Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Niels Olsen Saraiva Camara, University of São Paulo, Brazil

REVIEWED BY Guido Moll, Charité University Medicine Berlin, Germany Daniel Marconi Mendes, Massachusetts General Hospital and Harvard Medical School, United States

\*CORRESPONDENCE Jeffrey M. Dodd-o ⊠ jdoddo@jhmi.edu

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 15 April 2025 ACCEPTED 04 June 2025 PUBLISHED 23 June 2025

#### CITATION

Yarnoff KM, Daccarett-Bojanini WN, Villabona-Rueda AF, Sollmann M, D'Alessio FR and Dodd-o JM (2025) Hypomethylating therapy mitigates acute allograft rejection in a murine lung transplant model. Front. Transplant. 4:1612523. doi: 10.3389/frtra.2025.1612523

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Yarnoff, Daccarett-Bojanini, Villabona-Rueda, Sollmann, D'Alessio and Dodd-o. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Hypomethylating therapy mitigates acute allograft rejection in a murine lung transplant model

Kristine M. Yarnoff<sup>1†</sup>, William N. Daccarett-Bojanini<sup>1†</sup>, Andres F. Villabona-Rueda<sup>2</sup>, Manuel Sollmann<sup>1</sup>, Franco R. D'Alessio<sup>2</sup> and Jeffrey M. Dodd-o<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Anesthesiology and Critical Care Medicine, Johns Hopkins Medical Institution, Baltimore, MD, United States, <sup>2</sup>Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Department of Medicine, Johns Hopkins Medical Institution, Baltimore, MD, United States

**Introduction:** Acute cellular rejection of transplanted lung allografts involves activated cytotoxic T cells and reduced Regulatory T (Treg) cell function. Calcineurin inhibitors, the cornerstone of immunosuppressive regimens, suppress T cell cytotoxicity but inhibit Treg proliferation. The DNA hypomethylating agent decitabine (DAC) can abrogate T cell cytotoxicity while stimulating Treg proliferation.

**Methods:** We sought to determine the effects of DAC treatment in a murine MHC-mismatched orthotopic lung transplant model.

**Results:** Rescue treatment with DAC maintains lung allograft gross and histologic integrity with a reduction in cytotoxic T cell responses. CD4+FoxP3+ T cell depletion in Foxp3DTR mice exacerbated rejection lung injury compared to CD4+FoxP3+ T cell sufficient mice and failed to abolish the protective effect of DAC in this model. The protective effect of DAC was associated with a reduction in cytokine production from host T-cells.

**Discussion:** Decitabine could offer a new line of treatment for acute lung allograft rejection, in part via its effects on Tregs.

#### KEYWORDS

lung transplantation, acute rejection, T regulatory cells, decitabine, immune tolerance

# **1** Introduction

Lung transplantation is the final treatment option for many patients with advanced lung disease. An estimated 28% of lung transplant recipients experience at least one episode of treated acute rejection in the first year following transplantation (1). In acute cellular rejection of transplanted lungs, clonally expanded host CD8 + cytotoxic and effector T cells populate the allograft (2). Regulatory T cells (Tregs) can protect against acute (3) and chronic (4) rejection. However, calcineurin inhibitors, a central component of many immunosuppressive regimens, inhibit Treg proliferation in the setting of allogeneic transplantation (5). This drives the search for a better understanding of, and alternative treatment strategies for, lung transplant rejection.

Decitabine (5-Aza-2'-deoxycytidine) (DAC) is an FDA-approved hypomethylating agent that irreversibly inactivates DNA methyltransferase 1 (DNMT1), thereby inhibiting DNA methylation. Disrupting DNA methylation can impair CD8+ T cell survival (6) and cytolytic activity (7) during live viral infection (lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus), while also promoting the proliferation of immunosuppressive CD4 + Foxp3+ Tregs in cardiac transplantation and diabetes models (8–11). We hypothesize that, by facilitating Treg expansion, DAC administration will interrupt acute cellular rejection to promote allograft tolerance and attenuate the rejection

process. Compared to vehicle-treated hosts, DAC treatment beginning post-transplant day 3 reduced graft injury at posttransplant day 10. To evaluate the requirement for resident CD4 + Foxp3+ Tregs, Foxp3DTR mice C57BL/6 recipients receiving diphtheria toxin (dT) or DAC + dT were used. The protective effect of DAC was partially lost in CD4 + FoxP3+ Treg-depleted hosts and was associated with marked decreases in cytotoxic T-cell responses.

### 2 Methods

#### 2.1 Mice

Male and female C57BL/6 (H-2b) and BALB/c (H-2d) mice (25–35 g) from Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME) and Foxp3DTR mice (background strain C57BL/6, H-2b) originally gifted from Alexander Rudensky (Sloan-Kettering Institute, New York, NY) were bred and housed in a pathogen-free facility before surgery. Open access conditions existed after surgery. All animal protocols were approved by the Johns Hopkins Animal Care and Use Committee.

# 2.2 Lung transplant and pharmacologic administration

Donor left lungs (BALB/c mice) were transplanted into wildtype C57BL/6 or FoxP3DTR using cuffed technique (12). Intraperitoneal DAC (1 mg/kg) (Sigma-Aldrich) or vehicle (DMSO) was administered on days 3, 4, 5, and 8 post-operatively (13). CD4 + FoxP3+ Treg depletion was accomplished by intraperitoneal diphtheria toxin (dT) (List Biologicals, Campbell, CA) injection into Foxp3DTR mice post-implant days 3 (20 ng/kg), 5 (10 ng/kg), and 7 (10 ng/kg). CD4 + Foxp3+ Tregsufficient mice were instead C57BL/6 wild-type mice receiving intraperitoneal DMSO (with or without dT) post-implant days 3, 5, and 7. Lungs were harvested on day 10 post-implant.

#### 2.3 Flow cytometry

Left and right lungs were enzymatically digested separately (13) to generate a single-cell suspension. Cells were prepared for FACS using fluorochrome-conjugated antibodies for surface and intracellular markers (Supplementary S1). A fixable, UV-excitable Blue Dead Cell Stain (Invitrogen) was used for live-dead

discrimination, and UltraComp eBeads (eBioscience) were utilized for compensation. Flow cytometry analysis was performed using a FACSAria instrument, and data were analyzed using FlowJo software (Tree Star Inc, San Carlos, CA, USA).

Flow cytometry gating was performed to identify and quantify specific immune cell populations in the allograft (Supplementary S2A and S2B). Events were first gated to exclude debris and doublets, selecting singlets (FSC-W vs. FSC-H) and single cells (SSC-W vs. SSC-H). Live cells were identified using the viability dye and gated accordingly. Lymphocytes were gated based on SSC-A vs. FSC-A, and within this population, CD4 + and CD8+ T cells were selected separately. Further gating was applied to analyze the expression of GATA-3, CTLA-4, FoxP3, CD25, CD44, CD62l, PD-1, CD103, and Ki-67. Tregs were identified as CD4+/FOXP3 + and CD8+/FOXP3 + subsets and analyzed for the same markers. Additionally, within the CD8 + population, we identified Live CD8+/CD44+/CD62l+, Live CD8+/CD103+, and CD8+/CD44+/CD62l+/CD103 + subpopulations. Live Mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) was defined as the geometric mean fluorescence intensity of the positive population.

