Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Valeria Pasciu, University of Sassari, Italy

REVIEWED BY James Edward Brereton, Sparsholt College, United Kingdom Ryo Ohta, Food and Drug Safety Center, Japan

*CORRESPONDENCE Liu Yang ⊠ yangliu5226@126.com

RECEIVED 29 December 2024 ACCEPTED 02 April 2025 PUBLISHED 24 April 2025

CITATION

Zhou Q, Luo B, Yang B, Li D, Wei R, Xie C, Yu J, Meng X, Cheng J, He M and Yang L (2025) Case Report: A case study on the relationship between obesity and estrus in female captive panda. *Front. Vet. Sci.* 12:1552754. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2025.1552754

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Zhou, Luo, Yang, Li, Wei, Xie, Yu, Meng, Cheng, He and Yang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Case Report: A case study on the relationship between obesity and estrus in female captive panda

Qiang Zhou¹, Bo Luo¹, Bo Yang¹, Desheng Li¹, Rongping Wei¹, Chunyu Xie², Jinhua Yu², Xiangqian Meng^{3,4}, Jianbin Cheng¹, Ming He¹ and Liu Yang^{1*}

¹Key Laboratory of State Forestry and Grassland Administration on Conservation Biology of Rare Animals in the Giant Panda National Park, China Conservation and Research Center for the Giant Panda, Chengdu, China, ²Shanghai Wild Animal Park, Shanghai, China, ³Chengdu Xinan Gynecology Hospital, Chengdu, China, ⁴Sichuan Jinxin Xinan Women's & Children's Hospital (Bisheng), Chengdu, China

This study investigated a case of abnormal estrus in a captive adult female giant panda (*Ailuropoda melanoleuca*) (X#, 130 kg) in 2024 to explore the possible relationship between overweight or obesity and estrus behavior in female giant pandas. Behavioral observations and urinary estrogen conjugate/creatinine (EC/CR) measurements revealed a significantly attenuated hormonal profile (peak EC/CR: 34.1 ng/mg vs. normal range 80–150 ng/mg) alongside muted receptivity (e.g., absent tail-lifting). Comparative analysis with historical cases of abnormal estrus (panda 2#: obesity-linked low EC/CR; panda 1#: normal EC/CR with behavioral anomalies) implicated obesity as a driver of reproductive impairment. And several actionable interventions were proposed: bamboo-based dietary reform, structured exercise protocols, and gut microbiota monitoring. This case underscores obesity as a modifiable risk factor in captive panda reproduction, urging integration of metabolic health into breeding management.

KEYWORDS

giant panda, abnormal estrus, obesity, hormone levels, reproductive behavior

1 Introduction

The giant panda, a flagship species for biodiversity conservation, requires targeted reproductive research to maintain population health. Their staple diet of bamboo leaves and shoots is rich in cellulose, hemicellulose, carbohydrates, and protein, but contains minimal fat (<4%) [1]. Since bamboo leaves and bamboo shoots are low-quality foods, fat storage is particularly important for pandas, especially during physiological stages such as estrus, pregnancy, and migration [2]. And because the giant panda has a short intestine, the food stays in the intestine for a short time. This digestive system allows pandas to consume a large amount of food, but only a small part of it is converted into energy, and this physiological process makes pandas more prone to obesity [3]. Studies have shown that obesity can lead to endocrine disorders, which in turn affect hormone levels and reproductive behavior, especially during estrus [4–7].

Normal estrus of female giant pandas is one of the key factors for reproduction success. Abnormal estrus may lead to reproduction failure, which affect the sustainable development of the population. Emerging evidences suggests adiposity disrupts hypothalamic–pituitary-ovarian axis signaling in mammals [8–11], yet mechanisms in pandas remain poorly understood. To explore the relationship between obesity and abnormal estrus in captive female giant pandas is of great importance for formulating effective management and conservation

strategies. The female giant panda X# was born in October 2017, and her weight has fluctuated around 130 kg since she became an adult. Through the actual investigation of the real estrus behavior and EC/ CR levels of panda X#, this study explored the possible relationship between obesity and estrus, and provided practical cases and scientific basis for the management of captive giant pandas.

