Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Federico Romano, University of Trieste, Italy

REVIEWED BY Menelaos Zafrakas, International Hellenic University, Greece Syifa Alkaf, Sriwijaya University, Indonesia

*CORRESPONDENCE Keun-Young Lee Mfmlee@hallym.ac.kr

RECEIVED 21 August 2024 ACCEPTED 27 May 2025 PUBLISHED 13 June 2025

CITATION

Lee K-N, Park S-Y, Son G-H and Lee K-Y (2025) Adenomyomectomy with preconceptional transabdominal cervicoisthmic cerclage for transvaginal cerclage failure. Front. Surg. 12:1484120. doi: 10.3389/fsurg.2025.1484120

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Lee, Park, Son and Lee. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Adenomyomectomy with preconceptional transabdominal cervicoisthmic cerclage for transvaginal cerclage failure

Kyong-No Lee¹, So-Yoon Park², Ga-Hyun Son^{2,3} and Keun-Young Lee^{2*}

¹Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Chungnam National University School of Medicine, Chungnam National University Hospital, Daejeon, Republic of Korea, ²Division of Maternal-Fetal Medicine, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Hallym University College of Medicine, Kangnam Sacred Heart Hospital, Seoul, Republic of Korea, ³Institute of New Frontier Research Team, College of Medicine, Hallym University, Chuncheon, Republic of Korea

Background: Despite evidence of adverse pregnancy outcomes associated with adenomyosis, there are no established strategies for risk assessment, stratification, or prevention of these potential complications. This study aimed to describe and evaluate the technique of adenomyomectomy with preconceptional transabdominal cervicoisthmic cerclage (TCIC) in high-risk women with an unfavorable history of previous preterm birth and/or second trimester miscarriage after transvaginal cerclage.

Methods: Eligible patients had adenomyosis, confirmed by ultrasound or biopsy, and a history of second trimester miscarriages or preterm deliveries before 28 weeks despite transvaginal cerclage. All patients underwent adenomyomectomy followed by preconceptional placement of TCIC. Their obstetric and gynecologic histories, surgical outcomes, and pregnancy courses were retrospectively reviewed. Of the 85 patients who underwent this procedure at our facility over a 10-year period, we report the outcomes of 17 patients with antenatal care and delivery records available at our hospital.

Results: Seventeen patients were included in the final analysis. Only one patient delivered before 34 weeks, and six between 34 and 37 weeks. One patient had three successful pregnancies following the procedure.

Conclusions: Adenomyomectomy with preconceptional TCIC may be a viable treatment option for patients with adenomyosis who wish to have a successful pregnancy after a previous preterm delivery or miscarriage.

KEYWORDS

adenomyosis, laparotomy, myometrium, pregnancy outcome, preterm birth

1 Introduction

Adenomyosis is a medical condition characterized by ectopic endometrial glandular tissues and interstitium in the myometrium (1). It is a rare clinical diagnosis but a common pathological finding in hysterectomy specimens (2). Considering the recent trend of delayed childbearing and the requirements for assisted reproductive procedures, the rate of diagnosis of adenomyosis has increased (3). Studies have reported that gravid women with adenomyosis are at an increased risk of infertility, spontaneous preterm birth, premature rupture of membranes, and other pregnancy-related complications (4–7). In addition, according to a recent study by Rees et al. (8), women with histologically confirmed adenomyosis have a higher prevalence of adverse

obstetric outcomes. Despite evidence of adverse pregnancy outcomes associated with adenomyosis, there are no established strategies for risk assessment, stratification, or prevention of these potential complications. Although total hysterectomy is the preferred treatment for adenomyosis, uterus-conserving surgery has recently gained attention, particularly among female patients who marry later in life and desire to preserve their fertility (9). Adenomyomectomy was first introduced as a conservative surgery by Hyams (10) in 1952, and early surgical methods used wedge resection and suturing, resulting in frequent recurrence and occasional serious complications, such as uterine rupture (11–13). Since then, various surgical methods have been introduced to reduce recurrence and complications, including both laparotomy and laparoscopic approaches (14, 15).

