Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Pamela Murison, University of Glasgow, United Kingdom

REVIEWED BY David Bardell,

David Bardell, University of Liverpool, United Kingdom Rocio Fernandez-Parra, Catholic University of Valencia San Vicente Mártir, Spain

*CORRESPONDENCE Olivia Brabant 🖂 oliviabrabant22@gmail.com

RECEIVED 09 August 2023 ACCEPTED 07 November 2023 PUBLISHED 04 January 2024

CITATION

Brabant O, Karpievitch YV, Gwatimba A, Ditcham W, Ho HY, Raisis A and Mosing M (2024) Thoracic electrical impedance tomography identifies heterogeneity in lungs associated with respiratory disease in cattle. A pilot study. *Front. Vet. Sci.* 10:1275013. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2023.1275013

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Brabant, Karpievitch, Gwatimba, Ditcham, Ho, Raisis and Mosing. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Thoracic electrical impedance tomography identifies heterogeneity in lungs associated with respiratory disease in cattle. A pilot study

Olivia Brabant^{1*}, Yuliya V. Karpievitch^{2,3}, Alphons Gwatimba², William Ditcham³, Ho Yin Ho², Anthea Raisis¹ and Martina Mosing⁴

¹School of Veterinary Medicine, Murdoch University, Perth, WA, Australia, ²Wal-yan Respiratory Research Centre, Telethon Kids Institute, Perth, WA, Australia, ³School of Biomedical Sciences, University of Western Australia, Perth, WA, Australia, ⁴Anesthesiology and Perioperative Intensive Care, Department for Companion Animals and Horses, University of Veterinary Medicine Vienna, Vienna, Austria

Respiratory disease in cattle is a significant global concern, yet current diagnostic methods are limited, and there is a lack of crush-side tests for detecting active disease. To address this gap, we propose utilizing electrical impedance tomography (EIT), a non-invasive imaging technique that provides real-time visualization of lung ventilation dynamics. The study included adult cattle from farms in Western Australia. The cattle were restrained in a crush. A standardized respiratory scoring system, which combined visual, auscultation, and clinical scores, was conducted by two non-conferring clinicians for each animal. The scores were blinded and averaged. During assessment, an EIT electrode belt was placed around the thorax. EIT recordings of ten suitable breaths were taken for analysis before the cattle were released back to the herd. Based on the combined examination scoring, the cattle were categorized as having healthy or diseased lungs. To allow visual interpretation of each breath and enable the creation of the quartile ventilation ratio (V_{QR}), Flow/Tidal Impedance Variation curves (F/TIV) were generated for each breath. The analysis focused on two EIT variables: The novel V_{QR} over time during inhalation and exhalation and global expiratory impedance (TIV_{FXP}) adjusted by breath length. A mixed effects model was used to compare these variables between healthy and diseased cattle. Ten adult cattle of mixed ages were used in the current analysis. Five cattle were scored as healthy and five as diseased. There was a significant difference in the examination scores between the healthy and diseased group (P = 0.03). A significant difference in V_{QR} during inhalation (P = 0.03) was observed between the healthy and diseased groups. No difference was seen in V_{QR} over time during exhalation (P = 0.3). The TIV_{EXP} was not different between groups (P = 0.36). In this study, EIT was able to detect differences in inhalation mechanics when comparing healthy and diseased cattle as defined via clinical examination, highlighting the clinical utility of EIT.

KEYWORDS

electrical impedance tomography, cattle, imaging, respiratory disease, thorax

1 Introduction

Respiratory disease in cattle is of global importance, with significant production and financial implications. In Australia, the significant economic impact equates to losses in the feedlot industry of \$40 AUS million annually (1). Bovine respiratory disease syndrome (BRDS) has a multifactorial pathophysiology combining host, environment, and agent interactions. This syndrome impacts both the beef and dairy industry, affecting up to 10% of immature cattle and 2.5% adult cattle (2). Bovine respiratory disease syndrome is responsible for 60–90% of morbidity and mortality in feedlots and is the most common disease syndrome in cattle (3–6).

Current diagnostic methods for BRDS are predominantly limited to a thorough history and clinical examination. However, studies have shown that 35–50% of cattle exhibiting lesions in the lungs at slaughter had no history of disease and 64% with lesions at slaughter had received no treatment for BRDS (7–9). Methods to try and improve clinical diagnosis have been developed to include scoring scales such as the Wisconsin calf scoring scale and biomarkers for disease (10–12). However, with these methods specificity and sensitivity remains low (6, 13).

Imaging techniques for identifying lung disease is mainly limited to ultrasound, but in small calves there is the potential to use radiography and computed tomography (CT) (14–16). CT is not possible in adult cattle due to issues of the size of the gantry and is cost prohibitive in young and adult cattle (17– 19). Although ultrasound can be utilized in both young and adult cattle, identification of lesions is limited to the pleural surface and superficial lung parenchyma, leaving deeper lesions undiagnosed (18).

More recently there have been developments in alternative monitoring tools to investigate lung ventilation in calves and adult cattle using electrical impedance tomography (EIT) (20, 21). These studies have shown that EIT can monitor ventilation in cattle, although assessment of changes in regional ventilation in the presence of respiratory disease has not been performed.

EIT is a non-invasive imaging modality that uses imperceptible alternating currents to detect impedance changes where there is an alteration in electrical conductivity in the body. Such alterations are due to changes in regional distribution of ventilation within the lung, or changes in blood flow (22, 23). These impedance changes can be reconstructed into real-time images of ventilation distribution, and perfusion, through the presence of gas or blood and tissue stretch, influences those impedance changes (22–24). Numerous studies support its use for investigating lung pathology, such as pneumothorax in humans (25), pathologic ventilatory incidents created in porcine models (26), and acute respiratory distress syndrome (27, 28), including that associated with the recent COVID-19 outbreak (29, 30).