2 Methods

2.1 Behavior observation

Reproductive behaviors of X# were documented daily using standardized ethograms for captive giant pandas [12, 13] from the onset of pre-estrus (March 24, 2024) until April 6, 2024 (09:00–17:00), focusing on:

Vulval Morphology: Level of Swelling, color change (pink-white or damp red), and relaxation level of the vulval opening.

Receptivity Testing: To assess receptivity, a standardized taillifting test was performed: gentle pressure was applied to the tail region using a blunt probe, and tail position (lifted or not) was recorded as per captive panda estrus evaluation protocols.

Locomotion: Total minutes spent walking, climbing, or exploring. Rest: Total minutes spent lying or sitting motionless.

Taking Food: Minutes spent consuming bamboo or supplemental feed.

Vocalizations: Frequency of sheep-like bleats.

Scent-marking: Frequency of anogenital rubbing on substrates.

Water-play: Frequency of splashing or submerging in water.

Masturbation: Frequency of stereotypic perineal contact (forelimb gripping, hindlimb flexion).

2.2 Hormone level examination

Urine samples were collected at 14 timepoints between March 30 and April 6, 2024. Estrogen conjugate (EC) and creatinine (CR) concentrations were quantified using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), and the EC/CR ratio was subsequently calculated.

2.2.1 EC detecting assays

Goat Anti-Rabbit IgG (GARG) Preparation: The working GARG solution was prepared at a 1:220 dilution in coating buffer and gently mixed.

Plate Coating: A 200 μ L aliquot of the GARG solution was dispensed into each well, sealed, and incubated overnight at 4°C.

Plate Washing/Blocking: After equilibrating TRIZMA buffer to room temperature (RT), the plate was washed three times with wash solution. Each well was then filled with 200 μ L of TRIZMA buffer, sealed, and incubated at RT for 1 h.

Sample/Control Preparation: Urine samples were diluted in TRIZMA buffer to appropriate concentrations. High and low controls were equilibrated to RT prior to use.

EC HRP (Horse Radish Peroxidase) and Antibody Preparation: EC HRP and antibody working solutions were prepared at dilutions of 1:100,000 and 1:300,000, respectively, using TRIZMA buffer.

Plate Loading: After discarding the blocking buffer, 150μ L of blank solution ("0") was added to designated wells. Standards,

controls, and samples (50 μL each) were pipetted into assigned wells, followed by 100 μL of EC HRP and antibody solutions. The plate was sealed and incubated at RT for 2 h.

Post-Incubation Washing: Following incubation, the plate contents were discarded, and wells were washed three times with wash solution. Residual liquid was removed by blotting.

TMB (3,3',5,5'-Tetramethylbenzidine) Substrate Solution/Plate Development: A TMB substrate solution was prepared by dissolving two Sigma T3405 tablets in 20 mL of substrate buffer. Each well received 200 μ L of the substrate solution, and the plate was incubated in the dark for 45 min. The reaction was terminated with 50 μ L of 4 M H₂SO₄.

Absorbance Measurement: Absorbance was measured at 450 nm using a BioTek Gen5 microplate reader. Blank well optical density (OD) values were maintained between 0.5 and 1.0.

2.2.2 Creatinine detecting assays

Sample Preparation: Urine samples were diluted (1:5-1:100) in dilution buffer.

Plate Loading: Standards, controls, and diluted samples (50 μ L each) were loaded in duplicate. Each well subsequently received 50 μ L of dH₂O, 50 μ L of 0.75 M NaOH, and 50 μ L of 0.4 M picric acid. The plate was gently tapped to mix and incubated at RT for 30 min.

Absorbance Measurement: Absorbance was recorded at 490 nm using the BioTek Gen5 system.

2.3 Male fertility validation

The male panda involved in mating attempts has a confirmed reproductive history, including successful cub production in prior years. And his behavioral competence (mounting, intromission) aligns with fertile individuals described in captive breeding programs.

