Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Francisco Javier Salguero, UK Health Security Agency (UKHSA), United Kingdom

REVIEWED BY Gobena Ameni, United Arab Emirates University, United Arab Emirates Aman Ullah Khan, University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Pakistan

*CORRESPONDENCE Martín José Zumárraga ⊠ zumarraga.martin@inta.gob.ar

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share last authorship

RECEIVED 21 December 2023 ACCEPTED 23 April 2024 PUBLISHED 20 May 2024

CITATION

Encinas M, Ferrara Muñiz X, Sammarruco RA, Ruiz Menna V, Garro CJ, Delgado F, Macías A, Magnano G, Zumárraga MJ, Garbaccio SG and Eirin ME (2024) Limited usefulness of the IS*6110* touchdown-PCR in blood for tuberculin skin test false-negative cattle with serological response to *Mycobacterium bovis. Front. Vet. Sci.* 11:1359205. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2024.1359205

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Encinas, Ferrara Muñiz, Sammarruco, Ruiz Menna, Garro, Delgado, Macías, Magnano, Zumárraga, Garbaccio and Eirin. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Limited usefulness of the IS6110 touchdown-PCR in blood for tuberculin skin test false-negative cattle with serological response to *Mycobacterium bovis*

Micaela Encinas¹, Ximena Ferrara Muñiz¹, Romina Ayelén Sammarruco², Victoria Ruiz Menna², Carlos Javier Garro², Fernando Delgado², Analía Macías³, Gabriel Magnano³, Martín José Zumárraga^{1*}, Sergio Gabriel Garbaccio^{2†} and María Emilia Eirin^{1†}

¹Instituto de Agrobiotecnología y Biología Molecular (IABiMo) UEDD CONICET-INTA, Centro de Investigación en Ciencias Veterinarias y Agronómicas (CICVyA)-CNIA, Hurlingham, Argentina, ²Instituto de Patobiología Veterinaria (IPVET), UEDD CONICET-INTA, Instituto Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria (INTA), INTA-CONICET, Hurlingham, Argentina, ³Departamento de Patología Animal, Facultad de Agronomía y Veterinaria, Universidad Nacional de Río Cuarto, Río Cuarto, Argentina

Ante-mortem diagnosis of bovine tuberculosis (bTB) is based mainly on the tuberculin skin test (TST) and the x-IFN release assay (IGRA). Some infected animals escape screening tests, thus, limit herd sanitation. Previous reports have suggested a predominant pattern of multi-organ lesions attributable to Mycobacterium bovis (the causative agent of bTB) bacteraemia. A case-control study was conducted to investigate blood PCR as an alternative tool for improving ante-mortem detection of TST false-negative bovines. Cases comprised 70 TST false-negative bovines (cases), which were serology positive, and controls included 81 TST positive bovines; all of them confirmed as infected with *M. bovis*. Detection of the IS6110 target through touchdown blood-PCR (IS6110 TD-PCR) was performed. The positivity of the blood-PCR was 27.2% in the control group. This performance was similar to the 15% obtained among cases (p = 0.134). Most cases identified by the IS6110 TD-PCR exhibited focalized lesions (p = 0.002). Results demonstrated that blood-PCR could detect TST false-negative cattle, even if they are negative for IGRA. Considering that cases exhibited humoral response to M. bovis, further studies conducted in a pre-serological stage could provide evidence about the real contribution of the technique in herds.

KEYWORDS

bovine tuberculosis, anergy, diagnosis, IS6110 touchdown-PCR, blood, false-negative, tuberculin skin test

Introduction

Bovine tuberculosis (bTB), a chronic disease disseminated worldwide, impacts on the agricultural countries. Its etiological agent, *Mycobacterium bovis*, infects a wide range of mammalians, including humans. The control and eradication programs of this disease consist of a "test and slaughter" policy by using the *in vivo* tuberculin skin test (TST) as the primary

screening tool (1). The TST is highly effective but has limitations. The diagnosis maybe compromised by high rates of exposure to non-tuberculous mycobacteria (NTM) (2), and the existence of non-reactors to the screening tests. Previous reports showed the presence of false-negative animals to the screening tests in endemic herds (3–10).