Thus far, there have been studies in veterinary medicine to explore ventilation and perfusion dynamics in healthy conscious and anesthetized animals (20, 31–34). Respiratory studies in horses have used the steepness of the flow/tidal impedance variation curve (F/TIV) and intercept on the expiratory F/TIV curve before and after histamine provocation and salbutamol nebulization to explain differences in the shape of the curve. However, there has only been one study investigating pathology in horses suffering from

left-sided cardiac volume overload (35) and no investigation to date of lung pathology in cattle.

The aim of this paper is to evaluate if EIT variables can detect a difference in the homogeneity of ventilation between healthy cattle and cattle with respiratory disease. Our hypothesis is that, by employing EIT, we will assess respiratory mechanics in cattle that can be associated with disease develop EIT-based classification metrics that will correlate with standard clinical examinations and provide additional lung ventilation information.

2 Methods

2.1 Ethics statement

This prospective experimental study was performed with the approval of the Murdoch University Animal Ethics Committee (R3275/20). The Australian code for the care and use of animals for scientific purposes was followed.

2.2 Animals

Data were collected from two dairies and three beef suckler units in the Perth metropolitan and Southwest regions of Western Australia. The farms were contacted prior to the study; they were a mixture of veterinary teaching farms, clients of the university practice, and external contacts. They were provided with an overview of the study and proposed outcomes and agreed to participate prior to commencement of the study. The farms were selected to cover diversity in conformation using a mixture of beef and dairy cattle. Suitability of participation was assessed prior to commencement of the study to ensure all animals were used to being handled and spending time restrained in a crush.

The participating farms sourced animals with and without suspected respiratory disease based on their observations. Five healthy animals (no previous treatment for respiratory disease) and five animals exhibiting clinical signs of respiratory disease were selected to participate in the initial pilot study from a larger cohort of 83 animals. The cattle were assigned a letter from A through to J and categorized into the diseased or healthy group post examination, prior to the analysis. Prior to the study, the animals were on pasture and subject to routine management on the prospective farms before being brought to the handling facilities. Each animal was restrained in a standing position within a crush and hosed down with water before EIT electrode belt placement. All animals tolerated belt placement well to allow reliable data to be collected.

2.3 Instrumentation and data collection

The stretchable EIT belt, with 32 electrodes mounted equidistantly, was prepared by placing low-conductive ultrasound gel (Aquasonic[®], Fairfield, USA) onto each electrode prior to placement on the animal. The belt was placed circumferentially on the animal at the level of the 5th intercostal space (ICS) and



fastened on the ventral aspect. Care was taken to ensure the belt was vertical and the middle of the belt between electrode 16 and 17 was at the point of the withers. The belt was connected to the EIT device (Sentec, Landquart, Switzerland), which was connected to a laptop to allow data recording using BBVet Software (Sentec, Landquart, Switzerland) (Figure 1). All cattle were subject to at least 2 min of measurements to allow 10 consecutive breaths to be collected without movement artifacts or failing electrodes. After completion, the recording was saved to be analyzed retrospectively. The belt was removed and the animal was released from the crush and returned to the herd.

2.4 Data analysis

2.4.1 Clinical examination analysis

The circumference, weight, and body condition score (thinobese: 1-5 using quarter scores) of each animal was measured prior to placement of the belt. Respiratory clinical examinations were performed in accordance with a modified Wisconsin calf scoring scale modified for adult cattle by Blakebrough-Hall et al. (10). Two experienced veterinarians who were blinded to healthy or diseased status, and each other, completed the examinations. Separate recording sheets were used with no conferring. The order in which each veterinarian examined each animal was randomized. A number was drawn out of a blinded envelope for each animal, stating either one or two to indicate which veterinarian should examine the animal first. A clinical examination was conducted in three parts. The visual clinical signs (Part A), including head carriage, labored breathing, nasal discharge, ocular discharge, rumen fill, and lethargy, were allocated a score of 0-3 (unremarkable-profound changes for each parameter). A diseased animal was classified as having an averaged visual score \geq 3.5 out of a possible total of 25. A separate lung auscultation (Part B) scored 0-3 (no abnormalities-abnormal lung sounds throughout the entire lung fields and prolonged respiratory sounds). A diseased animal

was classified as having a lung auscultation ≥ 1 from an average of both examiners. The final part of the examination was the clinical score (Part C), where rectal temperature ($0 < 40-1 \geq 40^{\circ}$ C) and respiratory rate (0 < 40 breaths per minute-1 > 40 breaths per minute) were scored. A diseased animal was classified as having a clinical score ≥ 1 with a maximum of two. The cut off for a diseased animal was a visual score ≥ 3.5 , a lung auscultation score of ≥ 1 , and a clinical score ≥ 1 . Scores from both examiners were averaged to give a visual and clinical diagnosis and categorized into healthy or diseased.

2.4.2 EIT analysis

ElT recordings were taken from all cattle and retrospectively analyzed using reconstruction software (IBEX, Sentec Landquart, Switzerland) to find 10 representative breaths. At least three representative, movement artifact-free breaths from each animal were used for further analysis (36). The EIT analysis was initially performed with the researcher blinded to the results of the clinical examination to eliminate sampling bias of breath selection.

