## Check for updates

### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY Biagio Barone, ASL Napoli 1 Centro, Italy

\*CORRESPONDENCE Lilia Bardoscia Ililiabardoscia@gmail.com

RECEIVED 28 October 2024 ACCEPTED 20 December 2024 PUBLISHED 08 January 2025

#### CITATION

Bardoscia L, Detti B and Sardaro A (2025) Editorial: Multidisciplinary management of urological malignancies in the era of precision medicine: integration of advances in technology and cancer care. *Front. Urol.* 4:1518410. doi: 10.3389/fruro.2024.1518410

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Bardoscia, Detti and Sardaro. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Editorial: Multidisciplinary management of urological malignancies in the era of precision medicine: integration of advances in technology and cancer care

## Lilia Bardoscia<sup>1\*</sup>, Beatrice Detti<sup>2</sup> and Angela Sardaro<sup>3,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Radiation Oncology Unit, S. Luca Hospital, Healthcare Company Tuscany Nord Ovest, Lucca, Italy, <sup>2</sup>Radiotherapy Unit Prato, Usl Centro Toscana, Presidio Villa Fiorita, Prato, Italy, <sup>3</sup>Department of Radiation Therapy, "Vito Fazzi" Hospital, Lecce, Italy, <sup>4</sup>University of Bari "Aldo Moro", Bari, Italy

KEYWORDS

urology malignancies, stereotactic body radiotherapy, robotic surgery, multidisciplinary tumor board, next generation imaging

#### Editorial on the Research Topic

Multidisciplinary management of urological malignancies in the era of precision medicine: integration of advances in technology and cancer care

Urologic cancer burden has globally increased amid population growth and aging (1). The routine use of advanced imaging modalities, such as multiparametric magnetic resonance (MRI) and disease-specific tracers positron emission tomography in combination with computed tomography or MRI has improved the early detection of these tumors, local recurrence or distant progression (2, 3). A large amount of morphological, functional and molecular data can be obtained from next-generation imaging and guide a reliable identification of insights on tumor heterogeneity, thus the implementation of diagnosis and targeted, personalized treatments (4–6).

The presented Research Topic aimed to create a multidisciplinary collector of scientific evidence that strengthen communication among the disciplines involved in a Uro-oncology tumor board (Urology, Radiation Oncology, Clinical Oncology, Radiology, Nuclear Medicine, Pathology, Molecular Biology), exploring technological advances in the field of prostate cancer (PCa), urothelial and renal tumors, and their impact on cancer care, patients attitudes or preferences.

The development of robot-assisted surgery has allowed numerous potential benefits to patients, reduced hospital stay, minor risk of infection and postoperative complications than conventional surgery. Urologists have been pioneers, innovative and flexible robotic systems allowed more efficient, precise and accurate surgical procedures than in the past (7, 8). Nevertheless, the diagnostic possibility of depicting metabolic activity, receptor expression, oxygenation or cellular density of healthy tissues and the tumor mass, together with technological advances in radiotherapy planning and delivery techniques have made it possible to perform effective radiation treatments with a relatively low toxicity

profile, in the primary tumor and selected cases of recurrent/ metastatic setting (9–15). Lancia et al. provided an interesting overview on personalization of the use of radiotherapy based on biological information by functional imaging integrated into the linear accelerator for both primary tumor and metastases-directed therapy of metastatic PCa. The advent of volumetric multiple arc and rotational/helical intensity-modulated radiotherapy allowed steep dose gradients, spatially non-uniform dose distributions with improved sparing of the surrounding normal tissues (16). Stereotactic ablative body radiotherapy/radiosurgery schedules have been readily incorporated into the oncology routine clinical practice, as a safe and cost-effective part of multimodal, patienttailored, therapeutic strategies enabling high doses delivered in only three to five fractions with curative intent (17, 18).

The growing evidence of improved disease control and survival across the recent advances in the diagnosis and treatment of prostate, urothelial and renal cancers, even in the setting of oligorecurrent/oligometastatic disease, requires focusing on patients long-term treatment-related quality of life (QoL), as well. In this Research Topic, Qian et al. introduced the application of the gratitude extension construction theory-nursing program to a cohort of patients surgically treated for bladder cancer. Clinicians and allied health professionals often tend to place different utilities, higher scores to health states than patients undergoing treatment procedures (19). The use of validated, self-administered questionnaires helps understand the real patients perception of their clinical condition and fear of cancer recurrence, while high gratitude allow individuals to experience positive emotions, making them be aware, collaborative and confident in the long-term success of the prescribed cancer treatment.

The current trend towards the hyperspecialized combination of diagnostics and therapeutics outlines the lack of reliable predictors of advanced disease and treatment response of primary and metastatic urology malignancies, to improve health counselling. Prostate-specific antigen (PSA) is still the only validated biomarker in Uro-oncology, the best known and widespread tool for early detection of PCa, although screening for PCa is a controversial topic given the high risk of identifying insignificant cancer and overtreatment while preventing disease-specific mortality (20). Hsieh et al. reported their experience of health screening in a Taiwanese men rural community below, highlighting the opportunity for a careful interpretation of high blood PSA levels within the context of patient age and lifestyle, since it might also underlie non-neoplastic, but equally life-threatening diseases related to unhealthy lifestyle habits like PCa (i.e. cardiometabolic syndromes).