Classically, cervical insufficiency is a diagnosis based on an obstetric history of recurrent second- or early third-trimester foetal losses following painless cervical dilation, prolapse or rupture of the membranes, and expulsion of a live fetus despite minimal uterine activity (16). Cerclage is a treatment for women at risk of pregnancy loss due to cervical insufficiency. Transvaginal cerclage (TVC) is the most common first-line treatment, as it is technically easier to perform and can be removed close to term to allow for a normal delivery. However, approximately 13% of pregnancies in women with cervical incompetence (historical indications) treated with elective TVC will not be successful and will result in the delivery of pre-viable infants despite intervention (17). Transabdominal cervicoisthmic cerclage (TCIC) is indicated when TVC is extremely difficult to place in patients with anatomical problems (e.g., trachelectomy, recurrent loop electrosurgical excision procedures, and congenital extremely short cervix) or a history of unsuccessful TVC, defined as previous placement of history- or ultrasound-indicated cerclage and subsequent singleton delivery before 28 0/7 weeks of gestation (18). However, even after TVC or TCIC, adenomyosis is associated with preterm birth. According to Song et al. (19), maternal adenomyosis is linked to preterm birth before 34 weeks of gestation, even among women who undergo TCIC.

Herein, we describe a treatment solution for complex cases of adenomyosis wherein TVC may be ineffective. The features of our adenomyomectomy with preconceptional TCIC technique to prevent preterm delivery are presented, along with the outcomes of a series of patients who underwent treatment with this technique.

2 Materials, equipment and methods

This study is a retrospective case series of patients who underwent adenomyomectomy with preconceptional TCIC for a history of failed TVC and confirmed adenomyosis. Data on 85 patients who had delivered between May 2011 and March 2021 and later underwent preconceptional adenomyomectomy with TCIC at the Hallym University Kangnam Sacred Heart Hospital in Seoul between June 2010 and April 2020 were obtained. The indications for adenomyomectomy and preconceptional TCIC were as follows: (1) TVC was performed during a previous pregnancy, but the patients delivered before 28 weeks or experienced second trimester miscarriages despite previous transvaginal cerclage, and (2) adenomyosis was confirmed using sonography and biopsy (Figure 1). This study was approved by the ethics committee of Hallym University Kangnam Sacred Heart Hospital (approval number: 2022-09-019; date of approval: September 28, 2022). The need for informed consent was waived because of the retrospective nature of the study.

Laparotomy is performed, and 20ml of 100-fold diluted vasopressin is injected into the uterus, as illustrated in Figure 1. The area of adenomyosis detected on ultrasound is palpated, and a longitudinal incision is made (Figure 1A). The segment of the uterine wall affected by adenomyosis is removed using an electrosurgical loop. The area suspected to be adenomyosis is confirmed by palpation and resected using an electrosurgical loop to preserve as much normal myometrium as possible (Figures 1B,C, 2). The uterine wall is then closed using absorbable sutures (Figures 1D, 3). Following the adenomyomectomy, the cervicoisthmic region is exposed through sharp and blunt dissection of the vesicouterine peritoneum. The uterine vessels are laterally displaced to confirm the avascular space. The avascular region is perforated with a right-angle clamp, and a 5 mm Mersilene tape (Ethicon, Somerville, NJ, USA) is inserted through the tunnel in the anterior to posterior direction and tied anteriorly (Figures 1E, 4). After ensuring haemostasis, the uterus is placed back into the pelvic cavity, and the abdominal layers are closed (Figures 1, 5).

3 Results

Between May 2011 and March 2021, 85 patients underwent adenomyomectomy with preconceptional TCIC at our institution. All patients included in this study underwent surgery by the same surgical team at a single tertiary referral center, following a standardized protocol for both adenomyomectomy and TCIC placement. Despite variations in prior obstetric history, the surgical technique was consistent across all cases to minimize variability in outcomes. The mean age of patients who underwent surgery was 35.5 ± 3.6 years. Of these patients, 24, 21, and 14 had 1, 2, and at least 3 s trimester miscarriages or preterm deliveries, respectively, at <24 weeks. Twenty-two patients had one previous delivery each between 24 and 32 weeks, 10 had 2 previous deliveries each, and 2 had 3 or more previous deliveries each. Among these 85 women, 17 patients had antenatal care and delivery records available for review at our hospital. The outcomes of the 59 patients who did not deliver babies in our hospital or who did not have subsequent pregnancies were not assessed. The outcomes of nine patients with incomplete medical records were also not assessed. Table 1 shows the outcomes of the 17 patients. Only one patient delivered before 34 weeks, and six patients delivered between 34 and 37 weeks. One patient delivered three times.