The EIT data from ten cattle were further analyzed using a custom script [written in Python 3.10.8, (CreateSpace, Scotts Valley, California)] and visualized using R 4.2.2 (Development Core Team 2020, GNU, Public license, USA) with all pixels within the image used in the analyses. First, the EIT files for all cattle were opened in IBEX and the impedance data corresponding to the appropriate breaths (up to 10 breaths per EIT file) were exported as .MAT files for external processing. These .MAT files were then processed using Python scripts using packages SciPy (37), NumPy (38), Pandas (39), and Matplotlib (40). Specifically, the impedance and rate of change of impedance across time for each breath was computed to generate Flow/Tidal impedance variation (F/TIV) curves which were exported as .CSV files for statistical analysis in R.

2.4.3 EIT variables

1) Flow/Tidal impedance variation curve (F/TIV)

F/TIV describes the impedance and rate of change in impedance across time in a single breath. The global TIV is given, rather than volume, as no calibration of impedance change to a corresponding change in air to volume has been determined in cattle. Linear relationships between change in impedance and change in the volume of air in the lungs have been seen (31, 41). It is assumed that impedance change correlates to changes in volumes of gas in the lung regions over a single breath (42). To generate a flow parameter, we have used impedance change as a surrogate for volume, to allow the first derivative of which to be analogous to flow (F). The curve is generated by plotting the average impedance value of all pixels in each 2-D EIT frame during the entire breath (TIV) against the 1st derivate of the TIV, flow (F) over time, measured in arbitrary units, as no calibration to volume has been conducted. The shape of this curve was used to identify differences between lung disease states. Scaling was employed where the total impedance change was smaller, to allow visualization and description of the curve. A smooth semicircular curve with few or no peaks/troughs in inhalation and exhalation was observed in healthy animals and irregular-shaped curves that showed multiple peaks in both inhalation and exhalation were noted in diseased cattle (Figure 2).

2) Global expiratory impedance variation (TIV EXP)

 TIV_{EXP} describes the impedance change of all pixels between the beginning and end of expiration of all pixels within the lung region of interest (ROI). The TIV_{EXP} is calculated for each breath by subtracting the impedance at the beginning of exhalation from the impedance at the end of exhalation in all pixels, is normalized to breath length, and is displayed in arbitrary units.

3) Quartile ventilation ratio (V_{QR})

To describe the changes in the shape of the F/TIV curves, we developed a novel numerical measure, V_{QR} , to describe the inhomogeneity in lung filling over time. V_{QR} is the ratio of total impedance change between the first 25% and last 25% of inhalation or exhalation with the middle 50% of inhalation or exhalation: The F/TIV curves were divided into quartiles (0–25% = Q1, 25–50% = Q2, 50–75% = Q3, 75–100% = Q4) and the median point (Figure 3) on the F/TIV curve of each quartile was used to create a ratio of the sum of Q1 and Q4 and the sum of Q2 and Q3. The V_{QR} was measured for all breaths for that individual to create a fractional rate of change (Equation 1) (Figure 3). The V_{QR} was calculated for inhalation V_{QRi} and exhalation V_{QRe}.

$$V_{QR} = \frac{(Q1 + Q4)}{(Q2 + Q3)}$$

2.5 Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis of the veterinary scores were performed using R statistical software version 4.2.2 (Development Core Team 2020, GNU, Public license, USA). Descriptive statistics (median IQR) of visual scores, lung auscultation scores, temperature, respiratory rate, weight, circumference, and body condition scores are shown in Table 1. Agreement between the two scorers was conducted. Non-parametric tests were used to analyse the data that was not normally distributed. Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test and multiple testing adjustment using Banjamini-Hochberg adjustment were used to describe the differences between diseased and healthy visual scoring, lung auscultation, and clinical score (Table 1). The EIT data was analyzed using a linear mixed effects model to explore differences between the diseased and healthy groups, using R statistical software and the ImerTest package (43). Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test was used for the V_{QRe} and V_{QRi} statistical analysis after averaging V_{QR} for each breath within each animal. A *p*-value of <0.05 was considered significant.

3 Results

Ten cattle were examined, respiratory disease assessment was conducted, EIT images taken, and results displayed as median (IQR). Nine adult female cows and one male steer of mixed ages [2.5 (0.55) years], with a weight of [552 (430) kg] and body condition score of [1.75 (2)], were included in the study. Of the ten animals, five were classified as diseased, namely cattle B, C, D, E, and G, and five cattle were classified as healthy, namely cattle A, F, H, I, and J. Diseased cattle had a visual score equal to or more than 3.5, a lung auscultation equal to or more than 1, and a clinical score more than 1. The maximum total score including visual score lung auscultation and clinical score in the healthy animals was 2.5 with a variance ($s^2 = 0.14$) and the maximum in the diseased animals was 15.5 ($s^2 = 15.88$) out of a possible 25. Agreement between the two scorers was conducted with correlation of scores for visual score (R = 0.93) and lung auscultation (R = 0.82), respectively.

An overall difference was observed between the healthy and diseased cattle (p = 0.03). Differences were observed from visual score (p = 0.03), lung auscultation (p = 0.03), and clinical score (p = 0.03), respectively. No difference was seen for weight, thorax circumference, or body condition score between healthy and diseased cattle (Table 1).

There was no statistical difference in TIV_{EXP} between healthy and diseased cattle (p = 0.36) (Figure 4). Exhalation V_{QRe} (p = 0.3) also did not show a difference between healthy and diseased cattle (Figure 5; Table 2). By comparison, inhalation V_{QRi} (p = 0.03) did show a difference between healthy and diseased cattle (Figure 5; Table 2).

4 Discussion

In this study, we utilized existing knowledge of lung pathology and physiology in cattle to investigate alterations in the homogeneity of ventilation using EIT that may be associated with respiratory disease. We showed that expiratory tidal impedance variation adjusted to breath length illustrated no difference between healthy and diseased populations. However, inhomogeneous ventilation and filling was detected between the two populations of cattle when comparing quartile ventilation ratio (V_{QRi}) during inhalation. Thus, our study is the first to report the ability of EIT to detect differences between healthy and animals known to be



diseased, when compared to the results from standardized clinical examination of cattle.