In the artificial intelligence era, both clinical and molecular data extraction is crucial to optimize new, customized treatment modalities targeting specific markers of tumor aggressiveness and clonal evolution. For instance, Colosini and colleagues investigated the contribution of circulating cell-free DNA gene sequencing underlying a true oligometastatic PCa state, with slower and more favorable evolution than polymetastatic disease (21). Similarly, tumor-cell derived microRNAs delivered by exosomes have shown to have a role in the tumorigenesis promotion, whose quantification or expression panel has been demonstrated to affect cell proliferation, invasiveness and removal capability, and predict survival in renal cell cancer cases (22, 23). Liu et al. described bladder cancer organoid models as a potential guide for treatment selection. These are *in-vitro*, three-dimensional tumor models faithfully reproducing histological architecture and mutational burden of the parental tumor (24). Based on a differential molecular or phenotype cell characterization, or drug sensitivity tests, urothelial cancer organoids appear as a promising way to submit patients to conventional (neoadjuvant chemotherapy followed by cystectomy) or alternative treatments (bladder-sparing (chemo)radiation, immunotherapy or antibody-drugs conjugates) (25), in expectation of poor response to the standard of care or recurrent tumors unfit for radical surgery.

The integration of histopathology data with radiomic and molecular features, routine clinicopathological and common riskstratification parameters, patient-completed tools combined with physician grading of symptoms, possibly through automated deep learning workflows, is the future key for a multidimensional, comprehensive understanding of tumor behavior driving an accurately defined anticancer treatment intensification or deintensification that is certainly harbinger of disease control. Synergy within a multidisciplinary Uro-oncology team is also essential to adequately frame clinic findings and patients perception, therefore ensure quality, multimodal cancer care. Actually, the selection of patients for cancer treatment is based on patients characteristics (age, performance status, comorbidity) and tumor mass-related factors like tumor size and histological subtype, while the use of information on tumor biology is still limited. The real-time molecular characterization of tumor vulnerability, monitoring of therapeutic responses, and tracking minimal residual disease represents the beginning of a new era of precision medicine, where not only further individualization of radiation dose, surgical or systemic therapy prescription is possible, but also the goals of concrete reduced toxicity and improved QoL of longterm cancer survivors become definitely achievable.

## Author contributions

LB: Conceptualization, Methodology, Project administration, Writing – original draft. BD: Supervision, Writing – review & editing. AS: Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## **Generative AI statement**

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

## References

1. Bray F, Laversanne M, Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Soerjomataram I, et al. Global cancer statistics 2022: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin.* (2024) 74:229–63. doi: 10.3322/ caac.21834

2. Schwenck J, Sonanini D, Cotton JM, Rammensee HG, la Fougère C, Zender L, et al. Advances in PET imaging of cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer*. (2023) 23:474–90. doi: 10.1038/s41568-023-00576-4

3. Detti B, Carnevale MG, Lucidi S, Burchini L, Caini S, Orsatti C, et al. Choline PET/CT in recurrent prostate cancer. *Front Oncol.* (2023) 13:1079808. doi: 10.3389/ fonc.2023.1079808

 Das CJ, Razik A, Netaji A, Verma S. Prostate MRI-TRUS fusion biopsy: a review of the state of the art procedure. *Abdom Radiol (NY)*. (2020) 45:2176–83. doi: 10.1007/ s00261-019-02391-8

 Thorwarth D. Biologically adapted radiation therapy. Z Med Phys. (2018) 28:177– 83. doi: 10.1016/j.zemedi.2017.08.001

6. Sardaro A, Turi B, Bardoscia L, Ferrari C, Rubini G, Calabrese A, et al. The role of multiparametric magnetic resonance in volumetric modulated arc radiation therapy planning for prostate cancer recurrence after radical prostatectomy: a pilot study. *Front Oncol.* (2021) 10:603994. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2020.603994

7. Koukourikis P, Rha KH. Robotic surgical systems in urology: What is currently available? *Investig Clin Urol.* (2021) 62:14–22. doi: 10.4111/icu.20200387

8. Franco A, Ditonno F, Manfredi C, Johnson AD, Mamgain A, Feldman-Schultz O, et al. Robot-assisted surgery in the field of urology: the most pioneering approaches 2015-2023. *Res Rep Urol.* (2023) 15:453–70. doi: 10.2147/RRU.S386025

9. Tree AC, Ostler P, van der Voet H, Chu W, Loblaw A, Ford D, et al. Intensitymodulated radiotherapy versus stereotactic body radiotherapy for prostate cancer (PACE-B): 2-year toxicity results from an open-label, randomised, phase 3, noninferiority trial. *Lancet Oncol.* (2022) 23:1308–20. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(22)00517-4