## 2.4 Host T cell cytokine production

Single-cell suspensions of host responder cells were prepared from host native right lungs harvested 10 days after allograft left lung implantation. After pelleting, cells were resuspended in a pre-warmed R10 medium and incubated overnight for 12 h (37° C, 5% CO2) in an attempt to achieve a rested state. Similarly, right lung was used to obtain a cell suspension free of donor cells which could act as stimulators during the overnight incubation. Stimulator BALB/c spleen cells were similarly prepared, though without the overnight incubation. Responder lung cells and stimulator splenocytes (1:1 ratio, 100,000 cells of each type) were incubated (37°C, 5% CO2) for 5 h with GolgiStop<sup>TM</sup> Protein Transport Inhibitor (BD Biosciences) present for the final 2 h. Following stimulation, cells were harvested and stained with surface antibodies and intracellular cytokines for flow cytometry analysis. Fluorochrome-conjugated antibodies from BioLegend (FITC anti-H2 kb, AF647 anti H2kq, AF700 anti H2kd, BV650 anti-TNF-α, BV768 anti-CD3), BD Biosciences (PE-CF594 anti-CD19, BV421 anti-IL-17, BUV395 anti-CD4, BUV797 anti-CD8), and ThermoFisher (PE anti-INF- $\gamma$ ) were used. Zombie Aqua Fixable Dye (BioLegend) was used for live-dead discrimination.

# 2.5 Histopathology and acute rejection pathology scoring

Grafts were fixed in 10% formalin after harvesting. Embedding (paraffin), sectioning, and staining with Hematoxylin & Eosin were performed by the Reference Histology Core of Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine. Two blinded observers scored stained sections using standard criteria developed by the

Abbreviations

APC, allophycocyanin; BUV, brilliant ultraviolet; BV, brilliant violet; Cy, cyanine; CTLA-4, cytotoxic T lymphocyte antigen 4-fusion protein; DAC, decitabine; DMSO, dimethyl Sulfoxide; dT, Diphtheria toxin; DTR, diphtheria toxin receptor; FITC, fluorescein isothiocyanate; Foxp3, forkhead box P3; GATA-3, GATA binding protein 3; IFN- $\gamma$ , Interferon-gamma; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; MFI, mean fluorescence Intensity; PD-1, Programmed Cell Death-1; PE, phycoerythrin; PerCPCy 5.5, peridinin-chlorophyll-protein complex cyanine 5.5 conjugate; TNF- $\beta$ , tumor necrosis factor; Treg, regulatory T Cell.

International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation (ISHLT) grade A Lung Rejection Study Group (14).

#### 2.6 Immunofluorescence staining

Quadruple immunolabeling for CD4 + CD8 + CK19 + CD3 was performed at the Oncology Tissue Services Core of Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine on formalin-fixed, paraffinembedded (FFPE) sections using a Ventana Discovery Ultra autostainer (Roche Diagnostics). After dewaxing and rehydration, epitope retrieval was performed with Ventana Ultra CC1 buffer (Cat. #6414575001, Roche Diagnostics) at 96°C for 64 min. Immunostaining was performed sequentially for each marker, with individual rounds consisting of primary antibody incubation at 36°C for 40 min, detection using an anti-rabbit HQ detection system (Cat. #7017936001 and #7017812001, Roche Diagnostics), and signal amplification with OPAL fluorophores (Akoya Biosciences) diluted 1:200 in 1X Plus Amplification Diluent (Cat. #FP1498, Akoya Biosciences). For CD8 detection, a rabbit antirat linker antibody (1:500; Cat. #AI4001, Vector Labs) was applied at 36°C for 32 min before the HQ detection system. The antibodies used were anti-CD4 (1:200; Cat. #ab133616, Abcam) detected with OPAL 570, anti-CD8 (1:125; Cat. #4SM16, Invitrogen) detected with OPAL 690, anti-CK19 (1:1,000; Cat. #ab133496, Abcam) detected with OPAL 520, and anti-CD3 (1:200; Cat. #16669, Abcam) detected with OPAL Polaris 780. After each round of staining, primary and secondary antibodies were stripped using Ventana Ultra CC1 buffer at 95°C for 12 min, followed by neutralization with Discovery Inhibitor (Cat. #7017944001, Roche Diagnostics). Finally, sections were counterstained with spectral DAPI (Cat. #FP1490, Akoya Biosciences) and mounted with Prolong Gold (Cat. #P36930, ThermoFisher Scientific). Slides were viewed and scanned using the Olympus IX83 Inverted Microscope FISHscope and the Olympus cellSens software. Images were analyzed using ImageJ.

#### 2.7 Statistics and visualization

To assess the differential expression of markers on allograft live cells across two treatment groups (DMSO and DAC) and two mouse models (Treg-sufficient and Treg-depleted), a series of pairwise comparisons was performed. We first compared the percentage of live cells expressing each marker between the DMSO and DAC groups within Treg-sufficient mice and repeated this analysis within Treg-depleted mice. We then compared the treatment effects between Treg-sufficient and Treg-depleted mice to evaluate how Treg depletion influenced the response to DAC treatment. Statistical comparisons between two groups were performed using a two-tailed Mann–Whitney (unpaired, non-parametric) test in GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA). Outliers, defined as below Q1– $1.5 \times IQR$  for low-range outliers and above Q3 +  $1.5 \times IQR$  for upper-range outliers, were excluded.

For visualization in the volcano plots, log2 fold change (log2FC) was calculated as the log2-transformed ratio of the percentage of allograft live cells expressing each marker between treatment groups. Separate volcano plots were generated using the mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of each marker, with log2 fold change computed as the ratio of MFI values between treatment conditions. P-values for both analyses were obtained from the Mann-Whitney test and transformed using -log10(p-value) to represent statistical Markers were classified as upregulated significance.  $(\log 2FC > 0, bright blue)$  or downregulated  $(\log 2FC < 0, bright$ red). Significant markers (p < 0.05,  $-\log 10$  p-value > 1.301) were shaded in a green background, while non-significant markers were shaded in gray (Supplementary S2C). All statistical analyses and figure generation, including volcano plots, were performed using GraphPad Prism. This approach allowed visualization of differential expression both in terms of the percentage of marker-positive cells and the intensity of marker expression on individual cells, providing complementary insights into the immunological shifts in the allograft.

# **3** Results

#### 3.1 DAC treatment preserves live cell and dead cell numbers in lung suspension, but increases the CD4:CD8 ratio in allografts from CD4 + FoxP3+ treg-sufficient hosts

This lung transplant model leads to allograft failure with gross lung consolidation (Figures 1A,C) and diffuse dense cellular infiltration histologically (Figures 1B,D). DAC treatment, initiated on post-operative day 3 (POD3), significantly attenuated allograft injury observed 10 days post-transplantation in CD4 + FoxP3+ Treg-sufficient.

To explore the effect of host DAC therapy on allograft CD4 + and CD8+ T cell viability, single-cell suspensions from allografts were analyzed using live/dead staining and surface markers for CD4 and CD8. Compared to allografts from DMSO-treated hosts, those from DAC-treated hosts had similar numbers of total dead cells  $(5.16 \pm 0.06 \times 10^7 \text{ vs. } 3.53 \pm 0.7 \times 10^7, p = 3.15)$  and live cells $(2.13 \pm 0.2 \times 10^7 \text{ vs. } 2 \pm 0.6 \times 10^7, p = 0.22)$  (Figure 2A). Additionally, compared to allografts from DMSO-treated hosts, DAC therapy increased the allograft CD4:CD8T cell ratio  $(0.30 \pm 0.04 \text{ vs. } 0.93 \pm 0.11, P = 0.0002)$  (Figure 2B) predominately by increasing the percentage of live cells that are CD4+ T cells  $(6.7 \pm 0.55\% \text{ vs. } 15.6 \pm 0.95\%, P = 0.0103)$  (Figure 2C).

