Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Barbara Padalino, University of Bologna, Italy

REVIEWED BY Ali Tumay Gurler, Ondokuz Mayıs University, Türkiye Saeed El-Ashram, Kafrelsheikh University, Egypt

*CORRESPONDENCE Rewaida Abdel-Gaber ⊠ rabdelgaber@ksu.edu.sa

RECEIVED 13 July 2024 ACCEPTED 07 October 2024 PUBLISHED 29 October 2024

CITATION

Al-Shaebi EM, Al Quraishy S, Omer SA, Abdel-Gaber R and Mohammed OB (2024) Morphological and molecular identification of *Eimeria rajasthani* (coccidia: Eimeriidae) in the dromedary camel (*Camelus dromedarius*) in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. *Front. Vet. Sci.* 11:1464138. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2024.1464138

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Al-Shaebi, Al Quraishy, Omer, Abdel-Gaber and Mohammed. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Morphological and molecular identification of *Eimeria rajasthani* (coccidia: Eimeriidae) in the dromedary camel (*Camelus dromedarius*) in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

Esam M. Al-Shaebi, Saleh Al Quraishy, Sawsan A. Omer, Rewaida Abdel-Gaber* and Osama B. Mohammed

Department of Zoology, College of Science, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

Introduction: Coccidiosis is a serious parasitic disease in camels caused by an intestinal protozoan parasite of the genus *Eimeria*, which is linked to significant causes of reduced milk and meat production. In Saudi Arabia, scare literature focused on the coprological investigation of dromedary camels (*Camelus dromedarius*). To determine the taxonomic status of camel parasite species, we performed morphological characterization of occysts and genetic analysis (*18S rRNA* and *ITS-1* gene regions) of *Eimeria* species collected from camels in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

Methods: A total of 150 faecal samples were obtained from camels at the old camel market. These samples were tested for the presence of *Eimeria* oocysts using the conventional floatation technique before being sporulated in a 2.5% potassium dichromate solution. *Eimeria* oocysts were morphologically and molecularly examined and identified, and the infection rate of parasitic infections was determined.

Results: Our findings revealed that the overall frequency of oocysts was 30%. The identified species was *Eimeria rajasthani*, which had a typical ellipsoidal oocyst shape. Oocystic polar granule, micropyle, micropylar cap, and oocyst residuum are not visible. Sporocysts are oval with stieda body. Sporocyst residuum contains many granules and sporozoites with refractile bodies and nuclei. Genetic analyses of the sequence data from the partial *18S rRNA* and *ITS-1* gene regions revealed that the sequences obtained from *E. rajasthani* oocysts are related to DNA sequences reported from *E. lamae* from the Alpaca from China, particularly the *18S rRNA* sequences.

Conclusion: This study emphasized the need to use molecular phylogenetic tools to describe camel intestinal coccidian parasites with traditional morphology-based approaches to better understand their biology. For camel husbandry and disease control, more studies should be conducted to better understand the epidemiology of these protozoan parasites.

KEYWORDS

dromedary camels, coccidiosis, prevalence, taxonomy, morphology, genetic analysis

Introduction

The dromedary camel, *Camelus dromedarius* (Order: Artiodactyla), is the most prevalent Camelidae species. Camels have been an essential animal in desert locations for ages due to their ability to tolerate severe conditions (high temperatures and drought), supply milk and meat, and serve as a means of transportation (1, 2). Camels are found in 35 countries around the world, 18 of which are African. According to recent official statistics, Saudi Arabia is home to approximately 1.8 million camels. Camels are prone to a variety of diseases, especially due to the lack of sufficient veterinary services (3). Gastrointestinal parasites are one of the most common challenges facing the global camel population (4), causing not only nutritional and immune deficiencies but also stunted growth and delayed development (5, 6). These parasitic infections affect camel production and the quality of their meat and milk (7–9).

Eimeria species are gut-dwelling intracellular coccidian parasites that spread by the fecal-oral pathway; non-sporulated oocysts are discharged in feces of infected animals (10). Sporulation of oocysts occurs over 2-7 days, depending on coccidian species and environmental factors (e.g., oxygen, temperature, and moisture) (11). Five Eimeria species are thought to have the capability of infecting the camel's intestine (5). Eimeria cameli (12) and Eimeria dromedarii (13) are the most widely distributed species of camelid Eimeria, while others [Eimeria bactriani (14); Eimeria rajasthani (15); and Eimeria pellerdyi (16)] are found in specific geographical zones. Coccidiosis is most commonly reported in young animals, but adults are resistant due to an immunological response to previous Eimeria exposure (17-19). Camels with severe Eimeria infections exhibit symptoms such as hemorrhagic enteritis and diarrhea, loss of appetite, dehydration, and increasing weight loss (20). Furthermore, the free movement of camels across borders could lead to the spread of parasitic diseases (21-23).

Eimeria species have been identified using the shape of the sporulated oocysts and sporocysts (24). Eimeria species were identified using morphological features such as size, shape, color, sporulation time, texture of oocyst wall, presence or absence of micropyle, and micropylar cap, as well as (25) taxonomy keys. However, only a few Eimeria species have morphological resemblance with one another. Molecular analysis is required to reliably define Eimeria species and establish evolutionary relationships between them (26). Few studies have focused on the ability to use the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region to identify camelid's Eimeria species (27, 28). The previous studies in Saudi Arabia had addressed the phylogenetic relationships of coccidian species based on the ability of the use target genetic regions, including the small subunit ribosomal RNA (18S rRNA), internal transcribed spacer (ITS)-1, and mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase I (COI) genes in identification and taxonomy of Eimeria species, which parasitize rodents (29), rabbits (30), sheep (31), broiler chicken (32), and domestic pigeons (33).

