Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Federica Perelli, Azienda USL Toscana Centro, Italy

REVIEWED BY Annalisa Vidiri, Cannizzaro Hospital, Italy Zhuang Aobo, Xiamen University, China

\*CORRESPONDENCE Xinming Hu Mailanglig@126.com

RECEIVED 16 October 2024 ACCEPTED 18 February 2025 PUBLISHED 04 March 2025

#### CITATION

Wang P, Wang M, Zhan J, Hu X and Meng X (2025) Renal ewing sarcoma in a young female: a case report and review of targeted therapy. Front. Surg. 12:1512474.

doi: 10.3389/fsurg.2025.1512474

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Wang, Wang, Zhan, Hu and Meng. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Renal ewing sarcoma in a young female: a case report and review of targeted therapy

Pengfei Wang<sup>1</sup>, Mingfa Wang<sup>2</sup>, Jiangtao Zhan<sup>3</sup>, Xinming Hu<sup>3\*</sup> and Xusong Meng<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Hainan Medical University, Haikou, China, <sup>2</sup>Department of Pathology, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Hainan Medical University, Haikou, China, <sup>3</sup>Department of Urology, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Hainan Medical University, Haikou, China

Ewing sarcoma (ES) is an aggressive neoplasm predominantly affecting pediatric and adolescent populations. Renal involvement in ES is exceedingly rare, representing less than 1% of all renal malignancies. Herein, we present the case of a 22-year-old female diagnosed with renal Ewing sarcoma (RES) accompanied by renal vein thrombosis. The patient reported a one-month history of persistent left lumbar pain, prompting hospitalization. Magnetic resonance imaging identified an extensive left suprarenal mass measuring  $13.5 \times 10.5 \times 4.5$  cm, with concurrent renal vein thrombosis. The comprehensive evaluation of histopathology, immunohistochemistry and molecular genetics confirmed RES. The treatment included radical left nephrectomy, followed by adjuvant chemotherapy (i.e., vincristine, epirubicin and cyclophosphamide) after surgery. Genetic analysis of the tumor revealed mutations in P53 and STGA2. Follow-up contrast-enhanced computed tomography scans of the patient demonstrated metastatic progression to the pancreas. The patient passed away after a 7-month follow-up period. This article reviews our treatment experience and recent developments in targeted therapies. Aiming to provide new approaches for the treatment of RES, this combines next-generation sequencing technology with targeted therapy to promote the optimization of targeted treatments.

#### KEYWORDS

ewing sarcoma, renal neoplasms, P53, diagnosis, targeted therapy

## **1** Introduction

Ewing sarcoma (ES) is a rare and aggressive malignancy characterized by a group of undifferentiated tumors derived from the neuroectoderm (1). Predominantly affecting the bones and soft tissues in pediatric and adolescent populations, primary kidney ES (RES) is exceedingly rare, accounting for less than 1% of all renal tumors (2). More than 65% of RES patients present with distant metastases at diagnosis, commonly involving the regional lymph nodes, lungs, and liver (3). Histopathologically, classical descriptions under light microscopy reveal small, round blue cells arranged in sheets or rosettes (4). Immunohistochemical analysis typically demonstrates positivity for CD99 and FLI-1 markers (1). The hallmark genetic alteration in ES, including renal involvement, is the characteristic chromosomal translocation t(11;22)(q24;q12) between the EWS gene on chromosome 22 and the FLI-1 gene on chromosome 11 (5). Current treatment guidelines recommend surgical resection followed by adjuvant chemotherapy. The standard chemotherapy regimen comprises a combination of vincristine, doxorubicin, and dactinomycin, supplemented by ifosfamide and etoposide (6). This report presents a rare case of RES and reviews the existing literature to elucidate the potential mechanisms and therapeutic prospects of targeted therapy in the management of these tumors.

### 2 Case presentation

#### 2.1 Examination

A 22-year-old female presented with a one-month history of recurrent left lumbar pain. Physical examination revealed left abdominal tenderness and a palpable mass. An abdominal computed tomography (CT) scan performed at an external facility identified a large tumor in the left kidney, initially suspected to be renal carcinoma; however, no therapeutic interventions were initiated at that time. The patient had no history of renal disease or cancer, and there was no family history of renal carcinoma. Upon admission, renal magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) was conducted, revealing a substantial tumor in the upper segment of the left kidney, measuring approximately  $13.5 \times 10.5 \times 4.5$  cm, associated with intratumoral hemorrhage and a tumor thrombus extending into the left renal vein (Figure 1).