Furthermore, DAC treatment significantly increased the percentage of CD4 + FoxP3+ T cells in Treg-sufficient hosts ( $0.39 \pm 0.09\%$  vs.  $1.83 \pm 0.14\%$ , P = 0.01) (Figure 2C). Thus, DAC treatment of hosts preserves allograft live cell numbers, reduces allograft dead cell numbers, and increases the percentage of allograft live cells that are CD4 +.



# 3.2 DAC treatment restricts inflammatory T cells to the perivascular region and reduces airway inflammation

Immunofluorescence analysis for T cells markers (CD3, CD4, and CD8) and the epithelial marker CK-19 was performed (Figure 3) showing widespread distribution of T cells throughout all regions of the lung allografts in DMSOtreated hosts. Though most prominent in the perivascular region, T cells were also observed in high density surrounding large airways, dispersed within the interstitium, and infiltrating the alveoli, where CD8+ T cells comprised most intra-alveolar cells. By contrast, in allografts from DAC-treated hosts, T cells were primarily confined to the perivascular region (Figure 4A), with minimal infiltration into the interstitium (Figure 4B), airways, and alveoli (Figure 4C). Additionally, the CD4:CD8 ratio was also significantly increased in the DAC-treated hosts' perivascular  $(1.33 \pm 0.1 \text{ vs.})$  $0.81 \pm 0.1$ , P = 0.0056) (Figure 4D), interstitial (0.57 ± 0.07 vs.  $0.3 \pm 0.05$ , P = 0.0083) (Figure 4E), and peribronchial  $(0.82 \pm 0.07 \text{ vs. } 0.49 \pm 0.05, P = 0.0011)$  (Figure 4F) regions compared to DMSO-treated mice.

Immunostaining for CK-19 revealed that the airways (alveolar and bronchial) of allografts from DMSO-treated hosts appeared thickened compared to those from the DAC-treated mice. This effect was evidenced by increased thickness of type I and type II alveolar epithelial cells (alveolar wall thickness) (Figure 4G) and in the bronchiolar epithelial cell height (epithelial cell length: bronchiole area ratio) (Figure 4H).

# 3.3 DAC-treatment modulates host T cell cytokine responses

Both CD4 + and CD8 + responder T cells from the native lungs of DMSO-treated hosts demonstrated INF- $\gamma$ , TNF- $\alpha$ , and IL-17 production when incubated with BALB/c splenic stimulator cells. When responder T cells from the native lungs were obtained from DAC-treated hosts: (1) INF- $\gamma$  production was statistically suppressed in CD4+ T cells (Figure 5A) and trended towards suppression in CD8+ T cells (Figure 5D); (2) TNF- $\alpha$  persisted in CD4+ T cells (Figure 5B) but was markedly suppressed in CD8+ T cells (Figure 5E; (3) IL-17 production persisted in CD8+ T cells (Figure 5C) but trended towards being suppressed in CD8+ T cells (Figure 5C) but trended towards being suppressed in CD8+ T cells (Figure 5C) but trended towards being suppressed in CD8+ T cells (Figure 5C) but trended towards being suppressed in CD8+ T cells (Figure 5C) but trended towards being suppressed in CD8+ T cells (Figure 5C) but trended towards being suppressed in CD8+ T cells (Figure 5C) but trended towards being suppressed in CD8+ T cells (Figure 5C) but trended towards being suppressed in CD8+ T cells (Figure 5C) but trended towards being suppressed in CD8+ T cells (Figure 5C) but trended towards being suppressed in CD8+ T cells (Figure 5C) but trended towards being suppressed in CD8+ T cells (Figure 5C) but trended towards being suppressed in CD8+ T cells (Figure 5C) but trended towards being suppressed in CD8+ T cells (Figure 5C) but trended towards being suppressed in CD8+ T cells (Figure 5C) but trended towards being suppressed in CD8+ T cells (Figure 5C) but trended towards being suppressed in CD8+ T cells (Figure 5C) but trended towards being suppressed in CD8+ T cells (Figure 5C) but trended towards being suppressed in CD8+ T cells (Figure 5C) but trended towards being suppressed in CD8+ T cells (Figure 5C) but trended towards being suppressed in CD8+ T cells (Figure 5C) but trended towards being suppressed in CD8+ T cells (Figure 5C) but trended towards being suppressed in CD8+ T cells (Figure 5C) but trended towards being suppressed i



(P < 0.065) (Figure 5F). Overall, DAC treatment suppressed T cell responses more broadly in allograft CD8+ T cells than in CD4+ T cells.

#### 3.4 DAC treatment requires host Cd4 + FoxP3+ treg-sufficiency to maximally abrogate lung allograft rejection

 $FoxP3^{DTR}$  host treatment with dT effectively depleted  $CD4^{+}FoxP3^{+}$  Treg cells in allografts from DMSO treated hosts

(Supplementary S3A) and prevented the increase in  $CD4 + FoxP3^+$  Treg cells typically observed with DAC administration  $FoxP3^{DTR}$  hosts (Supplementary S3B). Host CD4 + FoxP3+ Treg depletion reduced but did not abolish the allograft-protective effect of DAC treatment compared to DMSO as evidence grossly (Figures 6A,C) and histologically (Figures 6B, D) (Supplementary S4A). The effect of DAC treatment on allograft's cell death was most dramatic in allografts from CD4 + FoxP3+ Treg-depleted hosts, where DAC treatment resulted in a 600% increase in live cells ( $3.2 \pm 1.06 \times 106$  vs.



FIGURE 3

DAC treatment restricts T-cell infiltration to the perivascular region and decreases airway inflammation. Representative immunofluorescence images of allografts harvested 10 days post-transplant from DMSO- and DAC-treated  $CD4^+FoxP3^+$  Treg-sufficient hosts. Sections were stained for CK-19 (green), CD3 (yellow), CD4 (red), and CD8 (blue) to assess T-cell distribution across different lung regions. DAPI (cyan) marks nuclear staining. *Scale bars = 200 um.* 

 $19.3 \pm 2.19 \times 106$  cells, P < 0.009) (Figure 7A). In DMSO-treated hosts, CD4 + FoxP3+ Treg depletion was associated with the near-complete loss of living cells in the allograft by posttransplant day 10. In a pattern similar to that seen in allografts of CD4 + FoxP3+ Treg-sufficient hosts, CD4 + FoxP3+ Tregdepleted hosts treated with DAC (compared to DMSO) demonstrated increased CD4:CD8T-cell ratio ( $0.27 \pm 0.04$  vs.  $0.75 \pm 0.05$ , P = 0.0002) (Figure 7B) predominately by increasing the percentage of live cells that are CD4+ T cells  $(4.3 \pm 0.65\%$  vs.  $13.3 \pm 1.02\%$ , P = 0.0026) (Figure 7C). These findings confirm that, while DAC treatment requires host CD4+FoxP3+ Treg-sufficiency to provide its maximal salutary effects, the initiation of DAC therapy in hosts of acutely rejecting lung allografts reduces cellular lung allograft rejection by mechanisms independent of host CD4+FoxP3+ T cells. Notably, the dT therapy used to deplete CD4+FoxP3+ Tregs in Foxp3<sup>DTR</sup> mice



did not alter allograft histology when administered to C57BL6 wild-type mice (Supplementary S5). Additionally, native lungs in DMSO-treated hosts were similarly injured whether the host was CD4 + FoxP3+ Treg-sufficient or -depleted (Supplementary S4B).

#### 3.5 Treatment of CD4 + FoxP3+ tregsufficient hosts with DAC does not greatly change marker expression on live allograft CD4 + FoxP3 + or live allograft CD8 + FoxP3 + cells

The percentage of allograft FoxP3 + cells from DAC- vs. DMSO- treated hosts expressing the immune markers we queried was minimally changed by DAC treatment. Host treatment with DAC increased only the percentage of CD4 + FoxP3+ T cells expressing GATA3 (Figure 8A), the percentage of CD8 + FoxP3+

T cells expressing CD103, and the percentage of CD8 + FoxP3+ T cells not expressing PD1 (Figure 8B).

