TYPE Editorial PUBLISHED 06 October 2025 DOI 10.3389/frsc.2025.1686150



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY
James Evans,
The University of Manchester, United Kingdom

*CORRESPONDENCE Muhammad Tauhidur Rahman ☑ mtr@utdallas.edu

RECEIVED 14 August 2025 ACCEPTED 23 September 2025 PUBLISHED 06 October 2025

CITATION

McCaskill J, Rahman MT and Jamal A (2025) Editorial: Sustainable urban development through smart city design and planning. Front. Sustain. Cities 7:1686150. doi: 10.3389/frsc.2025.1686150

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 McCaskill, Rahman and Jamal. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Editorial: Sustainable urban development through smart city design and planning

John McCaskill¹, Muhammad Tauhidur Rahman^{2*} and Arshad Jamal³

¹Department of Public and Nonprofit Management, School of Economic, Political and Policy Sciences, The University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson, TX, United States, ²Department of Geographic Information Systems, School of Economic, Political and Policy Sciences, The University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson, TX, United States, ³Department of Civil Engineering, College of Engineering, Qassim University, Buraydah, Saudia Arabia

KEYWORDS

urbanization, land use, smart urban development, systems thinking, sustainable planning

Editorial on the Research Topic

Sustainable urban development through smart city design and planning

As cities around the world confront the accelerating pressures of urbanization, economic transformation, and climate uncertainty, they stand at a pivotal crossroads. The choices made today will shape not only the physical form of our cities but also their social, environmental, and technological futures. This Research Topic, Sustainable urban development through smart city design and planning, brings together interdisciplinary research that explores how cities are adapting to shifting demographics, emerging technologies, and evolving governance models.

The articles featured here examine the mechanics of urban systems while also interrogating the deeper values, contradictions, and power dynamics embedded in planning and policy. Together, they offer a multifaceted view of what it means to build cities that are not only smart, but also inclusive, resilient, and just. As Elinor Ostrom (2015, p. 23) warned in *Governing the Commons*, "Policies based on metaphors can be harmful," and the studies gathered here demonstrate how easily sustainability or smartness can become hollow labels if not grounded in real systemic understanding and local needs.

The opening article focuses on Northeast China, a region emblematic of the challenges facing post-industrial cities in decline. Drawing on two decades of population and land use data, the study introduces a dynamic land utilization efficiency index and applies spatial and systemic models to trace patterns of urban contraction, land misallocation, and ecological regeneration. The findings are sobering: more than half of the region's cities are experiencing both population loss and urban sprawl. Yet amid these disruptions, signs of reforestation and farmland restoration point to promising pathways for more sustainable land governance. This research lays a theoretical foundation for rethinking land use in economically distressed urban regions. It illustrates Donella Meadows's (2008, p. 89) insight from her work in *Thinking in Systems* that "…long-term behavior provides clues to the underlying system structure. And system structure is the key to understanding not just what is happening, but why".

Shifting from decline to design, the second article critically examines the rapidly evolving concept of the "smart city." Through a systematic literature review and SWOT analysis, the authors categorize existing frameworks into four models: human-centric, techno-centric, integrated, and environmental. Despite their differences, all share a reliance

McCaskill et al. 10.3389/frsc.2025.1686150

on technology—and the risks that come with it. The paper advocates for adaptable smart city frameworks grounded in four essential pillars: governance, environmental awareness, technological integration, and citizen engagement. This flexible blueprint can be tailored to diverse urban contexts, offering a more holistic and inclusive approach to smart city planning.

Building on the theme of technological integration, the third contribution introduces the concept of "local super apps" by merging Mobility-as-a-Feature (MaaF) with the 15-minute city (15mC) model—a vision where essential services are accessible within a short walk or bike ride. These multimodal digital platforms aim to empower residents, enhance mobility equity, and improve resource efficiency. Combining conceptual synthesis with empirical insights, the authors argue that such integrated solutions can drive inclusive and sustainable urban transitions, especially when rooted in community needs and local contexts.

The final article turns a critical lens on the politics of urban planning. Analyzing nearly three decades of planning documents from Sundsvall, Sweden, the study traces the evolution of sustainability rhetoric—from its socially grounded origins to its instrumental use in neoliberal governance. It reveals how "sustainability" has increasingly been deployed as a strategic narrative to promote economic competitiveness, often at the expense of social equity. This contribution challenges us to reconsider who urban sustainability truly serves and how its values are mobilized in practice.

Taken together, these articles illuminate the complex terrain of smart urban development. They remind us that the path to sustainability is not only technological but also deeply political, spatial, and social. As we imagine the cities of tomorrow, we must ask: what kind of urban futures are we building—and for whom?

This Research Topic invites scholars, planners, and policymakers to bridge theory, critique, and innovation in

pursuit of cities that are not only efficient and connected, but also equitable, inclusive, and humane.

Author contributions

JM: Writing – original draft. MR: Writing – review & editing. AJ: Writing – review & editing.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Gen AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Any alternative text (alt text) provided alongside figures in this article has been generated by Frontiers with the support of artificial intelligence and reasonable efforts have been made to ensure accuracy, including review by the authors wherever possible. If you identify any issues, please contact us.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

Meadows, D. H. (2008). Thinking in Systems: A Primer. Sterling, VA: Earthscan.

Ostrom, E. (2015). Governing the Commons: The Evolution of Institutions for Collective Action. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.