Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Violante Di Donato, Unitelma Sapienza University, Italy

REVIEWED BY Elisa Scarpelli, University Hospital of Parma, Italy Federico Ferrari, University of Brescia, Italy Guglielmo Stabile, Institute for Maternal and Child Health Burlo Garofolo (IRCCS), Italy

*CORRESPONDENCE S. Cianci ⊠ stefanoc85@hotmail.it

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 05 March 2024 ACCEPTED 30 May 2024 PUBLISHED 13 June 2024

CITATION

Cianci S, Ronsini C, Riemma G, Palmara V, Romeo P, La Verde M, Laganà AS, Capozzi V, Andreoli G, Palumbo M and Torella M (2024) Multicentric data analysis of the learning curve for laparoscopic Shull's repair of pelvic floor defects.

Front. Surg. 11:1396438. doi: 10.3389/fsurg.2024.1396438

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Cianci, Ronsini, Riemma, Palmara, Romeo, La Verde, Laganà, Capozzi, Andreoli, Palumbo and Torella. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Multicentric data analysis of the learning curve for laparoscopic Shull's repair of pelvic floor defects

S. Cianci^{1*†}, C. Ronsini^{2†}, G. Riemma², V. Palmara¹, P. Romeo¹, M. La Verde², A. S. Laganà³, V. Capozzi⁴, G. Andreoli², M. Palumbo⁵ and M. Torella²

¹Unit of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Policlinico "G. Martino", Department of Human Pathology of Adult and Childhood "G. Barresi", University of Messina, Messina, Italy, ²Department of Woman, Child and General and Specialized Surgery, University of Campania "Luigi Vanvitelli", Naples, Italy, ³Unit of Obstetrics and Gynecology, "Paolo Giaccone" Hospital, Department of Health Promotion, Mother and Child Care, Internal Medicine and Medical Specialties (PROMISE), University of Palermo, Palermo, Italy, ⁴Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Parma, Parma, Italy, ⁵Department of General Surgery and Medical Surgical Specialties, University of Catania, Catania, Italy

Introduction: Pelvic organs prolapse remains a significant health concern affecting millions of women worldwide. The use of native tissues to suspend the apex has acquired relevance in urogynecologic surgery. One of the most commonly used procedures performed without mesh is the technique described by Shull, consisting of suturing the vaginal apex to the uterosacral ligaments. The objective of the study is to evaluate the learning curve of laparoscopic Shull's repair for the correction of pelvic floor defects, including the surgery time and surgical outcomes.

Materials and methods: This is a retrospective study conducted at the Policlinico G. Martino, University of Messina, Messina, Italy, and Policlinico Vanvitelli, Vanvitelli University, Naples, Italy. All patients affected by grade I-IV POP, consisting of apical prolapse with or without cystocele, and who underwent laparoscopic Shull's technique for prolapse correction were enrolled. The endpoints to estimate the learning curve for the procedure were the percentage of laparoscopic procedures completed, operative time, and the early complication rate.

Results: A total of 31 laparoscopic Shull repairs were collected for the study. To evaluate the learning curve of the technique, we divided the 31 cases into three different groups: Procedures 0–10; 11–20; 21–31. The parameter for evaluating technique learning was the operative time. Group 21–31 demonstrated an operative time of 97 min (SD 20), compared with 121 min (SD 23) in group 0–10 and 120 min (SD 13) in group 11–20. A comparison of these means through ANOVA showed a *p*-value of 0.01 for the entire system, and 0.95 for the comparison between 0 and 10 and 11–20, 0.04 for 0–10 vs. 21–31, and 0.02 between 11 and 20 and 21–31.

Conclusions: The rate of surgical improvement in terms of time became effective after an average of 20 procedures. However, the improvement seems to be effective case by case for surgeons skilled in basic endoscopy.