Due to both the unique anatomy cattle possess compared with other species, and the fact that the majority of lung disease occurs as a result of inhaled pathogens, it was expected that we would observe inhomogeneous lung ventilation and filling rates in the diseased cattle. Our results reflect this inhomogeneity in filling over time. Anatomically, cattle lungs contain separate lung lobules with well-developed interlobular septa, preventing pathogens from passing easily from one lobe to the next (44). Cattle, unlike small animals such as dogs, have reduced collateral ventilation (45, 46). This reduces the ability of the lungs to shift ventilation to other lobes, especially when pathology is present (47-49), leading to inhomogeneous filling.

Respiratory disease of the lung tissue causes a decrease in the ability of alveoli to open, requiring elevated opening pressures to fill (50). This can also change the time constants of lung units, where one lung unit is opening more slowly than others when pathology is present. This is especially true in cattle due to their unique anatomy of the lung, as described above. Based on these physiologic facts, it is not surprising that a significant difference was found between healthy and diseased cattle for a variable describing the homogeneity of lung filling rate. Conversely, expiration is considered passive, and therefore disease of the lung tissue is less

likely to lead to variation in emptying times (51). In this study no difference in the expiratory EIT variables was found.

We observed significant differences between healthy and diseased cattle populations when comparing clinical respiratory disease scoring to novel algorithms detecting the inhomogeneity of filling using EIT.

In one animal (D) deemed healthy, the inhalation curve had more troughs than the other four healthy cattle, suggesting that this animal may have been diseased. On the other hand, for an animal (I) considered diseased, the inhalation and exhalation of both breaths F/TIV curves were smooth, suggesting that this animal was healthy (Figure 2). This suggests that F/TIV curves may be more sensitive than the clinical examination, or not as representative in cattle as in horses (42). Reviewing the clinical examination, there was no evidence to suggest that animal D was unhealthy, however, the VQR suggested this animal did show evidence of respiratory disease. The results of the examination of data for animal I data confirmed significant nasal discharge and a low head carriage with labored breathing and a positive auscultation and clinical score, yet the VORi and VORe were more representative of a healthy animal or an animal with focused upper respiratory tract disease. Clinical scoring has limited sensitivity, as explained in the introduction (7, 9) however, one explanation for the lower V_{OR} could be disease contained within the upper respiratory tract, such as chronic granular rhinitis or Infectious Bovine Rhinotracheitis (IBR), that predominantly affects the upper airways (52, 53). If these conditions were chronic or recrudesced as part of a carrier status, then the animal in question may have compensated for this and was able to inhale effectively despite the increase in resistance to the airways. Another reason could be environmental: heavy dust loads during summer in West Australia may cause nasal discharge, lowered head carriage, and labored breathing yet there may be no underlying lung pathology. Analysis of further animals will allow further explanation of these outliers in future studies.

Examination of a novel EIT variable in this study is the first step to establish EIT as a diagnostic tool to define lung disease states. Human medicine has used forced expiratory volume over maximal expiration to explain differences in global and regional ventilation before and after bronchospasmolysis (54-56). Respiratory studies in horses have used the steepness of the flow/Tidal impedance variation (F/TIV) curve and inflection point of the expiratory F/TIV curve before and after histamine provocation and salbutamol nebulization to explain differences (42). We used the peaks and troughs of the curve during inhalation and exhalation to create a ratio illustrating the differences in filling and emptying over the course of a breath. In our study we did not observe a difference in the shape of the F/TIV curves between healthy and diseased cattle during exhalation and could not gather forced expiration due to patient compliance. However, we did observe variations in the shape of the F/TIV curve during inhalation and used the quartile ventilation ratio (VOR) to describe those changes in the shape of the curve and filling inhomogeneities numerically.

Tidal impedance variation over one breath in another study has been shown to have a linear relationship with tidal volume in cattle (21), however, no conversion factor from impedance change to volume exists. In this study the tidal impedance change during expiration was used to define expiratory volume over time. There was no difference in TIV_{EXP} between diseased and sick cattle, therefore any differences in the fractions of ventilation relating to inhomogeneity in filling are more likely to be a true difference and not differences arising from changes in total volume or breath length.



Two inhalation sections of the Tidal impedance variation (TIV) curves over time in one healthy (left) and one diseased animal (right). The dots (red) indicate the points taken as the medians of each quartile Q1-Q4 used to compute the Quartile Ventilation Ratio (V_{QR}).

Cows analyzed $N = 10$	Visual score (0–21)	Lung auscultation (0–3)	Clinical score (0-2)	Temperature (°C)	Respiratory rate (BPM)	Weight (Kg)	Circumference of thorax (cm)	Body condition score (1–5)
Healthy $(n = 5)$ (IQR)	2 (0.5)*	0 (0)*	0 (0)*	38.9 (0.5)	32 (0)	610 (72)	210 (15)	2.75 (0.25)
Diseased $(n = 5)$ (IQR)	$6(1)^*$	1.5 (0.5)*	$1~(0)^{*}$	39.1 (0.7)	46 (4)	471 (151)	189 (22)	2.5 (1)
<i>P</i> -values	(p-0.03)	(p-0.03)	(<i>p</i> -0.03)	(p-0.4)	(p-0.05)	(p-0.06)	(<i>p</i> -0.06)	(<i>p</i> -0.06)
p < 0.05.								