Several investigations on camelid coccidian infection have been conducted in Saudi Arabia (17–19, 34–36). Three protozoan parasites, namely, *Eimeria dromedarii, E. rajasthani*, and *E. cameli*, were detected in the dromedary camel in Saudi Arabia. The pathology of the three species has been evaluated, and they are pathogenic in young camels causing enteritis as a result of the intestinal mucosa destruction whereas older camels did not show clinical signs (17).

Similarly, to control coccidiosis in camels successfully and economically, an extensive understanding of the *Eimeria* species implicated is required. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to morphologically identify camelid *Eimeria* species and molecularly corroborate their classification.

Materials and methods

Fecal sample collection

A total of 150 fecal samples (10 g/animal) were collected, between January and April 2024, from dromedary camels in the old camel market in Riyadh (Saudi Arabia). These samples were obtained directly from the rectum using disposable gloves, placed into screwcapped plastic containers, and labeled with epidemiological data. The samples were then transported in an icebox to the Laboratory of Parasitology Research (Department of Zoology, College of Science, King Saud University) for further analysis.

Coprological examination

All fecal samples were subjected to a floatation technique using a saturated saline solution (Sheather's solution, specific gravity = 1.28) as reported by Soulsby (37). In brief, 3g of fecal material from each sample was weighed, mixed with 15 mL of saturated sucrose solution, and homogenized. The fecal suspension was then centrifuged at 1,500 rpm for 3 min at room temperature (RT). The samples were examined using a light microscope (Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). To identify the species, positive samples with Eimeria oocysts were cultivated in Petri dishes containing 2.5% (w/v) potassium dichromate (Sigma-Aldrich) and incubated at 26±2°C for 2-7 days until sporulation was achieved (38). After sporulation, the oocysts were washed three times in 1× phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and kept at 4°C for further investigation. Photographs of oocysts (non-sporulated and sporulated) were acquired with a Leica DM 2500 microscope (NIS ELEMENTS software, version 3.8). The size and shape index of oocysts and sporocysts were calculated using ImageJ 1.53e software (Wayne Rasband and contributors, National Institute of Health, United States). The length, width, and shape index of the oocysts and sporocysts were measured for parasite species. Data were presented in micrometers (µm) as the mean, with the range in parentheses.

Molecular analysis

DNA was isolated from *Eimeria* oocysts via a commercial QIAamp DNA Stool Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The concentration and purity of the genetic sample were evaluated using a NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Wilmington, DE, United States). PCR was performed under conditions that targeted the partial *18S rRNA* and *ITS-1* gene regions. Amplification was carried out utilizing the genus-specific primers as follows: for the *18S rRNA* gene region was 5'-TAC CCA ATG AAA ACA GTT T-3' and 5'-CAG GAG AAG CCA AGG TAG G-3' (39), and the *ITS-1* gene region was

5'-GCA AAA GTC GTA ACA CGG TTT CCG-3' and 5'-CTG CAA TTC ACA ATG CGT ATC GC-3' (40). The reaction conditions were as follows: initial denaturation at 94°C for 2 min, then denaturation at 94°C for 50 s, annealing at 50°C (18S rRNA), and 52°C (ITS-1) for 30 s, and extension at 72°C for 30s in 35 cycles. PCRs were carried out using a MultigeneTM thermocycler (Labnet International, Inc., NJ, United States). Amplified products were electrophoretically analyzed using a 1.5% (w/v) agarose gel (Sigma-Aldrich, United States) in 1×Tris-boric acid-EDTA (TBE) and stained with SYBR Safe DNA gel dye (Thermo Fischer Scientific, Canada) and using Easy Ladder 1 (100 bp to 2000 bp) from Bioline, United Kingdom, as a molecular weight marker, indicating the size of the PCR products resulted from using these primers. Products were visualized using a gel documentation system (Image Analyzer, United Kingdom). The PCR products were sequenced using the Sanger dideoxy method available from Macrogen® (Seoul, South Korea). Both 18S rRNA and ITS-1 regions were selected for easy comparison with related sequences in GenBank. Sequences were deposited at a public sequence database, GenBank of NCBI.1 The sequence homology was analyzed in GenBank using the BLASTn search.² Data were aligned using CLUSTAL-X software (41). MEGA X software (42) was used to conduct maximum likelihood (ML) and neighbor-joining (NJ) analyses with the best-fit substitution models. Statistical support for each node was evaluated using a non-parametric bootstrap test with 1,000 replicates. Trees were drawn to scale, with branch lengths in the same units as those of the evolutionary distances used to infer the phylogenetic tree.

Results

Out of the 150 examined fecal samples, 45 (30%) were infected with eimerian parasites. The recovered parasite possesses a unique taxonomic affinity for the genus *Eimeria*, particularly for *E. rajasthani*, as detailed below. Figure 1 depicts the oocysts of *Eimeria* species recovered from camels during the current study. Table 1 summarizes the morphometric parameters of the recovered *Eimeria* species.

Description

Non-sporulated oocysts are ellipsoidal, measuring 25.64–35.39 (32.15) in length and 20.20–25.65 (23.66) in width (Figure 1A). The oocyst wall is double-layered, with the outer one being thicker and inner one being membranous (Figure 1A). The micropyle is visible; the micropylar end has a dome-shaped micropylar cap, measuring 1.78–2.82 (1.99) in height and 7.63–10.53 (8.41) in width (Figure 1A). The sporont (zygote) is cylindrical, measuring 17.41–20.54 (20.31) μ m × 17.44–20.84 (19.65) (Figure 1A). Sporulation took approximately 7 days at 27°C.