KEYWORDS

pelvic organs prolapse, laparoscopy, pelvic organ repair, Shull, endoscopy, gynecologic surgery, urogynecology, pelvic organs suspension

Introduction

Pelvic organs prolapse (POP) remains a significant health concern affecting millions of women worldwide, with reported prevalence rates ranging from 3% to 6% in the general female population (1). However, in parous women, the incidence increases to an average of 50% (2). Among the various treatment options available, sacrocolpopexy is a common surgical procedure for managing apical prolapse due to its durable anatomical support and low recurrence rates (2).

Laparoscopic sacrocolpopexy involves suspending the vaginal vault or apex to the sacral promontory using synthetic mesh, providing robust support and restoring normal pelvic anatomy (3). This minimally invasive approach offers several benefits, including reduced postoperative pain, shorter hospital stays, and faster recovery times (4, 5).

Despite the advantages of laparoscopic sacrocolpopexy, challenges and controversies exist, including the learning curve associated with mastering laparoscopic skills, the procedure's cost-effectiveness, and potential mesh-related complications, as indicated by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 2019 to avoid the use of transvaginal mesh (6, 7). The potential severe complications associated with mesh fixation in sacrocolpopexy include infection, erosion, and chronic pain, all of which can significantly impact the patient's quality of life and may require additional surgical interventions for correction. Moreover, the success of sacrocolpopexy can be influenced by individual anatomical differences, particularly the bony structure of the pelvis. These variations can affect the proper placement and fixation of the mesh, potentially compromising the effectiveness and safety of the procedure (6, 7).

Given these considerations, the use of native tissues to suspend the apex has gained relevance in urogynecologic surgery. One commonly used procedure performed without mesh is the technique described by Shull, consisting of suturing the vaginal apex to the uterosacral ligaments, usually after hysterectomy (8). Originally performed vaginally, this technique evolved to a laparoscopic approach, allowing visualization of the ureteral route and reducing the risk of complications (4, 9). The advantages of the laparoscopic Shull procedure were demonstrated by Rardin et al. (10) in a case-control study comparing Shull's technique performed by laparoscopy after vaginal hysterectomy vs. the vaginal route alone. The authors reported better outcomes for the laparoscopic group, especially regarding the risk of ureteral damage, which was 0% in the laparoscopic group and 4% in the vaginal group. Moreover, the authors reported better outcomes for the laparoscopic group even in terms of symptomatic prolapse recurrence, demonstrating the efficacy of the laparoscopic procedure in pelvic suspension maintenance.

In this context, the capability to perform a safe procedure, reducing the complication rate, is fundamental and specific to the experience of surgeons and the number of procedures performed.

Based on this, the type of approach should be decided by considering the patient's age, clinical characteristics, and the type and grade of prolapse. Regardless of the FDA alert for the use of mesh due to the risk of rejection or infections, mesh can sometimes be a necessary device in certain conditions such as advanced stage POP, prolapse recurrence, and for patients with chronic fascial defects that cannot be resolved without synthetic tissues. Native tissue surgery could be less invasive but may sometimes be less effective. Therefore, it is essential for clinicians to carefully weigh the benefits of surgical approaches for POP and tailor treatment to individual patient characteristics and preferences.

The objective of the study is to evaluate the learning curve of laparoscopic Shull's repair for correcting pelvic floor defects, including surgical time and outcomes. The results obtained could drive the surgeons approaching this procedure to obtain better surgical performance. Moreover, as second point the surgical outcomes reported could be give more evidence regarding even the long-term efficacy of Shull procedure.