[ABLE 1 Median and Interquartile range (IQR) of the ten analyzed cattle, showing the visual and respiratory scores, temperature, respiratory rate, weight, circumference of the thorax, and body condition score of

We observed a significant difference in filling time when comparing the VORi during inhalation. Healthy cattle have a lower V_{QRi} of filling when compared to diseased cattle, suggesting that in a healthy lung filling takes place over a shorter portion of the breath compared to diseased cattle. The shortened filling time is visible at 0-25% and 75-100% of the filling where minimal impedance change was measured (Figure 6). This suggests that in healthy cattle most of the filling of the lungs happens within the second and third quartile of the breath. In diseased cattle, impedance changes were observed in each quartile of the whole breath. There was no significant difference seen in the VQRe (Figure 7); this may be due to the passive nature of exhalation compared to active inhalation, leading to less change. However, we would expect changes in exhalation dynamics due to airway resistance and air trapping from obstructive disease. To fully investigate this further, a larger cohort of animals would be required and further investigation into the inhomogeneity of breathing and rate of change of impedance globally and regionally over time.

With obstructive diseases such as asthma in horses the work of breathing increases due to overinflation of the lung, reduction in the expired volume, and higher inspiratory filling pressures (57, 58), leading to extended inhalation times to reach adequate filling. In our results, we observed the majority of ventilatory changes occurring during the middle portion of the breath in healthy cattle. In comparison, diseased cattle had ventilatory changes throughout the whole breath, which suggests a similar increased work of breathing where pathology may be present. Airway diameter may also be a contributing factor to ventilation changes and filling times. Cattle have increased resistance in the nares and trachea, evident in diseases such as infectious bovine rhinotracheitis, where inflammation narrows the trachea; this may further contribute to increased inhalation time and flow due to the increased resistance in the upper airway (52, 59, 60).

The shape of the inhalation F/TIV curve appeared subjectively smoother in healthy cattle with less observable peaks, which is in agreement with Lekeux, who described inhalation flow/volume curves, using mask-Fleisch pneumotachography, as more rounded (61). The flow/volume curves were derived from maximal inhalation and exhalation plotted against volume. Lekeux noted more changes in the expiratory curve in diseased cattle, which differs from the findings in our study where changes were associated with inhalation (61). The difference noted in our results may be explained by the position of measurement. EIT measures at the level of the thorax, making changes in impedance as a surrogate for volume potentially easier to detect than a pneumotachograph connected to a breathing mask measuring at the nares. The significance of these differences in the shape of the F/TIV curve are not fully understood. Initial observations do indicate a difference between cattle and horses, which contrasts from previous work. To fully understand the mechanics of breathing in cattle, we will perform further analyses on a larger cohort of animals.

4.1 Limitations

A limitation of this study was the small sample size, but we note that significant differences were seen in both the healthy and

healthy and diseased cattle.



diseased group when comparing clinical respiratory scores and specific EIT variables. In the EIT analysis, one animal appeared to be an outlier for the V_{QRi} , while in in the F/TIV curves one healthy and one diseased animal appeared to be outliers. Data from a larger cohort of animals would improve the robustness of the analysis, and comparison of EIT to other diagnostics including histology, ultrasound, and post-mortem would allow more accurate confirmation of findings.

Comparison to clinical examination is limited, as 35–50% of respiratory disease is not detected via clinical examination (8, 62); therefore the sensitivity of detection is reduced. The scoring system structured the clinical examination however, this was not a validated system and therefore the health status determination is limited from this approach. Further testing including blood testing and post-mortem analysis would improve the robustness of the system, however, this was beyond the scope of the study.

In our study, global images were examined using all pixels in the obtained image including those outside of the lungs. To

provide a more accurate analysis of pixels derived from lung tissue and not heart or ruminal gas will require further delineation of regions of interest. In initial studies, creation of a global measure negates the issue of using regions of interest, however, future studies would benefit from delineation to allow more accurate analysis. In addition, regional areas and individual pixels have not been examined in this study, so there are potential regional changes which may influence the overall categorization of disease. With a single plain EIT, a lens-shaped ellipse of data is gathered and reconstructed and the position of the 5th intercostal space is used to allow the maximal area of lung tissue to be gathered, however the cranial and caudal tips of the lung will not be included in the data collected, therefore these areas will not be represented in the analysis. In future studies, development of a 3-D belt will improve data capture of the entire lung region, and improved definition of the region of interest, individual pixel analysis, and investigation of the slope of the F/TIV curve may provide greater detail to define disease.



FIGURE 5

Quartile ventilation ratio (V_{QR}) in ten cattle during inhalation (**left**) and exhalation (**right**). During inhalation V_{QR} differentiates between healthy (green) and diseased (red) cattle. Each dot represents a single breath, up to ten breaths have been analyzed in each animal.

TABLE 2 Results of the mixed-effects model comparison of diseased vs. healthy cattle using the quartile ventilation ratio (V_{QR}) during inhalation and exhalation.

Cows analyzed $N = 10$	Estimate	Std. error	Degrees of freedom (df)	t-value	Pr (> t)
V_{QRi} (<i>n</i> = 10) inhalation	-0.351	0.128	8.421	-2.755	0.03*
V_{QRe} ($n = 10$) exhalation	-0.136	0.181	7.76	-0.755	0.3

*p < 0.05.



FIGURE 6

Five healthy cattle (B, C, D, E, and G) showing global images of total impedance variation over time during inhalation at 0-25%, 25-50%, 50-75%, and 75-100% of total impedance change (**top-bottom**). Two breaths are displayed from each animal (1 and 2 preceded by the letter of each animal). The color gradient illustrates areas of no impedance change (dark blue) scaled up to areas of maximal impedance change (red).