#### 3.6 Host's depletion of CD4 + FoxP3+ T cells modifies the effect of host's DAC treatment on allograft live CD4+ T cells expressing various markers

In CD4+FoxP3+ Treg-sufficient hosts, DAC- (vs. DMSO-) treatment resulted in a greater percentage of live allograft CD4+ T cells expressing CD62l, GATA-3, and FoxP3, with a lower percentage expressing CD44, PD1, and/or CTLA-4 (Figure 9A).

In CD4 + FoxP3+ Treg-depleted hosts, DAC- (vs. DMSO-) treatment resulted in a greater percentage of live allograft CD4+ T cells expressing CD103, GATA-3, and/or CD62l (P = 0.054) (Figure 9B).

In DAC-treated hosts, the loss of CD4+FoxP3+ Tregsufficiency results in a greater percentage of live allograft CD4+



T cells expressing CD44 and/or GATA-3, but a lower percentage expressing CD62l or FoxP3 (Figure 9C).

#### 3.7 Host's depletion of CD4 + FoxP3+ T cells modifies the effect of host's DAC treatment on allograft live CD8+ T cells expressing various markers

In CD4+FoxP3+ Treg-sufficient hosts, DAC- (vs. DMSO-) treatment resulted in a greater percentage of live allograft CD8+

T cells expressing CD103, CD62l, GATA-3, and FoxP3, with a lower percentage expressing Ki-67, CD25, CD44, PD1, and/or CTLA-4 (Figure 10A).

In CD4 + FoxP3+ Treg-depleted hosts, DAC- (vs. DMSO-) treatment resulted in a greater percentage of live allograft CD8+ T cells expressing CD103, GATA-3, and/or CD62l, with a lower percentage expressing CD25 and/or PD1 (Figure 10B).

In DAC-treated hosts, the loss of CD4 + FoxP3+ Tregsufficiency results in a greater percentage of live allograft CD8+ T cells expressing CD44, CD28, Ki-67, and PD1, but a lower percentage expressing CD62l and FoxP3 (Figure 10C).



for CD4<sup>+</sup>FoxP3<sup>+</sup> Treg depletion. TPX: Left Lung Allograft, L: Left, and R: Right.

#### 3.8 Host' depletion of CD4 + FoxP3+ T cells modifies the effect of host's DAC treatment on allograft live CD8 + FoxP3+ T cells expressing various markers

In CD4+FoxP3+ Treg-sufficient hosts, DAC- (vs. DMSO-) treatment resulted in a greater percentage of live allograft CD8+FoxP3+ T cells expressing CD103, with a lower percentage expressing PD1 (Figure 11A).

In CD4+FoxP3+ Treg-depleted hosts, DAC- (vs. DMSO-) treatment resulted in a lower percentage of live allograft CD8+FoxP3+ T cells expressing PD1 (Figure 11B).

In DAC-treated hosts, the loss of CD4+FoxP3+ Tregsufficiency results in a lower percentage of live allograft CD8+FoxP3+ T cells expressing CD62l (Figure 11C).

#### 3.9 DAC-treatment of hosts shifts allograft CD8+ T cells toward an anti-inflammatory phenotype

Having observed an overall trend towards the promotion of anti-inflammatory markers on live CD8+ T cells in allografts of

DAC-treated hosts, we queried whether DAC administration to the host affected the relative magnitude of specific combinations of immunosuppressive markers characteristic of immunosuppressive CD8-phenotype populations. DAC treatment of CD4 + FoxP3+ Treg-sufficient hosts significantly increased the percentage of allograft live CD8+ T cells expressing CD44 + CD62l + CD103+ (Figure 12A), while DAC treatment of CD4 + FoxP3+ Treg-deficient hosts significantly increased the percentage of live allograft CD8+ T cells expressing CD44 and CD62l without CD103 (Figure 12B). This suggests that either a direct effect of DAC on CD8+ T cell expression of CD103 + marker is modified by CD4 + FoxP3+ T cells, or that DAC acts through CD4 + FoxP3+ Tregs to regulate CD8+ T cell phenotype (Figure 12C).

# 4 Discussion

We demonstrate that DAC, initiated even 72 h following graft implantation, attenuates murine lung allograft rejection seen at 10 days through a process that requires sufficient host CD4 + FoxP3+T cells to maximize its effect. The attenuated rejection is



accomplished through a process that: (1) leads to a rise in the percentage of live cells that are CD4+ T cells; (2) confines CD4 + and CD8+ T cells to the perivascular rather than to the interstitial lung regions; (3) transitions CD4 + and CD8+ T cells to a less cytotoxic phenotype (which is more dramatic in CD8+ T cells); and (4) requires CD4 + FoxP3+ Tregs for maximal effect.

DAC administration was associated with an increase in CD4+ T cells and an increase in CD4:CD8T cell ratio to nearly 1. An  $\,$ 

increase in the CD4:CD8T-cell ratio also characterizes the abrogated rejection induced by CD40 costimulatory pathway disruption in this model (15, 16). We find that this increased population of CD4+ T cells possess a less inflammatory cytokine profile, producing less INF- $\gamma$  and more frequently expressing FoxP3. Similarly, the cytokine expression of allograft live CD8+ T cells from DAC-treated hosts is much less inflammatory than that from DMSO-treated hosts, with less INF- $\gamma$ , TNF- $\alpha$  and IL-



#### FIGURE 8

Effect of DAC on the phenotype of CD4<sup>+</sup>FoxP3<sup>+</sup> T cells in CD4<sup>+</sup> FoxP3<sup>+</sup> treg sufficient hosts. Volcano plot demonstrating the effect on the percentage of allograft live CD4<sup>+</sup>FoxP3<sup>+</sup> T cells (**A**) and on live CD8<sup>+</sup>FoxP3<sup>+</sup> T cells (**B**) of DAC vs. DMSO treatment of CD4<sup>+</sup>FoxP3<sup>+</sup> Treg-sufficient hosts. Effect (*x*-axis) is presented as Fold Change (Log<sub>2</sub>) in the percentage of live allograft cells expressing a given marker when the host is treated with DAC rather than DMSO. Markers expressed on a higher percentage of cells are depicted more to the right, and increasing statistical significance is depicted by ascending position on the Y axis. The green-shaded region denotes markers with statistically significant differences (p < 0.05,  $-log_{10} > 1.301$ ). Markers with increased expression in DAC-treated hosts are shown in blue, while those with reduced expression are in red.



#### FIGURE 9

Cd4 <sup>+</sup> FoxP3<sup>+</sup> treg depletion alters the effect of DAC treatment on allograft CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells. Volcano plot demonstrating the effect on percentage of allograft live CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells expressing various markers following (A) DAC (vs. DMSO) treatment of CD4<sup>+</sup>FoxP3<sup>+</sup> Treg-sufficient hosts; (B) DAC (vs. DMSO) treatment of CD4<sup>+</sup>FoxP3<sup>+</sup> Treg-depleted hosts; (C) DAC treatment of CD4<sup>+</sup>FoxP3<sup>+</sup> Teg-sufficient vs. –depleted hosts. Effect (x-axis) is presented as Fold Change (Log<sub>2</sub>) in the percentage of live CD4<sup>+</sup> allograft cells expressing a given marker when the (A) CD4<sup>+</sup>FoxP3<sup>+</sup> Treg-sufficient host is treated with DAC (vs. DMSO); (B) CD4<sup>+</sup>FoxP3<sup>+</sup> Treg-depleted host is treated with DAC (vs. DMSO); (C) CD4<sup>+</sup>FoxP3<sup>+</sup> Treg-depleted host is treated with DAC (vs. DMSO); (B) CD4<sup>+</sup>FoxP3<sup>+</sup> Treg-depleted host is treated with DAC (vs. DMSO); (C) CD4<sup>+</sup>FoxP3<sup>+</sup> Treg-sufficient vs. –depleted host is treated with DAC. Markers expressed on a higher percentage of cells are depicted more to the right, and increasing statistical significance is depicted by ascending position on the Y axis (-Log<sub>10</sub>). The green-shaded region denotes markers with statistically significant differences (p < 0.05,  $-log_{10} > 1.301$ ). Markers with increased expression in DAC-treated hosts are shown in blue, while those with reduced expression are in red.