Single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) imaging of the kidneys demonstrated a significant reduction in glomerular filtration rate (GFR) and effective renal plasma flow (ERPF) in the left kidney, with values of 7.9 ml/min and 11.1 ml/min, respectively. In contrast, the GFR and ERPF of the right kidney were within normal limits, recorded at 65.7 ml/min and 289.2 ml/min, respectively.



FIGURE 1 Coronal reconstruction of the tumor on a T2-weighted image, demonstrating tumor thrombus invasion into the left renal vein.

The tumor marker cancer antigen 125 (CA125) was elevated at 131 U/ml, while other tumor markers, including alpha-fetoprotein (AFP), carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), and CA199, remained within normal ranges. Additionally, chest CT imaging revealed no other abnormalities.

Preoperative renal tumor biopsy was performed on the patient, with tumor tissue collected for pathological and fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH) tests. Hematoxylin and eosin staining under light microscopy showed uniform small round cells with clear nuclei, prominent nuclear division, and cells arranged in sheets and nests with a focal rosette-like pattern (Figure 2A). Pathological examination confirmed the diagnosis of RES. Immunohistochemical staining revealed positivity for CD99, FLI-1, and Syn (Figures 2B–D), while staining for vimentin, CK, CgA, WT-1, and PAX-8 was negative. The detection of t(22q12): EWS-FLI1 type 1 translocation rearrangement through fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH) further clarified the diagnosis (Figure 2E).

#### 2.2 Surgical procedure

Subsequently, the patient underwent a radical nephrectomy. The tumor was measured to be  $15 \times 12.5 \times 4$  cm. Macroscopic examination revealed grey-white and grey-red masses, predominantly necrotic (Figure 3). Pathological analysis identified a tumor thrombus within the vein and evidence of vascular and nerve invasion in the left kidney. No tumor invasion was detected in the renal pelvis, capsule, adrenal gland, vessel ends, or ureter, and no metastasis was observed in the sampled lymph nodes (0/3).

#### 2.3 Postoperative treatment and follow-up

To develop a personalized treatment strategy, tumor tissues were embedded in paraffin and sent for genetic analysis, which detected mutations in the TP53 and STAG2 genes, with mutant allele frequencies of 31.62% and 3.17%, respectively. Based on these genetic findings, the laboratory recommended a regimen including gemcitabine, docetaxel, tegafur, and cyclophosphamide. Whole-body bone SPECT showed no significant abnormalities. Following the guidelines of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) and the Chinese Society of Clinical Oncology (CSCO), the patient underwent three cycles of adjuvant chemotherapy, administered every three weeks. The regimen consisted of vincristine at 2 mg/m<sup>2</sup>, epirubicin at 150 mg/m<sup>2</sup>, and cyclophosphamide at 1,400 mg/m<sup>2</sup> on the first day of each cycle, followed by ifosfamide at  $2 \text{ g/m}^2$  and etoposide at  $120 \text{ mg/m}^2$  for five consecutive days. During chemotherapy, the patient developed agranulocytosis, necessitating the administration of a granulocyte colony-stimulating factor to mitigate grade 4 bone marrow suppression. Furthermore, the patient also developed renal anemia, which was cured symptomatically with erythropoietin. Subsequent enhanced CT scans during chemotherapy showed fluctuating sizes of pancreatic metastases (Figure 4). Unfortunately,



#### FIGURE 2

(A) hematoxylin and eosin staining revealing small malignant round cells arranged in sheets and nests (magnification, ×100). (B) Tumor cells showing positive staining for CD99 (magnification, ×400). (C) Tumor cells showing positive staining for FLI-1 (magnification, ×400). (D) Tumor cells showing positive staining for Synaptophysin (Syn) (magnification, ×400). (E) EWSR1 gene translocation was detected by fluorescence *in situ* hybridization testing (FISH).

the patient did not receive further treatment after completing three cycles of chemotherapy and passed away 7 months after surgery.

