Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Kyun-Ho Shin, Nanoori Hospital, Republic of Korea

REVIEWED BY Giuseppe Basile, Marche Polytechnic University, Italy Stefano Marco Paolo Rossi, Fondazione Poliambulanza Istituto Ospedaliero, Italy

*CORRESPONDENCE

Shuqiang Li ⊠ shuqiang@jlu.edu.cn

RECEIVED 25 January 2025 ACCEPTED 28 February 2025 PUBLISHED 18 March 2025

CITATION

Cheng Q, Wang Y, Liu Y, Mu J, Wang Z, Lin X, Yin G and Li S (2025) A novel grid-assisted piecrusting technique for achieving soft tissue balance in total knee arthroplasty. Front. Surg. 12:1566642. doi: 10.3389/fsurg.2025.1566642

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Cheng, Wang, Liu, Mu, Wang, Lin, Yin and Li. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

A novel grid-assisted pie-crusting technique for achieving soft tissue balance in total knee arthroplasty

Qisheng Cheng¹, Yang Wang², Yi Liu¹, Jie Mu¹, Zhenyan Wang¹, Xu Lin¹, Guanchen Yin¹ and Shuqiang Li^{1*}

¹Department of Orthopedic Center, The First Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun, China, ²The First Operation Room, The First Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun, China

Background: To evaluate the effectiveness of a novel grid-based pie-crusting technique for soft tissue release at different locations of the medial collateral ligament (MCL) during total knee arthroplasty (TKA).

Methods: Twelve fresh-frozen cadaveric knee joints were dissected. A novel grid was designed to cover the entire surface of the MCL. The specimens were divided into two groups: Group A, where only the central portion of the ligament underwent pie-crusting release, and Group B, where selective release targeted the femoral and tibial attachment points of the MCL. Mechanical testing was conducted via a Shimadzu AG-X precision instrument. Each group underwent twelve punctures, and data were collected to calculate deformation and stiffness metrics. The mean elongation and stiffness values were analyzed, and regression analysis was performed to evaluate correlations between the number of punctures and changes in elongation and stiffness.

Results: No significant differences in initial stiffness were observed between the two groups (P = 0.42). Following 12 punctures, the stiffness decreased by 6.47 ± 4.06 N/mm in Group A and 1.08 ± 1.32 N/mm in Group B (P = 0.006). Despite this disparity in stiffness reduction, no significant differences in MCL elongation were observed between the groups. Group A demonstrated an elongation of 0.171 ± 0.180 mm, whereas Group B exhibited an elongation of 0.164 ± 0.123 mm (P = 0.47). A linear relationship was identified between stiffness reduction and the number of punctures ($R^2 = 0.61 \pm 0.29$), as well as between ligament elongation and the number of punctures ($R^2 = 0.89 \pm 0.09$). **Conclusion:** The grid-assisted pie-crusting technique, which uniformly covers the MCL, enables precise and controlled soft tissue release. This approach provides valuable insights for clinicians performing MCL release during TKA, facilitating improved soft tissue balance and potentially enhancing surgical outcomes.

KEYWORDS

arthroplasty, pie-crusting, medial collateral ligament, TKA, soft tissue balancing

Introduction

Osteoarthritis (OA) is a prevalent degenerative joint disease worldwide. It is characterized by cartilage degradation, subchondral bone remodeling, and synovitis, primarily affecting the hip, knee, and hand joints, often resulting in pain and restricted mobility (1, 2). Among these conditions, knee osteoarthritis (KOA) is the leading cause of disability associated with OA globally (3). To address this condition, total knee arthroplasty (TKA) has become an increasingly common surgical intervention. In the

United States alone, projections estimate that over 1 million TKA procedures will be performed annually within the next decade (4). The success of TKA depends significantly on achieving proper soft tissue balance during surgery. Alarmingly, some studies predict that the revision rate for TKA could increase to as high as 78%–182% by 2030 (5). Notably, complications arising from knee imbalance and instability constitute a substantial portion of revision cases (6).