3 Results

3.1 Observation of reproductive behavior

From March 24, X# entered proestrus, with gradual behavioral changes: locomotion increased from 44 min (March 24) to 282 min (April 3), scent-marking rose from 1 to 18 events/day (April 4), and water-play peaked at 18 events on April 4. Stereotypic masturbation emerged on April 4 (1 event) and recurred daily until April 6, coinciding with escalating vocalizations (32 to 65 events/day). On April 6, despite continued masturbation and vocalizations, X# rejected mating. Specifically, it avoided proximity to the male and failed to assume the species-typical dumb standing posture. During the taillifting test, X# maintained a dropped tail position when pressure was applied, indicating non-receptivity (Table 1).

Vulval changes commenced on March 25, characterized by swelling, relaxation of the vulval opening, and color transition from pink-white to damp red.

3.2 EC/CR level examination

Urine levels of EC/CR in X# were measured daily between March 30 and April 6, 2024. High-frequency EC/CR measurements revealed

Date	Locomotion (minutes)	Rest (minutes)	Taking Food (minutes)	Vocalizations (frequency)	Scent- marking (frequency)	Water-play (frequency)	Masturbation (frequency)	Tail-lifting test (lifted/ not)
3/24	44	222	214	0	1	1	0	0
3/25	53	257	170	0	2	1	0	0
3/26	85	195	200	0	3	5	0	0
3/27	132	191	157	0	10	5	0	0
3/28	237	109	134	0	9	3	0	0
3/29	230	120	130	0	6	5	0	0
3/30	210	84	186	0	6	3	0	0
3/31	225	168	87	4	5	5	0	0
4/1	237	155	88	6	8	5	0	0
4/2	226	168	86	18	10	7	0	0
4/3	282	146	52	20	12	12	0	0
4/4	274	168	38	32	18	18	1	0
4/5	218	232	30	40	5	4	1	0
4/6	203	178	99	65	3	2	1	0

TABLE 1 Daily behavioral metrics of X# during 2024 estrus cycle (March 24–April 6).

Vocalizations: Frequency of sheep-like bleats per day. Masturbation: Frequency of stereotypic perineal contact per day. Tail-lifting test: 1 = lifted, 0 = not lifted.

a rapid surge from 19.9 ng/mg (April 3 at 10:52) to 34.1 ng/mg (April 5 at 09:45), followed by a sharp decline to 6.1 ng/mg by April 6 at 16:00 (Figure 1). This daily resolution ensured precise tracking of the EC/ CR trajectory, with the peak value recorded on April 5 (09:45).

4 Discussion

Typically, the EC/CR level in the urine of captive female pandas during peak estrus period is 80–150 ng/mg [14]. However, X# exhibited a peak EC/CR level of 34 ng/mg, significantly below the typical range observed in reproductively normal individuals. Furthermore, while X# displayed typical pre-estrus behaviors (e.g., increased activity, marking) and vocalizations resembling sheep bleats during peak estrus, it failed to exhibit receptive mating postures (e.g., tail-lifting) contrast with normative estrus indicators in captive pandas [12, 13]. Collectively, EC/CR level, behavior and vulvar changes all suggested the X#'s estrus profile differs from normative patterns in captive adult females, though causation requires further investigation.

Combined with historical data from the same institution, X#'s atypical estrus (low peak EC/CR, absent receptivity) aligns with a 2019 case involving subadult panda 2# (peak EC/CR: 45 ng/mg, muted estrus behavior) [15]. Both individuals exhibited muted estrus behavior and low peak EC/CR, and notably, both were classified as overweight (2#: 98 kg at 1.5 years old vs. average weight of 1.5 year old subadult as 42.3–53.1 kg [15]; X#: 130 kg vs. adult female reference range 97.34–119.36 kg [16]). Notably, Liao *et al.* identified 110.5 kg as an obesity threshold for adult female pandas, positioning X#'s weight (130 kg) as a potential metabolic risk factor [17].