Sporulated oocysts are ellipsoidal, measuring 27.86–37.42 (33.71) in length and 21.19–27.86 (25.61) in width. Micropylar cap measures 7.63–10.53 (8.41) in width, whereas oocystic polar granule and oocyst

residuum are absent (Figure 1B). Each oocyst was tetrasporozoic (Figure 1B). Sporocysts are oval, measuring 12.13–15.46 (13.97) in length and 8.64–11.58 (10.12) in width. They have a single-layered wall and Stieda body at the narrower end (Figure 1B). Sporocyst residuum exists between the two sporozoites (Figure 1B). Each sporocyst is dizoic. Sporozoites are elongated, lying longitudinally head to tail in the sporocysts, 10.84–12.83 (11.96) μ m×3.12–4.85 (4.10) μ m, with one end broad and the other narrower but pointed and having two or more conspicuous globules (Figure 1B). Each sporozoite has one refractile body at the wider end (Figure 1B).

Molecular analysis

The amplification of both *18S rRNA* and *ITS-1* gene regions for *E. rajasthani* was successful using primers that were used in the present study. The expected PCR products of ~613 bp and ~ 380 bp were obtained and sequenced for the *18S rRNA* and *ITS-1* gene regions, respectively. Four sequences were obtained from the *18S rRNA* region and deposited in GenBank and were given the accession numbers PP965651 to PP965654. Two sequences were obtained from the *ITS-1* region and were also deposited in GenBank and were given the accession the accession numbers PP965655 and PP965656.

The 18S rRNA sequences showed two haplotypes with one sequence (PP965653) with a mutation (C/T) at position 238 on the alignment. Sequences showed 99% identity to sequence MT337428 isolated from the feces of Alpaca (Vicugna pacos) from China. The sequence from Alpaca showed one to two mutations when compared with sequences from E. rajasthani obtained in the present study. There is another sequence from the Alpaca MT337427 which was shorter than MT337428, which also showed identity to sequences obtained in the present study. Although the sequence did not cover the whole region studied, it has shown differences in three bases at positions 243, 245, and 280 of the alignment. The closest match for the sequences obtained in the present study other than MT337427 and MT337428 from the Alpaca was a sequence (MK170375) from Eimeria mayeri from Reindeer (Rangifer tarandus tarandus) from Norway with 97.8% identity. Phylogenetic analysis of the 18S rRNA sequence data, resulting from neighbor-joining (NJ) and maximum-likelihood (ML) analyses, revealed that sequences from E. rajasthani and Eimeria sp. from the Alpaca shared a common ancestor and formed a monophyletic group (Figure 2). Sequences from the present study have shown 97% identity to several other eimerian sequences from carnivores and birds. Furthermore, it showed the same values from some isospora sequences from birds as it has been shown in Figure 2. Taxa used in the analysis are presented in Table 2.

The *ITS-1* sequences (PP965655 and PP965656) from *E. rajasthani* have shown 94–95% to *E. lamae* (GQ330537 {USA}, MW838990 {China}, MW838989 {China}), the only three sequences available in GenBank. The two sequences obtained in the present study showed two haplotypes with a mutation at position 136 (C/G) on the alignment.

Discussion

Infection with coccidian intestinal parasites has a significant economic impact because of losses due to enteritis, diarrhea, and

¹ https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/

² https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi



FIGURE 1

Morphological features of *E. rajasthani* oocysts infecting camels. (A) Non-sporulated *Eimeria* oocyst. (B) Sporulated *Eimeria* oocysts. OL, outer layer; IL, inner layer; S, sporont; MC, micropylar cap; SPC, sporocyst; SPZ, sporozoite; RF, refractile body; RBS, sporocyst residuum. Scale bar = 10 µm.

| References of <i>E.</i> rajasthani | Oocyst size | | | | Oocyst | Sporocyst size | | | Locality |
|--|----------------|-----------|------------------|--------------------|-------------|----------------|-----------------------|----------------|--------------|
| | Size | Micropyle | Polar granule | Oocyst residuum | shape | Size | Sporocyst residuum | Stieda body | |
| Dubey and | 34-39 (36)×25- | + capped | _ | _ | Ellipsoidal | 14-15 | + | + | India |
| Pande (15) | 27 (25) | | | | | (15)×8-11 | | | |
| | | | | | | (11) | | | |
| Yagoub (46) | 34-39.5 | + capped | _ | _ | Ellipsoidal | 12-16 | + | + | Eastern |
| | (35.6)×26–29 | | | | | (13.5)×9–11 | | | Region of |
| | (26.5) | | | | | (9.9) | | | Sudan |
| Mahran (47) | 39.5×26 | + capped | _ | _ | ? | ? | ş | ş | Red Sea |
| | | | | | | | | | Governorate, |
| | | | | | | | | | Egypt |
| Metwally et al. | 25-30×21-24 | + capped | _ | _ | Oval | 12-14 | _ | ? | Riyadh and |
| (36) | | | | | | (13)×9–10 | | | Al-Qassim, |
| | | | | | | (9.5) | | | Saudi Arabia |
| Present study | 27.86-37.42 | + capped | - | _ | Ellipsoidal | 12.13-15.46 | + | + | Riyadh, |
| | (33.71)×21.19- | | | | | (13.97)×8.64- | | | Saudi Arabia |
| | 27.86 (25.61) | | | | | 11.58 (10.12) | | | |

TABLE 1 Morphological characteristics of sporulated oocysts for *E. rajasthani* from *Camelus dromedarius*.