TST false-negative bovines constitute a challenge for herd sanitation since they remain in herds as a source of infection. In Argentina, Garbaccio et al. (5) reported that 76% of TST false-negative dairy cattle were also negative to the IGRA, which suggests that tests based on cellular-mediated immune response partially detect the infection among these animals. In addition, humoral response detects TST false-negative cattle from endemic herds, which is more likely associated to late stages of the disease (4, 5, 10). However, some TST and IGRA false-negative animals could be in a pre-serological stage and therefore, they would not be detected in herds.

TST false-negative cattle presented exacerbated gross lesions with a predominant multi-organ commitment (5), which can occur because of haematogenous dissemination of the bacilli from the primary infection area. This fact suggests that viable mycobacteria could be detectable in blood samples, as previously confirmed in naturally infected cattle (11). Regarding *M. bovis* detection in blood, previous studies developed different specific PCRs in blood, targeting *M. bovis* sequences (*mpb70, esxB* gene, RD4 region, IS6110), with variable results (12–16).

Zumárraga et al. (17) developed a touchdown cycling for PCR, with the IS6110 element as target (IS6110 TD-PCR), which is highly specific for the *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex (MTC) members (17). IS6110 TD-PCR showed an enhanced sensitivity compared to the standard IS6110-PCR and detected *M. bovis* DNA in different biological samples (tissues, milk, nasal swabs) and colony cultures (colony-PCR), for direct diagnosis in infected *M. bovis* bovines (17–20). However, the usefulness of IS6110 TD-PCR in blood samples remains unexplored.

The objective of the study was to evaluate the performance of the IS6110 TD-PCR in TST false-negative cattle from bTB endemic herds. Results confirm the presence of *M. bovis* DNA in blood, and constitute evidence for future studies to evaluate the usefulness of the technique in a pre-serological stage of infection.

Materials and methods

Study design

A case–control study was conducted to assess the performance of the IS6110 TD PCR in blood of Friesian Holstein bovines (n=151), predominantly older than 2 years old, with confirmed bTB. Animals came from 31 different dairy herds under sanitation programs located in the central productive dairy region of Argentina.

The control group (n=81) included bovines positive to the TST according to the Argentinean official guidelines. Selection of cases (n=70) was made among non-reactors to the TST, considering those animals positive for humoral response against *M. bovis* by Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) (5). Blood from cases and controls was tested by PCR.

Cases were also tested by IGRA, as an ancillary test to the TST that also evidences cell-mediated immune response.

The pathology pattern was evaluated at the slaughterhouse inspection to characterize the disease presentation among cases. It was recorded as one organ with lesions or more than one organ with lesions (multi-organ lesions).

Animals were bTB confirmed when they were positive for at least one of the following tests: bacteriology, histopathology-ZN staining and tissue-PCR.

Tuberculin skin test

Accredited veterinarians performed the caudal fold TST (*CF*-TST) according to official guidelines of the Service for National Agri-Food Health and Quality (Law 128/12)¹. Because the *CF*-TST was applied in herds under sanitation, an animal exhibiting a skin-fold thickness increase \geq 3 mm was positive (severe interpretation test).

Interferon-gamma release assay (IGRA)

All cases were tested by IGRA. Heparinized blood $(200 \,\mu\text{L})$ from the jugular vein was stimulated with 25 μ L of the following antigens: Avian Tuberculin PPD 2500 (250 IU/mL), Bovine Tuberculin PPD 3000 (300 IU/mL), sterile Phosphate Buffered saline (PBS) solution 1X and BOVIGAM[®] Pokeweed Mitogen (5 μ g/mL) in a 96-well cell culture plate. Harvested plasma were stored at -20° C for γ -IFN measurement by ELISA using a commercial bovine γ -IFN-microplate ELISA. Blood stimulation, ELISA as well as interpretation of data (standard interpretation, cut-off=0.1) were performed according to the manufacturer's instructions (BOVIGAM TB kit, Thermofisher).

