Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Sherry Ross, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, United States

REVIEWED BY Aniruddh Deshpande, Children's Hospital at Westmead, Australia Rasoul Goli, Urmia University of Medical Sciences, Iran

*CORRESPONDENCE Kathleen M. Kan Kkan@stanford.edu

RECEIVED 24 April 2024 ACCEPTED 21 March 2025 PUBLISHED 16 April 2025

CITATION

Brosula RJ, Venkatapuram P, Chen AL, Zhang CA and Kan KM (2025) Trends in the prevalence of pediatric lower urinary tract symptoms in a national claims database of privately insured patients, 2007-2016. *Front. Urol.* 5:1422897. doi: 10.3389/fruro.2025.1422897

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Brosula, Venkatapuram, Chen, Zhang and Kan. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Trends in the prevalence of pediatric lower urinary tract symptoms in a national claims database of privately insured patients, 2007-2016

Raphael James Brosula, Pranaya Venkatapuram, Abby L. Chen, Chiyuan A. Zhang and Kathleen M. Kan*

Department of Urology, Stanford University School of Medicine, Palo Alto, CA, United States

Background: Pediatric lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS) impact a significant number of children and families worldwide. Estimated prevalences rely on small cross-sectional studies, leading to inconsistent estimates. This study aims to characterize demographic and temporal trends in LUTS prevalence within a national claims database of privately insured individuals in the United States.

Methods: We conducted a retrospective cohort study by reviewing the Merative[™] MarketScan[®] Outpatient Research Database v2.0 between 2007-2016. Patients with neurogenic bladder, renal transplant, structural urologic disease, and concurrent urinary tract infection were excluded. Yearly trends were reviewed across age, sex, geographic region, and clinical comorbidities such as attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) and constipation. Yearly frequency of diagnostic codes was calculated to characterize LUTS diagnostic coding practices.

Results: We identified 1,625,538 patients aged 5-18 years with LUTS, representing 6% of the total population at risk, with a median age of 8.0 years. More patients in the cohort were female (66.1%), between 5-10 years old (57.9%), and resided in the Southern US (38.5%). The yearly prevalence of LUTS significantly increased from 1.8% to 2.1% yearly, and saw significant increases in females, 15-18 year old patients, and across several geographic regions. Comorbid constipation and ADHD within LUTS patients also significantly increased. Diagnostic coding practices remained stable.

Conclusions: Families of patients with LUTS are increasingly seeking medical care for their condition. These results exceed similar estimates from previous longitudinal studies and can inform population-level intervention strategies. Further studies should investigate the impact of LUTS on healthcare resource utilization, including in non-privately insured populations.

KEYWORDS

lower urinary tract symptoms, administrative claims, healthcare, retrospective studies, pediatrics, urology

1 Introduction

Pediatric lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS) are commonly seen among children in the United States, affecting around 17% of school-aged children, the majority between the ages of 5-10 years old who present with daytime and nighttime incontinence, urgency, frequency, and dysuria (1–4). Factors associated with LUTS presentation include gender, family history of incontinence, and clinical comorbidities such as constipation and attention deficit/ hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) (4–9). The sequelae of LUTS includes a decrease in quality of life and self-esteem, increased family stress, recurrent urinary tract infection (UTI), and an uncalculated burden of missed school and work days (10–13). Further understanding the population-level prevalence of associated factors of pediatric LUTS may help to address the needs of children and families seeking care for this condition.

The prevalence of families with LUTS seeking medical care has not been recently well-defined. In 2012, the *Urologic Diseases in America* report estimated that approximately 1,000 per 100,000 children in the United States \leq 10 years old required outpatient care for a pediatric urinary incontinence diagnosis (14). Existing studies based on cross-sectional surveys differ in study techniques, questionnaires, and criteria for LUTS, limiting the direct comparison of findings. Further characterizing this population in terms of their demographics and clinical comorbidities over time is necessary to understand disease burden and longitudinal risk as well as to inform the scope, feasibility, and effectiveness of populationlevel treatment strategies for pediatric LUTS.

