



Corrigendum: Diagnostic Accuracy of Delayed Phase Post Contrast Computed Tomographic Images in the Diagnosis of Focal Liver Lesions in Dogs: 69 Cases

Silvia Burti¹, Alessandro Zotti¹, Federico Bonsembiante^{1,2}, Barbara Contiero¹ and Tommaso Banzato^{1*}

¹ Department of Animal Medicine, Productions and Health, University of Padua, Padua, Italy, ² Department of Comparative Biomedicine and Food Science, University of Padua, Padua, Italy

OPEN ACCESS

Edited and reviewed by:

Sibylle Maria Kneissl,
University of Veterinary Medicine
Vienna, Austria

*Correspondence:

Tommaso Banzato
tommaso.banzato@unipd.it

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to
Veterinary Imaging,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Veterinary Science

Received: 24 September 2021

Accepted: 11 October 2021

Published: 04 November 2021

Citation:

Burti S, Zotti A, Bonsembiante F,
Contiero B and Banzato T (2021)
Corrigendum: Diagnostic Accuracy of
Delayed Phase Post Contrast
Computed Tomographic Images in the
Diagnosis of Focal Liver Lesions in
Dogs: 69 Cases.
Front. Vet. Sci. 8:782672.
doi: 10.3389/fvets.2021.782672

Keywords: decision tree, HCC (hepatic cellular carcinoma), contrast - enhanced CT, computed tomography, focal liver lesion

A Corrigendum on

Diagnostic Accuracy of Delayed Phase Post Contrast Computed Tomographic Images in the Diagnosis of Focal Liver Lesions in Dogs: 69 Cases

by Burti, S., Zotti, A., Bonsembiante, F., Contiero, B., and Banzato, T. (2021). *Front. Vet. Sci.* 8:611556. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2021.611556

In the original article an error occurred with the ellipsoid volume formula and subsequently there were errors in **Table 2**. The volume was calculated using formula $V = 4/3 * (\text{height} * \text{width} * \text{length})$ whereas the correct formula is: $V = 4/3 * (\text{height}/2 * \text{width}/2 * \text{length}/2)$. Therefore, the results reported in **Table 2** are 8 times bigger than the actual volume. The corrected **Table 2** appears below.

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Burti, Zotti, Bonsembiante, Contiero and Banzato. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

TABLE 2 | Quantitative features of the lesions, classified based on cytological or histological examination, are reported as medians along with the first and third quartile values and the *p*-value.

	HU normal liver pre-CE	HU normal liver post-CE	HU lesion pre-CE	HU lesion post-CE	Max dimension*	Ellipsoid volume**
DIAGNOSIS						
Nodular hyperplasia (<i>n</i> = 19)	63.82 (53.79–69.79) ^{ab}	144.54 (120.59–169.15)	45.68 (40.72–54.79)	114.37 (50.96–144.87)	4.53 (2.45–6.75) ^{ab}	40.78 (6.15–112.86) ^{ab}
Other benign lesions † (<i>n</i> = 18)	66.84 (64.36–72.54) ^a	137.60 (126.71–154.01)	39.50 (29.94–45.99)	75.65 (61.37–121.17)	2.15 (1.12–5.33) ^b	2.41 (0.39–26.78) ^c
Hepatocarcinoma (<i>n</i> = 13)	58.63 (53.12–63.02) ^b	127.72 (116.12–135.06)	41.48 (34.87–46.93)	67.39 (56.03–83.93)	11.11 (5.67–13.76) ^a	393.57 (54.80–727.31) ^a
Other malignant lesions (<i>n</i> = 18)	60.03 (54.59–64.55) ^b	142.87 (117.56–157.18)	39.93 (34.39–46.12)	83.19 (66.32–121.40)	3.59 (2.11–4.61) ^b	8.31 (3.67–23.60) ^{bc}
<i>p</i> -value	<0.01	0.29	0.80	0.13	<0.01	<0.01

HU, Hounsfield Unit; c.m., contrast medium.

*Values are expressed in cm.

**Values are expressed in cm³.

Different letters along columns means values significantly different for post-hoc multiple comparisons.

†Other benign lesions = 1 biliary duct adenoma, 1 inflammation, 2 haematoma, 2 adenomas, 2 normal parenchyma, 11 degenerations.

‡Other malignant lesions = 1 mast cell tumor, 1 plasmocytoma, 1 biliary duct carcinoma, 1 undifferentiated carcinoma, 1 melanoma, 1 metastasis of mammary neoplasia, 2 lymphomas, 4 endocrine neoplasia, 7 sarcomas.