Detection of humoral response by ELISA

Humoral ELISA tested sera of non-reactor TST animals. Blood (10 mL) was obtained from the jugular vein 15-20 days' after CF-TST. Serum (100 µL, 1,100 in PBS 1X solution), was centrifuged at 2500 g and then used to test antibody against bovine protein purified derivative (PPDB). ELISA consisted of a binding step (12 µL of PPDB per well, 1µg/mL) performed over night at 4°C, a washing step (five times with a solution of PBS 1X-Tween 0.5, 1% of skimmed milk) and an incubation step with sera (100 µL, diluted 1,100 in PBS 1X solution) 1 h at 37°C. The plate was washed with PBS 1X and 100 µL of conjugate anti-Bovine IgG-Peroxidase antibody (Sigma, dilution 1:7000) was added to incubate the samples with this secondary antibody for 1 h at 37°C was added. The plates were washed five times more. Finally, 100 µL of the developing substrate (Citrate Buffer + ABTS $(Sigma) + H_2O_2$) were added and incubated for 10 min in the dark. The reaction reading was performed at 405 nm. Optical densities $(OD) \ge 0.42$ classified animals as positive (5).

¹ https://www.argentina.gob.ar/senasa/programas-sanitarios/cadena-animal/ bovinos-y-bubalinos/bovinos-y-bubalinos-producci%C3%B3n-primaria/ tuberculosis-bovina

Blood-PCR

Blood (10 mL) anticoagulated with EDTA (0.5 mg/mL) was obtained from the jugular vein. DNA extraction was performed with a commercial kit (PuriPrep-S kit, InbioHighway), following the manufacturer's instructions. As blood-PCR is not a routine protocol performed in our laboratory, some evaluations were performed before testing the presence of M. bovis DNA in the samples. The quality (A₂₆₀nm/A₂₈₀nm ratio) and concentration (A₂₆₀) were assessed by spectrophotometry (NanodropTM, Thermo Fisher Scientist). The DNA integrity was also analyzed by an electrophoresis in a 0.8% agarose gel (TAE buffer 1X, 80 volts for 60 min.) stained with 5 µg/mL of ethidium bromide (Promega, USA). Amplification of a 450 bp fragment of the endogenous 16S mitochondrial ribosomal RNA gene (16SRNArmt) (21) was also performed by using GoTaq® G2 DNA Polymerase (Promega, USA). The amplification products were visualized by electrophoresis in a 1.2% agarose gel (TAE buffer 1X, 90 volts for 45 min) stained with ethidium bromide, as described above, and with a 100 bp molecular weight marker (100 bp Plus DNA Ladder, Trans, China).

The presence of *M. bovis* DNA in blood was assessed using an IS6110 specific MCT fragment (IS6110 TD-PCR) using the HotStarTaq[®] Master Mix kit (Qiagen, USA), as described previously (17). The products were visualized by electrophoresis in a 2% agarose gel (TAE buffer 1X, 80 volts for 45 min) stained with ethidium bromide, as described above, and with a 100 bp molecular weight marker (100 bp Plus DNA Ladder, Trans, China). The expected amplification product was of 245 bp.

Post-mortem inspection and sampling

Official veterinarians performed a detailed inspection searching for lesions compatible with bTB, according to the official slaughterhouse procedures. Sampling of representative portions of the retropharyngeal and submandibular lymph nodes (LN), respiratory (tracheobronchial and mediastinal LN, and lung), digestive (mesenteric and hepatic LN, and liver), and mammary (udder and supra mammary) LN were aseptically collected in individual containers to perform tissue-PCR and bacteriology. In addition, other pieces were disposed in containers with 10% buffered formalin for fixing tissues for histopathology. Regarding cases, after performing the pathology inspection, animals were classified as those exhibiting one organ with macroscopic lesions or those with multi-organ lesions.

Bacteriology and histopathology

For bacteriology, 30 g of each tissue was cut into small pieces with scissors and put into a sterile bag with 20 mL of double-distilled sterile water. Maceration was performed for 3 min. (Basic Masticator, IUL Instruments type 470, Spain) and the homogenate was decontaminated by Petroff's method (22). An aliquot of 2 mL of each decontaminated sample was inoculated on egg-based Stonebrink solid media at 37°C with biweekly observation, for at least 8 weeks. This inoculation was done in triplicate. Suspected *M. bovis* colonies were stained with Ziehl-Neelsen (ZN) to identify acid-fast bacteria (23). For histopathology, fixed samples were dehydrated with different alcohol

solutions of increasing strength (50, 70, 80, 95% and absolute ethanol), subsequently clarified with xylene, and finally, embedded in paraffin. The paraffin plugs were cut in $5\,\mu$ m-thick sections (Leica RM2125 RTS, Biosystems), deparaffinized, hydrated and stained with hematoxylin-eosin and ZN staining (23).