FIGURE 1

Schematic illustration of adenomyomectomy with preconceptional transabdominal cervicoisthmic cerclage. (A) Uterus with diffuse adenomyotic changes. (B) Longitudinal incision of the uterine wall. (C) Excision of adenomyotic tissue. (D) Uterine wall closure. (E) Mersilene tape insertion through avascular tunnel. (F) Uterus after adenomyomectomy with preconceptional transabdominal cervicoisthmic cerclage.



FIGURE 2

Representative intraoperative photographs of adenomyotic lesion palpation and excision.

4 Discussion

Adenomyomectomy with preconceptional TCIC is a viable treatment option for patients who desire to conceive after a

previous preterm delivery. This surgical technique is important, as it can benefit women who have experienced second trimester miscarriages or preterm deliveries before 28 weeks due to TVC failure caused by adenomyosis. Recent studies have shown that





Representative intraoperative photographs of Mersilene tape insertion through the avascular tunnel.

adenomyosis is linked to adverse perinatal outcomes, such as higher rates of spontaneous preterm delivery, preterm pre-labor rupture of membranes, preeclampsia, caesarean delivery, postpartum hemorrhage, placenta previa, and placenta accreta spectrum (4, 5, 20-25). According to Song et al. (19), maternal adenomyosis can, to some extent, be considered a predictor of delivery outcomes after TCIC. Based on the findings of previous research, adenomyomectomy has emerged as a potential approach to prevent preterm birth (26). It is not a standard treatment for diffuse adenomyosis because borderless adenomyosis tissue invades the uterine myometrium, resulting in inaccurate complete excision of the affected area, given that the removal of such tissue is always accompanied by excision of normal uterine muscle tissue (27, 28). Kwack et al. (3) reported

that pregnant women who undergo adenomyomectomy can achieve safe perinatal outcomes under close monitoring for preterm labor and surveillance for catastrophic pregnancy-related complications. Furthermore, a few studies reported improved pregnancy outcomes after adenomyomectomy, even in cases of diffuse adenomyosis, suggesting that the surgery is a conservative and effective treatment method for adenomyosis (9, 26, 29, 30).

Several studies have explored the efficacy of TCIC, both during pregnancy and in the preconceptional period. While TCIC performed during pregnancy may be associated with higher surgical complexity and potential obstetric risks, preconceptional placement allows for optimal anatomic exposure and precise tape positioning. Moreover, various studies reported that women who underwent this procedure before conception were more likely to deliver after 34 weeks of



Representative intraoperative photographs of uterine repositioning and abdominal closure.

gestation. In such studies, there were fewer preterm deliveries in the prepregnancy group and higher rates of surgical complications, including quantified blood loss of >500 ml, in the during-pregnancy group (31–33). Research comparing laparoscopy and laparotomy has shown similar results (34, 35). In a large systematic review, Burger et al. (34) found no difference in blood loss, operative time, or length of hospital stay when laparoscopic and laparotomy abdominal cerclage placements were compared. A more recent systematic review by Hulshoff et al. (35) revealed that laparoscopic abdominal cerclage placement was associated with less blood loss and a shorter hospital stay than laparotomy abdominal cerclage. However, laparotomy remains a practical approach, especially when performed in conjunction with adenomyomectomy, as in our study.

As mentioned earlier, all patients included in this study had undergone TVC but subsequently experienced second-trimester miscarriage or preterm delivery before 28 weeks of gestation, fulfilling the indications for TCIC. Although all cases met the inclusion criteria of prior TVC failure and confirmed adenomyosis, the etiology of cerclage failure may have been multifactorial. Due to the retrospective nature of the study, consistent data on cervical length trends, microbiological findings, and inflammatory markers were not available. Future studies should incorporate these variables to better elucidate the underlying causes of TVC failure and guide tailored treatment strategies. Based on the present findings, adenomyomectomy with preconceptional TCIC may be considered a surgical option in women with suspected adenomyosis who experience recurrent pregnancy loss or preterm birth before 28 weeks despite TVC. The present study has several strengths. It focuses on a well-defined high-risk population with documented adenomyosis and prior failed transvaginal cerclage. Additionally, the surgical procedure was standardized, and the cases were managed at a single tertiary center, which reduces variability. However, the small number of patients (n = 17) is a significant limitation. The retrospective design and lack of a control group also limit the generalizability of our findings.