+ = present; - = absent; ? = not specified.

decreased body weight in camels, which also affects meat yield and quality (43). There is little information available on the epidemiology of coccidian intestinal parasites in dromedary camels in Saudi Arabia. As a result, the purpose of this study was to conduct coprological and molecular investigations of camelids *Eimeria* species to provide additional information about these parasites in the Riyadh region, Saudi Arabia. In the current study, the infection rate with *Eimeria* species in dromedary camels was 30%. Several studies have revealed infection rates in various camel-rearing regions across the world. In earlier studies, Kawasmah and El Bihari (35), Kasim et al. (18), and Hussein et al. (17) discovered one or more species (*E. rajasthani*, *E. cameli*, and *E. dromedarii*) with an overall prevalence of 14, 41.6, and 40% in Saudi Arabian camels, respectively. Mahmoud et al. (19) found a mean infection rate of 15.7% for adult camels and 10.2% for



between E. rajasthani (PP965651 to PP965654; shown on solid circles) and 25 related taxa obtained from NCBI GenBank with Toxoplasma gondii as an out-group. Numbers indicated at branch nodes are bootstrap values (ML/NJ). Only bootstraps >60% are shown.

camel calves in Saudi Arabia's central region. Metwally et al. (36) investigated coccidiosis in camels in Saudi Arabia and discovered that the prevalence of Eimeria oocysts in Riyadh was 33.89% and in Al-Qassim 38.46%. According to Sazmand et al. (44), changes in the prevalence of coccidian infections in camels are likely due to environmental and host-related factors.

Different diagnostic methods for Eimeria species are currently available, with varying degrees of specificity and sensitivity, including morphological examination and DNA molecular tools (45). There are five recognized old-world camelid eimerian species (including E. cameli, E. dromedarii, E. bactriani, E. rajasthani, and E. pellerdyi). Based on the morphological findings, the species detected in the camel in Riyadh (Saudi Arabia) is related to E. rajasthani. The main criteria for identifying recovered E. rajasthani were the ellipsoidal

shape of oocysts and the presence of a dome-shaped micropylar cap. Our descriptions of the sporulated oocysts of E. rajasthani were similar to those of Dubey and Pande (15), Yagoub (46), Mahran (47), and Metwally et al. (36). Although Metwally et al. (36) described the oocysts of E. rajasthani as oval, they did not demonstrate the oocyst residuum and the oocyst Stieda body.

Five eimerian species have also been described from the New World camelids which are as follows: E. macusaniensis, E. lamae, E. alpacae, E. punoensis, and E. ivitaensis (48). E. rajasthani showed close similarity in measurements with E. lamae from the Alpaca (V. pacos). However, the shape of the micropylar cap is different between the two organisms. There was no association between Alpacas and the dromedary camel in the present study; therefore, it is unlikely that the species of Eimeria detected in the present study could

| Accession number | Host | Country |
|--|---|----------------|
| PP965651 Eimeria rajasthani | Camel (Camelus dromedarius) | Saudi Arabia |
| PP965652 Eimeria rajasthani | Camel (Camelus dromedarius) | Saudi Arabia |
| PP965653 Eimeria rajasthani | Camel (Camelus dromedarius) | Saudi Arabia |
| PP965654 Eimeria rajasthani | Camel (Camelus dromedarius) | Saudi Arabia |
| MT337428_Eimeria_sp. | Alpaca (Vicugna pacos) | China |
| MK170375_Eimeria_mayeri | Reindeer (Rangifer tarandus tarandus) | Norway |
| MF860827_Eimeria_cfictidea | Black-footed Ferrets (Mustela nigripes) | Canada |
| MF860826_Eimeria_cfictidea | Black-footed Ferrets (Mustela nigripes) | Canada |
| MK991789_Eimeria_melogale | Javan ferret-badger (Melogale orientalis) | Czech Republic |
| MG011726_Eimeria_cfvison | American Mink (Neovison vison) | Australia |
| KT184335_Eimeria_alabamensis | Cow (Bos taurus) | Canada |
| MG825664_Eimeria_sp. | Capercaillie grouse (Tetrao urogallus) | Poland |
| MT822711_ <i>Eimeria_</i> sp. | Père David's deer (Elaphurus davidianus) | China |
| MG770470_Eimeria_sp. | Shrew (Crocidura sp.) | Romania |
| MG770469_Eimeria_sp. | Shrew (Crocidura sp.) | Bulgaria |
| MG770465_Eimeria_sp. | Shrew (Crocidura suaveolens) | Czech Republic |
| KU192971_Eimeria_jerfinica | Striped Field Mouse (Apodemus agrarius) | Czech Republic |
| MH349726_Eimeria_spex_Tarsius_syrichta | Philippine tarsier (Carlito syrichta) | Czech Republic |
| MW618926_Isospora_sp. | Northern Flicker (Colaptes auratus luteus) | Canada |
| MK559090_Isospora_sp. | Eurasian Wren (Troglodytes troglodytes) | Czech Republic |
| LC371915_Eimeria_rioarribaensis | Northern Bat (Eptesicus nilssonii) | Japan |
| AF279668_Eimeria_scabra | Pig (Sus domesticus) | Germany |
| KT224379_Isospora_manorinae | Yellow-throated miner (Manorina flavigula wayensis) | Australia |
| KT361001_Eimeria_lancasterensis | Eastern Gray Squirrel (Sciurus carolinensis) | Czech Republic |
| AB769635_Eimeria_subspherica | Cow (Bos taurus) | Japan |
| KU174475_Eimeria_apionodes | Yellow-necked mouse (Apodemus flavicollis) | Czech Republic |
| KT184350_Eimeria_papillata | House Mouse (Mus musculus) | Canada |
| AB769599_Eimeria_bukidnonensis | Cow (Bos taurus) | Japan |
| KU351729_Eimeria_bukidnonensis | Cow (Bos taurus) | Turkey |
| EF472967_Toxoplasma_gondii | RH Strain | China |