Tissue-PCR

Two different PCR were interchangeably used to check the presence of M. bovis DNA in the collected tissues: IS6110 TD-PCR (17), with an expected product of 245bp, and an adapted touchdown-PCR from a previously described Rv2807 nested-PCR (Rv2807 TD-PCR) (24). DNA from tissues was obtained using a commercial extraction kit (ADN PuriPrep-T Kit, InbioHighway, Argentina) according to the manufacturer's instructions. GoTaq® G2 DNA Polymerase (Promega, USA) was used according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, the adaptation step of the Rv2807 TD-PCR consisted of an initial denaturation at 96°C for 3 min, with eight cycles of 96°C for 1 min, with an annealing temperature that was gradually reduced from 72°C to 64°C, and an extension step at 72°C for 1 min. The procedure was followed by 30 cycles including a denaturation step at 96°C for 1 min, annealing at 66°C for 1 min, extension at 72°C for 1 min 45 s, and a final extension at 72°C for 8 min. The expected amplification product was of 443 bp. PCR products were visualized in an 2% agarose gel (TAE buffer 1X), 80 volts for 45 min, stained with 5 µg/mL of ethidium bromide (Promega, USA), and compared to a 100 bp molecular weight marker (100 bp Plus DNA Ladder, Trans, China). Image digitalization was performed in a Geldoc Genetic Analyzer (Bio-Rad Laboratories).

Statistical analysis

The statistical comparison of proportions was performed with EpiDat 3.0 version software (Xunta de Galicia, OPS-OMS). Calculated p values equal to or less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant. The DNA concentration and quality were analyzed to identify significant outliers (p > 0.05) using the Grubbs' test (25). Data distribution was tested by the Shapiro Wilk test with median and interquartile range of 25–75 (RIQ₂₅₋₇₅) as descriptive statistics. Mean comparisons were performed using unpaired Student's t test (GraphPad Software, Inc.). The Fisher's exact Test was used to evaluate the association between the IS*6110* TD-PCR results in blood and the pathology profile.

Results

The *in vitro* IGRA test, that represents an additional tool that measures cell-mediated immune response, recorded 55.4% of negativity among cases. This result shows that animals either positive or negative to this ancillary screening test, were similarly represented within the *CF*-TST false negative bovines (p > 0.05) (Table 1).

At the slaughterhouse inspection, cases exhibited 97.1% of macroscopic lesions compatible with bTB. Of these animals, 80.9% developed multi-organ lesions and, in a lesser proportion, 19.1% of them granulomas limited to one organ. Two animals lacked

TABLE 1 Performance of the blood IS6110 TD-PCR in CF-TST false-negative bovines.

	Ante-mortem IGRA		Post-mortem		
			Macroscopic lesions		Confirmation**
	+		One organ	Multi-organ	Confirmation***
Blood IS6110 TD- PCR + 15.7% (<i>n</i> = 11)	33.3% (3/9)	66.7% (6/9)	60% (6/10)	40% (4/10)	72.3% (8/11)
Blood IS6110 TD-PCR – 84.3% (<i>n</i> =59)	46,4% (26/56)	53.6% (30/56)	12,1% (7/58)	87,9% (51/58)	93.2% (55/59)
Total* (N=70)	44.6% (29/65)	55.4% (36/65)	19.1% (13/68)	80.9% (55/68)	90% (63/70)

Shows the performance detection, as the percentage ($\% = (n/N)^{*100}$), of the blood-PCR targeting the IS6110 specific sequence as well as the ante-mortem and post-mortem results obtained in *CF*-TST false-negative cattle. *Sample size analyzed for each technique was variable. **Animals were confirmed for bTB when exhibited a positive result in almost one of the following confirmation tools: bacteriology, histopathology-ZN staining and tissue-PCR.

macroscopic lesions, although with a positive result of histopathology-ZN staining (Table 1).