The Merative[™] MarketScan[®] Outpatient Research Database is a US database containing individual level, de-identified healthcare claims information integrating data from commercial medical insurance claims of privately insured patients. While results are limited due to the differences in population characteristics in a commercially insured versus uninsured patient group, this dataset represents a unique opportunity to characterize the trends in prevalence within a LUTS cohort at a national level, with the potential to be utilized for future healthcare resource utilization studies. Using claims data, we aim to define the overall and yearly prevalence of LUTS in a pediatric population ages 5-18 years old as well as the yearly prevalence of demographic variables and clinical comorbidities within this cohort.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Data source

We analyzed data derived from the Merative[™] MarketScan[®] Outpatient Research Database v2.0 between the years 2007 to 2016. The de-identified data include enrollment data from large employers and health plans across the United States providing healthcare coverage for more than 153 million employees, their spouses, and dependents in total. Enrollment, demographic, and claims data are available. This includes services provided in a doctor's office, hospital-based outpatient facility and/or emergency department. Diagnoses were coded using the International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision (ICD-9) and Tenth Revision (ICD-10). Procedures were coded using Current Procedural Terminology (CPT).

2.2 Ethics

Research on de-identified data hosted by the Stanford Center for Population Health Sciences is conducted under protocol 40974. This protocol includes a waiver of consent, a waiver assent and a waiver of HIPAA authorization.

2.3 Study population

We conducted a retrospective population-based cohort study. Our cohort included all patients aged 5-18 years old with LUTS (Appendix 1; see Supplementary Material). A patient was considered to have LUTS if they had at least 1 LUTS diagnosis code at any time between 2007-2016 within an outpatient visit claim. To prevent confounding of LUTS prevalence measurements, we excluded patients with an ICD-9/ICD-10 diagnosis code or CPT surgical code related to neurogenic bladder, renal transplant, and structural urologic diseases - hypospadias, vesicoureteral reflux, posterior urethral valves, urethral stricture disease, and ureterocele - that increase risk for LUTS. To avoid further confounding, we excluded patient encounters with a concurrent urinary tract infection (UTI) code in the same claim (Appendix 1; see Supplementary Material). Clinically, LUTS cases often present without a concurrent UTI diagnosis, and lack of laboratory data in the database precludes further confirmation of UTI cases in our cohort.

2.4 Statistical analysis

The primary outcome was prevalence of LUTS per year between 2007 to 2016. Secondary outcomes were the stratification of LUTS prevalence via demographic variables and clinical comorbidities. These stratified prevalence rates were calculated yearly and within the cohort. Demographic variables analyzed include age, sex, and geographic region (North Central, Northeast, South, West). Clinical comorbidities analyzed include constipation and ADHD. A patient was defined to have constipation if they had at least one constipation-related diagnosis code at any time in their record. A patient was defined to have ADHD if they had at least two ADHD-related diagnoses codes within their record. Requiring multiple codes addresses the frequent use of ADHD-related diagnosis codes during screening encounters and is similar to prior methods identifying ADHD within claims databases (15, 16).

The overall prevalence of LUTS was calculated as the proportion of unique LUTS patients within the total population at risk. The yearly prevalence of LUTS was calculated as the proportion of LUTS patients within the total population at risk per one-year period. We conducted a descriptive analysis of the cohort's demographic characteristics and clinical comorbidities at the time of the first diagnosis.

Additionally, trends over time for the primary and secondary outcomes were characterized using a Mann-Kendall trend test with a statistically significant trend at p < 0.05, adjusting with the Bonferroni correction for multiple hypotheses. Trends in diagnostic coding practices were evaluated to address potential limitations to using a claims database. Yearly frequency of the top four diagnoses was calculated from 2007-2016 as a proportion of unique patients and unique encounters with the corresponding diagnoses code.

3 Results

We identified a total population at risk of 26,977,105. After applying exclusion criteria, we identified a total LUTS cohort with 1,625,538 patients, representing a 6.03% average prevalence in the dataset. The median age of LUTS patients was 8.0 years. Within the cohort, more patients were female (66.1%), in the 5-10 age group (57.9%), and from the South (38.5%) (Table 1). 5.4% of the patients within the cohort had comorbid constipation, and 1.8% had ADHD.

