, et al. Accuracy of pedicle screw placement with robotic guidance system: a cadaveric study. *Spine*. (2015) 40(24):1882–9. doi: 10.1097/BRS.000000000001099

29. Parker SL, McGirt MJ, Farber SH, Amin AG, Rick AM, Suk I, et al. Accuracy of free-hand pedicle screws in the thoracic and lumbar spine: analysis of 6816 consecutive screws. *Neurosurgery.* (2011) 68(1):170–8; discussion 8. doi: 10.1227/NEU.0b013e3181fdfaf4

30. Perdomo-Pantoja A, Ishida W, Zygourakis C, Holmes C, Iyer RR, Cottrill E, et al. Accuracy of current techniques for placement of pedicle screws in the spine: a comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis of 51,161 screws. *World Neurosurg.* (2019) 126:664–78.e3. doi: 10.1016/j.wneu.2019.02.217

31. Vijayeswaran N, Venkatesh R, Murugesan G, Balamurugan S, Indunesh K, Pradeep TT. Is freehand technique of pedicle screw insertion in thoracolumbar spine safe and accurate? Assessment of 250 screws. *J Neurosci Rural Pract.* (2019) 10(2):256–60. doi: 10.4103/jnrp.jnrp_183_18

32. Kim YJ, Lenke LG, Bridwell KH, Cho YS, Riew KD. Free hand pedicle screw placement in the thoracic spine: is it safe? *Spine*. (2004) 29(3):333-42; discussion 42. doi: 10.1097/01.BRS.0000109983.12113.9B

33. Karapinar L, Erel N, Ozturk H, Altay T, Kaya A. Pedicle screw placement with a free hand technique in thoracolumbar spine: is it safe? *J Spinal Disord Tech*. (2008) 21 (1):63–7. doi: 10.1097/BSD.0b013e3181453dc6

34. Modi H, Suh SW, Song HR, Yang JH. Accuracy of thoracic pedicle screw placement in scoliosis using the ideal pedicle entry point during the freehand technique. *Int Orthop.* (2009) 33(2):469–75. doi: 10.1007/s00264-008-0535-x

35. Modi HN, Suh SW, Hong JY, Yang JH. Accuracy of thoracic pedicle screw using ideal pedicle entry point in severe scoliosis. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* (2010) 468 (7):1830–7. doi: 10.1007/s11999-010-1280-1

36. Swaminathan G, Muralidharan V, Devakumar D, Joseph BV. Accuracy of the freehand (fennell) technique using a uniform entry point and sagittal trajectory for insertion of thoracic pedicle screws: a computed tomography-based virtual simulation study. *Neurol India*. (2020) 68(2):468–71. doi: 10.4103/0028-3886. 284379

37. Avila MJ, Baaj AA. Freehand thoracic pedicle screw placement: review of existing strategies and a step-by-step guide using uniform landmarks for all levels. *Cureus*. (2016) 8(2):e501. doi: 10.7759/cureus.501

38. Li J, Zhao H, Xie H, Yu L, Wei J, Zong M, et al. A new free-hand pedicle screw placement technique with reference to the supraspinal ligament. *J Biomed Res.* (2014) 28(1):64–70. doi: 10.7555/JBR.27.20130051

39. Zhang ZF. Freehand pedicle screw placement using a universal entry point and sagittal and axial trajectory for all subaxial cervical, thoracic and lumbosacral spines. *Orthop Surg.* (2020) 12(1):141–52. doi: 10.1111/os.12599

40. Kim TH, Lee SH, Yang JH, Hong JY, Suh SW. Clinical significance of superior articular process as a reference point for free-hand pedicle screw insertion in thoracic spine. *Medicine*. (2018) 97(7):e9907. doi: 10.1097/MD.00000000009907

41. Jarvers JS, Schleifenbaum S, Pfeifle C, Oefner C, Edel M, von der Höh N, et al. Comparison of three different screw trajectories in osteoporotic vertebrae: a biomechanical investigation. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. (2021) 22(1):418. doi: 10. 1186/s12891-021-04254-0

42. Piazzolla A, Montemurro V, Bizzoca D, Parato C, Carlucci S, Moretti B. Accuracy of plain radiographs to identify wrong positioned free hand pedicle screw in the deformed spine. *J Neurosurg Sci.* (2019) 63(4):372–8. doi: 10.23736/S0390-5616.16.03670-5