DNA extraction from blood was performed in all the studied bovines (n = 151). A median genomic DNA concentration of the cases was 5.9 (3.6; 11.2) ng/µL, significantly lower than that obtained in the control group, in which the median concentration was 27.0 (19.0; 56.3) ng/µL (p < 0.0001). Despite this difference, the quality of the templates according to A_{260nm}/A_{280nm} ratio and the positive results for the *16S*RNArmt PCR suggested good conditions of the extracted DNA (Supplementary Figure 1). Thus, the template was useful for further downstream determinations such as the IS6*110* TD-PCR.

Blood-PCR performed in cases and controls (n = 151), yielded 22% of positivity for the IS6110 TD-PCR. Data stratification revealed that 27.2% of controls were positive for the IS6110 TD-PCR, while a lower proportion (15.7%) of the cases was positive for this test; however, the differences were not significant (p = 0.134).

On the other hand, IS6110 TD-PCR yielded significantly higher positive results in animals with focused lesions (46.2%), compared to animals with multi-organ lesions (7.3%) (p = 0.002). In addition, 66.7% of the IS6110 TD-PCR positive samples were IGRA negative (Table 1).

Discussion

Bovine tuberculosis, a health concern for animals and humans, is an ancient disease distributed worldwide, ranks among the top zoonotic threats (26). Currently, TST false-negative cattle constitute a challenge for diagnosis, because these animals remain in herds spreading the disease. Some of these bovines also fails to react to ancillary diagnosis tools such as the IGRA (3, 5).

In Argentina, our group reported cases of these TST falsenegative bovines with repeatedly negative results for the *CF*-TST and a predominant multi-organ pathology in herds under sanitation, most of these animals were negative for IGRA (5). In Spain, TST and IGRA double negative bovines were identify positive for bacteriology. Researchers reported that these animals yielded a significant higher proportion of positive culture in beef cattle compared to dairy cattle (3).

In experimental infections, *M. bovis* promotes a predominance of cell-mediated immunity but with low or absent antibodies

during the initial stages. The humoral response increases as the infection progresses, which is associated with the exacerbation of the disease pathology (27, 28). TST false-negative cattle exhibit humoral response, and previous studies demonstrated that antibody detection against M. *bovis* could contribute to identify these animals in naturally endemic herds (5, 6, 10). However, the association of antibodies with later stages of the disease could limit the performance of serology-based tools to detect those false-negative bovines that have not yet reached this humoral stage.

The present study constitutes valuable evidence to understand how to deal with this phenotype in endemic bTB herds. However, it is important to highlight that the results obtained here are biased given the selection criteria to identify *CF*-TST falsenegative bovines in endemic herds. This selection was based on the presence of humoral response. Some questions remain open: Can the double TST and IGRA false-negative cattle be identified before the humoral stage? Are there false-negative animals with lesions but no humoral response, despite a putative advanced infection stage?

M. bovis genome detection in blood samples constitutes an approach poorly developed among positive reactors in the literature, and with no antecedents among the CF-TST falsenegative phenotype. In Ethiopia, PCR targeting the M. bovis mpb70 gene in blood samples detected a significantly higher proportion (68%) of positive animals (12) than the obtained in the present research (p < 0.0001). These animals belonged to bovines chronically infected, as screened by the cervical TST. In our study, CF-TST positive bovines came from herds under sanitation, were highly infected bovines are not expected to be present for an extensive period. These differences could limit the sensitivity of IS6110 TD-PCR in blood samples. Conversely, a previous Indian study reported no IS6110-PCR positive blood samples from cervical-TST positive cattle with confirmed infection (14). The researchers used the phenol-chloroformisoamyl alcohol method to extract DNA, in contrast to the present study and the research of Elsohaby et al., who used optimized commercial kits. Thakur et al. did not perform control checks on the quantity and quality of the extracted DNA, which are essential to ensure the usefulness of a DNA sample for downstream applications, including PCR (29).

By performing the IS6110 TD-PCR in milk of bovines, Zumárraga et al. (20) demonstrated the presence of M. *bovis* in bTB free herds of a dairy productive area with hot spots of infection in Santa Fe province, Argentina. Based on this study, the authorities incorporated the detection of *M. bovis* in dairy herds as a surveillance complementary tool in the Regional Plan for the control and eradication of bovine tuberculosis in Santa Fe province (Law 949/12, Ministry of Production of Santa Fe province, Argentina). However, the results of the present study suggest that the use of PCR for blood samples could be useful, not only for dairy cattle, but also for beef cattle, and in every period of the productive cycle.