Materials and methods

This retrospective study was conducted at the Policlinico G. Martino, University of Messina, Messina, Italy, and Policlinico Vanvitelli, Vanvitelli University, Naples, Italy. The cases analyzed were referred to the hospitals between 2021 and 2023. All patients were operated by the two surgeons who work in the two centers involved in the study. Patients affected by grade I-IV POP, including apical prolapse with or without cystocele, and who underwent laparoscopic Shull technique for prolapse correction were evaluated. The enrollment criteria included age between 18 and 80, written informed consent for the procedure, and a pre-operative complete workup. Exclusion criteria comprised previous gynecologic cancer or genital prolapse correction, autoimmune pathologies affecting pelvic stasis, contraindications to laparoscopy, previous mesh use in the pelvic area or urogynecological surgeries, previous total or subtotal hysterectomy, previous major abdominal surgery, and uteri larger more than 20 cm longitudinal and 10 cm transversal.

pre-operative work-up included The urogynecologic examination, ultrasound scan, and if required, urodynamic study and second-level radiological imaging. Data were retrieved retrospectively from databases and electronic hospital datasets. All patients were contacted post-surgery for follow-up, with the last call for all patients performed in December 2023. The surgeries were conducted by two experienced laparoscopic surgeons (more than 100 laparoscopic hysterectomies performed) but without prior experience in laparoscopic Shull technique. The endpoints to estimate the learning curve included the percentage of laparoscopic procedures completed (evaluating the conversion to laparotomy rate), operative time (from the first incision to skin closure), and early complication rate (calculated using Clavien-Dindo classification).

The surgical procedure was standardized for all patients, adhering to the technique described by Vacca et al. (11). After peritoneal cavity access and positioning of three suprapubic 5 mm trocars, the procedure began with round ligament section, followed by development of retroperitoneal spaces identifying the ureteral pelvic course, para-rectal, and para-vesical spaces. The

	0–10 operation time		11–20 operation time			21–31 operation time			
Characteristic	Beta	95% Cl ^a	<i>p</i> -value	Beta	95% Cl ^a	<i>p</i> -value	Beta	95% Cl ^a	<i>p</i> -value
Cystopexy	12.3	-3.3 17.1	0.2						
				11.8	-2.4 22.7	0.3			
							9.9	-4.14 6.8	0.5

TABLE 1 Logarithmic regression of cystopexy on operative time.

^aCI, confidence interval.

uterine artery was closed at the origin using a surgical clip, and if bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy was necessary, the ovarian pedicles were cut. The Okabayashi space was developed, and the pelvic tract of the ureter was isolated while maintaining ureteral vascularization. Subsequently, the corporal branches of uterine arteries were isolated and cut at the level of the uterine isthmus. The vesicovaginal septum was developed, and the posterior part of the pubocervical fascia was dissected up to the vagina. Hysterectomy was then completed through colpotomy with a monopolar hook, and the specimen was removed through the vagina. Vaginal apex suspension was performed by identifying three points of the vagina from lateral to medial. Three suspension sutures per side were applied and tied to close the vagina and connect the vaginal points, pubocervical fascia, and uterosacral ligaments to suspend the vagina. Stitches were closed using laparoscopic intra-corporeal knots, no barbed sutures were used. If needed, vaginal cystopexy was performed after the laparoscopic step. The uterine manipulator were used at the surgeon's discretion. For all cases a multifunction instrument was routinary used. The catheter was removed on day 1, and routine blood tests were performed on the same day.

Statistical analysis

For categorical variables, results were presented as absolute numbers and percentages, and for continuous variables, as mean \pm standard deviation (SD). Pearson's chi-square or Fisher's exact test was used to assess categorical variables. Tukey's honestly significant difference (HSD) was employed in pairwise comparison after one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) to examine differences between means. The number of procedures performed by operators and the length of the surgical procedure were correlated using linear regression analysis to evaluate the surgeons' learning curve. STATA 14.1 (StataCorp LLC, College Station, TX, USA) was used for all statistical analysis and plots.

Results

A total of 31 laparoscopic Shull repairs were collected for the study. All procedures performed during the specified period, meeting the inclusion criteria, were enrolled. In 84% of cases, hysterectomy was associated with cystopexy techniques for concurrent cystoceles.