Achieving appropriate soft tissue balance is critical for reducing the risk of early surgical failure, enhancing postoperative mobility and function, and improving functional scores within six months postoperatively (4, 7). Conversely, excessive soft tissue release may result in joint stiffness, prosthetic instability, persistent pain, and effusion (8). Intraoperatively, optimal knee balance is defined as an internal-external load difference of less than 15 pounds, ensuring symmetric tension between the medial and lateral compartments and achieving balance in the flexion-extension gap (9).

In TKA, common knee deformities include valgus and varus alignment, with varus deformity being the most prevalent, accounting for 68%–80% of cases (9–11). Despite thorough osteophyte removal and initial soft tissue release during conventional osteotomies, medial tension imbalances often persist, necessitating further medial soft tissue release to achieve precise alignment and balance. This process frequently involves stepwise release of the superficial medial collateral ligament (sMCL), deep medial collateral ligament (dMCL), semimembranosus tendon, posterior medial capsule, and pes anserinus tendon (12, 13). Ranawat et al. proposed the use of a scalpel to incrementally puncture the taut sites of the MCL until the desired correction is achieved in full knee extension (3). However, this method demands a high level of technical expertise to achieve accurate gap balancing while avoiding the overrelease of soft tissues.

Over the past fifteen years, the pie-crusting technique has been widely adopted for lateral soft tissue release in TKA (14). This method involves creating a series of horizontal incisions or punctures in the lateral collateral ligament (LCL) to gradually lengthen and adjust ligament tension. Pie crushing has since gained popularity for its efficacy in addressing soft tissue imbalance (15). Recently, its application has been extended to the medial collateral ligament (MCL), offering the advantage of preserving the structural integrity of the ligament (16). Previous studies have validated the safety and efficacy of pie-crusting via 16-gauge and 18-gauge spinal needles (15). Notably, Kwak et al. reported that 18-gauge spinal needles are safer than scalpels for this procedure (17), and that the optimal spacing between punctures should range from 3 to 5 mm (18, 19). Nevertheless, there remains a lack of definitive research regarding the precise puncture sites used during pie-crusting.

To address this gap, researchers developed a grid measuring $3 \text{ mm} \times 3 \text{ mm}$, designed to cover the entire surface of the MCL uniformly. Using an 18-gauge spinal needle, this grid facilitates precise and evenly distributed punctures. The present study aims to evaluate the safety and effectiveness of this novel grid-based pie-crusting technique at different sites of the medial collateral ligament, providing a more systematic and controlled approach to soft tissue release.

Materials and methods

Knee joints from twelve fresh frozen cadavers were obtained from 6 donors, with an average age of 68 years (range, 61-75). All the samples were wrapped in gauze, stored in a -80 °C freezer, and then thawed for 24 h at 20 °C before testing. The knees from twelve cadaver samples were dissected, limbs showing history of knee surgery or clinical deformity were excluded, and median parapatellar arthrotomy along with tibial and femoral bone cuts were performed by experienced surgeons from our institution. The femur and tibia tissues were separated, and the MCL was carefully dissected to ensure that the femur and tibia were connected by independent MCL ligaments. The left and right knee joints from the same cadaver were assigned to different groups. We divided the knee joint samples into two groups: Group A, which released only the central portion of the ligament during pie-crusting, and Group B, which released only the femoral and tibial beginning points of the MCL.

In the experimental phase, tibial and femoral distal cuts similar to those performed in TKA were made. From thawing to mechanical testing, all the knee joint samples were kept moist with saline. As shown in Figure 1, the femoral and tibial distal ends were fixed via a customized fixture attached to an Instron AG-X precision universal testing machine. The knee joint was aligned to achieve vertical tension.

Initially, a preloading force of 25–50 N was applied to the samples five times. On the basis of previous studies, a force of 80 N was chosen for this experiment (20). Moreover, a custom-made grid was used to cover the entire surface of the ligament. The grid served as a reference during the entire puncture process. The puncture needle was inserted perpendicularly to the MCL fibers from the outside to the inside. A total of twelve punctures were performed, with 4 holes in each row and 3 holes in each column. The distance between each hole was 3 mm, which also corresponded to the distance between the points on the grid (Figure 2).