#### FIGURE 10

Cd4 <sup>+</sup> FoxP3<sup>+</sup> treg depletion alters the effect of DAC treatment on allograft CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells. Volcano plot demonstrating the effect on the percentage of allograft live CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells expressing various markers following (A) DAC (vs. DMSO) treatment of CD4<sup>+</sup>FoxP3<sup>+</sup> Treg-sufficient hosts; (B) DAC (vs. DMSO) treatment of CD4<sup>+</sup>FoxP3<sup>+</sup> Treg-depleted hosts; (C) DAC treatment of CD4<sup>+</sup>FoxP3<sup>+</sup> Treg-sufficient vs. –depleted hosts. Effect (x-axis) is presented as Fold Change (Log<sub>2</sub>) in the percentage of live CD8<sup>+</sup>FoxP3<sup>+</sup> allograft cells expressing a given marker when the (A) CD4<sup>+</sup>FoxP3<sup>+</sup> Treg-sufficient host is treated with DAC (vs. DMSO); (B) CD4<sup>+</sup>FoxP3<sup>+</sup> Treg-depleted host is treated with DAC (vs. DMSO); (C) CD4<sup>+</sup>FoxP3<sup>+</sup> Treg-sufficient vs. –depleted host is treated with DAC. Markers expressed on a higher percentage of cells are depicted more to the right, and increasing statistical significance is depicted by ascending position on the Y axis (-Log<sub>10</sub>). The green-shaded region denotes markers with statistically significant differences (p < 0.05,  $-log_{10} > 1.301$ ). Markers with increased expression in DAC-treated hosts are shown in blue, while those with reduced expression are in red.



#### FIGURE 11

Cd4 <sup>+</sup> FoxP3<sup>+</sup> treg depletion alters the effect of DAC treatment on allograft's CD8<sup>+</sup>FoxP3<sup>+</sup> T cells. Volcano plot demonstrating the effect on the percentage of allograft live CD8<sup>+</sup>FoxP3<sup>+</sup> T cells expressing various markers following (A) *DAC* (vs. *DMSO*) treatment of CD4<sup>+</sup>FoxP3<sup>+</sup> Treg-sufficient hosts; (B) *DAC* (vs. *DMSO*) treatment of CD4<sup>+</sup>FoxP3<sup>+</sup> Treg-depleted hosts; (C) *DAC* treatment of CD4<sup>+</sup>FoxP3<sup>+</sup> Treg-sufficient vs. – depleted hosts. Effect (x-axis) is presented as Fold Change (Log<sub>2</sub>) in the percentage of live CD8<sup>+</sup>FoxP3<sup>+</sup> allograft cells expressing a given marker when the (A) CD4<sup>+</sup>FoxP3<sup>+</sup> Treg-sufficient host is treated with DAC (vs. *DMSO*); (B) CD4<sup>+</sup>FoxP3<sup>+</sup> Treg-depleted host is treated with DAC (vs. *DMSO*); (C) CD4<sup>+</sup>FoxP3<sup>+</sup> Treg-sufficient vs. – depleted host is treated with DAC. Markers expressed on a higher percentage of cells are depicted more to the right, and increasing statistical significance is depicted by ascending position on the Y axis (-Log<sub>10</sub>). The green-shaded region denotes markers with statistically significant differences (*p* < 0.05, -log<sub>10</sub> > 1.301). Markers with increased expression in DAC-treated hosts are shown in blue, while those with reduced expression are in red.



17. *in vitro* studies indicate DAC induces Foxp3 expression in naïve- and activated-CD4+ T cells by inhibiting methylation of a CpG-rich island within the Foxp3 promoter region (17).

We compared the effect of host DAC vs. DMSO treatment on allograft T cell expression of a wide range of immune tolerance markers, including FoxP3 and GATA-3 (18) (transcription factors for anti-inflammatory Tregs and Th2, respectively), CTLA-4 (19) and PD1 (receptors for the anti-inflammatory ligands CD80/CD86 and PD-L1, respectively); CD25, CD621 (20), CD103 (21) (markers of anti-inflammatory tendency). CD44 was analyzed as a T cell marker of inflammation/rejection in transplantation (22, 23), and Ki-67 as an indicator of proliferation (24). To maximize the effect of DAC, we find that sufficiency of host CD4 + FoxP3+ T cells is required. We have shown in an LPS-induced lung injury model that DAC therapy leads to an increase in the number of lung CD4 + CD25 + FoxP3 + T cells as well as an enhancement of their Foxp3 expression, activation state, and suppressive phenotype (13).

In the current model, host treatment with DAC was consistently associated with an increased percentage of allograft live CD4 + and CD8+ T cells expressing of GATA-3. This persisted independent of host's CD4 + FoxP3+ Treg-sufficiency or -deficiency. GATA-3 is the Th2 transcription factor whose relative expression is inversely associated with acute allograft rejection (25). Host treatment with DAC was also associated with an increased percentage of allograft live CD4 + and CD8+ T cells expressing CD62l. This effect, although still present, was not as great in host cells deficient- (vs. sufficient) in CD4 + FoxP3+ T cells. This suggests that host CD4 + FoxP3+ T cells modify the capacity for DAC treatment of hosts to increase the percentage

of live allograft CD4+ T cells expressing CD62l. CD4 + FoxP3+T-cells are suppressive in nature (26). Foxp3 expression can be induced in naïve CD4+ T cells (27), including in CD4+CD62l+cells (28). The presence of CD62l on FoxP3 cells identifies a particularly immunosuppressive cell type in settings of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (29) and graftvs.-host disease following allogeneic bone marrow transplant (30), and may have contributed to the benefit of DAC in allografts of CD4+FoxP3+ Treg depleted hosts.

The breadth of anti-inflammatory markers expressed, and/or not expressed, on live allograft CD8+ T cells from DAC- (vs. DMSO-) treated hosts is much greater than expressed on live allograft CD4+ T cells. This includes the expression of GATA-3 and FoxP3 (transcription factors for Th2 and Treg cells, respectively). It also includes the expression of CD621 and CD103 (cell surface markers commonly associated with antiinflammatory phenotype). In our studies, host treatment with DAC increased the percentage of live allograft CD8+ T cells expressing CD62l, CD103, and/or GATA-3 whether the host was CD4 + FoxP3+ Treg-sufficient or -depleted.