To avoid operative time bias related to additional surgical procedures, we conducted a logarithmic regression to evaluate the effect of cystopexy on operative time in the three patient groups. The independent variable, cystopexy, did not show a correlation with the outcome of operative time (Table 1).

In 6% of cases, a rectocele correction technique was also associated. The mean age of the enrolled patients was 61 years, and the mean BMI was 28. The 97% of the enrolled patients were postmenopausal, and 45% had undergone previous surgeries. All data regarding the preoperative characteristics of the sample are summarized in Table 2.

Surgical outcomes

The entire procedure was completed by laparoscopy. No conversion to laparotomy was recorded. To simplify the evaluation of the learning curve of the technique, we divided the

TABLE 2 Baseline characteristics of included patients and procedures.

Characteristics	Mean (SD) or <i>N</i> (%)		
Age	61 (7)		
BMI	28 (3)		
Menopausal status	30 (97)		
previous surgery	14 (45)		
Hysterocele (Grade)			
1	6 (19)		
2	15 (48)		
3	8 (26)		
4	2 (6)		
Cystocele (Grade)			
1	6 (19)		
2	15 (48)		
3	5 (16)		
Rectocele (Grade)			
1	2 (6)		
Stamey score (Grade)			
1	8 (26)		
2	14 (45)		
3	9 (29)		
PC test			
0	3 (10)		
1	13 (42)		
2	6 (19)		
3	0 (0)		
n/a	9 (29)		
Q tip test			
<30°	4 (13)		
30°-60°	13 (42)		
>60°	5 (16)		
n/a	9 (29)		

31 cases into 3 different groups. Procedures 0-10; 11-20; 21-31. The parameter for evaluating technique learning was the operative time. Group 21–31 demonstrated an operative time of 97 min (SD 20), compared with 120 min (SD 23) in group 0–10 and 118 min (SD 13) in group 11–20. A comparison of these means through Anova showed a p value of 0.01 for the whole system and 0.96 for the comparison between 0 and 10 and 11–20 and 0.04 for 0–10 vs. 21–31 and 0.02 between 11 and 20 and 21–31. This data is summarized in Table 3.

A linear regression of single procedures' data showed a progressive decrease of surgical time, case-by-case. (Figure 1) r2: 0.1; coeff. -0.8 (95% CI: -1.7 to -0.1); SE 0.4; p = 0.05.

Moreover, to evaluate the efficacy and safety of the technique, we assessed complication rates according to Clavien-Dindo's classification (12). Overall, 10 complications occurred (32%), with only 1 classified as grade 3 (pulmonary embolism) (3%).

Regarding efficacy, Shull's technique demonstrated a statistically significant decrease in the rates of stress incontinence (71% before surgery vs. 3% after surgery), bulking symptoms (58% vs. 0%), and incomplete voiding (42% vs. 0%) (p < 0.01). Urge incontinence, nicturia, urinary hesitation, and constipation showed a decreasing trend after surgery, although not reaching statistical significance.

In our case series, only 2 patients (6%) experienced recurrence of prolapse within 6 months after surgery. All surgical outcomes are summarized in Table 4.

TABLE 3 Mean procedure time according to number of procedures (ANOVA with tukey HSD).

Procedure no.	Procedure time. Mean (SD)	<i>p</i> -value
0-10	121 (23)	0.01
11-20	120 (13)	
21-31	97 (20)	

Tukey HSD post-estimations: 0-10 vs. 11-20 = 0.96; 0-10 vs. 21-31 = 0.04; 11-20 vs. 21-31 = 0.02.