# **3** Discussion

ES is the second most common primary bone malignancy in children and adolescents (7). While ES primarily arises in bones

and soft tissues, it has also been documented in extraskeletal locations such as the kidney, ureter, urinary bladder, uterus, and cervix (8). However, occurrences of this tumor within the urogenital tract are exceptionally rare. RES was first described by Seemayer et al. in 1975, with fewer than 200 cases reported globally to date (9). The average age of patients with RES is 30.4 years, with approximately 60% being male (10). RES are particularly aggressive compared to other neuroectodermal



#### FIGURE 4

(A) post-operative enhanced computed tomography (CT) scan showing enlargement of the pancreatic head to approximately  $30 \times 15$  mm, indicating a metastatic tumor. (B) After one cycle of adjuvant chemotherapy, enhanced CT scan showing reduction of the pancreatic head to approximately  $20 \times 12$  mm. (C) After three cycles of adjuvant chemotherapy, enhanced CT scan showing enlargement of the pancreatic head to approximately  $35 \times 34$  mm.

tumors, often presenting as locally advanced or metastatic disease at diagnosis. Therefore, patients typically have a poor prognosis. According to a study by Rowe et al., 44% of patients with RES had metastases at initial presentation (11). Studies have shown that the average survival time for patients with advanced metastatic disease is 26.14 months, with a lower median survival time (12).

The clinical manifestations and imaging characteristics of RES are nonspecific, often leading to diagnostic challenges. For example, in our case, the initial diagnosis of renal cell carcinoma (RCC) was based solely on radiological findings. Common clinical presentations of ES include lower back pain, hematuria, and an abdominal mass. Radiologically, RES is typically characterized by a large, low-enhancement mass with multiple septa-like structures and peripheral hemorrhage (13). RCC is the most prevalent renal malignancy, predominantly affecting older adults. In contrast, enhanced CT imaging of RES typically shows lower enhancement during the nephrographic and cortical phases, more indistinct margins, greater internal necrosis, and a higher propensity for invasion into the renal veins and inferior vena cava (9).

The definitive diagnosis of RES was mainly based on histopathological, immunohistochemical and molecular genetic analyses. Histologically, the tumor cells are primitive, small, round, and blue, arranged in sheets and nests, with a high nuclear-cytoplasmic ratio and scant cytoplasm. Homer-Wright rosette formations are observed in several cases (14). Immunohistochemically, diffuse membranous expression of CD99 and FLI-1 is highly specific for this malignancy (15). CD99, a cell surface glycoprotein encoded by the MIC2 gene (16), is expressed in more than 90% of ES cases (17). Approximately 90% of ES or primitive neuroectodermal tumors (PNETs) exhibit a specific t(11;22)(q24;q12) translocation, resulting in the fusion of the EWS and FLI-1 genes and overexpression of the FLI-1 protein. Folpe et al. reported that FLI-1 specificity in ES exceeds 90% (18).

Given the rarity of RES, no standardized therapeutic regimen has been established. However, a literature review suggests that a multidisciplinary approach, involving surgical resection followed by adjuvant chemotherapy, is recommended. This typically involves a radical nephrectomy followed by postoperative chemotherapy (15). When tumor disorders impact young girls, it is critical to maintain the long-term function of their reproductive system and apply fertility-preserving treatment techniques (19). Chemotherapy is considered an essential adjuvant treatment for patients with ES. Common chemotherapeutic regimens include agents such as vincristine, ifosfamide, doxorubicin, etoposide, cyclophosphamide, and actinomycin D (1). ES is relatively sensitive to chemotherapy, and patients with ES can derive significant benefits from this treatment (20). In recent years, neoadjuvant chemotherapy following biopsy has been approved as a therapeutic strategy for ES. Typically, patients undergo preoperative chemotherapy for approximately 8-12 weeks to achieve local control, followed by surgical resection with the goal of achieving negative margins. Postoperative chemotherapy is then administered, with the possible addition of radiation therapy, particularly in cases with metastatic involvement (21). However, the efficacy of this treatment approach needs further validation.

Despite the recognized importance of chemotherapy in managing ES, the effectiveness of conventional regimens remains limited. Even with aggressive treatment strategies, the median overall survival for patients with primary RES is approximately 26.5 months (22). About 25% of patients with ES develop metastatic disease, with a survival rate of less than 30% (23). The efficacy of chemotherapy regimens is often hampered by the

development of multidrug resistance, which is a major factor contributing to treatment failure in many patients (24). Therefore, there is an urgent need for novel therapeutic strategies aimed at improving patient survival while minimizing the adverse effects associated with traditional chemotherapy approaches.