In contrast, panda 1# [18]—despite atypical mating behavior displayed normal EC/CR dynamics (peak as 82 ng/mg) and later achieved successful parturition, suggesting a distinct mechanism (e.g., "silent estrus" linked to endocrine dysregulation [19]). The divergence between X#/2# (obesity-driven anomalies) and 1# (behavioralendocrine mismatch) underscores multifactorial pathways underlying captive panda reproductive challenges.

Cross-species parallels hint at plausible mechanisms: in humans, 50% of polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) cases involve overweight/ obesity [20], which disrupts folliculogenesis via androgen excess and granulosa cell dysfunction [21]. Similarly, livestock studies document obesity-induced estrus anomalies in sows, ewes, and cows [22-27]. Critically, hypothalamic crosstalk between leptin and estrogen signaling-a central regulator of energy-reproduction balance-may underpin these disruptions. Adipocyte-derived leptin resistance in obesity blunts estrogen's anorexigenic effects while impairing gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) pulsatility, thereby decoupling metabolic status from reproductive readiness [28]. Collectively, these lines of evidence position obesity-induced metabolic imbalance as a critical, modifiable risk factor for X#'s reproductive phenotype. Though direct evidence in pandas is limited, X#'s attenuated EC/CR levels and leptin-estrogen crosstalk disruptions mirror these mechanisms, strengthening obesity's plausibility as a contributing factor.

Obesity is a pervasive challenge in zoos, driven by energy-dense diets and sedentary lifestyles [29–37]. Under captive conditions, animals typically have lower activity levels [38]. In gorillas (*Gorilla gorilla*), adiposity correlates with reduced foraging time and elevated insulin resistance [39], while over 70% of captive Asian elephants (*Elephas maximus*) in China exhibit obesity, primarily attributed to insufficient outdoor activity and excessive high-calorie feed provision [40]. In various zoos, there are usually relevant laws and regulations that prohibit the withholding of food from animals [41]. However, to enhance the exhibition effect, keepers may feed the zoo animals excessive amounts of feed. Considering the actual zoo conditions that differ from the wild environment, this study proposes the following methods for comprehensive intervention in the obesity issues of large



captive animals in zoo, such as captive giant pandas, including dietary control, behavioral enrichment, and physiological monitoring. Firstly, the obesity of pandas is related to feeding management and public sentiment. The management of panda care should be established on its own scientific feeding methods and not let public sentiment dictate the feeding approach. Secondly, the structure and quantity of the panda's food should be dynamically adjusted based on individual conditions. Dietary reform should prioritize substituting soybean and egg components in wowotou with bamboo powder - a strategy that reduces crude fat content while maintaining satiety through enhanced fiber intake, mirroring successful interventions in obese primates [42]. Concurrently, high-sugar treats (bamboo shoots, honey, apples) should be restricted of daily intake and reserved for enrichment purposes. It is particularly important to note that during estrus or mucus excretion, when pandas naturally reduce wowotou consumption, caretakers must avoid compensatory high-sugar incentives (e.g., honey-coated feeds) to prevent energy surplus. Thirdly, increase the activity levels of pandas. Environmental enrichment-such as elevated feeders, puzzle devices, and scent trails-can extend foraging time, mimicking wild behaviors. Structured exercise sessions (e.g., guided exploration) further elevate daily energy expenditure, as demonstrated in other obese zoo animals' trials [African (Loxodonta africana) and Asian (Elephas maximus) elephants [43], Gorillas (Gorilla Gorilla Gorilla) [39], etc. [44]]. To ensure long-term efficacy, gut microbiota composition should be monitored via fecal Gram staining, with Firmicutes/Bacteroidetes ratios (>1.5) triggering dietary recalibration. This integrated approach recognizes that captivity-induced obesity stems from multifaceted imbalances-requiring equally multidimensional solutions.