FIGURE 7

Five diseased cattle (A, F, H, I, and J) showing global images of total impedance variation over time during inhalation at 0-25%, 25-50%, 50-75%, and 75-100% of total impedance change (**top-bottom**). Two breaths are displayed from each animal (1 and 2 preceded by the letter of each animal). The color gradient illustrates areas of no impedance change (dark blue) scaled up to areas of maximal impedance (red).

5 Conclusions

Electrical impedance tomography is able to detect differences in the inhalation portion of the breath when comparing healthy and diseased cattle defined via clinical examination. These initial findings highlight the potential of EIT as a useful imaging tool for the diagnosis of respiratory disease in cattle. Future studies should involve larger cohorts and compare to other diagnostic imaging methods as well as post-mortem samples when available to confirm EIT findings.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The animal studies were approved by Murdoch University Animal Ethics Committee R3275/20. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent was obtained from the owners for the participation of their animals in this study.

Author contributions

OB: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing—original draft, Writing—review & editing. YK: Formal analysis, Software, Writing—review & editing. AG: Formal analysis, Software, Writing—review & editing. WD: Formal analysis, Writing—review & editing. HH: Formal analysis, Software, Writing—review & editing. AR: Supervision, Writing—review & editing. MM: Conceptualization, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Supervision, Writing—review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the participating farms for agreeing to participate in this study and special thanks to Dr. Annika Carbonnier and Dr. Sarah Loroesch for their assistance with data collection.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Infographic AE. Preview: economic effects of bovine respiratory disease. *J Anim Sci.* (2020) 98:skaa042. doi: 10.1093/jas/skaa042

2. Smith DR. Risk factors for bovine respiratory disease in beef cattle. *Anim Health Res Rev.* (2020) 21:149–52. doi: 10.1017/S1466252320000110

3. Hanzlicek GA, Renter DR, White BJ, Wagner BA, Dargatz DA, Sanderson MW, et al. Management practices associated with the rate of respiratory tract disease among preweaned beef calves in cow-calf operations in the United States. *J Am Vet Med Assoc.* (2013) 242:1271–8. doi: 10.2460/javma.242.9.1271

4. Callan RJ, Garry FB. Biosecurity and bovine respiratory disease. Vet Clin. (2002) 18:57–77. doi: 10.1016/S0749-0720(02)00004-X

5. Smith RA. Impact of disease on feedlot performance: a review. J Anim Sci. (1998) 76:272. doi: 10.2527/1998.761272x

6. Timsit E, Dendukuri N, Schiller I, Buczinski S. Diagnostic accuracy of clinical illness for bovine respiratory disease (BRD) diagnosis in beef cattle placed in feedlots: a systematic literature review and hierarchical Bayesian latent-class meta-analysis. *Prev Vet Med.* (2016) 135:67–73. doi: 10.1016/j.prevetmed.2016.11.006

7. Potter T. Early detection and treatment for bovine respiratory disease. *Livestock*. (2020) 25:254–60.

8. Wittum T, Woollen N, Perino L, Littledike E. Relationships among treatment for respiratory tract disease, pulmonary lesions evident at slaughter, and rate of weight gain in feedlot cattle. *J Am Vet Med Assoc.* (1996) 209:814–8.

9. Baptiste KE, Kyvsgaard NC. Do antimicrobial mass medications work? A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised clinical trials investigating antimicrobial prophylaxis or metaphylaxis against naturally occurring bovine respiratory disease. *Pathog Dis.* (2017) 75:7. doi: 10.1093/femspd/ftx083

10. Blakebrough-Hall C, Dona A, D'occhio MJ, McMeniman J, González LA. Diagnosis of Bovine Respiratory Disease in feedlot cattle using blood 1H NMR metabolomics. *Sci Rep.* (2020) 10:115. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-56809-w

11. McGuirk SM, Peek SF. Timely diagnosis of dairy calf respiratory disease using a standardized scoring system. *Anim Health Res Rev.* (2014) 15:145-7. doi: 10.1017/S1466252314000267

12. Aly SS, Karle BM, Williams DR, Maier GU, Dubrovsky S. Components of a risk assessment tool for prevention and control of bovine respiratory disease in preweaned dairy calves. *Anim Health Res Rev.* (2020) 21:153–9. doi: 10.1017/S1466252320000201

13. Potter T, Barrett D, Cutler K, Hart K, Biggs A. Clinical forum: bovine respiratory disease. *Livestock*. (2017) 22:6–11. doi: 10.12968/live.2017.22.1.6

14. Rhodes V, Ryan EG, Hayes CJ, McAloon C, O'Grady L, Hoey S, et al. Diagnosis of respiratory disease in preweaned dairy calves using sequential thoracic ultrasonography and clinical respiratory scoring: temporal transitions and association with growth rates. *J Dairy Sci.* (2021) 104:11165–75. doi: 10.3168/jds.2021-20207

15. Buczinski S, Forté G, Francoz D, Bélanger A-M. Comparison of thoracic auscultation, clinical score, and ultrasonography as indicators of bovine respiratory disease in preweaned dairy calves. *J Vet Int Med.* (2014) 28:234–42. doi: 10.1111/jvim.12251

16. Fowler J, Stieger-Vanegas SM, Vanegas JA, Bobe G, Poulsen KP. Comparison of thoracic radiography and computed tomography in calves with naturally occurring respiratory disease. *Front Vet Sci.* (2017) 4:101. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2017.00101

17. Ollivett T, Hewson J, Shubotz R, Caswell J, editors. Ultrasonographic progression of lung consolidation after experimental infection with Mannheimia haemolytica in Holstein bull calves. In: *Proceedings of the Forty-Sixth Annual Conference. American Association of Bovine Practitioners.* Milwaukee, WI (2013).