Targeted therapy represents a groundbreaking approach in the management of cancer (25). This strategy has been shown to significantly enhance prognosis, reduce treatment-related toxicities, and offer viable alternatives for patients who cannot undergo conventional chemotherapy (26). With ongoing research, an increasing number of antitumor agents are being developed for advanced cases. In the presented case, genetic analysis identified mutations in the TP53 and STAG2 genes, with allele frequencies of 31.62% and 3.17%, respectively. A study by Liu et al. involving 99 ES patients indicated that high mutational burdens in TP53 and STAG2 correlate with poorer overall survival and shorter time to progression (27). According to a study by Tirode et al., patients with Ewing's sarcoma who have STAG2 mutations have considerably lower survival rates than those with TP53 mutations. Patients with both genetic alterations in their malignancies had the worst prognosis, whereas those without STAG2 and TP53 mutations had the highest survival rates (28). Given the extensive role of P53 in various cancers, it has become a prime target for new anticancer drugs.

P53, often termed the "guardian of the genome," is a pivotal tumor suppressor gene involved in DNA repair, regulation of the cell cycle at the G1 checkpoint, apoptosis, and cellular senescence (29). Mutations in P53 are common across a range of cancers, found in approximately half of all human tumors (23). Evidence suggests that most P53 mutations result in the loss of its tumor suppressor functions, with some mutations contributing to tumorigenesis and progression (30). Although P53 mutations are found in about 10% of ES cases, P53 remains crucial in halting ES progression. Research has shown that ES progression may be linked to the suppression of P53 activity by EWS-FLI1 (31). Ban et al. demonstrated that silencing EWS-FLI1 reactivates NOTCH signaling, which then activates TP53, leading to cell cycle arrest in ES cells (32). Consequently, the TP53 pathway is essential in curbing ES advancement. Stolte et al. identified several genes that TP53 wild-type ES cell lines depend on, including MDM2, MDM4, USP7, and PPM1D (33). Therefore, targeting these genes could be a promising therapeutic approach.

Sonnemann et al. reported that the MDM2 inhibitor Nutlin-3 selectively upregulated P53 gene and protein expression in wildtype P53 Ewing sarcoma cells, leading to significant apoptosis induction. However, Nutlin-3 only inhibited growth in cells with mutant P53 (34). Despite these promising preclinical effects, Nutlin-3 was not advanced to clinical trials due to its limited efficacy and associated toxicity (35). Conversely, ALRN-6924 has emerged as a potent inhibitor of MDM4 with high affinity for MDM2, functioning as a dual MDM4/2 inhibitor. ALRN-6924 activates wild-type P53 during treatment and demonstrates strong antitumor activity in cancer cell lines overexpressing MDM4. Additionally, ALRN-6924 has shown potential in reducing chemotherapy-induced anemia and thrombocytopenia (36). Currently, ALRN-6924 is undergoing phase I clinical trials in patients with solid tumors and lymphomas harboring wild-type TP53, with preliminary results indicating good tolerability and antitumor efficacy (37). Moreover, Schauer et al. identified an irreversible inhibitor, XL177A, targeting USP7. XL177A has demonstrated high sensitivity in ES, effectively binding to USP7 and exhibiting over tenfold selectivity for USP7 compared to closely related deubiquitinating enzymes. XL177A significantly elevates P53 levels, thereby inhibiting cancer cell proliferation. However, mutations in TP53 have been shown to predict reduced sensitivity to XL177A (38). Recent advancements have also highlighted the potential of selective PPM1D inhibition as a promising anticancer strategy, either by delaying tumorigenesis or reducing tumor burden. However, further research is needed to assess the effectiveness of currently available PPM1D inhibitors, underscoring the need for the development of more specific agents (39).

The continuous advancement of next-generation sequencing (NGS) technology has significantly enhanced its clinical utility. Unlike traditional polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification methods, NGS technology can sequence the entire human genome within a matter of days, representing a substantial improvement over conventional sequencing methods (40). NGS facilitates the comprehensive genetic profiling of tumors, enabling the identification of precise therapeutic targets and the development of personalized treatment regimens (41).