This study relied on weight thresholds rather than direct adiposity measurements. Future work should explore non-invasive techniques (e.g., bioelectrical impedance) to quantify body fat in pandas. In addition, the absence of direct biomarkers (e.g., blood glucose) limits our ability to diagnose metabolic syndrome conclusively. Besides, longitudinal tracking of X#'s subsequent estrus cycles (2025–2026) will clarify whether these anomalies persist or represent transient dysfunction. Nevertheless, the convergence of hormonal, behavioral, and comparative evidence positions obesity as a high-priority risk factor meriting proactive management in captive pandas.

5 Conclusion

This case study identifies a correlation between obesity (130 kg) and atypical estrus (low EC/CR, absent receptivity) in a captive female panda. By comparing with other pandas exhibiting abnormal estrous, it is suggested that metabolic imbalance may contribute to reproductive dysfunction, though multifactorial pathways likely coexist. While weight management may offer a pragmatic mitigation strategy, controlled trials are essential to establish causality and optimize protocols.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

The animal study was approved by the Experimental Animal Ethics Review Committee of China Conservation and Research Center of the Giant Pandas. The study was conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements.

Author contributions

QZ: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. BL: Validation, Writing – review & editing. BY: Formal analysis, Writing – review & editing. DL: Supervision, Validation, Writing – original draft. RW: Supervision, Validation, Writing – original draft. CX: Investigation, Writing – original draft. JY: Investigation, Writing – original draft. XM: Methodology, Writing – original draft. JC: Resources, Writing – review & editing. MH: Resources, Writing – review & editing. LY: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This study was supported by the Startup Fund for Introduced Talents of China Conservation and Research Center for the Giant Panda (Grant No. CCRCGPRC202402).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

References

1. Wu Q, Wang X, Ding Y, Hu Y, Nie Y, Wei W, et al. Seasonal variation in nutrient utilization shapes gut microbiome structure and function in wild giant pandas. *Proc R Soc B Biol Sci.* (1862) 284:20170955. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2017.0955

2. Atkinson SN, Nelson RA, Ramsay MA. Changes in the body composition of fasting polar bears (*Ursus maritimus*): the effect of relative fatness on protein conservation. *Physiol Zool.* (1996) 69:304–16. doi: 10.1086/physzool.69.2.30164186

3. Huang G, Wang L, Li J, Hou R, Wang M, Wang Z, et al. Seasonal shift of the gut microbiome synchronizes host peripheral circadian rhythm for physiological adaptation to a low-fat diet in the giant panda. *Cell Rep.* (2022) 38:110203. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2021.110203

4. Venkatesh SS, Ferreira T, Benonisdottir S, Rahmioglu N, Becker CM, Granne I, et al. Obesity and risk of female reproductive conditions: a Mendelian randomisation study. *PLoS Med.* (2022) 19:e1003679. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1003679

5. Humphries MP, Jordan VC, Speirs V. Obesity and male breast cancer: provocative parallels? *BMC Med.* (2015) 13:1–9. doi: 10.1186/s12916-015-0380-x

6. Schneider JE. Energy balance and reproduction. *Physiol Behav.* (2004) 81:289–317. doi: 10.1016/j.physbeh.2004.02.007

7. Garcia-Garcia R. Integrative control of energy balance and reproduction in females. Int Schol Res Not. (2012) 2012:121389:1-13. doi: 10.5402/2012/121389

8. Chen X, Xiao Z, Cai Y, Huang L, Chen C. Hypothalamic mechanisms of obesityassociated disturbance of hypothalamic-pituitary-ovarian axis. *Trends Endocrinol Metab.* (2022) 33:206–17. doi: 10.1016/j.tem.2021.12.004

9. Silvestris E, de Pergola G, Rosania R, Loverro G. Obesity as disruptor of the female fertility. *Reprod Biol Endocrinol.* (2018) 16:22. doi: 10.1186/s12958-018-0336-z

10. Chakraborty TR, Donthireddy L, Adhikary D, Chakraborty S. Long-term high fat diet has a profound effect on body weight, hormone levels, and estrous cycle in mice. *Med Sci Monit.* (2016) 22:1601–8. doi: 10.12659/msm.897628