TABLE 4 Clinical and surgical outcomes

Symptoms	Before surgery, n (%)	After surgery, n (%)	<i>p</i> -value
Urge incontinency	4 (13)	1 (3)	0.35
Stress incontinency	22 (71)	1 (3)	< 0.01
Nicturia	5 (16)	1 (3)	0.19
Bulking symptoms	18 (58)	0 (0)	< 0.01
Urinary hesitation	4 (13)	2 (6)	0.67
Incomplete voiding	13 (42)	0 (0)	< 0.01
Constipation	13 (42)	10 (32)	0.60
Recurrence	1	2 (6)	/
Complications	/	5 (16)	1
Clavien-Dindo 1		3 (10)	
Clavien-Dindo 2		1 (3)	
Clavien-Dindo 3		1 (3)	
Fever		2 (6)	
Anemia		1 (3)	
UTI		1 (3)	
Pulmonary embolism		1 (3)	

Discussion

The vaginal uterosacral ligament suspension described by Shull et al. (8) for POP repair is one of the most used surgical procedures adopted without the use of mesh. Following the FDA's advice regarding synthetic devices, the procedure gained more prominence. However, the difficulty in recognizing the uterosacral ligament through the vagina and the risk of ureteral damage associated with the blind procedure led to modifications in the technique, shifting toward laparoscopy. The advantages of laparoscopy for urogynecologic surgery have been demonstrated by various studies (13), including a randomized study by Carey et al. (14). These advantages include the ability to visualize and dissect all anatomic structures, minimizing blood loss and the risks of complications, primarily associated with blind procedures (15, 16).

Apart from the surgical techniques, that could be very different accounting hundreds of different techniques the aim of treatment should always be to obtain the best results while minimizing the risk to patients to ensure a good quality of life (17, 18). This aspect has been investigated in the literature, and the available evidence demonstrates that vaginal native tissue repair for pelvic organ prolapse is effective from a surgical standpoint. Additionally, it has a positive impact on quality of life and sexual function, as shown in the study by Schiavi et al. (19).

For menopausal women, the most commonly adopted procedure is uterosacral vaginal suspension after total hysterectomy, which was also performed in our study prior to vaginal suspension. The usefulness of hysterectomy was previously investigated by Rosen et al. (20), who compared two groups of patients affected by POP undergoing pelvic repair with uterosacral suspension, with one group undergoing hysterectomy and the other not. The results showed no significant differences in surgical outcomes between the hysterectomy and nonhysterectomy groups. However, it was noted that the risk of cervix elongation requiring reintervention was associated with patients who retained their uterus.

The choice of surgical procedure is not completely standardized and is subjective, depending on the center or the surgeon's experience. However, in a study by Campagna et al. (17) comparing laparoscopic high uterosacral ligament suspension vs. laparoscopic sacral colpopexy, both approaches were deemed safe and feasible, although laparoscopic sacral colpopexy was found to be more effective for multicompartment prolapse or severe (grade 3–4) prolapse. Therefore, the authors suggested that high uterosacral suspension should be reserved for patients with grade 1–2 prolapse.

Based on available literature, ureteral damage represents the most common complication during Shull procedures, with an average incidence of about 11% for vaginal route procedures (4). This data was confirmed in a recent meta-analysis, which reported better outcomes for laparoscopic procedures (21).

Based on our results, laparoscopic Shull repair appears to be effective in terms of surgical outcomes, as we recorded only one major complication (grade III), and in terms of pelvic floor correction effects, as we observed statistically significant results, especially regarding the resolution of stress urinary incontinence, bulking symptoms, and incomplete voiding (p < 0.05).

Regarding the learning curve, two points seem to be particularly relevant. Firstly, it appears that the learning progression begins from the first procedure and improves continuously. However, our data demonstrate that after 20 procedures, the training curve could be considered concluded. In fact, as reported in Table 3, the time gap between 0 and 10 and 11–20 procedures is not significant. However, after 20 procedures, in the 21–31 procedure group, the time is significantly reduced. Moreover, comparing our data with available literature (11, 22), which reports an average time of 80 min (range 70–120 min), after 20 procedures, the operative time falls within the reported range. Our surgical time is likely even lower if we consider that some procedures included cystopexy.