In conclusion, our findings suggest that adenomyomectomy with preconceptional TCIC may be a promising option for women with adenomyosis and a history of failed transvaginal cerclage. Future studies should focus on larger, multicenter prospective cohorts and investigate the impact of adenomyosis severity, lesion localization, and myometrial integrity on cerclage efficacy. Further investigation is also warranted to evaluate longterm reproductive outcomes and potential risks, such as uterine rupture in subsequent pregnancies.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by the ethics committee of Hallym University Kangnam Sacred Heart Hospital

Case no.	No. of previous cerclage failures	Gestational age, weeks			Indication	Birth	Apgar	Remarks
		Previous cerclage	Previous delivery	Delivery after adenomyomectomy with preconceptional transabdominal cerclage	of delivery	weight, g	score, 1 and 5 min	
1	1	19.2	19.6	39.0	Elective c/sec	2,700	10/10	GDM
2	1	22.2	22.5	39.0	Elective c/sec	3,100	8/10	
3	1	16.2	19.1	38.4	Elective c/sec	3,680	10/10	
4	2	20.3	28.4	35.5	PTL	2,980	6/8	Jaundice
		14.0	14.4					
5	1	15.0	20.0	38.2	Elective c/sec	3,630	9/10	GDM
			23.0					
6	2	23	28	38.2	Elective c/sec	3,670	8/9	
		13	17					
7	2	15.4		38	Elective c/sec	4,150	9/10	LGA, jaundice, polycythemia,
		->22.3	23.4					
		(repeat)						
8	1	18.5	25.1	38.2	Elective c/sec	2,940	8/9	
9	1	15.1	24.6	36.5	PTL	3,240		Jaundice
10	1	15.2	17.3	37.6	Elective c/sec	2,650	10/10	
11	1	19.0	24.1	38.6	Elective c/sec	3,330	10/10	GDM
12	2	20.0	21.0	36.4	Elective c/sec	2,960	10/10	Transient tachypnea, PFO,
		13.1	18.5					Hyperbilirubinemia
13	2	25.0	27.0	38.4	Elective c/sec	2,780	9/10	Jaundice
		12.0	19.0	38.3	Elective c/sec	3,020	9/10	Both hydronephrosis,
				38.2	Elective c/sec	3,250	10/10	r/o hydrocele
14	1	13.2	20.1	36.4	Elective c/sec	2,120, 1,700	9/10, 9/10	Dichorionic diamniotic twin
15	1	12.0	18.6	36.3	Severe PE	2,610	8/10	
16	1	16.5	22.2	35.6	Severe PE	2,790	9/10	GDM
17	1	12.4	19.0	32.6	Severe PE	1,780, 1,100	7/8, 4/7	Dichorionic diamniotic twin, Discordant twin

TABLE 1 Outcomes of 17 patients who underwent adenomyomectomy with preconceptional transabdominal cervicoisthmic cerclage.

c/sec, cesarean section; GDM, gestational diabetes mellitus; LGA, large for gestational age; PFO, patent foramen ovale; PTL, preterm labor; PE, Preeclampsia.

(approval number: 2022-09-019; date of approval: September 28, 2022). The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The ethics committee/ institutional review board waived the requirement of written informed consent for participation from the participants or the participants' legal guardians/next of kin because of the retrospective nature of the study.

Author contributions

K-NL: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. S-YP: Writing – review & editing. G-HS: Writing – review & editing. K-YL: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This research was supported by a grant from the Korea Health Technology R&D Project through the Korea Health Industry Development Institute (KHIDI), funded by the Ministry of Health & Welfare, Republic of Korea (grant number: HI21C1624).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Bird CC, McElin TW, Manalo-Estrella P. The elusive adenomyosis of the uterusrevisited. Am J Obstet Gynecol. (1972) 112:583-93. doi: 10.1016/0002-9378(72)90781-8

2. Owolabi TO, Strickler RC. Adenomyosis: a neglected diagnosis. *Obstet Gynecol.* (1977) 50:424-7.

3. Kwack JY, Lee SJ, Kwon YS. Pregnancy and delivery outcomes in the women who have received adenomyomectomy: performed by a single surgeon by a uniform surgical technique. *Taiwan J Obstet Gynecol.* (2021) 60:99–102. doi: 10.1016/j.tjog. 2020.11.015

4. Juang CM, Chou P, Yen MS, Twu NF, Horng HC, Hsu WL. Adenomyosis and risk of preterm delivery. *BJOG.* (2007) 114:165–9. doi: 10.1111/j.1471-0528.2006. 01186.x