Yearly prevalence of LUTS between 2007 and 2016 are shown in Figure 1. Overall LUTS prevalence increased (p = 0.007) from 1.8%

TABLE 1	Descriptive statistics and prevalence trends by demographics					
and clinical comorbidities within the LUTS cohort.						

	n (%)	Prevalence Trend (p-value)				
Overall	1,625,538	Increasing (p = 0.007)				
Sex						
Male	551,607 (33.9)	No trend (p = 0.012)				
Female	1,073,931 (66.1)	Increasing (p = 0.004)				
Age Group at First Diagnosis						
5-10	941,856 (57.9)	No trend (p = 0.721)				
11-14	276,857 (17.0)	No trend (p = 0.074)				
15-18	408,625 (25.0)	Increasing (p = 0.002)				
Region						
North Central	408,843 (25.2)	No trend (p = 0.032)				
Northeast	285,496 (17.6)	Increasing (p = 0.007)				
South	626,142 (38.5)	Increasing (p = 0.007)				
West	256,883 (15.8)	Increasing (p < 0.001)				
Unknown	48,174 (3.0)	No trend (p = 0.283)				
Comorbidity						
ADHD	28,516 (1.8)	Increasing (p < 0.001)				
Constipation	87,318 (5.4)	Increasing (p < 0.001)				

Bold values indicate statistically significant monotonic trends.

in 2007 to 2.2% in 2016. LUTS prevalence stratified by age group, sex, and region are also shown in Figure 1. LUTS prevalence increased in the 15-18 years old age group (p = 0.002) from 0.9% in 2007 to 1.2% in 2016, but did not reach significance in the 5-10 and 11-14 year old age groups. LUTS prevalence increased significantly among female patients (p = 0.004) and was consistently higher than males, increasing from 2.4% in 2007 to 2.9% in 2016 compared to 1.2% in 2007 to 1.4% in 2016 for males. Yearly LUTS prevalence increased in the South, Northeast, and West regions (Figure 1).

Yearly prevalence of comorbidities is shown in Figure 2. The prevalence of constipation within the LUTS cohort increased from 3.2% in 2007 to a peak of 14.5% in 2016 (p < 0.001). The prevalence of comorbid ADHD also significantly increased (p < 0.001), ranging from 1.3% in 2007 to 3.6% in 2016, and peaking at 4.8% in 2015.

To investigate LUTS diagnostic coding practices, the top 10 LUTS ICD-9 codes were analyzed by the number of unique patients and encounters (Table 2). The top four LUTS diagnoses across both the ICD-9 and ICD-10 periods were the same: dysuria (ICD-9: 788.1, ICD-10: R30.0), urinary frequency/frequency of micturition (ICD-9: 788.41, ICD-10: R35.0), nocturnal enuresis (ICD-9: 788.36, ICD-10: N39.44), and unspecified urinary incontinence (ICD-9: 788.30, R32). Among all patients, 61.1%, 23.1%, 11.1%, and 10.8% had the respective LUTS diagnoses. Similarly, 50.8%, 18.4%, 11.2% and 12.6% of all encounters had the respective LUTS diagnoses. Overall, relative frequency of these diagnostic codes remained largely similar between the two ICD periods except for a shift in increasing nocturnal enuresis as opposed to unspecific urinary incontinence late in the ICD-10 period (Figure 3).

4 Discussion

Within the MerativeTM MarketScan[®] Research Database, we find that cumulatively, about 6% of all patients sought medical care for LUTS. Yearly prevalence increased from 1.8% in 2007 to 2.2% in 2016. Most patients were younger, female, and resided in the Southern US. LUTS prevalence increased in female patients, in 15-18 year olds, and in the South, Northeast, and West geographic regions. The prevalence of co-morbid constipation also increased.