TABLE 2 Taxa and their 18S rRNA sequences GenBank accession numbers, their hosts, and their origin were used in the present analyses.

Sequences from E. rajasthani reported in the present study are shown in bold.

be *E. lamae*. Furthermore, *E. lamae* has never been reported from Saudi Arabia.

According to Ipczynski (49), Hussein et al. (17), and Dia et al. (50), *E. dromedarii*, *E. rajasthani*, and *E. cameli* are more pathogenic species to young camel calves; thus, the presence of these three pathogenic *Eimeria* species indicated that coccidiosis could be contributing to enteric syndromes in camels. Yagoub (46) described a clear identification of *E. dromedarii* and *E. cameli*, which may be utilized to distinguish the recovered *E. rajasthani* from them. In this study, the oocysts of *E. rajasthani* are distinct from *E. cameli* on account of the shape of the oocyst (vs. truncate ovoid in *E. cameli*), sporocyst (vs. elongated in *E. cameli*), and sporozoites (vs. comma-shaped in *E. cameli*), the smaller size of both oocyst (vs. 86.6×66.2 µm in *E. cameli*) and sporocyst (vs. 37.4×18.61 µm in *E. cameli*), bilayered oocyst wall (vs. three-layered in *E. cameli*), the presence of micropyle with 17.3–26.0 µm in width as well as polar granule in *E. cameli*, and 7 days for sporulation (vs. 12–15 days in *E. cameli*). The eimerian oocysts from the present study differ from those of *E. dromedarii* due to the larger size of both oocysts (vs. $28.1 \times 23.4 \,\mu\text{m}$ in *E. dromedarii*) and sporocysts (vs. $9.0 \times 7.3 \,\mu\text{m}$ in *E. dromedarii*), their different oocyst shape (subspherical to ovoid shape in *E. dromedarii*) and sporozoites (vs. ovoid in *E. dromedarii*), the presence of micropyle as well as Stieda body in *E. dromedarii*, and the absence of sporocyst residual in *E. dromedarii*.

Furthermore, Prasad (16) provided a detailed description of *E. pellerdyi*, which was utilized to compare with the recovered *E. rajasthani*. The recovered *E. rajasthani* oocysts differ from *E. pellerdyi* in terms of oocyst shape (vs. ovoidal in *E. pellerdyi*) and sporozoites (vs. club-shaped in *E. pellerdyi*), the smaller size of its oocyst (vs. $22.5-24 \times 12-13.5 \,\mu\text{m}$ in *E. pellerdyi*) and sporocyst (vs. $4.5-6 \times 9-10.5 \,\mu\text{m}$ in *E. pellerdyi*), the absence of a micropylar cap, and 7 days for sporulation (vs. 5 days in *E. pellerdyi*). Furthermore, Utebaeva et al. (10) described *E. bactriani* in detail, and their data were used to compare it

to the recovered *E. rajasthani. Eimeria* oocysts differ from *E. bactriani* in the shape of oocyst (vs. spherical in *E. bactriani*), sporocyst (vs. lemon-shaped in *E. bactriani*), and sporozoites (vs. pear-shaped in *E. bactriani*), larger oocyst size (vs. 29.1×26.6 μ m in *E. bactriani*), and indistinct micropyle (vs. observed in *E. bactriani* with 5–7 μ m width).

Our findings are regarded as a re-description of the discovered camelid's *E. rajasthani* parasite in Saudi Arabia, with adequate morphological and morphometric data. Molecular characterization has recently gained popularity for assuring accurate *Eimeria* species identification, especially when morphological differentiation is problematic due to shape and size similarities (32).

The *18S rRNA* sequences obtained from oocysts of *E. rajasthani* showed 99% sequence similarity to those from *Eimeria* sp. from the Alpaca (MT337428) from China, which was later described as *E. lamae* by Gao et al. (51). The phylogenetic tree generated from the *18S rRNA* sequence data indicated that both *E. lamae* and *E. rajasthani* shared a common ancestor. Another sequence from *E. lamae* (MT337427) reported by Gao et al. (51) was shorter; however, it showed identity to sequences from *E. rajasthani* and MT337428. The similarity of *E. rajasthani* and those from reindeer and carnivores raises a question about the origin and evolution of *E. rajasthani*.

ITS-1 sequences reported from *E. rajasthani* have shown 94–95% identity to sequences from *E. lamae* (51). There were no available sequences for the same region at GenBank; therefore, it was not possible to generate a phylogenetic tree from the available data.

It was proposed by Hnida and Duszynski (52) that eimerian parasites from rodents with a sequence of \leq 5% at the *ITS-1* region could support conspecific types which are morphologically similar, whereas differences of >5% in the same region may be used to resolve separate species of *Eimeria*. This suggestion was further supported by Motriuk-Smith et al. (53) who studied genetic variation in squirrels (*Sciurus niger*). It has also been added that the *ITS-1* marker must be used cautiously, and it must be supplemented by other markers together with morphometric data (52, 54).

