Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Moyosore Joseph Adegbeye, University of Africa, Nigeria

REVIEWED BY Can Yang, Hengyang Normal University, China Fuquan Yin, Guangdong Ocean University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Wei Wang ⊠ wang_wei99@jlu.edu.cn Shuo Zhou ⊠ shuozhou@zju.edu.cn

RECEIVED 13 January 2025 ACCEPTED 05 May 2025 PUBLISHED 05 June 2025

CITATION

Qu Q, Sun Y, Liang Y, Nie Y, Gao M, Yuan Y, Wang W and Zhou S (2025) The effects of Astragalus, Epimedium, and Fructus Ligustri Lucidi extract on the antioxidant capacity, and immune status of goslings under stress conditions. *Front. Vet. Sci.* 12:1558440.

doi: 10.3389/fvets.2025.1558440

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Qu, Sun, Liang, Nie, Gao, Yuan, Wang and Zhou. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

The effects of Astragalus, Epimedium, and Fructus Ligustri Lucidi extract on the antioxidant capacity, and immune status of goslings under stress conditions

Qing Qu^{1,2,3}, Yihong Sun^{1,2,3}, Yayan Liang^{1,2,3}, Yongsheng Nie^{1,2,3,4}, Ming Gao^{1,2,3}, Yaohui Yuan⁵, Wei Wang^{1,2,3}* and Shuo Zhou^{1,2,3}*

¹Innovative Institute of Animal Healthy Breeding, Zhongkai University of Agriculture and Engineering, Guangzhou, China, ²College of Animal Science and Technology, Zhongkai University of Agriculture and Engineering, Guangzhou, China, ³Guangdong Laboratory for Lingnan Modern Agriculture, Guangzhou, China, ⁴Crown Bioscience (Zhongshan) Co., Ltd., Zhongshan, China, ⁵Shenyang Weijia Biotechnology Co., Ltd., Shenyang, China

With the development and improvement of the scale, intensification, and production level of the goose farming industry, oxidative stress often occurs during the process of producing meat geese. This study employed the intraperitoneal injection method with a concentration of 2.96 mmol/mL H_2O_2 to establish a model of oxidative stress in goslings. The effects of Astragalus, Epimedium, and Fructus Ligustri Lucidi (AEF) extract on the immune function, antioxidant capacity, meat quality, intestinal morphology, and intestinal barrier integrity of goslings were investigated. Twenty-four 1-month-old Magang geese from the same batch were randomly divided into four groups: control group (C group), AEF treatment group (AEF group), H₂O₂ stress group (S group), AEF and H_2O_2 stress group (S + AEF group). The experiment lasted for 30 days, during which the AEF group and the S + AEF group were fed 0.1 g/mL of AEF aqueous solution, once daily. Hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) was employed as the stressor. On the 25th day of the experiment, the goslings were weighed and administered an abdominal cavity injection of H2O2. The results showed that compared with the control group, the body weight, spleen weight, bursa index of fabricius, spleen index, thymus index, the activity of glutathione peroxidase (GSH-PX), total superoxide dismutase (T-SOD), and catalase (CAT) in serum, liver, and jejunum were decreased in S group (p < 0.05). Meanwhile, compared with the S group, the body weight, spleen weight, bursa index of fabricius, spleen index, thymus index, the activity of glutathione peroxidase (GSH-PX), total superoxide dismutase (T-SOD), and catalase (CAT) in serum, liver, and jejunum were enhanced in S + AEF group and S + AEF + LP group (p < 0.05). However, the content of cortisol (CORT) and blood glucose were increased in S group (p < 0.05), AEF and AEF + LP effectively alleviated these growth trend. Supplementing with AEF and LP post-stress alleviated stress-induced the expression of inflammatory factors (TGF- β , TNF- α , IL-1 β , IL-6), and improve L*, a*, b*, Ph45 (min), Ph24 (h) and drip loss in pectoral (p < 0.05). The intestinal morphology results showed that the villus structures in the duodenum and jejunum of goslings were short and sparse under stress conditions, with a significant decrease in the villus height/crypt depth ratio (Vh/Cd). After AEF treatments, the villus height increased, leading to an improved Vh/Cd in the small intestine. In conclusion, AEF can enhance the immune performance, and antioxidant capacity of goslings, thereby improving intestinal health. These findings offer new insights into enhancing immune performance and preventing stress in goslings.

KEYWORDS

geese, stress, growth performance, inflammation, immune function



Introduction

Geese in the modern poultry business are subjected to prolonged periods of intense pressure, high nutrition, and fast growth because to the rapid advancement of high-density farming practices. Stress factors have a significant impact on the antioxidant function and health of geese (1). Existing literature has reported that oxidative stress can have adverse effects on the health of geese. Oxidative stress depletes poultry antioxidant defenses, elevates free radicals, thereby compromising disease resistance and accelerating senescence or mortality (2). However, there are many factors that can cause stress in animals, including transportation, restraint, density, temperature variations, and the presence of loud and aggressive animals (3).

Existing literature has reported that H₂O₂ can induce oxidative damage by increasing the levels of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in cells and organisms (4, 5). Therefore, in this experiment, H_2O_2 was directly administered to the animal body to elevate the levels of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and establish an oxidative stress model in geese. H_2O_2 has been confirmed to cause damage to the intestinal epithelial barrier, reduce the immune levels of the organism, and induce oxidative damage (6, 7). However, after the onset of disease, farms prefer to use antibiotics to treat and control diseases (8). Prolonged use of antibiotics can lead to bacterial resistance, resulting in the emergence of "superbugs," causing a decline in animal immune function, an increase in mortality, and other consequences (9). However, there are also some other commonly used anti-stress drugs. Due to stress being an extremely complex physiological process, these drugs are easily oxidized by the body during their action, rendering them ineffective. Therefore, finding a safe and effective therapeutic drug is urgent. Current literature reports that the use of probiotics and plant extracts can improve the intestinal microbial community of poultry, maintain intestinal health. The intestinal microbial community has been designated as a hidden metabolic "organ" because of its significant impact on host metabolism, physiology, nutrition, and immune function (10, 11). Plant extracts can effectively enhance human resistance, and they have promising prospects. As the demand for alternatives to antibiotics has become crucial, plant extracts are currently a viable option to partially replace antibiotics (12, 13). The interest in plant extracts is due to their natural properties, environmental friendliness, safety, and characteristics such as no drug residues, stable drugs, and minimal adverse reactions. They can improve animal immunity, increase egg production, and prevent and treat stress (14). In addition, probiotics are also an excellent choice for antibiotic replacement and are beneficial microbial strains for health. The animal gut is a complex ecosystem of microorganisms, host cells, etc. Probiotics regulate the immune system to prevent diseases in both humans and animals by interacting with the gut microbiota (15).

Astragalus, with its main components being polysaccharides, flavonoids, saponins, etc., is a commonly used traditional Chinese medicine in many herbal formulations. It is widely applied in clinical settings for its antioxidative, anti-inflammatory, anti-apoptotic properties, enhancing immunity, and alleviating aging (16, 17). As a green feed additive, Astragalus is utilized as a supplement for live microbial feed, improving intestinal barrier, maintaining microbial balance, and promoting gut health (18).

Epimedium is an herbal plant rich in flavonoid compounds, offering various beneficial effects to animals. Epimedium improves the

composition of the gut microbiota by modulating the core genus Lactobacillus, thereby enhancing antioxidant capacity, intestinal permeability, and strengthening tight junction proteins (19).

Ligustrum lucidum, as a high-quality feed additive, can reduce the mortality of animals, cholesterol in cracked eggs, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, triglycerides, and aspartate aminotransferase. Additionally, it increases the rate of high-density lipoprotein in serum and the cholesterol function in serum levels (20).

Lactobacillus plantarum is a kind of lactic acid bacterium that does not produce gas. *Lactobacillus plantarum* has long been employed for fermentation in vegetables, meat, and dairy products, but its significance as a probiotic is now being more widely acknowledged. It plays a crucial role in intestinal health, immune function, and brain health (21). Previous studies have demonstrated that feeding plant Lactobacillus to weaned piglets can enhance piglet growth performance, immune function, and regulate intestinal microbiota, among other significant effects (22).

A combination of Astragalus, Epimedium, and Fructus Ligustri Lucidi (AEF) with Plant Lactobacillus (LP) has the ability to boost the immune system of the host and promote the growth performance of animals. The therapeutic and preventative benefits of incorporating AEF into drinking water for pigeons. These benefits include increased stress tolerance, enhanced egg production, improved immunity, and better digestive health (19). Nevertheless, there is a scarcity of studies regarding the impact of incorporating AEF and AEF + LP into drinking water on stress resilience, antioxidant capability, immune response, and gastrointestinal well-being in goslings. Hence, we evaluated the effects of AEF and AEF + LP on the growth performance, antioxidant capacity, immunological function, and intestinal microbiota of goslings. This work establishes a theoretical foundation for utilizing AEF and AEF + LP to enhance the production performance and immunity of goslings. This experiment aims to investigate the impact of incorporating AEF (antioxidant-enriched feed) and AEF + LP (antioxidant-enriched feed combined with low protein) on the production performance of goslings. The evaluation will focus on assessing alterations in immunological and antioxidant capacity.