#### 4 Conclusions

In summary, RES is a rare and highly aggressive malignancy with a poor prognosis, predominantly affecting children and adolescents. Current therapeutic regimens primarily involve surgical resection combined with postoperative chemotherapy, but their efficacy remains limited for patients with advanced RES. Recently, neoadjuvant therapy following biopsy has gained acceptance as a viable therapeutic strategy, although its effectiveness requires further validation through clinical trials. As research progresses, targeted therapy has gained increased recognition. The development and application of targeted drugs in cancer treatment warrant further investigation in clinical trials. Additionally, NGS has demonstrated its pivotal role in the diagnosis and treatment of cancer. With the ongoing advancement of NGS technology, it holds the potential to more accurately identify actionable mutant genes, thereby facilitating the selection of targeted therapies and improving prognostic assessment in patients with RES.

#### Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

#### Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by the Ethics Committee of the Second Affiliated Hospital of Hainan Medical University. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The human samples used in this study were acquired from primarily isolated as part of your previous study for which ethical approval was obtained. Written informed consent for participation was not required from the participants or the participants' legal guardians/next of kin in accordance with the national legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent was obtained from the individual(s) for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this article.

## Author contributions

PW: Writing – original draft. MW: Writing – review & editing. JZ: Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing – review & editing. XH: Funding acquisition, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. XM: Project administration, Writing – review & editing.

## Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 81700669).

#### Acknowledgments

The authors extend their gratitude to the members of their department for their assistance.

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted without any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

#### Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

#### 10.3389/fsurg.2025.1512474

### References

1. Cheng L, Xu Y, Song H, Huang H, Zhuo D. A rare entity of primary ewing sarcoma in kidney. *BMC Surg.* (2020) 20(1):280. doi: 10.1186/s12893-020-00948-9

2. Lai TC, Lin YJ, Yang MH. Primary ewing sarcoma of the kidney with inferior vena cava and right atrial tumor thrombi successfully treated with two-stage surgery. Asian J Surg. (2021) 44(5):757–8. doi: 10.1016/j.asjsur.2021.02.001

3. Li J, Nie F, Li Y. Extraosseous ewing's sarcoma/peripheral primitive neuroectodermal tumour of the kidney: a case report and literature review. *BMC Urol.* (2022) 22(1):197. doi: 10.1186/s12894-022-01146-w

4. Parham DM, Roloson GJ, Feely M, Green DM, Bridge JA, Beckwith JB. Primary malignant neuroepithelial tumors of the kidney: a clinicopathologic analysis of 146 adult and pediatric cases from the national Wilms' tumor study group pathology center. Am J Surg Pathol. (2001) 25(2):133–46. doi: 10.1097/000000478-200102000-00001

5. Celli R, Cai G. Ewing sarcoma/primitive neuroectodermal tumor of the kidney: a rare and lethal entity. *Arch Pathol Lab Med.* (2016) 140(3):281–5. doi: 10.5858/arpa. 2014-0367-RS

6. Ladenstein R, Potschger U, Le Deley MC, Whelan J, Paulussen M, Oberlin O, et al. Primary disseminated multifocal ewing sarcoma: results of the euro-ewing 99 trial. *J Clin Oncol.* (2010) 28(20):3284–91. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2009.22.9864

7. Balamuth NJ, Womer RB. Ewing's sarcoma. Lancet Oncol. (2010) 11(2):184–92. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(09)70286-4

8. Heikaus S, Schaefer K-L, Eucker J, Hogrebe E, Danebrock R, Wai DH, et al. Primary peripheral primitive neuroectodermal tumor/ewing's tumor of the testis in a 46-year-old man—differential diagnosis and review of the literature. *Hum Pathol.* (2009) 40(6):893–7. doi: 10.1016/j.humpath.2008.11.009

9. Liang L, Song H, Ma B, Zhang Z, Zhu K, Li Q, et al. Renal ewing's sarcoma/ primitive neuroectodermal tumor (pnet): a case series of 7 patients and literature review. *Transl Androl Urol.* (2021) 10(2):548–54. doi: 10.21037/tau-20-1122

10. Alghamdi MHA, Alawad SA, Alharbi MG, Alabdulsalam AK, Almodhen F, Alasker A. A rare case of ewing's sarcoma of the kidney. *Urol Case Rep.* (2020) 29:101094. doi: 10.1016/j.eucr.2019.101094