Morphological and morphometric data of E. rajasthani detected from the dromedary camel indicated a close resemblance to E. lamae from the New World camelid, the Alpaca from China. In addition, molecular data from the 18S rRNA sequences from E. rajasthani showed the identity of 99% to those of E. lamae as well; however, there was 95% identity to sequences from the ITS-1 region of both sequences. The identity of the organism we are dealing with from the dromedary camel is certainly E. rajasthani, as there is no possibility that it has been acquired from another species other than the dromedary camel and there is no mixture between the dromedary camel and Alpacas in Saudi Arabia. From the present results, in particular the ITS-1 data results, it is tempting to suggest that E. rajasthani and E. lame are conspecific. However, further study is required on different genes, particularly a mitochondrial gene such as cytochrome oxidase I (COI), to support this assumption and resolve the taxonomic status of each of E. rajasthani and E. lamae.

Conclusion

This study provides further understanding regarding *E. rajasthani* oocysts infecting its type host (*C. dromedarius*) from Riyadh (Saudi Arabia) by combining a morphological description of oocysts and a genetic analysis. Furthermore, the GenBank database currently includes unique genetic sequences for the target gene regions retrieved

from this coccidian species. Further studies are recommended to incorporate preventative and control approaches to combat *E. rajasthani* infection in the dromedary camel in Saudi Arabia.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/, PP965651, PP965652, PP965653, PP965654, PP965655, PP965656.

Ethics statement

The animal studies were approved by Research Ethics Committee (REC) at King Saud University (Saudi Arabia). The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent was obtained from the owners for the participation of their animals in this study.

Author contributions

EA-S: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing original draft, Writing - review & editing. SA: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. SO: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing original draft, Writing - review & editing. RA-G: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. OM: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This study was supported by the Researchers Supporting Project (RSP2024R25), King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The reviewer SE-A declared a past coauthorship with two of the authors EA-S and RA-G to the handling editor.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

References

1. Đuričić D, Kilvain I, Samardžija M. Physiology of reproduction in camelids – anatomy of reproductive organs and sexual maturity part I. *Vet Stanica*. (2020) 51:353–62. doi: 10.46419/vs.51.4.3

2. Saidi R, Mimoune N, Chaibi R, Baazizi R, Abdelouahed K, Khelef D, et al. Camel gastrointestinal parasites in southern Algeria. *Vet Stanica*. (2022) 53:283–94. doi: 10.46419/vs.53.3.7

3. Bouragba M, Laatamna A, Cheddad FE, Baroudi D, Houali K, Hakem A. Gastrointestinal parasites of dromedary camel (*Camelus dromedarius*) in Algeria. *Vet World*. (2020) 13:1635–40. doi: 10.14202/vetworld.2020.1635-1640

4. Bouasla I, Mekroud M, Touhami NAK, Dib M, Bouhabila H, Daif S, et al. Gastrointestinal parasite infestation of the dromedary camel (*Camelus dromedarius*) in southern Algeria. *Biol Life Sci Forum*. (2023) 22:19. doi: 10.3390/blsf2023022019

5. Dubey JP, Schuster RK, Kinne J. Gametogony of *Eimeria cameli* in the small intestine of one-humped camel (*Camelus dromedarius*). *Parasitol Res.* (2018) 117:3633–8. doi: 10.1007/s00436-018-6064-7

6. Hasan MH, Alani AA, Aghwan SS. Investigations on gastrointestinal parasites in camels rearing in Nineveh governorate. *Egypt J Vet Sci.* (2021) 52:131–8. doi: 10.21608/ ejvs.2020.44519.1192

7. Bekele JT, Aregawi WG, Wegi FG, Geletu AS, Tesfamariam W. Epidemiological investigation of gastrointestinal parasites of dromedary camels in administrative zone three of Afar region, Ethiopia. *Vet Med Int.* (2022) 2022:1–6. doi: 10.1155/2022 /8433997

8. Panayotova-Pencheva M, Ponce-Gordo F. Severe parasite co-infection in a captive Bactrian camel: case report. J Zool Bot Gard. (2023) 4:728–37. doi: 10.3390/jzbg4040051

 Saad NM, Hussein AAA, Ewida RM. Occurrence of toxoplasma gondii in raw goat, sheep, and camel milk in upper Egypt. Vet World. (2018) 11:1262–5. doi: 10.14202/ vetworld.2018.1262-1265

10. Utebaeva G, Berkinbay O, Symbat Suttibaevna U, Tuganbay A. Study of prevalence and associated risk factors of *Eimeria* sp., in camels in Turkestan region. *Arch Razi Inst.* (2021) 76:1419–25. doi: 10.22092/ari.2021.355660.1707

11. Chartier C, Paraud C. Coccidiosis due to *Eimeria* in sheep and goats, a review. *Small Rumin Res.* (2012) 103:84–92. doi: 10.1016/j.smallrumres.2011.10.022

12. Henry A, Masson G. Considérations sur le genre *Globidium globidium cameli* n. sp., parasite du dromadaire. *Ann Parasitol Hum Comp.* (1932) 10:385–401. doi: 10.1051/parasite/1932105385

13. Yakimoff WL, Matschoulsky SN. On a new coccidium from camels, *Eimeria dromedarii* n. sp. J Microsc. (1939) 59:26–9. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2818.1939.tb01197.x

14. Levine ND, Ivens V. The coccidian parasites (Protozoa, Sporozoa) of ruminants. Illinois biological monographs no. 44. Urbana: University of Illinois Press (1970). 279 p.