Materials and methods

Animals

Thirty-six 30-day-old goslings (from Guangdong Golden Livestock and Poultry Breeding Co., Ltd., China) were placed in a pathogen-free environment and raised freely. The goslings were randomly divided into 6 groups (6/group): Control group (C group), water supplemented with Astragalus, Epimedium, and Fructus Ligustri Lucidi extract (AEF) group, water supplemented with AEF and *Lactobacillus plantarum* (AEF + LP) group, stress group (S group), stress plus AEF group (S + AEF group), and stress plus AEF plus LP group (S + AEF + LP group) (Table 1).

Preparation of hydrogen peroxide solution

Select a 30% hydrogen peroxide solution, perform gradient dilution with 0.75% saline, and calculate the final working concentration for use.

TABLE 1 Experimental grouping and design for goslings.

Item	Add category	Give stimulation		
С	Normal water	Nothing		
AEF	Water with AEF	Nothing		
S	Normal water	H ₂ O ₂ stress		
S + AEF	Water with AEF	H ₂ O ₂ stress		

Plant extracts and plant lactobacilli

Take 100 g of Astragalus, 60 g of Epimedium, 60 g of Ligustrum, 40 g of Chaihu, 40 g of Poria, and 30 g of Licorice. Decoct in water 10 times for 30 min. Combine the decoction, filter, concentrate the filtrate to about 330 mL, add 3 times ethanol, let it stand at 4°C for 20 h. Filter, recover ethanol from the filtrate, concentrate to a clear paste with a relative density of 1.25 to 1.35 (25°C). Add 3 parts of sugar and 1 part of starch according to the amount of clear paste, mix well, granulate, low-temperature drying, and then package separately. The concentration of AEF in water is 0.2 g/mL, 0.2 g per kilogram of goose body weight, gradually increasing with body weight, once a day.

The plant lactobacillus is purchased from Weikai Haisi (Shandong) Biotechnology Co., Ltd., administered to each goose once a day, with a dosage of 1 g per time.

Animal treatment and tissue collection

The experiment lasted for 30 days, with AEF dissolved in physiological saline at 0.2 g/mL, LP dissolved in physiological saline at 1 g/mL, and geese treated with AEF, AEF + LP for 30 days. The basic diet used in the experiment was formulated in accordance with the Nutrient Requirements of Poultry (NRC) (1994) and that of the lionhead goose production industry in Guangdong Province. The composition and nutritional levels of the feed are listed in Table 2. In the last 5 days of the experiment, geese were subjected to H2O2 stress (H2O2 concentration of 2.96 mmol/kg). Geese had free access to feed and water. Blood was collected from the wing veins of each group of geese (n = 6) into blood collection tubes for serum preparation. 5 mL of blood was collected from the sub wing vein and placed at an angle until the serum was precipitated; subsequently, the blood was centrifuged at 3000 r/min for 15 min to separate the serum which was stored at -20° C for the determination of serum biochemical indexes and antioxidant indexes. After anesthesia, each group of 6 geese was euthanized, and the intestines were separated and rinsed with PBS solution. Tissues from the intestine, hypothalamus, liver, and spleen were used for real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) analysis. The intestines were fixed with a 4% paraformaldehyde solution and stained with HE for morphological observation.

Determination of serum indicators

Blood collected from the brachial vein of each group of geese was transferred to centrifuge tubes. Serum levels of Ca, alanine aminotransferase (ALT), alkaline phosphatase (ALP), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), total protein (TP), albumin (ALB), GLB, TABLE 2 Composition of basal diets.

ltems	Content (%)					
Ingredient						
Corn	58.00					
Soybean meal	24.00					
Bran	6.50					
Rice bran	8.20					
CaHPO4	1.20					
Limestone	1.10					
Premix ¹	1.00					
Total	100.00					
Nutrient levels ²						
Metabolizable energy (M/kg)	11.50					
Crude protein	17.46					
Ether extract	4.07					
Calcium	0.85					
PhosphorusLysine	0.83					
Metabolizable energy (M/kg)	0.89					

¹The premix provided the following per kg of diets: 5000 [U, VB, 5.0 mg, VB, 8 mg, VB, 5.0 mg, VB, 12 μ g, VD, 800 1 U, VE 50 1 U, VK, 2.5 mg, folic acid 0.5 mg, nicotinamide 40mgbiotin 0.3 mg, pantothenic acid 25 mg, choline 1,500 mg, Fe (as ferrous sulfate) 85.2 mg, Cuas copper sulfate) 10 mg, Zn (as zinc sulfate) 50 mg, I (as potassium iodide) 0.3 mg, Se (as sodium selenite) 0.25 mg].

²Metabolizable energy was a calculated value, while the others were measured values.

A/G, triglyceride (TG), total cholesterol (TC), creatinine (CREA-S), UREA, creatine kinase (CK), total bilirubin (T-Bil-V), total bile acids (TBA), lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), and P were measured using the BS-240VET fully automated biochemical analyzer (Mindray Biomedical, Shenzhen). Goose serum CORT was assayed using an Elisa kit (Bolai Biotechnology Co., Ltd., Jiangsu, China). Specific procedures were performed following the manufacturer's instructions.

Morphological observations

All tissues were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde at 4°C for 24 h, followed by processing and embedding in paraffin. Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining was performed using standard protocols. Images of the slides were observed using a Leica microscope (DM500, Leica, Wetzlar, Germany). Villus height (Vh) and crypt depth (Cd) were measured using Image Pro Plus 6.0 software (Media Cybernetics, Rockville, MD).

DNA extraction and sequencing

Collect fecal samples from each group of 5 geese for nucleic acid extraction. Following the manufacturer's instructions, use the RNeasy Power Microbiome KIT (Qiagen, Milan, Italy) to extract total DNA. Amplify the gDNA of the V3-V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene to assess the microbial community (23). PCR products are tested on the Illumina MiSeq platform (Majorbio, Shanghai, China) according to the instructions (24).

RT-qPCR analysis

Total RNA was extracted from the small intestine and liver using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). The RNA concentration and quality were measured using a Q3000 Microspectrophotometer (Quawell Technology, Inc., Sunnyvale, CA). cDNA was synthesized using a commercial RT-PCR kit (Takara Shuzo Co., Ltd., Kyoto, Japan). The qPCR conditions were as follows: 95°C for 30 s; 40 cycles of 95°C for 5 s, 60°C for 34 s; 95°C for 15 s; 65°C for 5 s; 95°C for 5 s. Subsequently, real-time PCR was performed using the SYBR Green QuantiTect RT-PCR kit (Roche, South San Francisco, CA), and each sample was analyzed in triplicate. The $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ formula method was used to calculate elative fold-change values between samples. The primers used are provided in Table 3.

Determination of growth performance

During the growth performance assessment, fasting geese were weighed on the first and thirtieth days.

Measurement of transpiration loss

Weigh muscles of similar size on the same side, place them in selfsealing bags, hang them in a refrigerator at 4 degrees overnight, and after removal, gently wipe the muscles with filter paper before weighing and calculating.

Muscle mass assessment

Left pectoral muscle were measured. Measure the pH value and color of the muscles using a pH meter (Lunz Technology, Model 205) and a colorimeter (China Corporation, nr20xe) after 45 min and 24 h (stored at 4°C).

Determination of the immunological organ index

Weighing of the thymus, spleen, and bursa of Fabricius during sampling (organ weight/body weight \times 100%).

Determination of antioxidant capacity

According to the instructions of the kit (Nanjing Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute), the activities of superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), and glutathione peroxidase (GSH-Px), as well as the content of malondialdehyde (MDA) and the total antioxidant capacity (T-AOC), were determined in serum, liver, and duodenum.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was conducted on table data using IBM SPSS Statistics V25.0 software (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL) through one-way TABLE 3 Gene-special primers used in the real-time quantitative reversetranscription PCR.