The custom-made fixtures secured the specimens in place and adequately aligned the knees for vertical tension.

After each puncture, software was used to collect data on ligament elongation, and the resulting deformation and stiffness were calculated. All the data were compared to the initial length and stiffness of the MCL. The average elongation and stiffness of the MCL were calculated, and regression analysis was performed to determine the correlation between the number of punctures and the elongation rate and stiffness of the MCL. These comparisons were performed via an independent samples t test. The linear relationship between the number of punctures and the

elongation rate and stiffness of each sample was tested, and the R^2 value was calculated.

Results

There were no statistically significant differences in the initial stiffness of the ligaments between the two groups (Group A: 35.71 ± 2.87 N/mm; Group B: 36.17 ± 4.96 N/mm, P = 0.42). After the first puncture, the stiffness of the ligaments was as follows:



FIGURE 2 For accurate perforation via an 18-gauge needle, a grid covers the surface of the MCL. Group A: 30.16 ± 8.93 N/mm; Group B: 35.33 ± 2.83 N/mm (P = 0.20), indicating no difference in stiffness after the first puncture. After the second puncture, there were also no differences between the two groups: Group A: 29.86 ± 9.16 N/mm; Group B: 34.47 ± 2.77 N/mm (P = 0.26). After the third puncture, Group A had 29.70 ± 8.61 N/mm, and Group B had 34.63 ± 2.75 N/mm (P = 0.21), with no significant difference. However, after 12 punctures, the change in stiffness relative to the initial stiffness significantly differed: Group A: 6.47 ± 4.06 N/mm; Group B: 1.08 ± 1.32 N/mm (P = 0.006). Table 1 shows the postpie-crusting data for both groups. Furthermore, the average stiffness of the ligaments in both groups decreased with increasing number of pie-crusting punctures (Figure 3).

After the first puncture, the ligament elongation was as follows: Group A: 0.040 \pm 0.018 mm; Group B: 0.076 \pm 0.114 mm (P = 0.23). After the second puncture, Group A: 0.028 \pm 0.021 mm; Group B: 0.037 \pm 0.013 mm (P = 0.38). After the third puncture, Group A: 0.096 \pm 0.102 mm; Group B: 0.058 \pm 0.068 mm (P = 0.46). There were no significant differences in elongation rates among the groups after each puncture. After all the punctures, the average elongation for Group A was 0.171 \pm 0.180 mm, and for Group B, it was 0.164 \pm 0.123 mm (P = 0.47). Table 2 shows the elongation data after pie-crusting for both groups. The average elongation rates of the MCL in Group A and Group B were linearly related to the number of punctures (Figure 4).

These results suggest that puncturing at different regions of the MCL does not affect the rate of ligament elongation. However, differences were found in the stiffness reduction after puncturing at different locations. In addition, the number of punctures was linearly correlated with elongation ($R^2 = 0.89 \pm 0.09$) and stiffness reduction ($R^2 = 0.61 \pm 0.29$).

Discussion

Achieving optimal soft tissue balance in total knee arthroplasty (TKA) remains a complex and subjective challenge, yet it is crucial for preventing early failure of the procedure (21). Preoperative radiological assessment included standing hip-knee-ankle true antero-posterior and true lateral radiographs, and varus/valgus stress radiographs of the knee joint in full extension. Postoperative evaluation is usually performed by MRI of the knee joint. The adequacy of soft tissue release depends heavily on the surgeon's skill, experience, and tactile feedback. But the advent of sensor technology has enabled surgeons to utilise real-time data, thereby

TABLE 1 MCL stiffness with an increasing number of perforations during pie-crusting.