15. Dubey J.P., Pande B.P. (1963) A note on *Eimeria rajasthani* n. sp. (Protozoa: Eimeriidae) from the indian camel (*Camelus dromedarius*). Science and Animal Husbandry, Mathura (U.P.), pp. 273–274.

16. Prasad H. Studies on the coccidia of some mammals of the families Bovidae, Cervidae and Camelidae. *Zeitschrift für Parasitenkunde*. (1960) 20:390–400. doi: 10.1007/BF00261230

17. Hussein HS, Kasim AA, Shawa YR. The prevalence and pathology of *Eimeria* infections in camels in Saudi Arabia. *J Comp Pathol.* (1987) 97:293–7. doi: 10.1016/0021-9975(87)90093-4

18. Kasim AA, Hussein HS, Al-Shawa YR. Coccidia in camels (*Camelus dromedarius*) in Saudi Arabia. J Protozool. (1985) 32:202–3. doi: 10.1111/j.1550-7408.1985.tb03039.x

19. Mahmoud OM, Haroum EM, Magzoub M, Omer OH, Sulman A. Coccidial infection in camels of Gassim region, Central Saudi Arabia. *J Camel Pract Res.* (1998) 5:257–60.

20. Parsani HR, Singh V, Momin RR. Common parasitic diseases of camel. *Vet World.* (2008) 1:317–8.

21. Abdel-Aziz A.A. (1996) Studies of some diseases of exotic camels. Faculty of vet. Med. Egypt, Cairo University. M. V. Sc. Thesis.

22. Khalafalla AI. Zoonotic diseases transmitted from the camels. *Front Vet Sci.* (2023) 10:1244833. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2023.1244833

23. Locklear TR, Videla R, Breuer RM, Mulon PY, Passmore M, Mochel JP, et al. Presentation, clinical pathology abnormalities, and identification of gastrointestinal parasites in camels (*Camelus bactrianus* and *Camelus dromedarius*) presenting to two north American veterinary teaching hospitals. A retrospective study: 1980-2020. Front Vet Sci. (2021) 8:651672. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2021.651672

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

24. Oliveira UC, Fraga JS, Licois D, Pakandl M, Gruber A. Development of molecular assays for the identification of the 11 *Eimeria* species of the domestic rabbit (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*). *Vet Parasitol.* (2011) 176:275–80. doi: 10.1016/j.vetpar.2010.10.054

25. Pellerdy LP. Coccidia and coccidiosis. Hungary: Akod. Kiado, Budapest (1965).

26. Power ML, Richter C, Emery S, Hufschmid J, Gillings MR. Eimeria trichosuri: phylogenetic position of a marsupial coccidium, based on 18S rDNA sequences. Exp Parasitol. (2009) 122:165–8. doi: 10.1016/j.exppara.2009.02.008

27. Cebra CK, Stang BV, Smith CC. Development of a nested polymerase chain reaction assay for the detection of *Eimeria macusaniensis* in camelid feces. *Am J Vet Res.* (2012) 73:13–8. doi: 10.2460/ajvr.73.1.13

28. Salama A, Noaman EA, Nayel M, El-Kattan AM, Mahmoud MA, Dawood AS, et al. Prevalence and molecular characterization of four enteric protozoa in dromedary camels (*Camelus dromedarius*). Alex J Vet Sci. (2023) 78:17–27. doi: 10.5455/ajvs.142867

29. Al Quraishy S, Al-Shaebi EM, Abu Hawsah M, Al-Otaibi T, Al-Megrin WA, El-Khadragy MF, et al. Morphological and molecular approaches for identification of murine *Eimeria papillata* infection. *J King Saud Univ Sci.* (2022) 34:102164. doi: 10.1016/j.jksus.2022.102164

30. Abdel-Gaber R, Al Quraishy S, Al-Hoshani N, Al-Otaibi T, Omer SA, Alajmi F, et al. Morphological and molecular phylogenetic analyses of the apicomplexan parasites, *Eimeria media* and *Eimeria stiedai*, infecting the domestic rabbits, *Oryctolagus cuniculus*. *J King Saud Univ Sci*. (2023) 35:102935. doi: 10.1016/j.jksus.2023.102935

31. Abdel-Gaber R, Al-Shaebi EM, Yehia RS, Omer SA, El-Khadragy MF, Al Quraishy S, et al. Identification of sheep eimerian parasites, *Eimeria crandallis* and *Eimeria faurei*, employing microscopic and molecular tools. *J Anim Physiol Anim Nutr.* (2024) 108:310–23. doi: 10.1111/jpn.13893

32. Mohammed OB, Al-Shaebi EM, Al-Quraishy S, Omer SA, Abdel-Gaber R. Identification of *Eimeria acervulina* (Apicomplexa: Eimeriidae) infecting the broiler chicken *Gallus gallus domesticus* through morphology and molecular analyses. *J Anim Physiol Anim Nutr.* (2024) 108:566–75. doi: 10.1111/jpn.13915

33. Albasyouni S, Abdel-Gaber R, Al Quraishy S, Al-Shaebi EM, Mohammed OB. Morphology, morphometry, and phylogeny of the protozoan parasite, *Eimeria labbeana*like (Apicomolexa, Eimeriidae), infecting *Columba livia domestica*. *Front Vet Sci.* (2024) 11:1392238. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2024.1392238

34. Banaja AA, Ghandour AM. A review of parasites of camels (*Camelus dromedarius*) in Saudi Arabia. *Science*. (1994) 6:75–86. doi: 10.4197/Sci.6-1.6

35. Kawasmah ZA, El Bihari S. *Eimeria cameli:* redescription and prevalence in the eastern province of Saudi Arabia. *Cornell Vet.* (1983) 73:58–66.