18. Scott PR. Clinical presentation, auscultation recordings, ultrasonographic findings and treatment response of 12 adult cattle with chronic suppurative pneumonia: case study. *Irish Vet J.* (2013) 66. doi: 10.1186/2046-0481-66-5

 Cuevas-Gómez I, McGee M, McCabe M, Cormican P, O'Riordan E, McDaneld T, et al. Growth performance and hematological changes of weaned beef calves diagnosed with respiratory disease using respiratory scoring and thoracic ultrasonography. J Anim Sci. (2020) 98:skaa345. doi: 10.1093/jas/skaa345

20. Bleul U, Wey C, Meira C, Waldmann A, Mosing M. Assessment of postnatal pulmonary adaption in bovine neonates using electric impedance tomography (EIT). *Animals.* (2021) 11:3216. doi: 10.3390/ani11113216

21. Brabant O, Crivellari B, Hosgood G, Raisis A, Waldmann AD, Auer U, et al. Effects of PEEP on the relationship between tidal volume and total impedance change measured via electrical impedance tomography (EIT). *J Clin Monit Comp*. (2021) 36:1–10. doi: 10.1007/s10877-021-00651-x

22. Adler A, Holder D. *Electrical Impedance Tomography: Methods, History and Applications.* London: Institute of Physics Publishing (2021).

23. Frerichs I, Amato MBP, van Kaam AH, Tingay DG, Zhao Z, Grychtol B, et al. Chest electrical impedance tomography examination, data analysis, terminology, clinical use and recommendations: consensus statement of the TRanslational EIT developmeNt stuDy group. *Thorax.* (2017) 72:83. doi: 10.1136/thoraxjnl-2016-208357

24. Frerichs I, Dargaville P, Dudykevych T, Rimensberger P. Electrical impedance tomography: a method for monitoring regional lung aeration and tidal volume distribution? *Intens Care Med.* (2003) 29:2312–6. doi: 10.1007/s00134-003-2029-z

25. Morice A, Harris N, Campbell J, Zhang F, Brown B. EIT in the investigation of chest disease. *Clin Physiol Appl Elect Imped Tomogr.* (1993) 236–41.

26. Reinartz SD, Imhoff M, Tolba R, Fischer F, Fischer EG, Teschner E, et al. EIT monitors valid and robust regional ventilation distribution in pathologic ventilation states in porcine study using differential DualEnergy-CT (Δ DECT). *Sci Rep.* (2019) 9:1–10. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-45251-7

27. Bachmann MC, Morais C, Bugedo G, Bruhn A, Morales A, Borges JB, et al. Electrical impedance tomography in acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Crit Care.* (2018) 22:1–11. doi: 10.1186/s13054-018-2195-6

28. Zhao Z, Lee L-C, Chang M-Y, Frerichs I, Chang H-T, Gow C-H, et al. The incidence and interpretation of large differences in EIT-based measures for PEEP titration in ARDS patients. *J Clin Monit Comput.* (2020) 34:1005–13. doi: 10.1007/s10877-019-00396-8

29. Pulletz S, Krukewitt L, Gonzales-Rios P, Teschendorf P, Kremeier P, Waldmann A, et al. Dynamic relative regional strain visualized by electrical impedance tomography in patients suffering from COVID-19. *J Clin Monit Comp.* (2021) 13:1–11. doi: 10.1007/s10877-021-00748-3

30. Perier F, Tuffet S, Maraffi T, Alcala G, Victor M, Haudebourg A-F, et al. Electrical impedance tomography to titrate positive end-expiratory pressure in COVID-19 acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Crit Care.* (2020) 24:1–9. doi: 10.1186/s13054-020-03414-3

31. Mosing M, Waldmann A, Raisis A, Böhm S, Drynan E, Wilson K. Monitoring of tidal ventilation by electrical impedance tomography in anaesthetised horses. *Equine Vet J.* (2018). doi: 10.1111/evj.12998

32. Raisis AL, Mosing M, Hosgood GL, Secombe CJ, Adler A, Waldmann AD. The use of electrical impedance tomography (EIT) to evaluate pulse rate in anaesthetised horses. *Vet J.* (2021) 273:105694. doi: 10.1016/j.tvjl.2021.105694

33. Ambrosio AM, Carvalho-Kamakura TPA Ida KK, Varela B, Andrade FSRM, Facó LL, et al. Ventilation distribution assessed with electrical impedance tomography and the influence of tidal volume, recruitment and positive end-expiratory pressure in isoflurane-anesthetized dogs. *Vet Anaesth Analg.* (2017) 44:254–63. doi: 10.1016/j.vaa.2016.06.003

34. Auer U, Schramel JP, Moens YP, Mosing M, Braun C. Monitoring changes in distribution of pulmonary ventilation by functional electrical impedance tomography in anaesthetized ponies. *Vet Anaesth Analg.* (2019) 46:200–8. doi: 10.1016/j.vaa.2018.09.048

35. Sacks M, Byrne DP, Herteman N, Secombe C, Adler A, Hosgood G, et al. Electrical impedance tomography to measure lung ventilation distribution in healthy horses and horses with left-sided cardiac volume overload. *J Vet Int Med.* (2021) 35:2511–23. doi: 10.1111/jvim.16227

36. Brabant OA, Byrne DP, Sacks M, Martinez FM, Raisis AL, Araos JB, et al. Thoracic electrical impedance tomography—The 2022 Veterinary Consensus Statement. *Front Vet Sci.* (2022) 9:946911. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2022.946911

37. Virtanen P, Gommers R, Oliphant TE, Haberland M, Reddy T, Cournapeau D, et al. SciPy 10: fundamental algorithms for scientific computing in Python. *Nat Methods*. (2020) 17:261–72. doi: 10.1038/s41592-020-0772-5