11. Rowe RG, Thomas DG, Schuetze SM, Hafez KS, Lawlor ER, Chugh R. Ewing sarcoma of the kidney: case series and literature review of an often overlooked entity in the diagnosis of primary renal tumors. *Urology.* (2013) 81(2):347–53. doi: 10.1016/j.urology.2012.10.016

12. Doroudinia A, Ahmadi S, Mehrian P, Pourabdollah M. Primary ewing sarcoma of the kidney. *BMJ Case Rep.* (2019) 12(1):bcr-2018-227198. doi: 10.1136/bcr-2018-227198

13. Zhang DF, Li ZH, Gao DP, Yang GJ, Ding YY, Wang GS, et al. The CT and US features of ewing's sarcoma/primary neuroectodermal tumor of the kidney: two case reports and review of literature. *Onco Targets Ther.* (2016) 9:1599–603. doi: 10. 2147/OTT.S101278

14. Murugan P, Rao P, Tamboli P, Czerniak B, Guo CC. Primary ewing sarcoma/ primitive neuroectodermal tumor of the kidney: a clinicopathologic study of 23 cases. *Pathol Oncol Res.* (2018) 24(1):153–9. doi: 10.1007/s12253-017-0228-0

15. Zhang S, Li Y, Wang R, Song B. Ewing's sarcoma/primitive neuroectodermal tumor of the kidney: a case report and literature review. *Transl Androl Urol.* (2019) 8(5):562–6. doi: 10.21037/tau.2019.09.46

16. Liu Y, Yuan Y, Zhang F, Hu K, Qiu J, Hou X, et al. Outcome of multidisciplinary treatment of peripheral primitive neuroectodermal tumor. *Sci Rep.* (2020) 10(1):15656. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-72680-6

17. Llombart-Bosch A, Navarro S. Immunohistochemical detection of ews and fli-1 proteinss in ewing sarcoma and primitive neuroectodermal tumors: comparative analysis with Cd99 (mic-2) expression. *Appl Immunohistochem Mol Morphol.* (2001) 9(3):255–60. doi: 10.1097/00129039-200109000-00010

18. Folpe AL, Hill CE, Parham DM, O'Shea PA, Weiss SW. Immunohistochemical detection of fli-1 protein expression: a study of 132 round cell tumors with emphasis on Cd99-positive mimics of ewing's sarcoma/primitive neuroectodermal tumor. *Am J Surg Pathol.* (2000) 24(12):1657–62. doi: 10.1097/00000478-200012000-00010

19. Perelli F, Vizzielli G, Cavaliere AF, Restaino S, Scambia G, Zannoni GF, et al. Large pelvic mass in a female adolescent: atypical presentation and successful treatment of extraskeletal ewing sarcoma. *Healthcare (Basel)*. (2023) 11(10):1373. doi: 10.3390/healthcare11101373

20. Zollner S, Dirksen U, Jurgens H, Ranft A. Renal ewing tumors. Ann Oncol. (2013) 24(9):2455-61. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdt215

21. Bradford K, Nobori A, Johnson B, Allen-Rhoades W, Naik-Mathuria B, Panosyan EH, et al. Primary renal ewing sarcoma in children and young adults. *J Pediatr Hematol Oncol.* (2020) 42(8):474–81. doi: 10.1097/mph. 000000000001804

22. Risi E, Iacovelli R, Altavilla A, Alesini D, Palazzo A, Mosillo C, et al. Clinical and pathological features of primary neuroectodermal tumor/ewing sarcoma of the kidney. *Urology*. (2013) 82(2):382–6. doi: 10.1016/j.urology.2013.04.015

23. Gartrell J, Rodriguez-Galindo C. Ewing sarcoma: investigational mono- and combination therapies in clinical trials. *Expert Opin Investig Drugs.* (2021) 30(6):653-63. doi: 10.1080/13543784.2021.1919623

24. Sabei FY, Taratula O, Albarqi HA, Al-Fatease AM, Moses AS, Demessie AA, et al. A targeted combinatorial therapy for ewing's sarcoma. *Nanomedicine*. (2021) 37:102446. doi: 10.1016/j.nano.2021.102446

25. Fayzullina D, Tsibulnikov S, Stempen M, Schroeder BA, Kumar N, Kharwar RK, et al. Novel targeted therapeutic strategies for ewing sarcoma. *Cancers (Basel).* (2022) 14(8):1988. doi: 10.3390/cancers14081988