Gene	Primer (5′-3′)
β-Actin	Forward: GCACCCAGCACGATGAAAAT
	Reverse: GACAATGGAGGGTCCGGATT
IL-1β	Forward: CCGCTTCATCTTCTACCG
	Reverse: TGTAGGTGGCGATGTTGAC
IL-6	Forward: AAGTTGAGTCGCTGTGCT
	Reverse: GCTTTGTGAGGAGGGATT
TNF-α	Forward: GTTCTATGACCGCCCAGTTC
	Reverse: CACACGACAGCCAAGTCAA
TJP1	Forward: AGACCATTCCAGACATTCTCCACAG
	Reverse: CGCCTGCCACCTCTTCCATAG
Occluding	Forward: TGCTCTGCCTCATCTGCTTCTTC
	Reverse: CGTTCTTCACCCACTCCTCCAC
GSH-Px	Forward: ACAGATTAAGGCGTTTGCTGAGAAC
	Reverse: GGCTGCTCCTTCATCCACTTCC
SOD1	Forward: CATCTCTCTGACTGGACCACACTG
	Reverse: AGTTAGCGTGCTCTCGTTATCTCC
TGF-β	Forward: TCATCTCCATCTACAACAGCACCAG
	Reverse: GCATAATACTCCTCGTCGCTCCTC

analysis of variance (ANOVA). Differences between groups were determined by Duncan's multiple range test. Data were presented as mean ±SD, and differences between treatments were considered statistically significant when p < 0.05 and highly significant when p < 0.01. Quantitative PCR was performed in triplicate, and representative results are shown. Statistical analysis was performed with Student's *t*-test or one-way ANOVA, using GraphPad Prism 7.0 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA). A *p* value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Effects of AEF and AEF + LP on goose body weight, spleen weight, energy metabolism, serum antioxidant capacity, liver and intestinal antioxidant capacity under H_2O_2 -induced stress

As shown in Figure 1A, the stress group exhibited the greatest reduction in body weight. Compare with C group, the body weight was decreased in S group (p < 0.05). Furthermore, compared with the S group, the body weight was enhanced with AEF and LP treatment (p < 0.05). Subsequently, the weight of the spleen was measured. Stress-induced spleen atrophy was inhibited by AEF and AEF + LP treatments (p < 0.05) (Figure 1B). Serum antioxidant indicators were also detected by ELISA. Compared to the control group, the content of CAT, GSH-PX, and T-SOD in goose serum decreased (p < 0.05), while MDA increased under stress conditions (p < 0.05). AEF and AEF + LP treatments enhanced the antioxidant capacity of geese (p < 0.05) (Figure 1C). According to Figure 1D, compared to the control group, CAT, GSH-PX, and T-SOD content in the goose liver decreased, and MDA content increased under

stress conditions (p < 0.05). AEF and AEF + LP treatments improved the antioxidant capacity of geese. In the comparison with the control group, CAT, GSH-PX, and T-SOD content in the goose intestine decreased, and MDA content increased under stress conditions (p < 0.05). AEF and AEF + LP treatments enhanced the antioxidant capacity of geese (p < 0.05) (Figure 1E). Serum biochemical indicators in geese were determined, showing that ALT, AST, TC, CREA-S, UREA, CK, TBA, LDH, and P levels increased after stress, while ALP, TP, ALB, GLB, A/G, and TG levels decreased. Metabolic capacity decline was relieved after AEF and AEF + LP treatments (p < 0.05) (Table 4).

The impact of AEF and AEF + LP on corticosteroids and blood glucose in goslings under H_2O_2 stress

Figure 2A demonstrates a considerable rise in CORT levels under stress situations as compared to the control group (p < 0.05). Treatment with AEF and AEF + LP effectively alleviated the content of CORT, and at the same time, AEF and AEF + LP reduced the elevation of blood glucose caused by stress (p < 0.05) (Figure 2B).

The alleviating effect of AEF and AEF + LP on gosling intestine under H_2O_2 stress

Under stress conditions, the villous structure of the duodenum and jejunum in goslings becomes sparse and short. Statistical data on VH (villous height) and CD (crypt depth) in the duodenum and jejunum show a significant reduction in VH/CD in the small intestine under stress. Treatment with AEF and AEF + LP effectively improves VH, thus restoring the VH/CD ratio (p < 0.05) (Figure 3A). The expression of several inflammatory factor mRNAs was detected to assess the alleviating effect of AEF and AEF + LP on the jejunum under H_2O_2 -induced stress. Compared to the control group, the mRNA expression levels of TGF- β and TNF- α in the jejunum significantly increased under stress, and their expression was reduced with the administration of AEF and AEF + LP (p < 0.05) (Figure 3B). Furthermore, RT-qPCR analysis revealed that, compared to the control group, AEF and AEF + LP significantly increased the expression of Occludin and TJP1 in the jejunum after stress treatment (p < 0.05) (Figure 3C). Finally, the expression of antioxidant genes in the jejunum was evaluated, and the administration of AEF and AEF + LP significantly enhanced the mRNA expression of GSH-Px and SOD1 after stress treatment (*p* < 0.05) (Figure 3D).

The impact of AEF and AEF + LP on the gosling liver under H_2O_2 stress

Under stress conditions, the liver undergoes certain damage. Therefore, we examined the expression levels of several common inflammatory factor mRNAs in the liver under stress conditions after administration of AEF and AEF + LP. Compared to the control group, the mRNA expression levels of TGF- β , IL-1 β , TNF- α , and IL-6 were significantly increased under stress



FIGURE 1

Impact of AEF and AEF + LP on the body weight and antioxidant capacity of goslings under H_2O_2 stress. (A) Gosling body weight reduction. Values are expressed as mean \pm SEM (n = 4). (B) Gosling spleen weight reduction. Values are expressed as mean \pm SEM (n = 4). (C) Impact of AEF and AEF + LP on the serum antioxidant enzyme activity in goslings. Values are expressed as mean \pm SEM (n = 4). (D) Impact of AEF and AEF + LP on the liver antioxidant enzyme activity in goslings. Values are expressed as mean \pm SEM (n = 4). (D) Impact of AEF and AEF + LP on the liver antioxidant enzyme activity in goslings. Values are expressed as mean \pm SEM (n = 4). (E) Impact of AEF and AEF + LP on the liver antioxidant enzyme activity in goslings. Values are expressed as mean \pm SEM (n = 4). (E) Impact of AEF and AEF + LP on the intestinal antioxidant enzyme activity in goslings. Values are expressed as mean \pm SEM (n = 4). (E) Impact of AEF and AEF + LP on the intestinal antioxidant enzyme activity in goslings. Values are expressed as mean \pm SEM (n = 4). (E) Impact of AEF and AEF + LP on the intestinal antioxidant enzyme activity in goslings. Values are expressed as mean \pm SEM (n = 4). (E) Impact of AEF and AEF + LP on the intestinal antioxidant enzyme activity in goslings. Values are expressed as mean \pm SEM (n = 4). (E) Impact of AEF and AEF + LP on the intestinal antioxidant enzyme activity in goslings. Values are expressed as mean \pm SEM (n = 4). (E) Impact of AEF and AEF + LP on the intestinal antioxidant enzyme activity in goslings. Values are expressed as mean \pm SEM (n = 4). (n