Group A: Stiffness (N/mm)				Group B: Stiffness (N/mm)					
Number of Holes	0	4	8	12	Number of Holes	0	4	8	12
KNEE 1	32.85	25.78	25.36	25.60	KNEE 7	37.54	36.43	33.48	34.65
KNEE 2	29.59	18.95	17.55	18.65	KNEE 8	36.38	35.41	33.76	33.81
KNEE 3	37.72	28.87	29.36	27.87	KNEE 9	32.35	32.35	32.24	32.58
KNEE 4	40.34	40.03	39.50	38.80	KNEE 10	31.88	31.57	31.58	31.10
KNEE 5	33.76	25.58	25.78	26.02	KNEE 11	37.64	37.88	37.64	37.49
KNEE 6	42.74	41.74	41.60	41.24	KNEE 12	38.50	38.39	38.15	38.15



TABLE 2 MCL elongation with an increasing number of perforations during pie-crusting.

Group A: MCL Elongation (mm)					Group B: MCL Elongation (mm)				
Number of Holes	0	4	8	12	Number of Holes	0	4	8	12
KNEE 1	3.682	3.975	4.022	4.213	KNEE 7	5.399	5.439	5.492	5.563
KNEE 2	2.829	2.935	2.976	2.990	KNEE 8	5.921	5.984	6.032	6.323
KNEE 3	3.201	3.217	3.256	3.305	KNEE 9	2.845	2.868	2.880	2.998
KNEE 4	3.347	3.370	3.387	3.444	KNEE 10	2.321	2.337	2.359	2.401
KNEE 5	2.984	2.966	3.017	3.042	KNEE 11	3.632	3.674	3.709	3.751
KNEE 6	3.151	3.187	3.215	3.226	KNEE 12	2.172	2.227	2.226	2.239



circumventing the potential for errors that may arise from subjective judgement (22). Traditional methods, such as those proposed by Insall, typically involve elevating the anterior fibers of the superficial medial collateral ligament (sMCL) while preserving the integrity of the pes anserinus tendon. However, this approach carries the risk of excessive release, potentially leading to medial collateral ligament (MCL) insufficiency and subsequent joint instability. Overrelease is a well-documented contributor to varus or valgus deformities, and it is responsible for approximately 22% of TKA revisions (23). Rosso et al. conducted a study that yielded

findings which suggest that the implementation of a liner could serve as a preventative measure against aseptic postoperative loosening or surgical failure in cases where loosening occurs during surgery (24).

The pie-crusting technique, which was originally used for lateral soft tissue release, has gained widespread acceptance for medial soft tissue release because of its safety and efficacy, as demonstrated in both clinical and cadaveric studies (15). Dunbar's research confirmed that selective lateral structure release via pie-crusting effectively corrects foot valgus deformities (25), a principle that has also been successfully applied to the MCL (8). Consequently, pie-crusting is increasingly recommended by surgeons for controlled soft tissue release. Clarke et al. reported favorable outcomes in 24 cases where piecrusting was employed during TKA, with no evidence of prosthetic instability or loosening after a 54-month follow-up and significant improvements in knee scores (6). Similarly, Bellemans et al. reported that in 35 patients treated with piecrusting, only one patient required a thicker implant due to excessive release, while knee scores improved significantly from 41 to 93 (26). These findings align with the cautionary remarks of Kwak et al., who highlighted the potential for early excessive release during pie-crusting. No sign of unstable knee was observed during the 1-2 year follow-up of the pie-crusting technique performed on the MCL (15, 27).

In current study, researchers demonstrated that the gridassisted pie-crusting technique, which uses an 18-gauge needle, effectively induced ligament elongation in a controlled and reproducible manner. A statistically significant linear relationship was observed between the number of punctures and ligament elongation after 12 punctures. Crucially, no significant differences in elongation were found between punctures performed at the center of the ligament and those at the femoral and tibial attachment points. This finding suggests that surgeons can target the most prominent regions of the ligament during surgery without the need to focus on a specific anatomical site. Although this technique is relatively simple to perform, it does require a certain amount of experience and skill on the part of the surgeon, particularly in selecting puncture sites and controlling the number of punctures. Surgeons new to the technique may require some training to become proficient. Furthermore, the absence of significant differences in elongation between groups after each puncture indicates that the location of the pie-crusting puncture does not have a substantial effect on ligament elongation.