5. Hashimoto A, Iriyama T, Sayama S, Nakayama T, Komatsu A, Miyauchi A, et al. Adenomyosis and adverse perinatal outcomes: increased risk of second trimester miscarriage, preeclampsia, and placental malposition. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med.* (2018) 31:364–9. doi: 10.1080/14767058.2017.1285895

6. Razavi M, Maleki-Hajiagha A, Sepidarkish M, Rouholamin S, Almasi-Hashiani A, Rezaeinejad M. Systematic review and meta-analysis of adverse pregnancy outcomes after uterine adenomyosis. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet.* (2019) 145:149–57. doi: 10.1002/ ijgo.12799

7. Wendel MP, Magann EF. The impact of adenomyosis on pregnancy and pregnancy outcomes: a review. *Obstet Gynecol Surv.* (2022) 77:495–500. doi: 10. 1097/OGX.00000000001042

8. Rees CO, van Vliet H, Siebers A, Bulten J, Huppelschoten A, Westerhuis M, et al. The ADENO study: adenomyosis and its effect on neonatal and obstetric outcomes: a retrospective population-based study. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* (2023) 229:49.e1–e12. doi: 10.1016/j.ajog.2022.12.013

9. Yoon SH, Lee GJ, Cho HJ, Kwon H, Yun BS, Lee CH, et al. Clinical efficacy of a novel method of fertility-preserving adenomyomectomy in infertile women with diffuse adenomyosis. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. (2023) 102:e33266. doi: 10.1097/MD. 000000000033266

10. Hyams LL. Adenomyosis; its conservative surgical treatment (hysteroplasty) in young women. N Y State J Med. (1952) 52:2778-84.

11. Wada S, Kudo M, Minakami H. Spontaneous uterine rupture of a twin pregnancy after a laparoscopic adenomyomectomy: a case report. *J Minim Invasive Gynecol.* (2006) 13:166–8. doi: 10.1016/j.jmig.2005.12.002

12. Morimatsu Y, Matsubara S, Higashiyama N, Kuwata T, Ohkuchi A, Izumi A, et al. Uterine rupture during pregnancy soon after a laparoscopic adenomyomectomy. *Reprod Med Biol.* (2007) 6:175–7. doi: 10.1111/j.1447-0578. 2007.00182.x

13. Yazawa H, Endo S, Hayashi S, Suzuki S, Ito A, Fujimori K. Spontaneous uterine rupture in the 33rd week of IVF pregnancy after laparoscopically assisted enucleation of uterine adenomatoid tumor. *J Obstet Gynaecol Res.* (2011) 37:452–7. doi: 10.1111/j. 1447-0756.2010.01361.x

14. Osada H, Silber S, Kakinuma T, Nagaishi M, Kato K, Kato O. Surgical procedure to conserve the uterus for future pregnancy in patients suffering from massive adenomyosis. *Reprod Biomed Online*. (2011) 22:94–9. doi: 10.1016/j.rbmo.2010.09.014

15. Kim JK, Shin CS, Ko YB, Nam SY, Yim HS, Lee KH. Laparoscopic assisted adenomyomectomy using double flap method. *Obstet Gynecol Sci.* (2014) 57:128–35. doi: 10.5468/ogs.2014.57.2.128

16. Easterday CL, Reid DE. The incompetent cervix in repetitive abortion and premature labor. *N Engl J Med.* (1959) 260:687–90. doi: 10.1056/NEJM195904022601403

17. Harger JH. Cerclage and cervical insufficiency: an evidence-based analysis. Obstet Gynecol. (2002) 100:1313–27. doi: 10.1016/s0029-7844(02)02365-7

18. Shennan AH, Story L, Royal College of Obstetricians, Gynaecologists. Cervical cerclage: green-top guideline No. 75: green-top guideline number 129: green-top guideline number 129. *BJOG*. (2022) 129:1178–210. doi: 10.1111/1471-0528.17003

19. Song JE, Lee KY, Son GH. Prediction of outcome for transabdominal cerclage in women with cervical insufficiency. *BioMed Res Int.* (2015) 2015:1. doi: 10.1155/2015/985764

20. Mochimaru A, Aoki S, Oba MS, Kurasawa K, Takahashi T, Hirahara F. Adverse pregnancy outcomes associated with adenomyosis with uterine enlargement. *J Obstet Gynaecol Res.* (2015) 41:529–33. doi: 10.1111/jog.12604