Despite the predominant multi-organ lesions observed among the *CF*-TST false-negative bovines, this pathology pattern would not necessary reflect a greater presence of mycobacterial DNA in blood.

A possible explanation would be that circulating naked DNA, viable bacilli associated with bacteraemia or both, could be present in blood but in an intermittent manner.

CF-TST false-negative bovines limit the sanitation of bTB infected herds based on the application of ante-mortem screening tests, supporting the search of improved diagnosis tools. Results presented here, although preliminary, confirm the presence of *M. bovis* DNA in bovine blood samples of the *CF*-TST false-negative bovines suggesting the useful of this technique to be considered. However, further studies conducted in a pre-serological stage could provide more evidence about the real contribution of the technique in herds.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The animal studies were approved by CICUAE Instituto Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria, CICVyA. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent was not obtained from the owners for the participation of their animals in this study because Study was performed in endemic herds. Animals detected as *M. bovis* infected must be sent to the slaughterhouse due to the infection in Argentina is a mandatory reportable disease.

Author contributions

MEn: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. XF: Data curation, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. RS: Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. VR: Data curation, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. CG: Data curation, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. FD: Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. AM: Investigation, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. GM: Investigation, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. MZ: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Resources, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. SG: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. MEi: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This present study was supported by the following funding sources: the Instituto Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria PNSA-PDi-113, PICT 2019 04085, PICT Start Up 2019 00038 and PIP 2021-2023 11220200101912CO.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Julia Sabio y Garcia for the English revision of the manuscript. MEi and MZ are researchers of the National Research Council of Argentina (CONICET).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fvets.2024.1359205/ full#supplementary-material

FIGURE S1

Features of DNA templates and IS6110 TD-PCR in CF-TST false-negative bovines. (A) DNA concentration and quality. Scatter plot of concentration (ng/µL) and Quality (proportion A260/A280) of DNA from blood samples from CF-TST false-negative bovines (n = 70) was determined by spectrophotometry. Outliers, previously identified by Grubbs test (GraphPad), were excluded from the statistical analysis. (B) DNA integrity templates obtained from bovine blood samples. Electrophoresis in a 0.8% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide to evaluate DNA integrity and RNA contamination of samples (loading sample: 5 µL). S1–S9 refers to nine different genomic DNAs extracted from blood samples belonging to CF-TST false-negative bovines. "High molecular weight" represent the region of the gel expected to show DNA not disrupted, as a dense band of high molecular genomic DNA. "Degradation" shows the region of the gel in which genomic DNA degradation, observed as a continued and diffuse band, it is visualized, and finally, the "RNA contamination" indicates the area of the gel in which contaminating RNA that has co-eluted with the genomic DNA during the extraction process is expected to be detected. **(C)** IS6110 TD-PCR amplification in bovine blood samples. Electrophoresis in a 2% agarose gel to

References

1. Reis AC, Ramos B, Pereira AC, Cunha MV. Global trends of epidemiological research in livestock tuberculosis for the last four decades. *Transbound Emerg Dis.* (2021) 68:333–46. doi: 10.1111/tbed.13763

 Jenkins AO, Gormley E, Gcebe N, Fosgate GT, Conan A, Aagaard C, et al. Cross reactive immune responses in cattle arising from exposure to *Mycobacterium bovis* and non-tuberculous mycobacteria. *Prev Vet Med.* (2018) 152:16–22. doi: 10.1016/j. prevetmed.2018.02.003

3. Álvarez J, Perez A, Marqués S, Bezos J, Grau A, de la Cruz ML, et al. Risk factors associated with negative in-vivo diagnostic results in bovine tuberculosis-infected cattle in Spain. *BMC Vet Res.* (2014) 10:14. doi: 10.1186/1746-6148-10-14

4. Casal C, Díez-Guerrier A, Álvarez J, Rodriguez-Campos S, Mateos A, Linscott R, et al. Strategic use of serology for the diagnosis of bovine tuberculosis after intradermal skin testing. *Vet Microbiol.* (2014) 170:342–51. doi: 10.1016/j.vetmic.2014.02.036