We observed host treatment with DAC to be associated with an increase in allograft live CD8 + and CD8 + FoxP3+ T cells expressing CD103 as long as the host is CD4 + FoxP3+ Treg-sufficient. This suggests either a direct effect of DAC on CD8+ T cell expression of CD103 + marker is modified by CD4 + FoxP3 + T cells, or that DAC acts through CD4 + FoxP3+ Tregs to regulate CD8+ T cell phenotype. CD8 + CD103+ T cells are also immunosuppressive (31) and have been noted to be numerous in recipients tolerant of liver transplants (32). Still, allografts from DAC treated hosts continued to show increased percentage of

allograft live CD8+ T cells expressing CD44 + CD62l + whether the host is CD4 + FoxP3+ Treg-sufficient or -deficient. The capacity for DAC to reduce CD8+ T cell cytotoxicity may be particularly important in CD4 + FoxP3+ Treg-depleted hosts. Recruitment of CD8 + CD44 + CD62l + T cells into murine lung allografts is a critical early step in the successful development of tolerance in a transplant model using costimulatory blockade (CTLA-4 + MR1) (33). CD8 + CD103+ T cells have themselves been shown to play a role in suppressing the graft-vs.-host disease of a lupus-like syndrome (34, 35) as well as in spontaneous tolerance of liver allografts (32). CD8+CD103+ T cells don't require the expression of FoxP3 to manifest a suppressive phenotype (36), and CD103 + expression on CD8 + CD103- T cells can be induced by in vitro allostimulation (37). The improved histology we observed in allografts of DAC treated hosts was associated with increased expression of CD103 alone, expression of CD44 + CD62+, and expression of CD44 + CD62l + CD103 + on live CD8+ T cells both in allografts from CD4 + FoxP3+ Tregsufficient and -depleted hosts, although the density of CD103 + expression on live CD8+ T cells is greatest in allografts from CD4 + FoxP3+ Treg-depleted hosts.

In the floxed version of FoxP3 deletion mice we used, the FoxP3 mRNA targeted is present in CD4 + CD25+ T cells and absent in CD8+ T cells (38). Though FoxP3 can be induced in CD8+ T cells by T cell receptor stimulation (39), this is felt to represent emergence from the conventional T cell pool in the periphery (40). The very concept that CD8 + FoxP3+ T cells are universally suppressive has been questioned, as the observed suppression by induced CD8 + FoxP3+ T cells vary from strong (41) to weak (40) in GVHD models (depending on the mode of stimulation). The CD8 + FoxP3+ T cells in our allografts from hosts receiving DAC (CD4 + FoxP3+ Treg-sufficient or -depleted) show enhanced expression of some markers, such as CD103, characteristic of CD8 + FoxP3+ T cells, which promote protection of fully MHC-mismatched skin allografts (42). However, unlike the CD8+FoxP3+ T cells which promote protection of fully MHC-mismatched skin allografts, the CD8 + FoxP3+ T cells in the lung allografts from our DAC-treated hosts (CD4 + FoxP3+ Treg-sufficient or -depleted) demonstrate neither enhanced CTLA-4 expression nor (in CD4 + FoxP3+ Treg-depleted hosts) the capacity to induce conventional CD4 + FoxP3+ T cells. Additionally, the CD8 + FoxP3+ T cells in our allografts from DAC-treated hosts (CD4 + FoxP3+ Treg-sufficient or -depleted) are less frequently PD-1+than those not receiving DAC. Interestingly, Takahashi et al. found that PD-1 is critical to the tolerogenic effect of costimulatory blockade in a murine lung transplant model, as host CD8+ T cells lacking of PD-1 expression undergo prolonged interaction with graft antigen presenting cells (43). Such allografts are ultimately rejected in their model.

Together, the results of our mixed leukocyte reaction and flow cytometry studies suggest that DAC promotes a more generalized transition to an anti-inflammatory phenotype in CD8+ T cells than in CD4+ T cells. Targeted prevention of critical CD4+ T cell cytokine production combined with interrupted production of redundant CD8+ T cell cytokines may mediate

DAC's beneficial effects in murine lung transplantation. This is consistent with previous findings that the presence of host CD4+ T cells prevents tolerance, but that the isolated removal of host CD4+ T cells prevents neither acute rejection nor CD28/B7 costimulation blockade mediated tolerance in this model (44). In that study, the predominant measured inflammatory cytokine present in graft-infiltrating CD4+ T cells of rejecting lungs was IFN- $\gamma$ . In our study, allografts from DAC-treated hosts demonstrated a drop in the Th1 cytokine IFN- $\gamma$  observed in both CD4 + and CD8+ T cells from allografts, as well as decreases in IL-17 and TNF-α production from CD8+ T cells not observed in CD4+ T cells. We have previously shown that IL-17 from CD8+ T cells mediates injury in allografts from hosts with both Th1 and co-stimulatory pathways blocked as a result of T-bet deficiency and anti-CD154 Ab treatment, respectively (45). Interruption of the TNF-a pathway, through either its removal by adsorption using extracorporeal hemoperfusion (46), or by inhibiting its conversion to its bioactive soluble form (47), improves allograft function following lung transplantation. TNF- $\alpha$  has also been associated with primary graft dysfunction following lung transplantation (48). The time course of our MLR, which evaluated early cytokine production in primed T cells within 24 h of exposure to stimulating allogenic cells, suggests that DAC treatment of hosts could conceivably block this as well.

Our model of FoxP3 depletion does not appear to directly injure the lung. Thus, allografts from dT treated WT hosts did not show worse injury than those from WT hosts not exposed to dT. Furthermore, host native lungs of DMSO-treated CD4 + FoxP3+ Treg-sufficient and -depleted hosts demonstrate similar histology. It is interesting that the combination of CD4 + FoxP3+ Treg-depletion and treatment with DAC can completely prevent collateral injury to the native lung of host mice. This unanticipated observation in our findings suggests a protective effect of DAC that is at least in part not dependent on CD4 + FoxP3+ T cells. However, an injurious component of the rejection process that is dependent on CD4 + FoxP3+ T cells cannot be excluded.

DAC is presented here in a model demonstrating its capacity to interrupt rejection. One could alternatively envision utilizing DAC in combination with induction protocols involving T reg infusion therapy (49). In this regard, the T reg-promoting capacity of DAC may facilitate ex-vivo T reg expansion and allow expedited tapering of conventional immunotherapies, thereby reducing individual and total immunosuppression dosing/toxicity.

Our manuscript is heavily observational and based on a flow cytometry approach. Cell culture, loss-off-function studies, and histologic evaluation supporting the flow cytometric findings were also employed. A more extensive evaluation using gain-of-function studies or exploration of the epigenetic factors by which DAC may influence CD8+ T cells (6, 50) was beyond the scope of our study.

Our study indicates that DAC initiated 72 h following lung allograft implantation disrupts acute lung allograft rejection in mice. This requires CD4 + FoxP3+ Tregs for maximal benefit and has marked effects on lung allograft T cell numbers, phenotype, and function. We propose DAC as a novel therapeutic target for acute lung allograft rejection.

#### Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

#### **Ethics statement**

The animal study was approved by The Johns Hopkins University Animal Care and Use Committee (ACUC). The study was conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements.

## Author contributions

KY: Visualization, Validation, Formal analysis, Writing original draft, Investigation, Data curation, Methodology, Writing - review & editing, Conceptualization. WD-B: Visualization, Formal analysis, Conceptualization, Validation, Data curation, Methodology, Writing - review & editing, Investigation, Writing - original draft. AV-R: Data curation, Validation, Investigation, Writing - review & editing, Conceptualization. MS: Conceptualization, Writing - review & editing, Validation, Investigation. FD: Writing - review & editing, Conceptualization, Resources, Investigation, Validation, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Supervision, Project administration. JD-o: Validation, Data curation, Visualization, Resources, Methodology, Formal analysis, Conceptualization, Project administration, Writing - review & editing, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Writing - original draft, Software, Supervision.

#### Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. FD is supported by RO1 HL 131812.