11. Kaminski T, Smolinska N, Maleszka A, Kiezun M, Dobrzyn K, Czerwinska J, et al. Expression of adiponectin and its receptors in the porcine hypothalamus during the oestrous cycle. *Reprod Domest Anim.* (2014) 49:378–86. doi: 10.1111/rda.12282

12. McGeehan L, Li X, Jackintell L, Huang S, Wang A, Czekala NM. Hormonal and behavioral correlates of estrus in captive giant pandas. *Zoo Biol*. (2002) 21:449–66. doi: 10.1002/zoo.10047

13. Durrant BS, Ann Olson M, Amodeo D, Anderson A, Russ KD, Campos-Morales R, et al. Vaginal cytology and vulvar swelling as indicators of impending estrus and ovulation in the giant panda (*Ailuropoda melanoleuca*). *Zoo Biol*. (2003) 22:313–21. doi: 10.1002/zoo.10110

14. Hemin Zhang PW, Zhang G, Li D, Wei R, Tang C, Zhou X, et al. Study on reproduction of Giant panda. Beijing: China Forestry Publishing House (2001).

15. Juan Liu HW, Wei R, Wei M, Yin T, Deng T. Study on abnormal estrus of subadult giant panda. J Sichuan For Sci Technol. (2023) 4:132–6. doi: 10.12172/202209110001

16. Zhang M, Zhou X, Wu H, Qiu J, Zhang Y, Huang S. Variation of body weight in all age groups of captive giant pandas (*Ailuropoda melanoleuca*). *Acta Theriol Sin.* (2021) 41:468–75. doi: 10.16829/j.slxb.150491

17. Liao T. Captive adult female giant pandas body condition evaluation system and nutrition need reference range. Nanchong: China West Normal University (2016).

18. Zhou Yingmin HY, Desheng L, Pengyan W, Hemin Z, Tao D, Xiaoyu H, et al. A case of abnormal estrus in the Giant Panda. *Chin J Wildlife*. (2013) 5:276–7. doi: 10.19711/j.cnki.issn2310-1490.2013.05.008

19. Endris M, Feki E. Review on effect of stress on animal productivity and response of animal to stressors. J Anim Vet Adv. (2021) 20:1–14. doi: 10.36478/javaa.2021.1.14

Generative Al statement

The authors declare that no Gen AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

20. Gambineri A, Pelusi C, Vicennati V, Pagotto U, Pasquali R. Obesity and the polycystic ovary syndrome. Int J Obesity. (2002) 26:883–96. doi: 10.1038/sj.ijo.0801994

21. Pasquali R, Gambineri A, Pagotto U. The impact of obesity on reproduction in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. *BJOG.* (2006) 113:1148–59. doi: 10.1111/j.1471-0528.2006.00990.x

22. Newell-Fugate AE, Taibl JN, Clark SG, Alloosh M, Sturek M, Krisher RL. Effects of diet-induced obesity on metabolic parameters and reproductive function in female Ossabaw minipigs. *Comp Med.* (2014) 64:44–9.

23. El-Maaty AMA, Mohamed AH, Abu-Aita NA, Morgan HM. Markers for predicting overweight or obesity of broodmares. *J Equine Vet Sci.* (2017) 56:9–18. doi: 10.1016/j.jevs.2017.04.002

24. Vick M, Sessions D, Murphy B, Kennedy E, Reedy S, Fitzgerald B. Obesity is associated with altered metabolic and reproductive activity in the mare: effects of metformin on insulin sensitivity and reproductive cyclicity. *Reprod Fertil Dev.* (2006) 18:609–17. doi: 10.1071/RD06016

25. Prunier A, Quesnel H. Nutritional influences on the hormonal control of reproduction in female pigs. *Livest Prod Sci.* (2000) 63:1–16. doi: 10.1016/S0301-6226(99)00113-X

26. Long NM, Rule DC, Tuersunjiang N, Nathanielsz PW, Ford SP. Maternal obesity in sheep increases fatty acid synthesis, upregulates nutrient transporters, and increases adiposity in adult male offspring after a feeding challenge. *PLoS One.* (2015) 10:e0122152. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0122152

