Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY Alfonso J. Rodriguez-Morales, Fundacion Universitaria Autónoma de las Américas, Colombia

*CORRESPONDENCE Yenddy Carrero Venddycarrero@yahoo.es

RECEIVED 16 September 2024 ACCEPTED 19 September 2024 PUBLISHED 17 October 2024

CITATION

Carrero Y and Salyer SJ (2024) Editorial: Women in science - emerging, major & neglected tropical diseases. *Front. Trop. Dis* 5:1497263. doi: 10.3389/fitd.2024.1497263

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Carrero and Salyer. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Editorial: Women in science emerging, major & neglected tropical diseases

Yenddy Carrero^{1*} and Stephanie J. Salyer²

¹Universidad Técnica de Ambato, Biociencias Research Group, Ambato, Ecuador, ²Division of Global Health Protection, Global Health Center, United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (US CDC), Atlanta, GA, United States

KEYWORDS

women in science, NTDs, neglected tropical diseases, diversity and inclusion, representation

Editorial on the Research Topic

Women in science - emerging, major & neglected tropical diseases

Human-mediated change influencing disease emergence and re-emergence has made this area of research a priority, as these diseases increasingly cause global alarm, impact quality of life, and overwhelm health systems (1). Promoting the involvement of women in science and scientific advancement in the domains of biology, public health, and medicine is crucial today, with a focus on neglected and developing tropical infectious diseases.

Despite the significant contributions women have made to science, societal gender prejudices have prevented women from taking more active roles in the field. Even though this sector has advanced recently and more women are working in it, UNESCO's Institute for Statistics estimates (only) 33.3% of researchers worldwide are women (2). Several barriers may be limiting the participation and contribution of women in the sciences. While women outnumber male graduates at the university level, men continue to exceed women in most science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) careers and leadership positions (3, 4). Compared with their male colleagues, women have fewer first-author publications, receive less professional recognition, and are less likely to have research mentorship (5–8). Additionally, female researchers receive fewer grant opportunities and promotions compared with men. Harassment, assault, and marginalization sometimes truncate the careers of promising health scientists, especially those whose race, ethnicity, disability, or sexual orientation make them targets of discrimination (5, 9).

Indeed, many of the researchers involved in efforts to optimize science have recognized a problem centered on gender diversity (10). With the application of gender equality policies, the successful participation of women in research, medicine, and public health has opened a promising avenue for increasing the number of women entering and leading in these fields (11, 12). Changes within the scientific community support more transparent scientific practices and representation, reflecting on historical practices and providing opportunities to address the lack of diversity and non-inclusive culture (13).

Science today benefits from a more collaborative rather than individual process, where team size and participation influence research impact (14). While teams tend to be relatively homogeneous, it is important to proactively address team composition by

01

making them more inclusive and representative of the fields they reflect. Open science, representation, and empowerment are the seeds of a culture of community and sharing and, if cultivated, can continue to foster women's inclusion and participation.

The important contribution of the first female authors and the growing integration of women in science can be noted in this Emerging and Neglected Tropical Diseases publication series. Highlights of this work include the following studies:

Rodrígues et al. characterized the cellular immune response mediated by Th17 profile cells by *in-situ* determination of the expression of ROR γ t, IL-17, IL-6, TGF- β , IL-1 β , and IL-23 in the clinical–immunopathological spectrum of American cutaneous leishmaniasis (ACL) caused by *Leishmania* (*L.*) *amazonensis* and *Leishmania* (*V.*) *braziliensis*. This study reports that Th17 profile cells could play a significant role in the immunopathogenesis of ACL, through the classical action of Th17 cells and their antiinflammatory potential. This favors the suppression of the immune response and parasitic persistence in *L.* (*L.*) *amazonensis* with an inflammatory pattern through the "alternative" action of Th17 cells, an exacerbated immune response, parasitic scarcity, and tissue damage in ACL by *L.* (*V.*) *braziliensis*.

Ramkhelawan et al., through a systematic review, found mutations in the β -tubulin gene family of *Ascaris lumbricoides* at codons F200Y (TTC/phenylalanine to TAC/tyrosine), E198A (GAG, GAA/glutamic acid to GCG, and GCA/alanine), and F167Y (TTC, TTT/phenylalanine to TAC, and TAT/tyrosine), which were associated with possible benzimidazole resistance. Knowledge of this resistance further contributes to incorporating approaches for prevention, management, and treatment, thus decreasing the global economic and health burdens of ascariasis due to benzimidazole resistance.