A particularly noteworthy finding from our study was the linear relationship between the number of punctures and the degree of ligament elongation. This finding suggests that the desired degree of elongation can be accurately controlled by adjusting the number of punctures, making this approach predictable and reproducible for surgeons. However, it is important to recognize that individual variations in ligament stiffness and deformity severity could influence the effectiveness of this technique. It is also possible that the nature of the fresh cadaveric MCL in this study differed from that of the intraoperative patient, because of the low metabolic rate and well-developed anaerobic energy-generation capacity (28). Leading a low metabolic rate results in slow healing after injury (29). This constitutes one of the rationales for which excessive ligament release is eschewed in TKA surgery. Therefore, a preoperative assessment of the ligament's characteristics is essential for the successful implementation of this method.

Moreover, both experimental groups showed a progressive decrease in stiffness with increasing number of punctures; however, the structural integrity of the ligament was preserved. Notably, Group A, which underwent central puncturing, experienced a significantly greater reduction in stiffness than Group B did. This finding suggests that puncturing the center of the ligament may have resulted in a more pronounced impact on ligament stiffness. In contrast, puncturing near the femoral and tibial attachment sites seems to achieve elongation with less disruption to the ligament's structural integrity. These findings imply that targeting the peripheral regions of the ligament could help minimize the risk of postoperative instability. However, as our study focused solely on the MCL, further research is needed to assess whether similar results would be observed in clinical settings involving additional tissues around the knee joint.

Results also highlight the importance of customizing the piecrusting technique to individual patient characteristics. Variations in ligament width and fiber composition may influence both elongation and stiffness outcomes. Previous studies have shown that narrower ligaments tend to elongate more and have lower failure loads than wider ligaments under the same number of punctures (30). This underscores the necessity of preoperative evaluation to optimize soft tissue release strategies for each patient. Clinicians are also required to fully inform patients about treatments, treatment alternatives and major risks prior to surgery (31).

Furthermore, advancements in robotic technology not only offer a useful tool for revising UKA to TKA, but the potential for more precise ligament release tailored to the individual's knee anatomy (32). Preoperative 3D scanning can aid in determining the optimal implant position and size (33), whereas robotic systems enable intraoperative, quantitative assessment of soft tissue balance, allowing for precise adjustments to restore optimal joint function (34). Early studies have shown promising results in the use of robotic systems for knee joint gap balancing, alignment restoration, and postoperative functional improvement (35-36). A recent study suggests that patients' range of motion and subjective and objective scores improved significantly when advanced robotic systems were used to treat severe knee deformities (37). However, robotic platforms remain expensive and require extensive training, making them inaccessible for many surgeons. Consequently, for those unable to access such technology, more effective manual methods, such as grid-assisted pie-crusting, provide a viable and cost-effective alternative for achieving soft tissue balance (38).

Several limitations of this study should be acknowledged. First, the use of freshly frozen cadaveric samples introduces potential variability, as multiple freeze-thaw cycles at -80 °C could alter tissue properties. Although only one freeze-thaw cycle was used in this study, the impact on the samples is believed to be minimal, also impossible to study the healing of the ligaments (39, 40). Additionally, the sample size of 12 knee joints, which

can be divided into two groups, is relatively small for clinical studies, although small sample sizes are common in biomechanical research. The pie-crusting technique in this study was applied to normal knee joints; thus, its effects on patients with varus or valgus deformities were not evaluated. Further research is needed to determine whether similar results would be obtained in clinical patients. Additionally, the study did not account for variations in age or sex, which may affect the relative stiffness of ligaments. Since the MCL was the only structure preserved in this study, the generalizability of the findings to patients undergoing total knee arthroplasty with a complete prosthetic knee structure is limited. And the implementation of the grid-assisted pie-crusting technique is not without its challenges. Surgeons must navigate the complexities of tissue, including tissue thickness, vascularity and surrounding structures. This can make precise grid placement and uniform puncturing more difficult compared to cadaveric studies. Finally, all the experiments were conducted with the knee in a fully extended position, and the effects of pie-crusting in a flexed knee position were not explored. Future studies should assess the impact of pie-crusting on soft tissue release during knee flexion, as this may further refine intraoperative soft tissue balancing techniques.