38. Harris CR, Millman KJ, Van Der Walt SJ, Gommers R, Virtanen P, Cournapeau D, et al. Array programming with NumPy. *Nature.* (2020) 585:357-62. doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2649-2

39. Zenodo. pandas-dev/pandas:Pandas [Internet]. (2020). doi: 10.5281/zendo.3509134

40. Hunter JD. Matplotlib: A 2D graphics environment. Comput Sci Eng. (2007) 9:90–5. doi: 10.1109/MCSE.2007.55

41. Frerichs I, Hahn G, Hellige G. Thoracic electrical impedance tomographic measurements during volume controlled ventilation-effects of tidal volume and positive end-expiratory pressure. *IEEE Trans Med Imaging.* (1999) 18:764–73. doi: 10.1109/42.802754

42. Secombe C, Adler A, Hosgood G, Raisis A, Mosing M. Can bronchoconstriction and bronchodilatation in horses be detected using electrical impedance tomography? *J Vet Int Med.* (2021) 35:2035–44. doi: 10.1111/jvim.16152

43. Kuznetsova A, Brockhoff PB, Christensen RH. ImerTest package: tests in linear mixed effects models. J Stat Softw. (2017) 82:1–26. doi: 10.18637/jss.v082.i13

44. Dyce KM. Essentials of Bovine Anatomy. Philadelphia, PA: Lea & Febiger (1971).

45. Parent RA. *Comparative Biology of the Normal Lung*. 2nd ed. London: Academic Press (2015).

46. Amoroso EC, Scott P, Williams K. The pattern of external respiration in the unanaesthetized animal. *Proc R Soc London Ser B Biol Sci.* (1964) 159:325–47. doi: 10.1098/rspb.1964.0006

47. Verhoeff J, Cruijsen AL, Kremer WD. Mismatching of ventilation and perfusion in calves with natural bovine respiratory syncytial virus infection. *Vet Record.* (1988) 123:131. doi: 10.1136/vr.123.5.131

48. Meyer H, Kästner SBR, Beyerbach M, Rehage J. Cardiopulmonary effects of dorsal recumbency and high-volume caudal epidural anaesthesia with lidocaine or xylazine in calves. *Vet J.* (2010) 186:316–22. doi: 10.1016/j.tvjl.2009.08.020

49. Desmecht DJM, Linden AS, Lekeux PM. Pathophysiological response of bovine pulmonary function to gastric distension. *J Comp Pathol.* (1995) 112:11–25. doi: 10.1016/S0021-9975(05)80086-6

50. Muylle E, Oyaert W. Lung function tests in obstructive pulmonary disease in horses. *Equine Vet J.* (1973) 5:37–44. doi: 10.1111/j.2042-3306.1973.tb03191.x

51. Gallivan GJ, McDonell WN, Forrest JB. Comparative ventilation and gas exchange in the horse and the cow. *Res Vet Sci.* (1989) 46:331-6. doi: 10.1016/S0034-5288(18)31175-5

52. Muylkens B, Thiry J, Kirten P, Schynts F, Thiry E. Bovine herpesvirus 1 infection and infectious bovine rhinotracheitis. *Vet Res.* (2007) 38:181–209. doi: 10.1051/vetres:2006059

53. Gallagher P. Chronic granular rhinitis (nasal catarrh) of cattle. *NZ Vet J.* (1972) 20:40. doi: 10.1080/00480169.1972.33999

54. Sang L, Zhao Z, Lin Z, Liu X, Zhong N, Li Y. A narrative review of electrical impedance tomography in lung diseases with flow limitation and hyperinflation: methodologies and applications. *Ann Transl Med.* (2020) 8:24. doi: 10.21037/atm-20-4984

55. Ngo C, Lehmann S, Verjans E, Tenbrock K, Leonhardt S. Assessing global and regional pulmonary function with electrical impedance tomography in pediatric patients: the EIT-derived flow-volume loops. *Eur Respir Soc.* (2020). doi: 10.1183/13993003.congress-2020.1239

56. Ngo C, Dippel F, Tenbrock K, Leonhardt S, Lehmann S. Flow-volume loops measured with electrical impedance tomography in pediatric patients with asthma. *Pediatr Pulmonol.* (2018) 53:636–44. doi: 10.1002/ppul.23962

57. Couëtil L, Cardwell J, Gerber V, Lavoie JP, Léguillette R, Richard E. Inflammatory airway disease of horses—revised consensus statement. *J Vet Int Med.* (2016) 30:503–15. doi: 10.1111/jvim.13824

58. Robinson N, Olszewski M, Boehler D, Berney C, Hakala J, Matson C, et al. Relationship between clinical signs and lung function in horses with recurrent airway obstruction (heaves) during a bronchodilator trial. *Equine Vet J.* (2000) 32:393–400. doi: 10.2746/042516400777591147

59. Gallivan G. Comparative Pulmonary Structure and Function in Two Large Mammals, the Horse and the Cow. ProQuest Dissertations Publishing, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario (1981).

60. Grissett G, White B, Larson R. Structured literature review of responses of cattle to viral and bacterial pathogens causing bovine respiratory disease complex. *J Vet Int Med.* (2015) 29:770–80. doi: 10.1111/jvim.12597

61. Lekeux P, Art T, Amory H. The effect of common bovine respiratory diseases on tidal breathing flow-volume loops. *Vet Res Commun.* (1988) 12:463–73. doi: 10.1007/BF01075476

62. Maillard R, Assié S, Douart A, editors. Respiratory Disease in Adult Cattle. In: Conference Proceedings from World Buiatrics Conference. Nice (2006).