The strength of our study lies in the fact that, to our knowledge, this is the first study in the literature focused on the learning curve of this specific procedure, and the fact that the procedure was standardized in all selected cases. This study could be useful for surgeons approaching this type of surgery, providing insights on how they can manage their training and determine when they are ready to perform the procedure independently. The limitation of the study is related to its retrospective nature and the short follow-up period.

Moreover, even though we obtained significant results, a higher number of patients should be enrolled to gather more concrete data, and even surgeons with intermediate experience could be tested. In the present study all procedures were performed by skilled surgeons in basic laparoscopy. However, in our opinion, this procedure cannot be approached by beginner laparoscopic surgeons as it requires skills in dissection and knotting.

In conclusion, laparoscopic Shull repair appears to be feasible and effective in terms of surgical and urological outcomes. The learning curve to perform the procedure easily is about 20 cases for surgeons skilled in basic laparoscopy.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The requirement of ethical approval was waived by Obstetric and gynecology unit, Policlinico G. Martino, University of Messina. Policlinico Vanvitelli, University of campania L. Vanvitelli. for the studies involving humans because Obstetric and gynecology unit, Policlinico G. Martino, University of Messina. Policlinico Vanvitelli, University of campania L. Vanvitelli. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

SC: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. CR: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. GR: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. VP: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. PR: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. ML: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. AL: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. AL: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. VC: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. VC: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. GA: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. MP: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. MT: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

The reviewer ES declared a shared affiliation with one of the authors VC to the handling editor at the time of review.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

References

1. Maher C, Feiner B, Baessler K. Surgical management of pelvic organ prolapse in women. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* (2013) 4:CD004014. doi: 10.1002/14651858. CD004014.pub5

2. Olsen AL, Smith VJ, Bergstrom JO, Colling JC, Clark AL. Epidemiology of surgically managed pelvic organ prolapse and urinary incontinence. *Obstet Gynecol.* (1997) 89:501–6. doi: 10.1016/S0029-7844(97)00058-6

3. Higgs PJ, Chua HK. Laparoscopic sacrocolpopexy. J Minim Invasive Gynecol. (2019) 26(2):318-20. doi: 10.1016/j.jmig.2018.11.006

4. Barber MD, Visco AG, Weidner AC, Amundsen CL, Bump RC. Bilateral uterosacral ligament vaginal vault suspension with site-specific endopelvic fascia defect repair for treatment of pelvic organ prolapse. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* (2000) 183(6):1402–10. doi: 10.1067/mob.2000.111298

 Paraiso MF, Jelovsek JE, Frick A, Chen CC, Barber MD, Walters MD. Laparoscopic compared with robotic sacrocolpopexy for vaginal prolapse: a randomized controlled trial. *Obstet Gynecol.* (2011) 118(5):1005–13. doi: 10.1097/ AOG.0b013e31823255f0

6. U.S. Food, Drug Administration. Urogynecologic Surgical Mesh Implants (2019). (April 16, 2019) Available online at: https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/implantsand-prosthetics/urogynecologic-surgical-mesh-implants (Accessed March 01, 2024).

7. Food and Drug Administration. FDA Safety Communication: Update on Serious Complications Associated with Transvaginal Placement of Surgical Mesh for Pelvic Organ Prolapse (2011). Published July 13. Available online at: http://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/Safety/AlertsandNotices/ucm262435.htm (accessed January 3, 2013).

 Shull BL, Bachofen C, Coates KW, Kuehl TJ. A transvaginal approach to repair of apical and other associated sites of pelvic organ prolapse with uterosacral ligaments. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* (2000) 183:1365–73. discussion 1373–1374. doi: 10.1067/mob. 2000.110910

9. Panico G, Campagna G, Caramazza D, Amato N, Ercoli A, Scambia G, et al. Laparoscopic high uterosacral ligament suspension: an alternative route for a traditional technique. *Int Urogynecol J.* (2018) 29(August 8):1227–9. doi: 10.1007/ s00192-018-3588-4

10. Rardin CR, Erekson EA, Sung VW, Ward RM, Myers DL. Uterosacral colpopexy at the time of vaginal hysterectomy: comparison of laparoscopic and vaginal approaches. *J Reprod Med.* (2009) 54(5):273–80.