In conclusion, present study demonstrated that the gridassisted pie-crusting technique for MCL release is both safe and effective. It offers a controlled method of gradually elongating the MCL without compromising the structural integrity of the ligament, thus minimizing the risk of postoperative instability associated with excessive release. The technique also provides flexibility, allowing surgeons to select the optimal puncture sites on the basis of the individual patient's anatomical features. While robotic systems offer advanced capabilities for soft tissue balancing, the grid-assisted pie-crusting technique remains a cost-effective and accessible alternative with significant clinical value. Further research is needed to explore its application in clinical patients, particularly those with knee deformities and during knee flexion, to better refine and optimize this promising technique.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by The Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of Jilin

University (China). The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The human samples used in this study were acquired from gifted from another research group. Written informed consent for participation was not required from the participants or the participants' legal guardians/next of kin in accordance with the national legislation and institutional requirements.

Author contributions

QC: Writing – original draft. YW: Writing – review & editing. YL: Writing – review & editing. JM: Supervision, Writing – review & editing. ZW: Writing – review & editing. XL: Writing – review & editing. GY: Writing – review & editing. SL: Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Mihalko WM, Krackow KA. Anatomic and biomechanical aspects of pie crusting posterolateral structures for valgus deformity correction in total knee arthroplasty: a cadaveric study. *J Arthroplasty.* (2000) 15(3):347–53. doi: 10.1016/S0883-5403(00) 90716-2

2. Tanaka K, Muratsu H, Mizuno K, Kuroda R, Yoshiya S, Kurosaka M. Soft tissue balance measurement in anterior cruciate ligament-resected knee joint: cadaveric study as a model for cruciate-retaining total knee arthroplasty. *J Orthop Sci.* (2007) 12:149–53. doi: 10.1007/s00776-006-1108-8

3. Ranawat AS, Ranawat CS, Elkus M, Rasquinha VJ, Rossi R, Babhulkar S. Total knee arthroplasty for severe valgus deformity. *JBJS*. (2005) 87(1):271–84. doi: 10. 2106/JBJS.E.00308

4. Mihalko WM, Woodard EL, Hebert CT, Crockarell JR, Williams JL. Biomechanical validation of medial pie-crusting for soft-tissue balancing in knee arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty.* (2015) 30(2):296–9. doi: 10.1016/j.arth.2014.09.005

5. Mehdikhani KG, Moreno BM, Reid JJ, de Paz Nieves A, Lee Y-Y, Della Valle AG. An algorithmic, pie-crusting medial soft tissue release reduces the need for constrained inserts patients with severe varus deformity undergoing total knee arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty.* (2016) 31(7):1465–9. doi: 10.1016/j.arth.2016.01.006

6. Clarke HD, Fuchs R, Scuderi GR, Scott WN, Insall JN. Clinical results in valgus total knee arthroplasty with the "pie crust" technique of lateral soft tissue releases. J Arthroplasty. (2005) 20(8):1010-4. doi: 10.1016/j.arth.2005.03.036

7. Roche M, Elson L, Anderson C. Dynamic soft tissue balancing in total knee arthroplasty. Orthop Clin North Am. (2014) 45(2):157-65. doi: 10.1016/j.ocl.2013.11.001

8. Meneghini RM, Daluga AT, Sturgis LA, Lieberman JR. Is the pie-crusting technique safe for MCL release in varus deformity correction in total knee arthroplasty? *J Arthroplasty*. (2013) 28(8):1306–9. doi: 10.1016/j.arth.2013.04.002

9. Sharkey PF, Hozack WJ, Rothman RH, Shastri S, Jacoby SM. Why are total knee arthroplasties failing today? *Clin Orthop Relat Res (1976–2007)*. (2002) 404:7–13. doi: 10.1097/00003086-200211000-00003

10. Karachalios T, Sarangi P, Newman J. Severe varus and valgus deformities treated by total knee arthroplasty. *J Bone Joint Surg Brit.* (1994) 76(6):938–42. doi: 10.1302/0301-620X.76B6.7983123

11. Wolff AM, Hungerford DS, Pepe CL. The effect of extraarticular varus and valgus deformity on total knee arthroplasty. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* (1991) 271:35–51.