ltem	С	AEF	S	S + AEF	SEM	<i>p</i> -value
Ca	2.45 ± 0.04	2.59 ± 0.24	2.22 ± 0.13	2.44 ± 0.17	0.038	0.063
ALT	$17.67 \pm 2.09^{\text{B}}$	16.63 ± 5.37 ^{BC}	$24.70\pm5.17^{\scriptscriptstyle A}$	$10.98 \pm 1.88^{\scriptscriptstyle D}$	1.229	<0.001
ALP	$920.67 \pm 247.67^{\text{A}}$	$754.58 \pm 207.44^{\text{A}}$	$391.35 \pm 24.58^{\text{B}}$	322.43 ± 55.09 ^B	52.697	< 0.001
AST	$13.40 \pm 2.34^{\text{BC}}$	13.85 ± 2.35 ^{BC}	$24.53 \pm 7.27^{\text{A}}$	$8.50 \pm 1.73^{\circ}$	1.295	<0.001
ТР	$56.85 \pm 6.49^{\text{AB}}$	$55.90 \pm 5.41^{\text{AB}}$	$49.38 \pm 1.63^{\scriptscriptstyle B}$	$63.68\pm6.91^{\rm A}$	1.354	0.008
ALB	15.85 ± 0.93^{a}	15.85 ± 0.54^{a}	$12.82\pm0.78^{\rm b}$	15.20 ± 0.96^{a}	0.366	0.044
GLB	41.57 ± 6.48^{ab}	41.38 ± 8.28^{ab}	$35.25 \pm 1.60^{\rm b}$	49.07 ± 6.94^{a}	1.476	0.013
A/G	0.43 ± 0.05^{ab}	0.47 ± 0.05^{a}	$0.35\pm0.06^{\rm b}$	0.40 ± 0.00^{ab}	0.014	0.031
TG	$0.99 \pm 0.14^{\text{A}}$	$0.75 \pm 0.07^{\text{B}}$	$0.45 \pm 0.06^{\circ}$	0.58 ± 0.11^{BC}	0.041	<0.001
ТС	$3.79\pm0.18^{\scriptscriptstyle \rm B}$	$3.99\pm0.14^{\rm AB}$	$4.39\pm0.18^{\rm A}$	$3.58\pm0.46^{\scriptscriptstyle B}$	0.077	0.006
CREA-S	$17.38 \pm 1.87^{\text{B}}$	$19.50 \pm 2.51^{\text{B}}$	$24.03\pm3.38^{\scriptscriptstyle A}$	$17.50 \pm 1.30^{\text{B}}$	0.656	0.001
UREA	$0.34\pm0.12^{\rm bc}$	0.40 ± 0.17^{ab}	0.54 ± 0.13^{a}	$0.18\pm0.05^{\circ}$	0.032	0.012
СК	548.50 ± 113.09 ^B	$659.30 \pm 85.84^{\text{B}}$	903.17 ± 323.37 ^A	277.32 ± 54.91 [°]	52.105	<0.001
T-Bil-V	0.68 ± 0.24^{a}	0.62 ± 0.13^{a}	$0.23\pm0.18^{\rm b}$	$0.58\pm0.15^{\rm a}$	0.044	0.028
TBA	$24.13\pm6.36^{\scriptscriptstyle B}$	$23.25 \pm 11.81^{\text{B}}$	$40.50\pm6.98^{\scriptscriptstyle A}$	$19.28\pm4.94^{\scriptscriptstyle B}$	2.148	0.004
LDH	$176.42 \pm 28.06^{\circ}$	197.17 ± 34.72 ^{bC}	$289.25 \pm 32.86^{\mathrm{A}}$	154.15 ± 33.49 ^c	11.561	<0.001
Р	$2.00\pm0.42^{\mathrm{ab}}$	2.07 ± 0.29^{ab}	2.28 ± 0.13^{a}	$1.80\pm0.08^{\mathrm{b}}$	0.055	0.23

TABLE 4 The effects of AEF on the serum biochemical parameters of goslings.

 a^{bc} , A^{BCD} In the same column, values without letters or with the same superscript letters indicate no significant difference (p > 0.05), while values with different lowercase superscript letters indicate significant differences (p < 0.05), and values with different uppercase superscript letters indicate extremely significant differences (p < 0.01). The values are presented as mean \pm SD (n = 4). calcium (Ca), aminotransferase (ALT), alkaline phosphatase (ALP), aminotransferase (AST), total protein (TP), albumin (ALB), globulin (GLB), albumin/globulin (A/G), triglyceride (TG), total cholesterol (TC), creatinine (CREA-S), carbamide (UREA), creatine kinase (CK), total bilirubin (T-Bil-V), total bile acid (TBA), lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), and phosphorus (P).



The effects of AEF and AEF + LP on corticosterone and blood glucose in goslings under H_2O_2 stress. (A) The content of corticosterone in gosling serum. Values are expressed as mean \pm SEM (n = 4). (B) The content of blood glucose in gosling serum. Values are expressed as mean \pm SEM (n = 4). The same letters or letter superscripts in the same column indicate no significant difference (p > 0.05), while different uppercase letter superscripts indicate extremely significant differences (p < 0.001).

conditions, and AEF and AEF + LP had a certain mitigating effect on inflammation, reducing their expression levels (p < 0.05) (Figure 4A). At the same time, the expression of antioxidant genes in the liver was also measured. Administration of AEF and AEF + LP significantly increased the antioxidant capacity after stress (p < 0.05) (Figure 4B).



differences (p < 0.001).

The impact of AEF and AEF + LP on goose immune organ index, meat quality, and meat color under H_2O_2 stress

Compared to the control group, under stress conditions, the bursa of Fabricius index, spleen index, and thymus index of geese significantly decreased. Simultaneously, compared to the stress group, after AEF and AEF + LP treatment, the bursa of Fabricius index, spleen index, and thymus index showed a significant increase (p < 0.05) (Table 5). Compared to the control group, the L*, a*, and b* of geese showed no significant changes under stress conditions. However, drip loss and pH45 min significantly increased, while pH24 h decreased. In comparison with the stress group, after AEF and AEF + LP treatment, gosling's drip loss significantly decreased, pH45 min significantly decreased, and pH24 h significantly increased (p < 0.05) (Table 6).



FIGURE 4

AEF and AEF + LP on the gosling liver under H_2O_2 stress. (A) The mRNA expression levels of TGF- β , IL-1 β , TNF- α , and IL-6 in the liver were detected by RT-qPCR. Values are presented as the mean \pm SEM (n = 4). (B) The mRNA expression levels of antioxidant enzyme-related genes GSH-Px and SOD1 in the liver were measured by RT-qPCR. Values are presented as the mean \pm SEM (n = 4). (B) The mRNA expression levels of antioxidant enzyme-related genes GSH-Px and SOD1 in the liver were measured by RT-qPCR. Values are presented as the mean \pm SEM (n = 4). (B) The mRNA expression levels of antioxidant enzyme-related genes GSH-Px and SOD1 in the liver were measured by RT-qPCR. Values are presented as the mean \pm SEM (n = 4). (B) The mRNA expression levels of antioxidant enzyme-related genes GSH-Px and SOD1 in the liver were measured by RT-qPCR. Values are presented as the mean \pm SEM (n = 4). (B) The mRNA expression levels of antioxidant enzyme-related genes GSH-Px and SOD1 in the liver were measured by RT-qPCR. Values are presented as the mean \pm SEM (n = 4). (B) The mRNA expression levels of antioxidant enzyme-related genes GSH-Px and SOD1 in the liver were measured by RT-qPCR. Values are presented as the mean \pm SEM (n = 4). (B) The mRNA expression levels of antioxidant enzyme-related genes GSH-Px and SOD1 in the liver were measured by RT-qPCR. Values are presented as the mean \pm SEM (n = 4). (B) The mRNA expression levels of the same column, values with differences (p < 0.05), while values with different lowercase superscripts indicate significant differences (p < 0.05), and values with different uppercase superscripts indicate extremely significant differences (p < 0.001).

The impact of AEF and AEF + LP on the abundance of gosling cecal contents under H_2O_2 stress

Detection of the microbial composition of gosling cecal contents using 16S rRNA Illumina MiSeq. In this study, 1,103,008 effective sequences were selected from 15 samples for subsequent analysis. Each sample had an average of 993 operational taxonomic units (OTUs). There were significant differences at the phylum and family levels among these six groups. The bar chart of phylum-level microbial structure showed that the relative abundance of Firmicutes in the six groups was 46, 47, 51, 41, 50, and 48%, respectively. At the family level, the bar chart of microbial structure showed that the relative abundance of Ruminococaceae in the six groups was 11.7, 11.4, 14.3, 8.9, 13.9, and 11% (Figure 5A). When the sample size was sufficiently large in the species accumulation curve, the total number of species in the community would no longer significantly increase with an increase in sample size, and the curve would become flat (Figure 5B). In the dilution curve, a flat curve indicated a reasonable sample size (Figure 5C). As the sequencing depth increased, the Shannon index curve flattened, indicating that the sequencing depth was sufficient to reflect the majority of microbial information in the samples (Figure 5D). The relative abundance of 16S rRNA gene sequences in gosling cecal contents is shown, and the heatmap depicts the 20 most important bacterial genera in the contents (Figure 5E). Observing the species count, Simpson index, and Shannon index reflected the richness and evenness of species. Compared to the control group, Simpson and Shannon indices of goslings under stress showed a decreasing trend, indicating a decrease in species richness and abundance, which to some extent was restored after AEF and AEF + LP treatment (Figure 5F). Compared to the control group, there was a large degree of community dispersion among the samples in the S group, and this dispersion was somewhat reduced after the administration of AEF and AEF + LP (Figure 5G). We obtained 2001, 2,770, 1702, 1868, 2,676, and 2,104 samples from groups C, AEF,

TABLE 5 The effects of AEF and AEF + LP on the immune indices of goslings.

ltem	С	AEF	AEF + LP	S	S + AEF	S + AEF + LP	SEM	<i>p</i> -value
Bursa index of Fabricius (%)	$0.09\pm0.01^{\scriptscriptstyle A}$	$0.09\pm0.01^{\scriptscriptstyle A}$	$0.09\pm0.01^{\rm A}$	$0.05\pm0.00^{\scriptscriptstyle B}$	$0.08\pm0.02^{\rm A}$	$0.08\pm0.01^{\scriptscriptstyle A}$	0.004	<0.001
Spleen index (%)	$0.13\pm0.02^{\rm A}$	$0.11\pm0.01^{\rm AB}$	$0.11\pm0.01^{\scriptscriptstyle B}$	$0.08\pm0.01^{\rm C}$	$0.13\pm0.01^{\rm AB}$	$0.12\pm0.01^{\rm AB}$	0.004	0.001
Thymus index (%)	$0.14\pm0.02^{\rm AB}$	$0.15\pm0.02^{\rm A}$	$0.12\pm0.01^{\rm BC}$	$0.08\pm0.00^{\rm D}$	$0.11 \pm 0.01^{\circ}$	$0.10 \pm 0.01^{\circ}$	0.006	< 0.001

 ABCD In the same column, values without letters or with the same superscript letters indicate no significant difference (p > 0.05), while values with different lowercase superscript letters indicate significant differences (p < 0.05), and values with different uppercase superscript letters indicate extremely significant differences (p < 0.05), values are presented as mean \pm SD (n = 4).