27. Chen S, McMurtry J, Walzem R. Overfeeding-induced ovarian dysfunction in broiler breeder hens is associated with lipotoxicity. *Poult Sci.* (2006) 85:70–81. doi: 10.1093/ps/85.1.70

28. Gao Q, Horvath TL. Cross-talk between estrogen and leptin signaling in the hypothalamus. *Am J Physiol*. (2008) 294:E817–26. doi: 10.1152/ajpendo.00733.2007

29. Caravaggi A, Plowman A, Wright DJ, Bishop CM. The composition of captive ruffed lemur (Varecia spp.) diets in UK zoological collections, with reference to the problems of obesity and iron storage disease. *J. Zoo Aquar. Res.* (2018) 6:41–9. doi: 10.19227/jzar.v6i2.301

30. Tang Y, Jia T, Zhou F, Wang L, Zhang L. Obesity status and its relative factors of captive Asian elephants (*Elephas maximus*) in China based on body condition assessment. *bioRxiv*. (2023)

31. Less EH. Adiposity in zoo gorillas (*Gorilla gorilla gorilla*): the effects of diet and behavior. Cleveland, Ohio, U.S: Case Western Reserve University (2012).

32. Heidegger EM, von Houwald F, Steck B, Clauss M. Body condition scoring system for greater one-horned rhino (*Rhinoceros unicornis*): development and application. *Zoo Biol.* (2016) 35:432–43. doi: 10.1002/zoo.21307

33. Bauer S, Pearl D, Leslie K, Fournier J, Turner P. Causes of obesity in captive cynomolgus macaques: influence of body condition, social and management factors on behaviour around feeding. *Lab Anim*. (2012) 46:193–9. doi: 10.1258/la.2012.011120

34. Dierenfeld ES. Captive wild animal nutrition: a historical perspective. *Proc Nutr Soc.* (1997) 56:989–99. doi: 10.1079/PNS19970104

35. Clubb R, Mason G. Animal welfare: captivity effects on wide-ranging carnivores. *Nature*. (2003) 425:473–4. doi: 10.1038/425473a

36. Das A. Current trends in feeding and nutrition of zoo animals: a review. *Indian J Anim Nutr.* (2018) 35:242–50. doi: 10.5958/2231-6744.2018.00038.5

37. Herring CM, Bazer FW, Wu G. Amino acid nutrition for optimum growth, development, reproduction, and health of zoo animals. *Amino Acids Nutri Health*. (2021). 1285:233–53. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-54462-1_12

38. Crissey S. The complexity of formulating diets for zoo animals: a matrix. *Int Zoo Yearb*. (2005) 39:36–43. doi: 10.1111/j.1748-1090.2005.tb00003.x

39. Hoellein Less E. Adiposity in zoo gorillas (*Gorilla Gorilla Gorilla*): the effects of diet and behavior. Cleveland, Ohio, U.S: Case Western Reserve University (2012).

40. Tang Y, Jia T, Zhou F, Wang L, Chen Z, Zhang L. Obesity prevalence and associated factors in captive Asian elephants (*Elephas maximus*) in China: a body condition assessment study. *Animals*. (2024) 14:3571. doi: 10.3390/ani14243571

41. Administration USFaD. (2021). Animal welfare inspection guide. *Agriculture USDo*. Silver Spring: Food and Drug Administration.

42. Schmidt DA. Fiber enrichment of captive primate diets. Columbia, Missouri, U.S: University of Missouri-Columbia (2002).

43. Greco BJ, Meehan CL, Miller LJ, Shepherdson DJ, Morfeld KA, Andrews J, et al. Elephant Management in North American Zoos: environmental enrichment, feeding, exercise, and training. *PLoS One.* (2016) 11:e0152490. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0152490

44. Maple T, Perdue BM. (2013). Zoo animal welfare[M]. Springer Science & Business Media. Heidelberg, New York, Dordrecht, London: Springer.