Saboyá-Díaz et al. presented the current neglected infectious diseases situation in the Americas and prospects for their control and elimination as a 2030 goal. They addressed the advances and progress in achieving the objectives of control and elimination of neglected infectious diseases (NTDs) in the Americas and the commitment of the countries to strengthen their capacities and sustain their efforts to achieve the elimination goals.

Chotun et al. addressed the patterns and strategies for neglected tropical disease (NTD) management needed to sustain postelimination success in African Union member states, as well as the challenges faced, including the potential withdrawal of financial

References

1. Baker RE, Mahmud AS, Miller IF, Rajeev M, Rasambainarivo F, Rice BL, et al. Infectious disease in an era of global change. *Nat Rev Microbiol.* (2022) 20:193–205. doi: 10.1038/s41579-021-00639-z

2. UNESCO Science Report: the race against time for smarter development; executive summary - UNESCO Digital Library. Available online at: https://unesdoc. unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000377250 (accessed August 19, 2024).

3. Kotozaki Y. Editorial: Women in science: public mental health 2023. Front Public Health. (2024) 12:1403838. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2024.1403838

4. Dasgupta N, Stout JG. Girls and women in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics: STEMing the tide and broadening participation in STEM careers - nilanjana dasgupta, Jane G. Stout. (2014) 1(1):21–29. doi: 10.1177/2372732214549471

support and the risk of disease re-emergence. This article highlights the importance of continued innovation in surveillance, the critical role of community health workers, the integration of NTD postelimination strategies into broader health and development frameworks such as universal health coverage, and the need for innovative financing and partnerships to ensure the long-term success of NTD elimination efforts.

The paradigm shift in society regarding the place of women in science has made possible that gender should not be a barrier to research. This, along with the increased demand to address disease resurgence and emergence, makes this a historic moment for women to make a difference. Undoubtedly, the initial steps are the application of better science through more collaborative studies, the promotion of equal opportunities, and the exponential integration of women in research.

Author contributions

YC: Conceptualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. SS: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

5. Codeço CT, Dias CM. Women in science. Cad Saude Publica. (2018) 34: e00173718. doi: 10.1590/0102-311x00173718

 Keller T, Wilson M, Chung K, Andrilla CHA, Evans DV, Cawse-Lucas J. Gender differences in authorship of family medicine publications, 2002-2017. *Fam Med.* (2021) 53:416–22. doi: 10.22454/FamMed.2021.866524

7. Murphy M, Record H, Callander JK, Dohan D, Grandis JR. Mentoring relationships and gender inequities in academic medicine: findings from a multiinstitutional qualitative study. *Acad Med J Assoc Am Med Coll.* (2022) 97:136–42. doi: 10.1097/ACM.00000000004388

8. Anderson LN, Anaya YB, Gilchrist V. Supporting our women colleagues. Fam Med. (2024) 56:219–21. doi: 10.22454/FamMed.2024.754708 9. Celebrate women in science — today, and every day. Available online at: https:// www.nature.com/articles/d41586-023-00670-5 (accessed August 19, 2024).

10. Hervías Parejo V, Radulović B. Public policies on gender equality. In: Vujadinović D, Fröhlich M, Giegerich T, editors. *Gender-Competent Legal Education*. Springer International Publishing, Cham (2023). p. 405–28. doi: 10.1007/978-3-031-14360-1_12

11. Closing the leadership gap: gender equity and leadership in the global health and care workforce. Available online at: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/ 9789240025905 (accessed September 02, 2024).

12. Murphy MC, Mejia AF, Mejia J, Yan X, Cheryan S, Dasgupta N, et al. Open science, communal culture, and women's participation in the movement to improve science. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. (2020) 117:24154–64. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1921320117

13. Diversity in open source is even worse than in tech overall | WIRED Available online at: https://www.wired.com/2017/06/diversity-open-source-even-worse-tech-overall/ (accessed September 02, 2024).

14. Larivière V, Gingras Y, Sugimoto CR, Tsou A. Team size matters: Collaboration and scientific impact since 1900 - Larivière - 2015 - Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology - Wiley Online Library. (2015) 66:1323–32. doi: 10.1002/asi.23266