12. Athwal KK, Daou HE, Kittl C, Davies AJ, Deehan DJ, Amis AA. The superficial medial collateral ligament is the primary medial restraint to knee laxity after cruciateretaining or posterior-stabilised total knee arthroplasty: effects of implant type and partial release. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* (2016) 24:2646–55. doi: 10. 1007/s00167-015-3796-0

13. Cheung A, Yan CH, Chan PK, Chiu KY. The medial collateral ligament in primary total knee arthroplasty: anatomy, biomechanics, and injury. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg.* (2020) 28(12):e510–e6. doi: 10.5435/JAAOS-D-19-00355

14. Aglietti P, Lup D, Cuomo P, Baldini A, De Luca L. Total knee arthroplasty using a pie-crusting technique for valgus deformity. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* (2007) 464:73–7. doi: 10.1097/BLO.0b013e3181591c48

15. He X, Cai H, Zhang K. Pie-crusting technique is effective and safe to release superficial medial collateral ligament for total knee arthroplasty. *J Orthop Translat.* (2018) 13:33–40. doi: 10.1016/j.jot.2018.01.001

16. Motififard M, Ravanbod H, Rahmati R, Saleki M. Pie-Crusting technique of medial collateral ligament for total knee arthroplasty in Varus deformity: a systematic review. *Adv Biomed Res.* (2023) 12:138. doi: 10.4103/abr.abr_239_21

17. Kwak DS, In Y, Kim TK, Cho HS, Koh IJ. The pie-crusting technique using a blade knife for medial collateral ligament release is unreliable in varus total knee arthroplasty. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* (2016) 24(1):188–94. doi: 10. 1007/s00167-014-3362-1

18. Amundsen SH, Meyers KN, Wright TM, Westrich GH. Variability in elongation and failure of the medial collateral ligament after pie-crusting with 16- and 18-gauge needles. *J Arthroplasty.* (2018) 33(8):2636–9. doi: 10.1016/j.arth.2018.03.021

19. Rezaei A, Moon J, Lichtig A, Mera B, Drake B, Choubey AS, et al. Precision soft tissue balancing: grid-assisted pie-crusting in total knee arthroplasty. *Front Surg.* (2024) 11:1331902. doi: 10.3389/fsurg.2024.1331902

20. Shalhoub S, Moschetti WE, Dabuzhsky L, Jevsevar DS, Keggi JM, Plaskos C. Laxity profiles in the native and replaced knee-application to robotic-assisted gapbalancing total knee arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty.* (2018) 33(9):3043–8. doi: 10.1016/ j.arth.2018.05.012 21. Lizcano JD, San Juan JA, Balaguer-Castro M, Ebied AA, Mont MA, Rahim Najjad MK, et al. Is the primary goal of total knee arthroplasty soft-tissue balancing or alignment correction? *J Arthroplasty.* (2024) 40(2):S95–8. doi: 10.1016/j.arth. 2024.10.056

22. Gustke KA, Golladay GJ, Roche MW, Elson LC, Anderson CR. A new method for defining balance: promising short-term clinical outcomes of sensor-guided TKA. *J Arthroplasty.* (2014) 29(5):955–60. doi: 10.1016/j.arth.2013.10.020

23. Carr AJ, Robertsson O, Graves S, Price AJ, Arden NK, Judge A, et al. Knee replacement. *Lancet (London, England).* (2012) 379(9823):1331-40. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(11)60752-6

24. Rosso F, Rossi R, Benazzo F, Ghiara M, Bonasia DE, Rossi SMP. Primary TKA in patients with major deformities and ligament laxities: promising results of an intermediate constrained implant at mid-term follow-up. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg.* (2023) 143(5):2675–81. doi: 10.1007/s00402-022-04527-w