5. Garbaccio SG, Garro CJ, Delgado F, Tejada GA, Eirin ME, Huertas PS, et al. Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay as complement of intradermal skin test for the detection of *Mycobacterium bovis* infection in cattle. *Tuberculosis*. (2019) 117:56–61. doi: 10.1016/j.tube.2019.05.006

 Griffa N, Moyano RD, Canal AM, Travería GE, Santangelo MP, Alonso N, et al. Development and diagnostic validation of an ELISA based on an antigenic mixture for the detection of bovine tuberculosis. *Vet J.* (2020) 256:105426. doi: 10.1016/j.tvjl.2020.105426

7. Houlihan MG, Dixon FW, Page NA. Outbreak of bovine tuberculosis featuring anergy to the skin test, udder lesions and milkborne disease in young calves. *Vet Rec.* (2008) 163:357–61. doi: 10.1136/vr.163.12.357

8. Raffo E, Steuer P, Tomckowiack C, Tejeda C, Collado B, Salgado M. More insights about the interfering effect of *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* (MAP) infection on *Mycobacterium bovis* (*M. bovis*) detection in dairy cattle. *Trop Anim Health Prod.* (2020) 52:1479–85. doi: 10.1007/s11250-019-02151-2

9. Waters WR, Buddle BM, Vordermeier HM, Gormley E, Palmer MV, Thacker TC, et al. Development and evaluation of an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for use in the detection of bovine tuberculosis in cattle. *Clin Vacc Immunol.* (2011) 18:1882–8. doi: 10.1128/CVI.05343-11

10. Waters WR, Vordermeier HM, Rhodes S, Khatri B, Palmer MV, Maggioli MF, et al. Potential for rapid antibody detection to identify tuberculous cattle with non-reactive tuberculin skin test results. *BMC Vet Res.* (2017) 13:164. doi: 10.1186/s12917-017-1085-5

11. Maggioli MF. A bloody evidence: is *Mycobacterium bovis* bacteraemia frequent in cattle?! *Virulence*. (2016) 7:748–50. doi: 10.1080/21505594.2016.1213477

12. Elsohaby I, Mahmmod YS, Mweu MM, Ahmed HA, El-Diasty MM, Elgedawy AA, et al. a. Accuracy of PCR, mycobacterial culture and interferon-γ assays for detection of *Mycobacterium bovis* in blood and milk samples from Egyptian dairy cows using Bayesian modelling. *Prev Vet Med.* (2020) 181:105054. doi: 10.1016/j.prevetmed.2020.105054

13. Elsohaby I, Ahmed HA, El-Diasty MM, Elgedawy AA, Mahrous E, El Hofy FI. Serological and molecular evidence of *Mycobacterium bovis* in dairy cattle and dairy farm workers under the intensive dairy production system in Egypt. *J Appl Microbiol.* (2020) 129:1207–19. doi: 10.1111/jam.14734

14. Thakur MK, Sinha DK, Singh BR. Evaluation of complementary diagnostic tools for bovine tuberculosis detection in dairy herds from India. *Vet World*. (2016) 9:862–8. doi: 10.14202/vetworld.2016.862-868

15. Brahma D, Narang D, Chandra M, Singh ST. Comparison of multiplex and ordinary PCR for diagnosis of paratuberculosis and tuberculosis in blood samples (buffy coat) of cattle and buffaloes. *Iranian journal of veterinary research*. (2020) 21, 52–56.

16. Cezar RD, Lucena-Silva N, Filho AF, Borges J, de M, de Oliveira PR. Molecular detection of Mycobacterium bovis in cattle herds of the state of Pernambuco. *BMC veterinary research*. (2016) 12, 31. doi: 10.1186/s12917-016-0656-1

visualize the amplification of IS6110 target in blood samples of CF-TST falsenegative bovines. Red arrow indicates a band of 245 bp corresponding to IS6110 amplification sequence. S1–S9 refers to DNA extracted from different animals. PC, positive control (*M. bovis* DNA, AN5 strain). CC, contamination control (DNase and RNase free water); MWM, molecular weight marker.