TABLE 6 The influence of AEF and AEF + LP on goose meat quality.

ltem	С	AEF	AEF + LP	S	S + AEF	S + AEF + LP	SEM	<i>p</i> -value
L*	37.84 ± 3.73	37.03 ± 3.23	38.11 ± 2.45	38.88 ± 1.55	38.27 ± 3.15	38.59 ± 5.53	0.643	0.981
a*	10.11 ± 2.85	10.50 ± 2.64	10.13 ± 3.26	10.18 ± 1.85	10.01 ± 1.77	10.09 ± 2.27	0.452	1
b*	7.15 ± 1.08	7.78 ± 1.24	7.00 ± 1.77	9.25 ± 2.15	7.65 ± 1.09	7.38 ± 1.66	0.32	0.396
Ph45 (min)	$6.44\pm0.08^{\scriptscriptstyle B}$	$6.36\pm0.30^{\scriptscriptstyle B}$	$6.47\pm0.18^{\scriptscriptstyle B}$	$7.20 \pm 0.25^{\text{A}}$	$6.59\pm0.16^{\scriptscriptstyle B}$	$6.59\pm0.24^{\scriptscriptstyle B}$	0.069	< 0.001
Ph24 (h)	$6.11 \pm 0.14^{\text{A}}$	$6.03 \pm 0.04^{\text{A}}$	$6.09 \pm 0.05^{\text{A}}$	$5.46\pm0.34^{\scriptscriptstyle B}$	$6.06 \pm 0.14^{\text{A}}$	$6.03 \pm 0.07^{\mathrm{A}}$	0.055	< 0.001
Drip loss	$1.41 \pm 0.44^{\text{B}}$	$1.17\pm0.34^{\scriptscriptstyle B}$	$0.78\pm0.29^{\scriptscriptstyle B}$	$2.23 \pm 0.23^{\text{A}}$	$1.13\pm0.80^{\scriptscriptstyle B}$	$0.74\pm0.19^{\scriptscriptstyle B}$	0.13	0.001

A^B In the same column, values without letters or with the same superscript letters indicate no significant difference (p > 0.05), while values with different lowercase superscript letters indicate significant differences (p < 0.05), and values with different uppercase superscript letters indicate extremely significant differences (p < 0.01). Values are presented as mean ± SD (n = 4). *No practical significance, the meat quality detector displays L*.

AEF + LP, and S, S + AEF, S + AEF + LP, respectively, with 269 OTUs shared among the six groups (Figure 5H).

Discussion

The cattle sector has experienced significant growth in recent years, leading to the widespread adoption of intensive farming as the predominant agricultural strategy. Nevertheless, poultry and cattle are susceptible to stress responses in this agricultural approach, resulting in a decrease in animal output, compromised immunological function, and potentially fatal outcomes, hence resulting in substantial financial losses for farms. The hydrogen peroxide model is a classical model for studying immune stress in poultry and livestock (25). Previous studies have found that the negative effects of environmental changes directly impact the health and productivity of poultry, resulting in decreased feed intake, body weight, and immune function (26). However, oxidative stress also severely affects poultry production. Injection of hydrogen peroxide can lead to a decrease in poultry antioxidant capacity, feed-to-weight ratio, and muscle quality, indicating that hydrogen peroxide can directly impact the production performance and immune function of goslings (27). Prior research has indicated that extracts derived from Astragalus, Epimedium, and Ligustrum had therapeutic and preventative properties that enhance stress tolerance, egg production, immunology, and intestinal health in pigeons (28). Meanwhile, feeding weaned piglets with plant-derived Lactobacillus can enhance piglet growth performance, immune function, and regulate intestinal microbiota, among other critical effects (22). However, the therapeutic and preventive effects of the combined use of Astragalus, Epimedium, Ligustrum extracts, and plant-derived Lactobacillus on hydrogen peroxide stress in goslings remain unclear. Therefore, this experiment investigated the impact of Astragalus, Epimedium, Ligustrum extracts, as well as the combination of Astragalus, Epimedium, Ligustrum extracts, and plant-derived Lactobacillus on the immune and antioxidant capabilities of goslings. The results indicate that adding 0.2 g/mL AEF and 0.2 g/mL AEF + 1 g/mL LP per kilogram of gosling body weight to the water can enhance the goslings' stress resistance. Thus, the addition of AEF and AEF + LP to drinking water can promote the growth of goslings and alleviate stress.

When the organism is influenced by external or internal stressors, oxidative stress is generated, leading to the destruction of prooxidants and antioxidants in cells. The reduced activity of antioxidant enzymes in the body results in DNA hydroxylation, protein denaturation, increased lipid peroxidation, and impairment of cellular functions, disrupting the conditions necessary for cell survival. Catalase (CAT), glutathione peroxidase (GSH-PX), and total superoxide dismutase (T-SOD) are important antioxidant markers in the body. In response to external or internal stress stimuli, the organism activates the antioxidant defense system to maintain the oxidative-reductive balance within cells and uphold internal homeostasis (29, 30). When the organism undergoes oxidative stress, MDA, as a biomarker of lipid and protein oxidation, directly reflects the degree of lipid damage in the body (31). Previous studies have shown that Forsythia suspensa extract can improve oxidative damage in broiler breast muscles, and the combination of cinnamon and probiotics can regulate oxidative stress and alleviate kidney toxicity in broilers (32, 33). Therefore, this study explores the effects of AEF and AEF + LP on the antioxidant capacity of goslings under stress conditions. The activity of key antioxidant enzymes in serum, liver, and ileum was measured, and the results showed that adding AEF and AEF + LP to drinking water could increase the levels of CAT, T-SOD, and GSH-PX in serum and reduce MDA levels. Adding AEF and AEF + LP to drinking water could increase the levels of CAT, T-SOD, and GSH-PX in the liver and ileum while reducing MDA levels. In conclusion, adding AEF and AEF + LP to drinking water



has antioxidant effects and can enhance the oxidative capacity of goslings. Under stress conditions, Astragalus can increase SOD activity and reduce MDA levels in lactating sows (34). Epimedium has the ability to remove extracellular free radicals, decrease levels of reactive oxygen species, boost the activity of antioxidant enzymes, and improve antioxidant function (35). *Lactobacillus plantarum* improves oxidative damage caused by weaning in young

goats, effectively reducing MDA content in the small intestine and increasing duodenal GSH-PX activity (36). This experiment evaluated the expression of antioxidant genes in the duodenum and liver, and AEF and AEF + LP significantly enhanced their antioxidant capacity after stress treatment.

Certain components in the serum can reflect the physiological status of animals under stress. Total protein (TP) in the serum comes

from hepatic synthesis and intestinal absorption, with TP mainly comprising albumin (ALB) and globulin (GLB). The more common cause of TP reduction is the decrease in ALB, which is the major protein component of serum TP, playing a role in maintaining plasma osmotic pressure and transporting nutrients (37). GLB is produced by immune organs and has a regulatory role in immune response, anti-inflammation, and antibacterial functions. GLB tends to decrease when the organism is attacked by pathogens. Studies have shown that enzymatic activity and oxidative stress markers, including aspartate aminotransferase (AST) and alanine aminotransferase (ALT), which are important indicators reflecting liver function (38). When the liver is damaged, the levels of ALT and AST significantly increase (39). The concentration variation of serum creatinine (CREA-S) is mainly determined by the glomerular filtration capacity (glomerular filtration rate) of the kidneys. Decreased filtration capacity leads to an elevated concentration of creatinine. Increased blood creatinine levels often signify renal impairment. Studies have demonstrated that Chinese herbal medicine has the ability to significantly promote growth performance, improve blood chemical indicators, exhibit anti-inflammatory qualities, prevent damage, and boost antioxidant capacity (40). Probiotics are commonly employed to enhance blood biochemical parameters in animals. Lactobacillus acidophilus is a beneficial bacterium that lives in the intestines, developing a mutually beneficial relationship with the host. On the other hand, Bacillus subtilis is a kind of bacterium that may create spores but does not typically reside in the intestines. Supplementing Lactobacillus acidophilus and Bacillus subtilis in broilers can reduce plasma concentrations of ALT and AST (41). Therefore, this study explores the impact of AEF and AEF + LP on blood biochemical indicators in stressed goslings. The results show that after stress, serum levels of ALT, AST, TC, CREA-S, UREA, CK, TBA, LDH, and P increase, while ALP, TP, ALB, GLB, A/G, and TG levels decrease. The treatment with AEF and AEF + LP induced positive changes in blood biochemical indicators. Studies have shown that astragalus can enhance antioxidant capacity in fish and also has a positive alleviating effect on blood biochemistry (42). Epimedium can improve liver damage caused by the elevation of serum aspartate aminotransferase after oxidative stress (43). Extracts of ligustrum can improve the elevation of lactate dehydrogenase and creatinine in the serum of rats caused by oxidative stress (44). Plant lactobacillus has been confirmed to improve glycerophospholipid metabolism disorder induced by oxidative stress in mice (45).