Cross-sectional studies estimate that the prevalence of daytime incontinence (DUI), urge incontinence (UI), and urgency/ frequency approach 17% (1–3). Additional estimates vary widely due to differences in measuring one presentation of LUTS versus all LUTS, varying age group cutoffs, and the inconsistent use of validated survey instruments to measure disease burden (3, 8, 17–19). In our study, prevalence increased between 1.8% to 2.2%. This number is appropriately lower than community data and reflects a subset of privately insured patients who interact with the healthcare system. In one survey, only 16% and 31.9% of families affected by DUI and nocturnal enuresis (NE) sought any kind of medical care, respectively (9, 20).

Treatment-seeking behaviors may be influenced by factors such as access to care, symptom frequency/severity, or community norms. Compared to previously reported analyses, our findings



demonstrate a nearly doubled rate of overall healthcare utilization. The Urologic Diseases in America report approximates that 1,000 of every 100,000 families with pediatric incontinence seek outpatient care (14). However, there are some key differences between the two claims-based studies. Our study uses a different database and

includes non-incontinence codes relevant to LUTS, such as dysuria and urinary frequency that represent a large proportion of coded visits.

LUTS prevalence increased significantly for girls and compared to boys, prevalence was consistently twice as high. Though some



The prevalence of co-morbid constipation increases in LUTS patients. Plotted are the prevalence of co-morbid ADHD and co-morbid constipation within the LUTS cohort.

TABLE 2 Top 10 ICD-9 LUTS Diagnosis Codes by number and	
proportion of unique patients and unique encounters with this	
diagnosis code.	

ICD-9 Code	Diagnosis	Number of Unique Patients (%)	Number of Unique Encounters (%)
788.1	Dysuria	913,866 (61.0)	1,191,321 (50.9)
788.41	Urinary frequency	346,297 (23.1)	433,098 (18.5)
788.30	Urinary incontinence, unspecified	162,167 (10.8)	297,401 (12.7)
788.36	Nocturnal enuresis	160,758 (10.7)	250,538 (10.7)
307.6	Enuresis	66,473 (4.4)	118,157 (5.0)
788.63	Urgency of urination	45,292 (3.0)	55,044 (2.4)
599.9	Unspecified disorder of urethra and urinary tract	32,458 (2.2)	39,042 (1.7)
788.69	Other abnormality of urination	23,579 (1.6)	28,669 (1.2)
788.31	Urge incontinence	16,822 (1.1)	26,494 (1.1)
788.21	Incomplete bladder emptying	10,283 (0.7)	14,853 (0.6)

studies have found higher rates of LUTS in girls (8, 9, 17, 19), another study found no statistically significant relation between LUTS and sex (7). This may be because different types of LUTS have different distributions across age and sex. For example, girls demonstrate higher rates of DUI as they age; in contrast, boys show greater rates of NE (21). UTI is more commonly associated with girls and can be associated with LUTS presentations; however, we excluded these UTI diagnoses in our analysis (7).

While the 5-10 year age group was the most frequently diagnosed with LUTS, 15-18 year olds made up 25% of the cohort, and were the only age group where disease prevalence significantly increased over time. Of note, 5% of children at 9.5 years old experience daytime incontinence, suggesting that there is a large group that does not experience symptom resolution (22). Similarly, outpatient office visits for LUTS decreased to 440 per 100,000 in 11-17 year olds, but again, reflect a trend within a subset of older patients who may still seek care (14). Driving factors may include new or chronic symptoms, increased symptom severity, and increased preference for independence in social activities.

Our study found that overall prevalence of co-morbid constipation was 5.4%. Constipation affects 0.7% to 29.6% of children (23). Children with constipation are 6.8 times more likely to have LUTS (8, 25). Thirty-five to thirty-eight percent of children with LUTS have constipation (8, 24). The relationship between clinical presentations may be due to underlying anatomic proximity and overall pelvic floor mechanics (26). The prevalence of co-morbid constipation increased significantly from 3.2% in 2007 to 14.5% in 2016. This change may be due to broader communitybased factors such as diet and healthy food access. The highest proportion of children with LUTS in our cohort reside in the Southern US, where higher rates of constipation have been previously observed (27). LUTS diagnoses increased across three geographic areas in this analysis, though the role of this variable cannot be fully captured in claims data alone.