17. Zumárraga MJ, Meikle V, Bernardelli A, Abdala A, Tarabla H, Romano MI, et al. Use of touch-down polymerase chain reaction to enhance the sensitivity of *Mycobacterium bovis* detection. J Vet Diagnostic Investig. (2005) 17:232–8. doi: 10.1177/104063870501700303

18. Barandiaran S, Pérez Aguirreburualde MS, Marfil MJ, Martínez Vivot M, Aznar N, Zumárraga M, et al. Bayesian assessment of the accuracy of a PCR-based rapid diagnostic test for bovine tuberculosis in swine. *Front Vet Sci.* (2019) 6:204. doi: 10.3389/ fvets.2019.00204

19. Marfil MJ, Huertas PS, Garbaccio SG, Barandiaran S, Martínez Vivot M, Garro C, et al. Detection of viable *Mycobacterium bovis* in lungs and livers sold in Butchers' shops in Buenos Aires, Argentina. *Foodborne Pathog Dis.* (2018) 15:758–62. doi: 10.1089/fpd.2018.2467

20. Zumárraga MJ, Soutullo A, García MI, Marini R, Abdala A, Tarabla H, et al. Detection of *Mycobacterium bovis*-infected dairy herds using PCR in bulk tank milk samples. *Foodborne Pathog Dis.* (2012) 9:132–7. doi: 10.1089/fpd.2011.0963

21. Roellig DM, Gomez-Puerta LA, Mead DG, Pinto J, Ancca-Juarez J, Calderon M, et al. Hemi-nested PCR and RFLP methodologies for identifying blood meals of the Chagas disease vector, *Triatoma infestans. PLoS One.* (2013) 8:e74713. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0074713

22. de Kantor IN. Bacteriología de la Tuberculosis humana y animal. CEPANZO OPS/ OMS Serie de Monografías. (1989) 11:63.

23. AAVLD. Manual de Diagnostico de Micobacterias de Importancia en Medicina Veterinaria. *Comisión Científica de Micobacterias*. (2005):20-8.

24. Araújo CP, Osório AL, Jorge KS, Ramos CA, Souza Filho AF, Vidal CE, et al. Direct detection of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex in bovine and bubaline tissues through nested-PCR. *Braz J Microbiol.* (2014) 45:633–40. doi: 10.1590/ s1517-8382201400020035

25. Grubbs' Test GraphPad Software, Inc. (n.d.). Available at: https://www.graphpad. com/quickcalcs/Grubbs1.cfm (Accessed November 28, 2023).

26. Kock R, Michel AL, Yeboah-Manu D, Azhar EI, Torrelles JB, Cadmus SI, et al. Zoonotic tuberculosis – the changing landscape. *Int J Infectious Dis.* (2021) 113:S68–72. doi: 10.1016/j.ijid.2021.02.091

27. Pollock JM, McNair J, Welsh MD, Girvin RM, Kennedy HE, Mackie DP, et al. Immune responses in bovine tuberculosis. *Tuberculosis*. (2001) 81:103–7. doi: 10.1054/tube.2000.0258

28. Welsh MD, Cunningham RT, Corbett DM, Girvin RM, McNair J, Skuce RA, et al. Influence of pathological progression on the balance between cellular and humoral immune responses in bovine tuberculosis. *Immunology.* (2005) 114:101–11. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2567.2004.02003.x

 Lucena-Aguilar G, Sánchez-López AM, Barberán-Aceituno C, Carrillo-Ávila JA, López-Guerrero JA, Aguilar-Quesada R. DNA source selection for downstream applications based on DNA quality indicators analysis. *Biopreservation Biobanking*. (2016) 14:264–70. doi: 10.1089/bio.2015.0064

30. Garbaccio S, Barandiaran S, Fernandez A, Macias A, Magnano G, Vivot M, et al. Ensayo interlaboratorio: aislamiento de *Mycobacterium bovis* a partir de lesiones granulomatosas en bovinos [Interlaboratory test: isolation of *Mycobacterium bovis* from granulomatous lesions in bovine]. *Revista Argentina de Microbiologia*. (2016) 48:161–5. doi: 10.1016/j.ram.2016.03.004

31. Menin Á, Fleith R, Reck C, Marlow M, Fernandes P, Pilati C, et al. Asymptomatic cattle naturally infected with *Mycobacterium bovis* present exacerbated tissue pathology and bacterial dissemination. *PLoS One.* (2013) 8:e53884. doi: 10.1371/journal. pone.0053884