21. Shinohara S, Okuda Y, Hirata S, Suzuki K. Adenomyosis as a potential risk factor for adverse pregnancy outcomes: a multicenter case-control study. *Tohoku J Exp Med.* (2020) 251:231–9. doi: 10.1620/tjem.251.231

22. Hashimoto A, Iriyama T, Sayama S, Okamura A, Kato K, Fujii T, et al. Differences in the incidence of obstetric complications depending on the extent and location of adenomyosis lesions. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med.* (2023) 36:2226789. doi: 10.1080/14767058.2023.2226789

23. Mandelbaum RS, Melville SJF, Violette CJ, Guner JZ, Doody KA, Matsuzaki S, et al. The association between uterine adenomyosis and adverse obstetric outcomes: a propensity score-matched analysis. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand.* (2023) 102:833–42. doi: 10.1111/aogs.14581

24. Kato K, Iriyama T, Hara K, Suzuki K, Hashimoto A, Sayama S, et al. Increased risk of placenta previa and preterm birth in pregnant women with endometriosis/ adenomyosis: a propensity-score matching analysis of a nationwide perinatal database in Japan. *J Obstet Gynaecol Res.* (2024) 50:351–7. doi: 10.1111/jog.15849

25. Sayama S, Iriyama T, Takeiri Y, Hashimoto A, Toshimitsu M, Ichinose M, et al. Clinical characteristics and outcomes of women with adenomyosis pain during pregnancy: a retrospective study. *J Perinat Med.* (2024) 52:186–91. doi: 10.1515/ jpm-2023-0263

26. Sayama S, Iriyama T, Hashimoto A, Suzuki K, Ariyoshi Y, Yano E, et al. Possible risks and benefits of adenomyomectomy on pregnancy outcomes: a retrospective analysis. *AJOG Glob Rep.* (2023) 3:100265. doi: 10.1016/j.xagr.2023.100265

27. Grimbizis GF, Mikos T, Tarlatzis B. Uterus-sparing operative treatment for adenomyosis. *Fertil Steril.* (2014) 101:472–87. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2013.10.025

28. Otsubo Y, Nishida M, Arai Y, Ichikawa R, Taneichi A, Sakanaka M. Association of uterine wall thickness with pregnancy outcome following uterine-sparing surgery for diffuse uterine adenomyosis. *Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol.* (2016) 56:88–91. doi: 10.1111/ajo.12419

29. Saremi A, Bahrami H, Salehian P, Hakak N, Pooladi A. Treatment of adenomyomectomy in women with severe uterine adenomyosis using a novel technique. *Reprod Biomed Online*. (2014) 28:753-60. doi: 10.1016/j.rbmo.2014.02.008

30. Zhou Y, Shen L, Wang Y, Yang M, Chen Z, Zhang X. Long-term pregnancy outcomes of patients with diffuse adenomyosis after double-flap adenomyomectomy. *J Clin Med.* (2022) 11:3489. doi: 10.3390/jcm11123489

31. Tulandi T, Alghanaim N, Hakeem G, Tan X. Pre and post-conceptional abdominal cerclage by laparoscopy or laparotomy. *J Minim Invasive Gynecol.* (2014) 21:987–93. doi: 10.1016/j.jmig.2014.05.015

32. Dawood F, Farquharson RG. Transabdominal cerclage: preconceptual versus first trimester insertion. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* (2016) 199:27–31. doi: 10.1016/j.ejogrb.2016.01.035

33. Moawad GN, Tyan P, Bracke T, Abi Khalil ED, Vargas V, Gimovsky A, et al. Systematic review of transabdominal cerclage placed via laparoscopy for the prevention of preterm birth. *J Minim Invasive Gynecol.* (2018) 25:277–86. doi: 10. 1016/j.jmig.2017.07.021

34. Burger NB, Brölmann HA, Einarsson JI, Langebrekke A, Huirne JA. Effectiveness of abdominal cerclage placed via laparotomy or laparoscopy: systematic review. *J Minim Invasive Gynecol.* (2011) 18:696–704. doi: 10.1016/j.jmig. 2011.07.009

35. Hulshoff CC, Hofstede A, Inthout J, Scholten RR, Spaanderman MEA, Wollaars H, et al. The effectiveness of transabdominal cerclage placement via laparoscopy or laparotomy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Obstet Gynecol MFM*. (2023) 5:100757. doi: 10.1016/j.ajogmf.2022.100757