Approximately 42% of children with LUTS also present with ADHD and these children are 2-4 times more likely to experience



LUTS compared to children without ADHD (4, 6, 28). The present study finds that 1.8% of children with LUTS also presented with ADHD, and that it significantly increased from 1.3% in 2007 to 3.6% in 2016. These two conditions may be related due to increased difficulties with externalizing problems and oppositional behavior that have been noted in children with DUI (29). Overall, characterizing LUTS comorbidities such as constipation and ADHD is important to establish correct clinical diagnosis, provide appropriate multidisciplinary care, and develop tailored outreach and education programs to address the specific needs of these children.

Our analysis of ICD code frequency reveals that dysuria is the most prominent LUTS-related diagnosis within our database, followed by urinary frequency, nocturnal enuresis, and unspecified urinary incontinence. These findings persist even after the transition between ICD-9 and ICD-10 systems, providing a robust picture of which LUTS people seek medical care for and how providers code for different types of LUTS. Because the relative frequency of these LUTS diagnoses did not increase over time, diagnostic coding patterns are unlikely to be driving the changes observed in LUTS prevalence.

Though the MerativeTM MarketScan[®] Research Databases cover millions of patients across the United States, this study does have limitations. The MerativeTM MarketScan[®] Research Database represents a privately insured population and it lacks data related to race and socioeconomic status. This limits the analysis of risk factors and burden driven by social determinants of health that impact LUTS patients. Further research is needed to contextualize these trends across different social determinants of health and in different populations not captured within the dataset, such as those who are not privately insured. Claims databases are also subject to missing/inaccurate data and selection biases dependent on case definitions, which may include length of enrollment (30). Our study does not account for a specific length of enrollment to ensure that the maximal cohort and total population at risk are accounted for within the prevalence estimate. Though the use of clinically assessed ICD-9/ICD-10 codes for LUTS standardizes the case definition, the sensitivity and specificity of these codes and the accuracy of coding has not been investigated. Our cohort excluded children with renal transplant, neurologic bladder, structural urologic diseases, and concurrent UTI to prevent confounding the measurement of LUTS prevalence. However, the influence of additional conditions such as developmental delay and the role of UTI were not investigated.

Other limitations of this study include 1) those associated with using a private claims database to estimate prevalence within the population overall such as providers' coding practices and fluctuations in enrollment and access to coverage and 2) the availability of older data only—due to institutional policies on data access—which may not fully reflect current trends.

Further investigation into healthcare resource utilization from claims data allows these programs to target areas of improvement to reduce healthcare spending and prompt investment into preventative programs. In conclusion, we found that prevalence of pediatric LUTS increased 1.8% to 2.1% between 2007 to 2016 among a population of privately insured patients in the MarketScan[®] Research Database, revealing that families are increasingly seeking care to manage the conditions of children with pediatric LUTS. This figure is two times that of previous estimates in some of the literature, pointing to a consistent burden within the healthcare system. We also characterize increases in LUTS prevalence within female patients, older children, as well as increases in comorbid ADHD and constipation. Longitudinal study methods can further improve our current understanding of these clinical and demographic risk factors for LUTS to help inform effective treatment and prevention strategies, while prompting investment into appropriate prevention and intervention programs.

Data availability statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available from Merative MarketScan[®] Research Databases through the Stanford Center for Population Health Sciences, but restrictions apply to the availability of these data, which were used under license for the current study, and so are not publicly available. Data is not available from the authors due to restrictions by Merative MarketScan[®]. Requests to access these datasets should be directed to PHS Data Core, phsdatacore@stanford.edu.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by Stanford University's Institutional Review Board. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent for participation was not required from the participants or the participants' legal guardians/next of kin in accordance with the national legislation and institutional requirements.

Author contributions

RB: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. PV: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. AC: Data curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. CZ: Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. KK: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Resources, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article.