When the organism is influenced by exogenous or endogenous factors, oxidative stress occurs in the organism. Corticosterone (CORT) is a crucial indicator for assessing oxidative stress. CORT is a glucocorticoid secreted by the adrenal glands, and changes in CORT can reflect the physiological stress of animals. When animals are under stress, CORT levels increase (46). This is consistent with our findings. When the organism is exposed to hydrogen peroxide stress, CORT levels increase, but with AEF and AEF + LP treatment, CORT levels decrease. In a stressed state, the organism activates the hypothalamus-pituitary–adrenal axis, leading to elevated blood glucose levels due to the secretion of glucogenic hormones (glucocorticoids, adrenaline, growth hormone, etc.). Our results are also in line with this pattern, showing a reduction in blood glucose levels with AEF and AEF + LP treatment.

The intestine is the primary organ for nutrient absorption in animals, with nutrients primarily absorbed from the small intestine. The intestinal epithelium is a unique single-layer structure arranged in the intestinal cavity, forming two distinct tissue domains, namely intestinal crypts and villi. Villi are finger-like projections that protrude from the epithelium and lamina propria into the intestinal lumen of the small intestine, serving the function of nutrient absorption. Morphological features of the intestine, such as villus height, crypt depth, and V/C, reflect information about the function and health of the intestinal mucosa. When laying hens are exposed to stressors, the proportion of decreased villus height and crypt depth in the jejunum indicates changes in intestinal morphology (47). In this study, the addition of AEF and AEF + LP to drinking water increased the villus height of the duodenum and jejunum, as well as the V/C of the jejunum. The results indicate that AEF and AEF + LP can restore the villus height of the stressed gosling's intestinal mucosa. We speculate that AEF and AEF + LP may enhance the proliferation and differentiation of intestinal epithelial cells and the renewal rate of intestinal stem cells. Additionally, AEF and AEF + LP promote the expression of Occludin and TJP1 in the stressed gosling's jejunum. Astragalus improves the villus length of the Mexican duck's small intestine and effectively stimulates the mucosal immune barrier, enhancing mucosal immune function (48). Studies suggest that AEF and AEF + LP enhance mucosal integrity, providing a favorable environment for host intestinal health and improving immune resistance to pathogen attacks.

Innate immunity is the first line of defense against the invasion of pathogenic microorganisms in the body. When the body is subjected to external stimuli, it activates a battle mode, increasing the inflammatory response. Inflammatory factors are essential indicators in the process of immune response. When piglets and chickens undergo oxidative stress, it can lead to certain important intestinal diseases and inflammatory reactions (49). Pro-inflammatory factors such as TGF- β , TNF- α , IL-1 β , IL-6 significantly increase during oxidative stress (50). The results indicate that when goslings are subjected to H₂O₂ stress, TGF-β, TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6 are consistent with the above research results. Simultaneously, when AEF and AEF + LP are administered, it can alleviate the inflammatory response. Early weaning can cause intestinal inflammation and diarrhea in animals or humans, and Astragalus has anti-inflammatory activity. They pointed out that Astragalus can improve the expression of IL-6, IL-I β , and TNF- α after weaning, and improve the morphology of the jejunum villi (51). Lactobacillus plantarum, by optimizing the intestinal barrier, regulating the intestinal microbiota, and inflammatory pathways, reduces IL-1 β , TNF- α , and improves the inflammatory response (52).

Poultry has three primary immunological organs: the spleen, thymus, and bursa of Fabricius. The spleen, thymus, and bursa of Fabricius are significant immunological markers that might represent the immune condition of an organism when it experiences a stress reaction (53). The spleen is responsible for filtering pathogens and waste in the blood, clearing old red blood cells, and participating in the regulation of immune reactions in the body. The thymus of poultry plays important roles in immune regulation, metabolic regulation, and developmental regulation. The bursa of Fabricius is the central immune organ in birds, capable of producing B lymphocytes, thereby generating specific antibodies to accomplish specific immune responses. Research indicates that when broilers undergo oxidative stress, the immune organ index and humoral immunity tend to decrease (54). This experiment investigated the

impact of AEF and AEF + LP on immune organ indices in goslings under stress. Our findings suggest that immune organ indices decrease when the organism experiences stress, and this condition is alleviated by the administration of AEF and AEF + LP. Additionally, we investigated the effects of H₂O₂ stress on the meat quality and color of goslings. In this context, L* represents brightness, a* represents redness, and b* represents yellowness. The results indicate that there is no significant change in L*, a*, and b* when subjected to stress or treated with AEF and AEF + LP. The pH value of the stress group is significantly higher than that of the control group at 45 minutes, and there is a decreasing trend in the pH value of the stress group at 24 hours compared to the control group. Drip loss significantly increases under stress. When treated with AEF and AEF + LP, goslings exhibit a decreasing trend in pH at 45 minutes and an increasing trend at 24 hours, significantly alleviating the exacerbation of drip loss caused by stress and improving meat quality.

The gut microbiota can modulate the immune system, regulate intestinal absorption, thereby improving host health and enhancing productivity, playing a crucial role in livestock and poultry health management (55). Piglets who have been weaned and are under oxidative stress suffer from compromised intestinal function, which in turn causes diarrhea. Consequently, this modifies the composition of the intestinal microbiota and affects the overall growth performance (56). Bacteria belonging to the phylum Firmicutes are mostly Gram-positive bacteria, and they play a crucial role in the host's nutrition and metabolism through the synthesis of short-chain fatty acids. Through their metabolic byproducts, bacteria of the phylum Firmicutes indirectly connect with other tissues and organs, regulating hunger and satiety. However, when broilers undergo heat stress, the abundance of Firmicutes is significantly reduced (57). Our research indicates that the Firmicutes phylum is the most abundant bacteria in the cecal microbiota of goslings, constituting over 50% of the total bacterial population. Due to the addition of AEF and AEF + LP to drinking water, there is a shift in the relative abundance of the Firmicutes phylum at the phylum level, which is beneficial for gut health. Ruminococcus is a Gram-positive, anaerobic bacterium that appears spherical and does not produce spores. Ruminococcus is one of the earliest discovered bacteria in the stomach, playing a crucial role in metabolism. It has functions such as stabilizing the intestinal barrier, reversing diarrhea, and increasing energy. Our results indicate that, compared to the control group, there is a significant reduction of Ruminococcus at the genus level in the stress group. However, the relative abundance of Ruminococcus is increased when administered with AEF and AEF + LP. Ruminococcus is one of the most efficient bacteria in carbohydrate decomposition, and in the human intestine, it can reduce dietary resistant starch, thereby regulating intestinal homeostasis and improving gut health (58).

This study demonstrates that adding AEF extract and AEF + LP to drinking water can enhance the intestinal health and growth performance of H_2O_2 -stressed goslings. Treatment with AEF and AEF + LP enhances the intestinal bacterial composition, intestinal morphology, immune response, and growth performance of stressed goslings. Furthermore, AEF and AEF + LP exhibit a greater impact on the immune response, intestinal microbiota, and antioxidant capacity of stressed goslings. AEF and AEF + LP can be used as a health product to improve stress in goslings. This study makes a significant contribution to the sustainable development of animal husbandry.

Data availability statement

The data presented in the study are deposited in the NCBI repository, accession number PRJNA1262860.

Ethics statement

The animal study was approved by Zhongkai University of Agriculture and Engineering. The study was conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements.