10. Cozzi S, Finocchi Ghersi S, Bardoscia L, Najafi M, Blandino G, Alì E, et al. Linacbased stereotactic salvage reirradiation for intraprostatic prostate cancer recurrence: toxicity and outcomes. *Strahlenther Onkol.* (2023) 199:554–64. doi: 10.1007/s00066-023-02043-3

11. Cozzi S, Botti A, Timon G, Blandino G, Najafi M, Manicone M, et al. Prognostic factors, efficacy, and toxicity of involved-node stereotactic body radiation therapy for lymph node oligorecurrent prostate cancer: An investigation of 117 pelvic lymph nodes. *Strahlenther Onkol.* (2022) 198:700–9. doi: 10.1007/s00066-021-01871-5

12. Marvaso G, Jereczek-Fossa BA, Zaffaroni M, Vincini MG, Corrao G, Andratschke N, et al. Delphi consensus on stereotactic ablative radiotherapy for oligometastatic and oligoprogressive renal cell carcinoma-a European Society for Radiotherapy and Oncology study endorsed by the European Association of Urology. *Lancet Oncol.* (2024) 25:e193–204. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(24)00023-8

13. Siva S, Kothari G, Muacevic A, Louie AV, Slotman BJ, Teh BS, et al. Radiotherapy for renal cell carcinoma: renaissance of an overlooked approach. *Nat Rev Urol.* (2017) 14:549–63. doi: 10.1038/nrurol.2017.87

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

14. Takemura K, Lemelin A, Ernst MS, Wells JC, Saliby RM, El Zarif T, et al. Outcomes of patients with brain metastases from renal cell carcinoma receiving first-line therapies: results from the international metastatic renal cell carcinoma database consortium. *Eur Urol.* (2024) 86(6):488–92. doi: 10.1016/j.eururo.2024.01.006

15. Deek MP, van der Eecken K, Sutera P, Deek RA, Fonteyne V, Mendes AA, et al. Long-term outcomes and genetic predictors of response to metastasis-directed therapy versus observation in oligometastatic prostate cancer: analysis of STOMP and ORIOLE trials. *J Clin Oncol.* (2022) 40:3377–82. doi: 10.1200/JCO.22.00644

16. Ma C, Chen M, Long T, Parsons D, Gu X, Jiang S, et al. Flattening filter free in intensity-modulated radiotherapy (IMRT) - Theoretical modeling with delivery efficiency analysis. *Med Phys.* (2019) 46:34–44. doi: 10.1002/mp.13267

17. Formenti SC, Demaria S. Systemic effects of local radiotherapy. Lancet Oncol. (2009) 10:718–26. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(09)70082-8

18. Marciscano AE, Haimovitz-Friedman A, Lee P, Tran PT, Tomé WA, Guha C, et al. Immunomodulatory effects of stereotactic body radiation therapy: preclinical insights and clinical opportunities. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* (2021) 110:35–52. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2019.02.046

19. Fanshawe JB, Wai-Shun Chan V, Asif A, Ng A, Van Hemelrijck M, Cathcart P, et al. Decision regret in patients with localised prostate cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur Urol Oncol.* (2023) 6:456–66. doi: 10.1016/j.euo.2023.02.005

20. Cornford P, van den Bergh RCN, Briers E, Van den Broeck T, Brunckhorst O, Darraugh J, et al. EAU-EANM-ESTRO-ESUR-ISUP-SIOG guidelines on prostate cancer-2024 update. Part I: screening, diagnosis, and local treatment with curative intent. *Eur Uro.* (2024) 86:148–63. doi: 10.1016/j.eururo.2024.03.027

21. Colosini A, Bernardi S, Foroni C, Pasinetti N, Guerini AE, Russo D, et al. Stratification of oligometastatic prostate cancer patients by liquid biopsy: clinical insights from a pilot study. *Biomedicines*. (2022) 10:1321. doi: 10.3390/biomedicines10061321

22. Blackwell RH, Foreman KE, Gupta GN. The role of cancer-derived exosomes in tumorigenicity & Epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition. *Cancers (Basel)*. (2017) 9:105. doi: 10.3390/cancers9080105

23. Liu J, Zhang L, Wang Z, Li H, Wang B, Liu X. Prognostic value of miR-190a-5p in renal cell cancer and its regulatory effect on tumor progression. *Int J Biol Markers*. (2024) 39(4):310–8. doi: 10.1177/03936155241290251

24. Medle B, Sjödahl G, Eriksson P, Liedberg F, Höglund M, Bernardo C. Patientderived bladder cancer organoid models in tumor biology and drug testing: A systematic review. *Cancers (Basel)*. (2022) 14:2062. doi: 10.3390/cancers14092062

25. Powles T, Bellmunt J, Comperat E, De Santis M, Huddart R, Loriot Y, et al. Electronic address: clinicalguidelines@esmo.org. Bladder cancer: ESMO Clinical Practice Guideline for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Ann Oncol.* (2022) 33:244–58. doi: 10.1016/j.annonc.2021.11.012