## References

1. Chambers DC, Yusen RD, Cherikh WS, Goldfarb SB, Kucheryavaya AY, Khusch K, et al. The registry of the international society for heart and lung transplantation: thirty-fourth adult lung and heart-lung transplantation report-2017; focus theme: allograft ischemic time. *J Heart Lung Transplant.* (2017) 36(10):1047–59. doi: 10.1016/j.healun.2017.07.016

2. Snyder ME, Moghbeli K, Bondonese A, Craig A, Popescu I, Fan L, et al. Modulation of tissue resident memory T cells by glucocorticoids after acute cellular rejection in lung transplantation. *J Exp Med.* (2022) 219(4):1–17. doi: 10.1084/jem.20212059

3. Abrams KV, Hwang B, Nash RA, Georges GE, Lamm W, Storer B, et al. The use of ex vivo generated regulatory T-cell preparations in a canine lung allograft model. *Transplantation*. (2017) 101(10):e326–e7. doi: 10.1097/TP.000000000001868

#### Acknowledgments

The authors thank. Wolfgang Jungraithmayr and Yoshito Yamada for their technical support. We acknowledge the Oncology Tissue Services Core at Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine for performing the immunostaining, a service provided on a fee-for-service basis and supported by the Cancer Center Core Grant (P30 CA006973). We also acknowledge the use of the Ross Imaging Center, where microscopy was performed on a fee-for-service basis, supported by the NIH Shared Instrumentation Grant (1S10OD025244-01).

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

### Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

#### Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/frtra.2025. 1612523/full#supplementary-material

4. Salman J, Ius F, Knoefel AK, Sommer W, Siemeni T, Kuehn C, et al. Association of higher CD4(+) CD25(high) CD127(low), FoxP3(+), and IL-2(+) T cell frequencies early after lung transplantation with less chronic lung allograft dysfunction at two years. *Am J Transplant*. (2017) 17(6):1637–48. doi: 10.1111/ajt.14148

5. Satake A, Schmidt AM, Nomura S, Kambayashi T. Inhibition of calcineurin abrogates while inhibition of mTOR promotes regulatory T cell expansion and graft-versus-host disease protection by IL-2 in allogeneic bone marrow transplantation. *PLoS One.* (2014) 9(3):e92888. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0092888

6. Chappell C, Beard C, Altman J, Jaenisch R, Jacob J. DNA Methylation by DNA methyltransferase 1 is critical for effector CD8T cell expansion. *J Immunol.* (2006) 176(8):4562–72. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.176.8.4562

7. Scharer CD, Barwick BG, Youngblood BA, Ahmed R, Boss JM. Global DNA methylation remodeling accompanies CD8T cell effector function. *J Immunol.* (2013) 191(6):3419–29. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1301395

8. Floess S, Freyer J, Siewert C, Baron U, Olek S, Polansky J, et al. Epigenetic control of the foxp3 locus in regulatory T cells. *PLoS Biol.* (2007) 5(2):e38. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.0050038

9. Guo H, Wang W, Zhao N, He X, Zhu L, Jiang X. Inhibiting cardiac allograft rejection with interleukin-35 therapy combined with decitabine treatment in mice. *Transpl Immunol.* (2013) 29(1–4):99–104. doi: 10.1016/j.trim.2013.10.001

10. Mikami N, Kawakami R, Chen KY, Sugimoto A, Ohkura N, Sakaguchi S. Epigenetic conversion of conventional T cells into regulatory T cells by CD28 signal deprivation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. (2020) 117(22):12258–68. doi: 10.1073/pnas. 1922600117

11. Zheng Q, Xu Y, Liu Y, Zhang B, Li X, Guo F, et al. Induction of Foxp3 demethylation increases regulatory CD4+CD25+ T cells and prevents the occurrence of diabetes in mice. *J Mol Med (Berl.* (2009) 87(12):1191-205. doi: 10. 1007/s00109-009-0530-8

12. Jungraithmayr WM, Korom S, Hillinger S, Weder W. A mouse model of orthotopic, single-lung transplantation. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* (2009) 137(2):486–91. doi: 10.1016/j.jtcvs.2008.10.007

13. Singer BD, Mock JR, Aggarwal NR, Garibaldi BT, Sidhaye VK, Florez MA, et al. Regulatory T cell DNA methyltransferase inhibition accelerates resolution of lung inflammation. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol.* (2015) 52(5):641–52. doi: 10.1165/rcmb. 2014-0327OC

14. Berry GJ, Angelini A, Burke MM, Bruneval P, Fishbein MC, Hammond E, et al. The ISHLT working formulation for pathologic diagnosis of antibody-mediated rejection in heart transplantation: evolution and current status (2005–2011). *J Heart Lung Transplant.* (2011) 30(6):601–11. doi: 10.1016/j.healun.2011.02.015

15. Dodd-o JM, Lendermon EA, Miller HL, Zhong Q, John ER, Jungraithmayr WM, et al. CD154 Blockade abrogates allospecific responses and enhances CD4(+) regulatory T-cells in mouse orthotopic lung transplant. *Am J Transplant*. (2011) 11(9):1815–24. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-6143.2011.03623.x

16. Okazaki M, Krupnick AS, Kornfeld CG, Lai JM, Ritter JH, Richardson SB, et al. A mouse model of orthotopic vascularized aerated lung transplantation. *Am J Transplant.* (2007) 7(6):1672–9. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-6143.2007.01819.x

17. Lal G, Zhang N, van der Touw W, Ding Y, Ju W, Bottinger EP, et al. Epigenetic regulation of Foxp3 expression in regulatory T cells by DNA methylation. *J Immunol.* (2009) 182(1):259–73. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.182.1.259

18. Jungraithmayr W, De Meester I, Matheeussen V, Inci I, Augustyns K, Scharpé S, et al. Inhibition of CD26/DPP IV attenuates ischemia/reperfusion injury in orthotopic mouse lung transplants: the pivotal role of vasoactive intestinal peptide. *Peptides*. (2010) 31:585–91. doi: 10.1016/j.peptides.2009.12.012

19. Tsilifis C, Speckmann C, Lum SH, Fox TA, Soler AM, Mozo Y, et al. Hematopoietic stem cell transplantation for CTLA-4 insufficiency across Europe: a European society for blood and marrow transplantation inborn errors working party study. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* (2024) 154(6):1534–44. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2024. 08.020

20. Ivetic A, Hoskins Green HL, Hart SJ. L-selectin: a Major regulator of leukocyte adhesion, migration and signaling. *Front Immunol.* (2019) 10:1068. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2019.01068

21. Zhao D, Zhang C, Yi T, Lin CL, Todorov I, Kandeel F, et al. *In vivo*-activated CD103 + CD4 + regulatory T cells ameliorate ongoing chronic graft-versus-host disease. *Blood*. (2008) 112(5):2129–38. doi: 10.1182/blood-2008-02-140277

22. Saha I, Chawla AS, Oliveira A, Elfers EE, Warrick K, Meibers HE, et al. Alloreactive memory CD4T cells promote transplant rejection by engaging DCs to induce innate inflammation and CD8T cell priming. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. (2024) 121(34):e2401658121. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2401658121

23. Wang F, Chen J, Shao W, Xie B, Wang Y, Lan T, et al. Anti-CD44 monoclonal antibody inhibits heart transplant rejection mediated by alloantigen-primed CD4(+) memory T cells in nude mice. *Immunol Invest.* (2010) 39(8):807–19. doi: 10.3109/08820139.2010.497833

24. Sobecki M, Mrouj K, Camasses A, Parisis N, Nicolas E, Lleres D, et al. The cell proliferation antigen ki-67 organises heterochromatin. *eLife*. (2016) 5:e13722. doi: 10. 7554/eLife.13722