Author contributions

QQ: Writing – original draft. YS: Conceptualization, Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Resources, Validation, Visualization, Writing – review & editing. YL: Formal analysis, Methodology, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. YN: Formal analysis, Methodology, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. MG: Formal analysis, Methodology, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. YY: Data curation, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. WW: Funding acquisition, Writing – review & editing. SZ: Resources, Supervision, Writing – review & editing, Funding acquisition.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by Modern Agriculture Waterfowl Industry Technology System Innovation Team of Guangdong (2023KJ137), Guangdong Basic and Applied Basic Research Foundation (2023A1515111106), the Key-Area Research and Development Program of Guangdong Province (2020B0202080002) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (General Program: 32172815).

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank members of their laboratory for helpful and constructive advice.

Conflict of interest

YN was employed by Crown Bioscience (Zhongshan) Co., Ltd. YY was employed by Shenyang Weijia Biotechnology Co., Ltd.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Gen AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

References

1. Hoffman DJ. Role of selenium toxicity and oxidative stress in aquatic birds. Aquat Toxicol. (2002) 57:11–26. doi: 10.1016/S0166-445X(01)00263-6

2. Estévez M. Oxidative damage to poultry: from farm to fork. *Poult Sci.* (2015) 94:1368–78. doi: 10.3382/ps/pev094

3. Vandana GD, Sejian V, Lees AM, Pragna P, Silpa MV, Maloney SK. Heat stress and poultry production: impact and amelioration. *Int J Biometeorol.* (2021) 65:163–79. doi: 10.1007/s00484-020-02023-7

4. Yazaki T, Hiradate Y, Hoshino Y, Tanemura K, Sato E. L-carnitine improves hydrogen peroxide-induced impairment of nuclear maturation in porcine oocytes. *Anim Sci J.* (2013) 84:395–402. doi: 10.1111/asj.12016

5. Yin J, Duan J, Cui Z, Ren W, Li T, Yin Y. Hydrogen peroxide-induced oxidative stress activates NF- κ B and Nrf2/Keap1 signals and triggers autophagy in piglets. *RSC Adv*. (2015) 5:15479–86. doi: 10.1039/C4RA13557A

 Li X, Wang C, Zhu J, Lin Q, Yu M, Wen J, et al. Sodium butyrate ameliorates oxidative stress-induced intestinal epithelium barrier injury and mitochondrial damage through AMPK-Mitophagy pathway. Oxidative Med Cell Longev. (2022) 2022:1–23. doi: 10.1155/2022/3745135

7. Zhuang Y, Wu H, Wang X, He J, He S, Yin Y. Resveratrol attenuates oxidative stressinduced intestinal barrier injury through PI3K/Akt-mediated Nrf2 signaling pathway. *Oxidative Med Cell Longev.* (2019) 2019:7591840. doi: 10.1155/2019/7591840

 Lianou DT, Fthenakis GC. Use of antibiotics against bacterial infections on dairy sheep and goat farms: patterns of usage and associations with health management and human resources. *Antibiotics*. (2022) 11:753. doi: 10.3390/antibiotics11060753

 Huemer M, Mairpady SS, Brugger SD, Zinkernagel AS. Antibiotic resistance and persistence-implications for human health and treatment perspectives. *EMBO Rep.* (2020) 21:e51034. doi: 10.15252/embr.202051034

10. Abdelli N, Solà-Oriol D, Pérez JF. Phytogenic feed additives in poultry: achievements, prospective and challenges. *Animals.* (2021) 11:3471. doi: 10.3390/ani11123471

11. Shehata AA, Yalçın S, Latorre JD, Basiouni S, Attia YA, Abd EA, et al. Probiotics, prebiotics, and phytogenic substances for optimizing gut health in poultry. *Microorganisms*. (2022) 10:395. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms10020395

12. Millar BC, Rao JR, Moore JE. Fighting antimicrobial resistance (AMR): Chinese herbal medicine as a source of novel antimicrobials-an update. *Lett Appl Microbiol.* (2021) 73:400–7. doi: 10.1111/lam.13534

13. Todorova V, Ivanov K, Delattre C, Nalbantova V, Karcheva-Bahchevanska D, Ivanova S. Plant Adaptogens-history and future perspectives. *Nutrients*. (2021) 13:2861. doi: 10.3390/nu13082861

14. Liu Y, Yang L. Early metabolism evaluation making traditional Chinese medicine effective and safe therapeutics. *J Zhejiang Univ Sci B.* (2006) 7:99–106. doi: 10.1631/jzus.2006.B0099

15. Azad M, Sarker M, Li T, Yin J. Probiotic species in the modulation of gut microbiota: an overview. *Biomed Res Int*. (2018) 2018:1–8. doi: 10.1155/2018/9478630

16. Auyeung KK, Han QB, Ko JK. Astragalus membranaceus: a review of its protection against inflammation and gastrointestinal cancers. *Am J Chin Med.* (2016) 44:1–22. doi: 10.1142/S0192415X16500014

17. Zhang J, Wu C, Gao L, Du G, Qin X. Astragaloside IV derived from Astragalus membranaceus: a research review on the pharmacological effects. *Adv Pharmacol.* (2020) 87:89–112. doi: 10.1016/bs.apha.2019.08.002

18. Qiao Y, Liu C, Guo Y, Zhang W, Guo W, Oleksandr K, et al. Polysaccharides derived from Astragalus membranaceus and Glycyrrhiza uralensis improve growth performance of broilers by enhancing intestinal health and modulating gut microbiota. *Poult Sci.* (2022) 101:101905. doi: 10.1016/j.psj.2022.101905

19. Zhang J, Yu H, Zhang H, Zhao Q, Si W, Qin Y, et al. Dietary Epimedium extract supplementation improves intestinal functions and alters gut microbiota in broilers. *J Anim Sci Biotechnol.* (2023) 14:14. doi: 10.1186/s40104-022-00812-1

20. Li XL, He WL, Yang ML, Yan YM, Xue YH, Zhao ST. Effect of dietary supplementation of *Ligustrum lucidum* on performance, egg quality and blood biochemical parameters of Hy-line Brown hens during the late laying period. *Animal.* (2017) 11:1899–904. doi: 10.1017/S1751731117000532

21. Liu YW, Liong MT, Tsai YC. New perspectives of *Lactobacillus plantarum* as a probiotic: the gut-heart-brain axis. *J Microbiol.* (2018) 56:601–13. doi: 10.1007/s12275-018-8079-2

22. Wang J, Wang S, Liu H, Zhang D, Wang Y, Ji H. Effects of oligosaccharides on the growth and stress tolerance of *Lactobacillus plantarum* ZLP001 in vitro, and the potential

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

synbiotic effects of *L. plantarum* ZLP001 and fructo-oligosaccharide in post-weaning piglets1. *J Anim Sci.* (2019) 97:4588–97. doi: 10.1093/jas/skz254

23. Klindworth A, Pruesse E, Schweer T, Peplies J, Quast C, Horn M, et al. Evaluation of general 16S ribosomal RNA gene PCR primers for classical and next-generation sequencing-based diversity studies. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2013) 41:e1. doi: 10.1093/nar/gks808

24. Caporaso JG, Lauber CL, Walters WA, Berg-Lyons D, Huntley J, Fierer N, et al. Ultra-high-throughput microbial community analysis on the Illumina HiSeq and MiSeq platforms. *ISME J*. (2012) 6:1621–4. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2012.8

25. Chen Z, Xing T, Li J, Zhang L, Jiang Y, Gao F. Oxidative stress induced by hydrogen peroxide promotes glycolysis by activating CaMKK/LKB1/AMPK pathway in broiler breast muscle. *Poult Sci.* (2022) 101:101681. doi: 10.1016/j.psj.2021.101681

26. Bilal RM, Hassan FU, Farag MR, Nasir TA, Ragni M, Mahgoub H, et al. Thermal stress and high stocking densities in poultry farms: potential effects and mitigation strategies. J Therm Biol. (2021) 99:102944. doi: 10.1016/j.jtherbio.2021.102944

27. Xing T, Chen X, Li J, Zhang L, Gao F. Dietary taurine attenuates hydrogen peroxide-impaired growth performance and meat quality of broilers via modulating redox status and cell death signaling. *J Anim Sci.* (2021) 99:skab089. doi: 10.1093/jas/skab089

28. Zhang X, Zhou S, Liang Y, Xie G, Zhu M, Wang Z, et al. Effects of Astragalus, Epimedium, and Fructus Ligustri Lucidi extractive on antioxidant capacity, production performance, and immune mechanism of breeding pigeons under stress. *Poult Sci.* (2023) 102:102350. doi: 10.1016/j.psj.2022.102350

29. Hu W, He Z, Du L, Zhang L, Li J, Ma Y, et al. Biomarkers of oxidative stress in broiler chickens attacked by lipopolysaccharide: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf.* (2023) 266:115606. doi: 10.1016/j.ecoenv.2023.115606

30. Surai PF, Kochish II, Fisinin VI, Kidd MT. Antioxidant Defence systems and oxidative stress in poultry biology: an update. *Antioxidants.* (2019) 8:235. doi: 10.3390/antiox8070235