Acknowledgments

Data for this project were accessed using the Stanford Center for Population Health Sciences Data Core. The PHS Data Core is supported by a National Institutes of Health National Center for Advancing Translational Science Clinical and Translational Science Award (UL1TR003142) and from Internal Stanford funding.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

References

1. Sureshkumar P, Jones M, Cumming R, Craig J. A population based study of 2,856 school-age children with urinary incontinence. *J Urol.* (2009) 181:808–16. doi: 10.1016/j.juro.2008.10.044

2. Chung JM, Lee SD, Kang DI, Kwon DD, Kim KS, Kim SY, et al. An epidemiologic study of voiding and bowel habits in Korean children: A nationwide multicenter study. *Urology*. (2010) 76:215–9. doi: 10.1016/j.urology.2009.12.022

3. Chung JM, Lee SD, Kang DI, Kwon DD, Kim KS, Kim SY, et al. Prevalence and associated factors of overactive bladder in Korean children 5-13 years old: A nationwide multicenter study. *Urology.* (2009) 73:63–7. doi: 10.1016/j.urology. 2008.06.063

4. Vasconcelos Mm de A, Bastos JM, Arana IE, Teixeira IB, Lima EM, Carvalho TA, et al. Association between Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder and lower urinary tract symptoms in children and adolescents in a community setting. *Int Braz J Urol Off J Braz Soc Urol.* (2021) 47:969–78. doi: 10.1590/S1677-5538.IBJU. 2020.0978

5. Niemczyk J, Equit M, Hoffmann L, von Gontard A. Incontinence in children with treated attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *J Pediatr Urol.* (2015) 11:141.e1. doi: 10.1016/j.jpurol.2015.02.009

6. Yang T-K, Guo Y-J, Chen S-C, Chang H-C, Yang H-J, Huang K-H. Correlation between symptoms of voiding dysfunction and attention deficit disorder with hyperactivity in children with lower urinary tract symptoms. *J Urol.* (2012) 187:656–63. doi: 10.1016/j.juro.2011.10.016

7. Salö M, Nejstgaard MC, Hambraeus M, Graneli C, Börjesson A, Hagelsteen K, et al. Sex and age differences in lower urinary tract dysfunction in healthy children. *Acta Paediatr.* (2021) 110:2618–26. doi: 10.1111/apa.15959

8. Sampaio C, Sousa AS, Fraga LGA, Veiga ML, Bastos Netto JM, Barroso UJ. Constipation and lower urinary tract dysfunction in children and adolescents: A population-based study. *Front Pediatr.* (2016) 0:101. doi: 10.3389/fped.2016.00101

9. Sureshkumar P, Craig JC, Roy LP, Knight JF. Daytime urinary incontinence in primary school children: A population-based survey. *J Pediatr.* (2000) 137:814–8. doi: 10.1067/mpd.2000.109196

10. Bulum B, Özçakar ZB, Kavaz A, Hüseynova M, Ekim M, Yalçinkaya F. Lower urinary tract dysfunction is frequently seen in urinary tract infections in children and is often associated with reduced quality of life. *Acta Paediatr Oslo Nor 1992.* (2014) 103: e454–458. doi: 10.1111/apa.12732

11. Kaufman J, Temple-Smith M, Sanci L. Urinary tract infections in children: an overview of diagnosis and management. *BMJ Paediatr Open.* (2019) 3:e000487. doi: 10.1136/bmjpo-2019-000487

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Author disclaimer

The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the NIH.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fruro.2025.1422897/ full#supplementary-material

12. Filce HG, LaVergne L. Absenteeism, educational plans, and anxiety among children with incontinence and their parents. *J Sch Health*. (2015) 85:241–50. doi: 10.1111/josh.12245

13. Marciano RC, Cardoso MGF, Vasconcelos MA, Paula JJ, Pinho NC, Oliveira AC, et al. Behavioral disorders and impairment of quality of life in children and adolescents with lower urinary tract dysfunction. *J Pediatr Urol.* (2018) 14:568.e1–7. doi: 10.1016/j.jpurol.2018.07.017

14. Litwin MS, Saigal CS, eds. Table 4-65. Urinary Incontinence. In: *Urologic Diseases in America*. US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health, National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases. Washington, DC: US Government Printing Office; NIH Publication No. 12-7865. (2012) 154.