25. Jungraithmayr W, Ji L, Yang L, Weder W, Korom S, Hersberger M. Increased T-bet to GATA-3 ratio during acute allograft rejection in the rat lung. *Transplant Proc.* (2009) 41(10):4316–20. doi: 10.1016/j.transproceed.2009.08.057

26. Bluestone JA, Tang Q. Treg cells-the next frontier of cell therapy. *Science*. (2018) 362(6411):154–5. doi: 10.1126/science.aau2688

27. Feng G, Wood KJ, Bushell A. Interferon-gamma conditioning ex vivo generates CD25 + CD62l + Foxp3 + regulatory T cells that prevent allograft rejection: potential avenues for cellular therapy. *Transplantation*. (2008) 86(4):578–89. doi: 10.1097/TP. 0b013e3181806a60

28. Zhang X, Chang Li X, Xiao X, Sun R, Tian Z, Wei H. CD4(+)CD62l(+) Central memory T cells can be converted to Foxp3(+) T cells. *PLoS One*. (2013) 8(10):e77322. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0077322

29. Lange C, Scholl M, Melms A, Bischof F. CD62l(high) Treg cells with superior immunosuppressive properties accumulate within the CNS during remissions of EAE. *Brain Behav Immun.* (2011) 25(1):120–6. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2010.09.004

30. Ermann J, Hoffmann P, Edinger M, Dutt S, Blankenberg FG, Higgins JP, et al. Only the CD62l+ subpopulation of CD4 + CD25 + regulatory T cells protects from lethal acute GVHD. *Blood.* (2005) 105(5):2220–6. doi: 10.1182/blood-2004-05-2044

31. Koch SD, Uss E, van Lier RA, ten Berge IJ. Alloantigen-induced regulatory CD8+CD103+ T cells. *Hum Immunol.* (2008) 69(11):737-44. doi: 10.1016/j. humimm.2008.08.281

32. Lu L, Yu Y, Li G, Pu L, Zhang F, Zheng S, et al. CD8(+)CD103(+) Regulatory T cells in spontaneous tolerance of liver allografts. *Int Immunopharmacol.* (2009) 9(5):546–8. doi: 10.1016/j.intimp.2009.01.021

33. Krupnick AS, Lin X, Li W, Higashikubo R, Zinselmeyer BH, Hartzler H, et al. Central memory CD8+ T lymphocytes mediate lung allograft acceptance. *J Clin Invest.* (2014) 124(3):1130–43. doi: 10.1172/JCI71359

34. Zhang X, Ouyang X, Xu Z, Chen J, Huang Q, Liu Y, et al. CD8 + CD103 + iTregs Inhibit chronic graft-versus-host disease with lupus nephritis by the increased expression of CD39. *Mol Ther.* (2019) 27(11):1963–73. doi: 10.1016/j.ymthe.2019.07. 014

35. Zheng SG, Wang JH, Koss MN, Quismorio F Jr, Gray JD, Horwitz DA. CD4 + and CD8 + regulatory T cells generated ex vivo with IL-2 and TGF-beta suppress a stimulatory graft-versus-host disease with a lupus-like syndrome. *J Immunol.* (2004) 172(3):1531–9. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.172.3.1531

36. Liu Y, Lan Q, Lu L, Chen M, Xia Z, Ma J, et al. Phenotypic and functional characteristic of a newly identified CD8+ Foxp3- CD103 + regulatory T cells. *J Mol Cell Biol.* (2014) 6(1):81–92. doi: 10.1093/jmcb/mjt026

37. Uss E, Rowshani AT, Hooibrink B, Lardy NM, van Lier RA, ten Berge IJ. CD103 Is a marker for alloantigen-induced regulatory CD8+ T cells. *J Immunol.* (2006) 177(5):2775–83. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.177.5.2775

38. Fontenot JD, Gavin MA, Rudensky AY. Foxp3 programs the development and function of CD4 + CD25 + regulatory T cells. *Nat Immunol.* (2003) 4(4):330–6. doi: 10.1038/ni904

39. Lozano T, Conde E, Martin-Otal C, Navarro F, Lasarte-Cia A, Nasrallah R, et al. TCR-induced FOXP3 expression by CD8(+) T cells impairs their anti-tumor activity. *Cancer Lett.* (2022) 528:45–58. doi: 10.1016/j.canlet.2021.12.030

40. Agle K, Vincent BG, Piper C, Belle L, Zhou V, Shlomchik W, et al. Bim regulates the survival and suppressive capability of CD8(+) FOXP3(+) regulatory T cells during murine GVHD. *Blood.* (2018) 132(4):435–47. doi: 10.1182/blood-2017-09-807156

41. Beres AJ, Haribhai D, Chadwick AC, Gonyo PJ, Williams CB, Drobyski WR. CD8+ Foxp3 + regulatory T cells are induced during graft-versus-host disease and mitigate disease severity. *J Immunol.* (2012) 189(1):464–74. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1200886

42. Lerret NM, Houlihan JL, Kheradmand T, Pothoven KL, Zhang ZJ, Luo X. Donor-specific CD8+ Foxp3+ T cells protect skin allografts and facilitate induction of conventional CD4+ Foxp3+ regulatory T cells. *Am J Transplant.* (2012) 12(9):2335–47. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-6143.2012.04120.x

43. Takahashi T, Hsiao HM, Tanaka S, Li W, Higashikubo R, Scozzi D, et al. PD-1 expression on CD8(+) T cells regulates their differentiation within lung allografts and is critical for tolerance induction. *Am J Transplant*. (2018) 18(1):216–25. doi: 10.1111/ajt.14437

44. Gelman AE, Okazaki M, Lai J, Kornfeld CG, Kreisel FH, Richardson SB, et al. CD4+ T lymphocytes are not necessary for the acute rejection of vascularized mouse lung transplants. *J Immunol.* (2008) 180(7):4754–62. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol. 180.7.4754

45. Lendermon EA, Dodd OJ, Coon TA, Miller HL, Ganguly S, Popescu I, et al. CD8<sup>+</sup>IL-17<sup>+</sup> T cells mediate neutrophilic airway obliteration in T-bet–deficient mouse lung allograft recipients. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol.* (2015) 52(5):622–33. doi: 10.1165/rcmb.2014-0059OC

46. Ghaidan H, Stenlo M, Niroomand A, Mittendorfer M, Hirdman G, Gvazava N, et al. Reduction of primary graft dysfunction using cytokine adsorption during organ preservation and after lung transplantation. *Nat Commun.* (2022) 13(1):4173. doi: 10. 1038/s41467-022-31811-5

47. Goto T, Ishizaka A, Kobayashi F, Kohno M, Sawafuji M, Tasaka S, et al. Importance of tumor necrosis factor-alpha cleavage process in post-transplantation lung injury in rats. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* (2004) 170(11):1239–46. doi: 10. 1164/rccm.200402-146OC

48. Mathur A, Baz M, Staples ED, Bonnell M, Speckman JM, Hess PJ Jr, et al. Cytokine profile after lung transplantation: correlation with allograft injury. *Ann Thorac Surg.* (2006) 81(5):1844–9. discussion 9-50. doi: 10.1016/j.athoracsur.2005. 11.053

49. Roemhild A, Otto NM, Moll G, Abou-El-Enein M, Kaiser D, Bold G, et al. Regulatory T cells for minimising immune suppression in kidney transplantation: phase I/IIa clinical trial. *Br Med J.* (2020) 371:m3734. doi: 10.1136/bmj.m3734

50. Laranjeira ABA, Hollingshead MG, Nguyen D, Kinders RJ, Doroshow JH, Yang SX. DNA Damage, demethylation and anticancer activity of DNA methyltransferase (DNMT) inhibitors. *Sci Rep.* (2023) 13(1):5964. doi: 10.1038/s41598-023-32509-4