31. Habashy WS, Milfort MC, Rekaya R, Aggrey SE. Cellular antioxidant enzyme activity and biomarkers for oxidative stress are affected by heat stress. *Int J Biometeorol.* (2019) 63:1569–84. doi: 10.1007/s00484-019-01769-z

32. Elazab ST, Elshater NS, Kishaway A, Ei-Emam HA. Cinnamon extract and probiotic supplementation alleviate copper-induced nephrotoxicity via modulating oxidative stress, inflammation, and apoptosis in broiler chickens. *Animals.* (2021) 11:1609. doi: 10.3390/ani11061609

33. Pan L, Ma XK, Zhao PF, Piao XS. Weeping forsythia extract alleviates dexamethasone-induced oxidative injury of breast muscles in broilers. *Animal.* (2019) 13:2660–8. doi: 10.1017/S175173111900096X

34. Wu H, Yang J, Wang S, Zhang X, Hou J, Xu F, et al. Effects of soybean Isoflavone and Astragalus polysaccharide mixture on colostrum components, serum antioxidant, immune and hormone levels of lactating sows. *Animals*. (2021) 11:132. doi: 10.3390/ani11010132

35. Xiang Y, Zhang J, Li H, Wang Q, Xiao L, Weng H, et al. Epimedium polysaccharide alleviates Polyglutamine-induced neurotoxicity in *Caenorhabditis elegans* by reducing oxidative stress. *Rejuvenation Res.* (2017) 20:32–41. doi: 10.1089/rej.2016.1830

36. Chen K, Liu Y, Cheng Y, Yan Q, Zhou C, He Z, et al. Supplementation of *Lactobacillus plantarum* or *Macleaya cordata* extract alleviates oxidative damage induced by weaning in the lower gut of young goats. *Animals.* (2020) 10:548. doi: 10.3390/ani10040548

37. Attia YA, Hassan RA, Qota EM. Recovery from adverse effects of heat stress on slow-growing chicks in the tropics 1: effect of ascorbic acid and different levels of betaine. *Trop Anim Health Prod.* (2009) 41:807–18. doi: 10.1007/s11250-008-9256-9

38. Xueting L, Rehman MU, Zhang H, Tian X, Wu X, Shixue KM, et al. Protective effects of Nano-elemental selenium against chromium-vi-induced oxidative stress in broiler liver. *J Biol Regul Homeost Agents*. (2018) 32:47–54.

39. Xu H, Li YM, Yi Y, Zheng YW, Ohkohchi N. The effect of the platelet Administration for the Patients with liver dysfunction after liver resection: preliminary clinical trial. *Case Rep Gastrointest Med.* (2021) 2021:1–4. doi: 10.1155/2021/9948854

40. Zhang X, Zhao Q, Ci X, Chen S, Xie Z, Li H, et al. Evaluation of the efficacy of chlorogenic acid in reducing small intestine injury, oxidative stress, and inflammation in chickens challenged with *Clostridium perfringens* type a. *Poult Sci.* (2020) 99:6606–18. doi: 10.1016/j.psj.2020.09.082

41. Forte C, Moscati L, Acuti G, Mugnai C, Franciosini MP, Costarelli S, et al. Effects of dietary Lactobacillus acidophilus and *Bacillus subtilis* on laying performance, egg

quality, blood biochemistry and immune response of organic laying hens. J Anim Physiol Anim Nutr. (2016) 100:977-87. doi: 10.1111/jpn.12408

42. Huang Z, Ye Y, Xu A, Li Z. Effects of *Astragalus membranaceus* polysaccharides on growth performance, physiological and biochemical parameters, and expression of genes related to lipid metabolism of spotted sea bass, *Lateolabrax maculatus. Aquac Nutr.* (2023) 2023:1–18. doi: 10.1155/2023/6191330

43. Jung JY, Park SM, Ko HL, Lee JR, Park CA, Byun SH, et al. Epimedium koreanum ameliorates oxidative stress-mediated liver injury by activating nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2. *Am J Chin Med.* (2018) 46:469–88. doi: 10.1142/S0192415X18500246

44. Lin HM, Yen FL, Ng LT, Lin CC. Protective effects of *Ligustrum lucidum* fruit extract on acute butylated hydroxytoluene-induced oxidative stress in rats. *J Ethnopharmacol.* (2007) 111:129–36. doi: 10.1016/j.jep.2006.11.004

45. Gao Y, Liu Y, Ma F, Sun M, Song Y, Xu D, et al. *Lactobacillus plantarum* Y44 alleviates oxidative stress by regulating gut microbiota and colonic barrier function in Balb/C mice with subcutaneous d-galactose injection. *Food Funct*. (2021) 12:373–86. doi: 10.1039/D0FO02794D

46. Wang J, Xue X, Liu Q, Zhang S, Peng M, Zhou J, et al. Effects of duration of thermal stress on growth performance, serum oxidative stress indices, the expression and localization of ABCG2 and mitochondria ROS production of skeletal muscle, small intestine and immune organs in broilers. *J Therm Biol.* (2019) 85:102420. doi: 10.1016/j.jtherbio.2019.102420

47. Gu YF, Chen YP, Jin R, Wang C, Wen C, Zhou YM. Dietary chitooligosaccharide supplementation alleviates intestinal barrier damage, and oxidative and immunological stress in lipopolysaccharide-challenged laying hens. *Poult Sci.* (2022) 101:101701. doi: 10.1016/j.psj.2022.101701

48. Liao L, Li J, Li J, Huang Y, Wu Y. Effects of Astragalus polysaccharides on intestinal morphology and intestinal immune cells of Muscovy ducklings infected with Muscovy duck reovirus. *Poult Sci.* (2021) 100:64–72. doi: 10.1016/j.psj.2020.10.021

49. Lauridsen C. From oxidative stress to inflammation: redox balance and immune system. *Poult Sci.* (2019) 98:4240-6. doi: 10.3382/ps/pey407

50. Soliman MM, Aldhahrani A, Elshazly SA, Shukry M, Abouzed TK. Borate ameliorates sodium nitrite-induced oxidative stress through regulation of oxidant/ antioxidant status: involvement of the Nrf2/HO-1 and NF- κ B pathways. *Biol Trace Elem Res.* (2022) 200:197–205. doi: 10.1007/s12011-021-02613-5

51. Dong N, Li X, Xue C, Zhang L, Wang C, Xu X, et al. Astragalus polysaccharides alleviates LPS-induced inflammation via the NF-κB/MAPK signaling pathway. *J Cell Physiol*. (2020) 235:5525–40. doi: 10.1002/jcp.29452

52. Wu Y, Jha R, Li A, Liu H, Zhang Z, Zhang C, et al. Probiotics (*Lactobacillus plantarum* HNU082) supplementation relieves ulcerative colitis by affecting intestinal barrier functions, immunity-related gene expression, gut microbiota, and metabolic pathways in mice. *Microbiol Spectr.* (2022) 10:e165122. doi: 10.1128/spectrum.01651-22

53. Zheng XC, Wu QJ, Song ZH, Zhang H, Zhang JF, Zhang LL, et al. Effects of Oridonin on growth performance and oxidative stress in broilers challenged with lipopolysaccharide. *Poult Sci.* (2016) 95:2281–9. doi: 10.3382/ps/pew161

54. Sun S, Li B, Wu M, Deng Y, Li J, Xiong Y, et al. Effect of dietary supplemental vitamin C and betaine on the growth performance, humoral immunity, immune organ index, and antioxidant status of broilers under heat stress. *Trop Anim Health Prod.* (2023) 55:96. doi: 10.1007/s11250-023-03500-y

55. Dai D, Qi GH, Wang J, Zhang HJ, Qiu K, Wu SG. Intestinal microbiota of layer hens and its association with egg quality and safety. *Poult Sci.* (2022) 101:102008. doi: 10.1016/j.psj.2022.102008

56. Han H, Liu Z, Yin J, Gao J, He L, Wang C, et al. D-galactose induces chronic oxidative stress and alters gut microbiota in weaned piglets. *Front Physiol.* (2021) 12:634283. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2021.634283

57. Zhu L, Liao R, Wu N, Zhu G, Yang C. Heat stress mediates changes in fecal microbiome and functional pathways of laying hens. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol.* (2019) 103:461–72. doi: 10.1007/s00253-018-9465-8

58. Cerqueira FM, Photenhauer AL, Doden HL, Brown AN, Abdel-Hamid AM, Moraïs S, et al. Sas20 is a highly flexible starch-binding protein in the *Ruminococcus bromii* cell-surface amylosome. *J Biol Chem.* (2022) 298:101896. doi: 10.1016/j.jbc.2022.101896