36. Metwally DM, Al-Otaibi TT, Albasyouni SA, El-Khadragy MF, Alajmi RA. Prevalence of eimeriosis in the one-humped camels (*Camelus dromedarius*) from Riyadh and Al-Qassim, Saudi Arabia. *PeerJ*. (2020) 8:e10347. doi: 10.7717/peerj.10347

37. Soulsby EJL. Helminths, arthropods and protozoa of domestic animals. 7. London: Bailliere Tindall (1982).

38. Menezes RCAA, Lopes CWG. Epizootiologia da *Eimeria arloingi* em caprinos na microrregião Serrana Fluminense, Rio de Janeiro, Brasil. *Rev Univ Rural Ciênc Vida*. (1995) 17:5–12.

39. Orlandi PA, Carter L, Brinker AM, Da Silva AJ, Chu DM, Lampel KA, et al. Targeting single nucleotide polymorphism in the 18S rRNA gene to differentiate *Cyclospora* species from *Eimeria* species by multiplex PCR. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* (2003) 69:4806–13. doi: 10.1128/AEM.69.8.4806-4813.2003

40. Kawahara F, Zhang G, Mingala CN, Tamura Y, Koiwa M, Onuma M, et al. Genetic analysis and development of species-specific PCR assays based on ITS-1 region of rRNA in bovine Eimeria parasites. *Vet Parasitol.* (2010) 174:49–57. doi: 10.1016/j.vetpar. 2010.08.001

41. Thompson JD, Gibson TJ, Plewniak F, Jeanmougin F, Higgins DG. The CLUSTAL-X windows interface: flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignment aided by quality analysis tools. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (1997) 25:4876–82. doi: 10.1093/nar/25.24.4876

42. Tamura K, Stecher G, Kumar S. MEGA 11: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 11. *Mol Biol Evol*. (2021) 38:3022–7. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msab120

43. Sazmand A, Joachim A, Otranto D. Zoonotic parasites of dromedary camels: so important, so ignored. *Parasit Vectors*. (2019) 12:610. doi: 10.1186/s13071-019-3863-3

44. Sazmand A, Hamidinejat H, Hekmatinoghaddam S, Asadollahi Z, Mirabdollahi S. *Eimeria* infection in camels (*Camelus dromedarius*) in Yazd province, Central Iran. *Trop Biomed.* (2012) 29:77–80.

45. Hassum IC, Valladares GS, Menezes RCAA. Diferenciação das espécies de *Eimeria* parasitas de ovinos pelo uso da regressão linear e algoritimos morfológicos. *Rev Bras Parasitol Vet.* (2007) 16:97–104.

46. Yagoub IA. Coccidiosis in Sudanese camels (*Camelus dromedarius*): 1- first record and description of *Eimeria* spp. harboured by camels in the eastern region of Sudan. J *Protozool.* (1989) 36:422–3. doi: 10.1111/j.1550-7408.1989.tb05539.x

47. Mahran OM. Some epidemiological and parasitological studies on prevalence of gastrointestinal parasites of dromedary camels at Shalatin region, Red Sea governorate, Egypt and trails of treatment. *Assiut Vet Med J.* (2006) 52:149–62.

48. Dubey JP. A review of coccidiosis in south American camelids. *Parasitol Res.* (2018) 117:1999–2013. doi: 10.1007/s00436-018-5890-y

49. Ipczynski V. Ein Beitrag aur exogenen Entwicklung von Eimeria cameli (Henry und Masson, 1932), Reichenow 1953. Berl Munch Tierarztl Wochenschr. (1978) 91:11–2.

50. Dia ML, Diop A, Ahmed OM, Diop C, Elhacen OT. Diarrhées du chamelon en Mauritanie: résultats d'enquête (diarrheas of the camel in Mauritania: results of inquires). *Rev Elev Med Vet.* (2000) 2:149–52.

51. Gao WW, Ma YT, Ma YY, Li RL, Li J, Zheng FG, et al. First report of *Eimeria* and *Entamoeba* infection in alpacas (*Vicugna pacos*) in Shanxi Province, northern China. *Parasitol Res.* (2021) 120:2031–5. doi: 10.1007/s00436-021-07157-0

52. Hnida JA, Duszynski DW. Taxonomy and systematics of some *Eimeria* species of murid rodents as determined by the ITS1 region of the ribosomal gene complex. *Parasitology*. (1999) 119 (Pt 4):349–57. doi: 10.1017/s0031182099004849

53. Motriuk-Smith D, Seville RS, Oliver CE, Hofmann DL, Smith AW. Species of *Eimeria* (Apicomplexa: Eimeriidae) from tree squirrels (*Sciurus niger*) (Rodentia: Sciuridae) and analysis of the ITS1, ITS2, and 5.8S rDNA. *J Parasitol.* (2009) 95:191–7. doi: 10.1645/GE-1653.1

54. Motriuk-Smith D, Seville RS, Quealy L, Oliver CE. Comparison of the *ITS1* and *ITS2 rDNA* in *Emeria callospermophili* (Apicomplexa: Eimeriidae) from sciurid rodents. *J Parasitol.* (2011) 97:305–10. doi: 10.1645/GE-2535.1