25. Dunbar RP, Taitsman LA, Sangeorzan BJ, Hansen Jr ST. Technique tip: use of "pie crusting" of the dorsal skin in severe foot injury. *Foot Ankle Int.* (2007) 28(7):851–3. doi: 10.3113/FAI.2007.0851

26. Bellemans J. Multiple needle puncturing: balancing the varus knee. *Orthopedics*. (2011) 34(9):e510–2. doi: 10.3928/01477447-20110714-48

27. Herber AP, Brinkman JC, Tummala SV, Economopoulos KJ. Medial collateral ligament pie-crusting for isolated medial meniscal root repair is associated with improved clinical outcomes with Minimum 2-year follow-up. *Arthroscopy.* (2024) 40(3):869–75. doi: 10.1016/j.arthro.2023.07.029

28. Sharma P, Maffulli N. Tendon injury and tendinopathy: healing and repair. J Bone Joint Surg Am. (2005) 87(1):187–202. doi: 10.2106/JBJS.D.01850

29. Williams JG. Achilles tendon lesions in sport. Sports Med. (1986) 3(2):114–35. doi: 10.2165/00007256-198603020-00003

30. Ha C-W, Park Y-B, Lee C-H, Awe S-I, Park Y-G. Selective medial release technique using the pie-crusting method for medial tightness during primary total knee arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty.* (2016) 31(5):1005–10. doi: 10.1016/j.arth.2015.11. 019

31. Bolcato V, Franzetti C, Fassina G, Basile G, Martinez RM, Tronconi LP. Comparative study on informed consent regulation in health care among Italy, France, United Kingdom, nordic countries, Germany, and Spain. *J Forensic Leg Med.* (2024) 103:102674. doi: 10.1016/j.jflm.2024.102674

32. Andriollo L, Benazzo F, Cinelli V, Sangaletti R, Vellutto C, Rossi SMP. The use of an imageless robotic system in revision of unicompartmental knee arthroplasty. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* (2024). doi: 10.1002/ksa.12574

33. Kayani B, Konan S, Ayuob A, Onochie E, Al-Jabri T, Haddad FS. Robotic technology in total knee arthroplasty: a systematic review. *EFORT Open Rev.* (2019) 4(10):611–7. doi: 10.1302/2058-5241.4.190022

34. Song E-K, Seon J-K, Yim J-H, Netravali NA, Bargar WL. Robotic-assisted TKA reduces postoperative alignment outliers and improves gap balance compared to conventional TKA. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* (2013) 471:118–26. doi: 10.1007/s11999-012-2407-3

35. Chang JS, Kayani B, Wallace C, Haddad FS. Functional alignment achieves softtissue balance in total knee arthroplasty as measured with quantitative sensor-guided technology. *Bone Joint J.* (2021) 103(3):507–14. doi: 10.1302/0301-620X.103B.BJJ-2020-0940.R1

36. Erard J, Olivier F, Kafelov M, Servien E, Lustig S, Batailler C. Enhancing soft tissue balance: evaluating robotic-assisted functional positioning in varus knees across flexion and extension with quantitative sensor-guided technology. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* (2024) 32(9):2318–27. doi: 10.1002/ksa.12255

37. Rossi SMP, Sangaletti R, Andriollo L, Matascioli L, Benazzo F. The use of a modern robotic system for the treatment of severe knee deformities. *Technol Health Care.* (2024) 32(5):3737-46. doi: 10.3233/THC-231261

38. Lonner JH, Fillingham YA. Pros and cons: a balanced view of robotics in knee arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty*. (2018) 33(7):2007–13. doi: 10.1016/j.arth.2018. 03.056

39. Chen L, Wu Y, Yu J, Jiao Z, Ao Y, Yu C, et al. Effect of repeated freezingthawing on the achilles tendon of rabbits. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* (2011) 19:1028–34. doi: 10.1007/s00167-010-1278-y

40. Woo SL-Y, Orlando CA, Camp JF, Akeson WH. Effects of postmortem storage by freezing on ligament tensile behavior. *J Biomech*. (1986) 19(5):399-404. doi: 10. 1016/0021-9290(86)90016-3