11. Vacca L, Lucidi A, Panico G, Campagna G, Restaino S, Ercoli A, et al. Laparoscopic high uterosacral ligament suspension (modified Shull technique): a

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

case series and a step by step description of surgical procedure. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol. (2020) 253:83-9. doi: 10.1016/j.ejogrb.2020.07.031

12. Dindo D, Demartines N, Clavien PA. Classification of surgical complications: a new proposal with evaluation in a cohort of 6336 patients and results of a survey. *Ann Surg.* (2004) 240(2):205–13. doi: 10.1097/01.sla.0000133083.54934.ae

13. Zacharin RF, Hamilton NT. Pulsion enterocele: long-term results of an abdominoperineal technique. Obstet Gynecol. (1980) 55:141-8.

14. Carey MP, Goh JT, Rosamilia A, Cornish A, Gordon I, Hawthorne G, et al. Laparoscopic versus open burch colposuspension: a randomized controlled trial. *BJOG.* (2006) 113:985–7. doi: 10.1111/j.1471-0528.2006.01037.x

15. Gueli Alletti S, Restaino S, Finelli A, Ronsini C, Lucidi A, Scambia G, et al. Step by step total laparoscopic hysterectomy with uterine arteries ligation at the origin. *J Minim Invasive Gynecol.* (2020) 27(1):22–3. doi: 10.1016/j.jmig.2019.06.001

16. Riemma G, Pasanisi F, Reino A, Solazzo MC, Ronsini C. Robotic single-site hysterectomy in gynecologic benign pathology: a systematic review of the literature. *Medicina (B Aires).* (2023) 59:411. doi: 10.3390/medicina59020411

17. Campagna G, Vacca L, Panico G, Vizzielli G, Caramazza D, Zaccoletti R, et al. Laparoscopic high uterosacral ligament suspension vs. laparoscopic sacral colpopexy for pelvic organ prolapse: a case-control study. *Front Med (Lausanne)*. (2022) 9:853694. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2022.853694

18. Matarazzo MG, Cianci S, Rampello L, Presti LL, Caruso S. Urethral sphincter innervation and clitoral blood flow after the transobturator (TOT) approach. *Int Urogynecol J.* (2013) 24(4):621–5. doi: 10.1007/s00192-012-1891-z

19. Schiavi MC, D'Oria O, Faiano P, Prata G, Di Pinto A, Sciuga V, et al. Vaginal native tissue repair for posterior compartment prolapse: long-term analysis of sexual function and quality of life in 151 patients. *Female Pelvic Med Reconstr Surg.* (2018) 24(6):419–23. doi: 10.1097/SPV.00000000000463

20. Rosen DM, Shukla A, Cario GM, Carlton MA, Chou D. Is hysterectomy necessary for laparoscopic pelvic floor repair? A prospective study. J Minim Invasive Gynecol. (2008) 15(6):729–34. doi: 10.1016/j.jmig.2008.08.010

21. Ronsini C, Pasanisi F, Cianci S, Vastarella MG, Pennacchio M, Torella M, Ercoli A, Colacurci N. Laparoscopic uterosacral ligament suspension: a systematic review and meta-analysis of safety and durability. *Front Surg.* (2023) 10:1180060. Published June 7, 2023. doi: 10.3389/fsurg.2023.1180060

22. Restaino S, Ronsini C, Finelli A, Santarelli A, Scambia G, Fanfani F. Laparoscopic approach for Shull repair of pelvic floor defects. *J Minim Invasive Gynecol.* (2018) 25(6):954. doi: 10.1016/j.jmig.2017.12.016