26. Waarts MR, Stonestrom AJ, Park YC, Levine RL. Targeting mutations in cancer. J Clin Invest. (2022) 132(8):e154943. doi: 10.1172/JCI154943

27. Liu KX, Lamba N, Hwang WL, Niemierko A, DuBois SG, Haas-Kogan DA. Risk stratification by somatic mutation burden in ewing sarcoma. *Cancer.* (2019) 125(8):1357–64. doi: 10.1002/cncr.31919

28. Tirode F, Surdez D, Ma X, Parker M, Le Deley MC, Bahrami A, et al. Genomic landscape of ewing sarcoma defines an aggressive subtype with co-association of Stag2 and Tp53 mutations. *Cancer Discov.* (2014) 4(11):1342–53. doi: 10.1158/2159-8290. CD-14-0622

29. Casey DL, Pitter KL, Wexler LH, Slotkin EK, Gupta GP, Wolden SL. Tp53 mutations increase radioresistance in rhabdomyosarcoma and ewing sarcoma. *Br J Cancer.* (2021) 125(4):576–81. doi: 10.1038/s41416-021-01438-2

30. Thoenen E, Curl A, Iwakuma T. Tp53 in bone and soft tissue sarcomas. *Pharmacol Ther.* (2019) 202:149-64. doi: 10.1016/j.pharmthera.2019.06.010

31. Neilsen PM, Pishas KI, Callen DF, Thomas DM. Targeting the P53 pathway in ewing sarcoma. *Sarcoma*. (2011) 2011:746939. doi: 10.1155/2011/746939

32. Ban J, Bennani-Baiti IM, Kauer M, Schaefer K-L, Poremba C, Jug G, et al. Ews-Fli1 suppresses notch-activated P53 in ewing's sarcoma. *Cancer Res.* (2008) 68(17):7100–9. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.Can-07-6145

33. Stolte B, Iniguez AB, Dharia NV, Robichaud AL, Conway AS, Morgan AM, et al. Genome-scale crispr-Cas9 screen identifies druggable dependencies in Tp53 wild-type ewing sarcoma. *J Exp Med.* (2018) 215(8):2137–55. doi: 10.1084/jem. 20171066

34. Sonnemann J, Palani CD, Wittig S, Becker S, Eichhorn F, Voigt A, et al. Anticancer effects of the P53 activator nutlin-3 in ewing's sarcoma cells. *Eur J Cancer.* (2011) 47(9):1432-41. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2011.01.015

35. Duffy MJ, Synnott NC, O'Grady S, Crown J. Targeting P53 for the treatment of cancer. *Semin Cancer Biol.* (2022) 79:58–67. doi: 10.1016/j.semcancer.2020.07.005

36. Zhang S, Lou J, Li Y, Zhou F, Yan Z, Lyu X, et al. Recent progress and clinical development of inhibitors that block Mdm4/P53 protein-protein interactions. *J Med Chem.* (2021) 64(15):10621–40. doi: 10.1021/acs.jmedchem.1c00940

37. Saleh MN, Patel MR, Bauer TM, Goel S, Falchook GS, Shapiro GI, et al. Phase 1 trial of alrn-6924, a dual inhibitor of mdmx and Mdm2, in patients with solid tumors and lymphomas bearing wild-type Tp53. *Clin Cancer Res.* (2021) 27(19):5236–47. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-21-0715

38. Schauer NJ, Liu X, Magin RS, Doherty LM, Chan WC, Ficarro SB, et al. Selective Usp7 inhibition elicits cancer cell killing through a P53-dependent mechanism. Sci Rep. (2020) 10(1):5324. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-62076-x

39. Deng W, Li J, Dorrah K, Jimenez-Tapia D, Arriaga B, Hao Q, et al. The role of Ppm1d in cancer and advances in studies of its inhibitors. *Biomed Pharmacother*. (2020) 125:109956. doi: 10.1016/j.biopha.2020.109956

40. McCombie WR, McPherson JD, Mardis ER. Next-generation sequencing technologies. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med.* (2019) 9(11):a036798. doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a036798

41. Kamps R, Brandao RD, Bosch BJ, Paulussen AD, Xanthoulea S, Blok MJ, et al. Next-generation sequencing in oncology: genetic diagnosis, risk prediction and cancer classification. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2017) 18(2):308. doi: 10.3390/ijms18020308