15. Nyarko KA, Grosse SD, Danielson ML, Holbrook JR, Visser SN, Shapira SK. Treated prevalence of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder increased from 2009 to 2015 among school-aged children and adolescents in the United States. *J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol.* (2017) 27:731–4. doi: 10.1089/cap.2016.0196

16. Waxmonsky JG, Baweja R, Liu G, Waschbusch DA, Fogel B, Leslie D, et al. A commercial insurance claims analysis of correlates of behavioral therapy use among children with ADHD. *Psychiatr Serv Wash DC.* (2019) 70:1116–22. doi: 10.1176/appi.ps.201800473

17. Vaz GT, Vasconcelos MM, Oliveira EA, Ferreira AL, Magalhães PG, Silva FM, et al. Prevalence of lower urinary tract symptoms in school-age children. *Pediatr Nephrol.* (2012) 27:597–603. doi: 10.1007/s00467-011-2028-1

18. Yüksel S, Yurdakul AÇ, Zencir M, Çördük N. Evaluation of lower urinary tract dysfunction in Turkish primary schoolchildren: An epidemiological study. *J Pediatr Urol.* (2014) 10:1181–6. doi: 10.1016/j.jpurol.2014.05.008

 Linde JM, Nijman RJM, Trzpis M, Broens PMA. Prevalence of urinary incontinence and other lower urinary tract symptoms in children in the Netherlands. *J Pediatr Urol.* (2019) 15:164.e1–7. doi: 10.1016/j.jpurol.2018.10.027

20. Butler RJ, Golding J, Heron J, Team the AS. Nocturnal enuresis: a survey of parental coping strategies at 7½ years. *Child Care Health Dev.* (2005) 31:659–67. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2214.2005.00556.x

21. Buckley BS, Lapitan MCM. Prevalence of urinary incontinence in men, women, and children—Current evidence: findings of the fourth international consultation on incontinence. *Urology*. (2010) 76:265–70. doi: 10.1016/j.urology.2009.11.078

22. Swithinbank L, Heron J, Von Gontard A, Abrams P. The natural history of daytime urinary incontinence in children: a large British cohort. *Acta Paediatr.* (2010) 99:1031-6. doi: 10.1111/j.1651-2227.2010.01739.x

23. van den Berg MM, Benninga MA, Di Lorenzo C. Epidemiology of childhood constipation: A systematic review. Off J Am Coll Gastroenterol ACG. (2006) 101 (10):2401–9. doi: 10.1111/j.1572-0241.2006.00771.x

24. Muhammad S, Nawaz G, Jamil I, Ur Rehman A, Hussain I, Akhter S. Constipation in pediatric patients with lower urinary tract symptoms. J Coll Physicians Surg-Pak JCPSP. (2015) 25:815-8.

25. Hadjizadeh N, Motamed F, Abdollahzade S, Rafiei S. Association of voiding dysfunction with functional constipation. *Indian Pediatr.* (2009) 46(12):1093–5.

26. De Paepe H, Renson C, Van Laecke E, Raes A, Vande Walle J, Hoebeke P. Pelvic-floor therapy and toilet training in young children with dysfunctional voiding and obstipation. *BJU Int.* (2000) 85:889–93. doi: 10.1046/j.1464-410x.2000.00664.x

27. Liem O, Harman J, Benninga M, Kelleher K, Mousa H, Di Lorenzo C. Health utilization and cost impact of childhood constipation in the United States. *J Pediatr.* (2009) 154:258–62. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2008.07.060

28. Robson WL, Jackson HP, Blackhurst D, Leung AK. Enuresis in children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. *South Med J.* (1997) 90:503–5. doi: 10.1097/00007611-199705000-00007

29. Joinson C, Heron J, von Gontard A, the ALSPAC Study Team. Psychological problems in children with daytime wetting. *Pediatrics*. (2006) 118:1985–93. doi: 10.1542/peds.2006-0894

30. Jensen ET, Cook SF, Allen JK, Logie J, Brookhart MA, Kappelman MD, et al. Enrollment factors and bias of disease prevalence estimates in administrative claims data. *Ann Epidemiol.* (2015) 25:519–525.e2. doi: 10.1016/j.annepidem.2015.03.008