Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Peter Dovc, University of Ljubljana, Slovenia

REVIEWED BY Mohammad Hossein Banabazi, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Sweden Beata Horecka, University of Life Sciences in Lublin, Poland

\*CORRESPONDENCE Wang Xueli ⊠ wangxl9577@aliyun.com

RECEIVED 11 January 2025 ACCEPTED 13 June 2025 PUBLISHED 26 June 2025

#### CITATION

JiaYu C, Lili S, Dawei W, ZhiLin L, Xin Z, Zelin J, Yuhang Z, Huisheng X and Xueli W (2025) Current status of transcriptome sequencing technology in ruminants. *Front. Vet. Sci.* 12:1558799. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2025.1558799

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2025 JiaYu, Lili, Dawei, ZhiLin, Xin, Zelin, Yuhang, Huisheng and Xueli. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Current status of transcriptome sequencing technology in ruminants

# Cui JiaYu<sup>1</sup>, Song Lili<sup>2</sup>, Wang Dawei<sup>3</sup>, Liu ZhiLin<sup>1</sup>, Zhang Xin<sup>1</sup>, Jia Zelin<sup>1</sup>, Zhang Yuhang<sup>1</sup>, Xiong Huisheng<sup>1</sup> and Wang Xueli<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>College of Animal Science and Technology, Inner Mongolia Minzu University, Tongliao, China, <sup>2</sup>Department of Grassland Ecology and Animal Husbandry Veterinary Medicine, Xilingol Vocational College, Xilingol League, China, <sup>3</sup>Tongliao Animal Disease Prevention and Control Center, Tongliao, China

In many parts of the world, safe ruminant production underpins food security, while ruminant meat and milk are important agricultural commodities and a major source of protein requirements in the human diet. In order to maintain the sustainability of such agricultural products, animal production should be made more efficient through better management and production techniques. Ruminating animals such as cows and sheep have been used for the synthesis of dairy products, the production of high-quality meat, and the study of reproductive mechanisms. Using transcriptome technology in ruminant ecosystems has sped up the study of animal diversity under various feeding and production conditions. These studies have provided sufficient information to reduce farm pollution and improve farming efficiency. Transcriptome sequencing can be used to explore specific indicators at a deeper level, such as the content (high and low) and composition of intramuscular fat (IMF) in meat processing, the expression of DEG-related hormones, the regulation of bile acid concentration on fat precipitation, and the regulation of growth and meat quality properties in cattle and sheep. During the lactation stage of ruminants, transcriptome sequencing is used to screen for differentially expressed genes in blood tissue, which can identify candidate functional genes for milk production traits. Transcriptome sequencing can detect genes with low expression levels, identify new gene transcripts and alternative splicing events, detect and analyze the biological regulatory mechanisms of the body, reveal differences in gene expression levels during breeding, and reveal the interaction between hosts and pathogens. This sequencing technology can also help understand the immunobiological situation during infection. This paper reviews the current status of transcriptome sequencing and the application of transcriptome sequencing technology in ruminants, with a view to providing theoretical reference and basis for the better application of transcriptome sequencing technology in ruminant research.

#### KEYWORDS

RNA seq, ruminant animals, application status, lactation, reproduction, meat quality, disease

### **1** Introduction

Transcriptomics is an approach to study gene expression and gene regulation by altering mRNA, protein, and metabolite expression, or transcript abundance, and is one of the most widely used techniques in basic research, clinical diagnostics, and drug development (1). Among these, transcriptome sequencing (RNA-seq) can be used to study many aspects of RNA

biology, including single-cell gene expression, the translatome, the RNA structural group, and spatial transcriptomics (2). In recent years, with the rapid development of RNA-seq, it has been widely used in animal husbandry. Ruminants are one of the most ecologically important groups of herbivores, exhibiting diverse morphologies (e.g., different body sizes, dentition) and being highly adaptable to a variety of ecological environments (e.g., polar regions, the Tibetan Plateau, desert steppes, and tropical rainforests) (3). Among ruminants, cattle and sheep have high economic and nutritional values. So far, many scholars at home and abroad have used RNA-seq to study ruminants such as cattle and sheep, mainly through this method to reveal potential candidate genes and meat quality, milk quality, skeletal muscle development, fat deposition, reproduction and breeding, and other growth-related functions and disease causative mechanisms. This paper describes the latest progress in the application of transcriptome sequencing technology in ruminants, with a view to providing theoretical references for subsequent studies on ruminant transcriptomics.

#### 2 RNA-seq

In 1970, high-throughput sequencing technology began to be applied in cellular and tissue transcripts, and since then, transcriptome sequencing appeared and has been widely used in various types of production practices (4). Transcriptome sequencing (RNA-seq) represents a comprehensive and efficient approach for capturing the complete set of transcripts within a specific organ or tissue under defined conditions. Subsequent bioinformatic analysis, particularly differential expression analysis (DEA), enables precise interrogation of sequencing data. DEA forms the core of transcriptome research. It employs statistical models, such as the negative binomial distribution utilized by tools like DESeq2, to compare gene expression levels across distinct experimental conditions (e.g., treatment versus control groups). This process identifies genes exhibiting significant expression changes, commonly defined by thresholds of log2 (fold change) | > 1 and a *false discovery rate* (FDR) < 0.05. This analysis is crucial for revealing key functional genes, such as identifying candidate genes associated with traits like feed efficiency in ruminant research. RNA-seq serves as a critical link between phenotype and the underlying DNA coding information. The standard RNA-seq data analysis workflow typically includes: raw data quality control and alignment (utilizing tools like FastQC for quality assessment and STAR or HISAT2 for reference genome alignment), gene/transcript quantification (generating expression matrices using tools like HTSeq or featureCounts), differential expression analysis (employing software such as DESeq2 or edgeR), and functional annotation [conducting pathway enrichment analysis using databases such as Gene Ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG)] (5, 6). These methodologies allow RNA-seq to characterize average expression profiles within cell populations and, through single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq), to resolve cellular heterogeneity. Nowadays, RNA-seq is more mature in determining the level of differential gene/isoform expression and has become one of the most commonly used tools in biology, which has changed our view of the complexity of the transcriptome, which provides new information on transcriptional and post-transcriptional gene regulation. The RNA-seq workflow is divided into RNA extraction, library construction,

sequencing, and data analysis (7), as shown in Figure 1. Building upon standard RNA-seq, diverse methodological approaches have emerged based on distinct technical principles and application requirements (summarized in Table 1). These platforms are tailored to specific research objectives. For instance, short-read RNA-seq (e.g., Illumina) offers high cost-effectiveness, making it suitable for large-scale population differential expression analyses. Conversely, long-read RNA-seq technologies (e.g., PacBio or Oxford Nanopore) enable the resolution of complex transcriptional isoforms, proving particularly valuable for investigating intricate interaction mechanisms between rumen microorganisms and their host species (8, 9) (see Table 2). In addition, RNA-seq has facilitated the development of RNA biology, a methodology that can accurately characterize the interactions between transcription and molecules controlling RNA function and can also be used to study many different aspects of RNA biology, i.e., single-cell gene expression, the translatome, and the RNA structuralome. Haque A et al. (10) provided guidelines for the use of single-cell transcriptome sequencing (scRNA-seq) for biomedical and clinical purposes, which lists problems that may be encountered when using scRNA-seq, including protocol selection and biological interpretation. Currently, scRNA-seq has been widely used in cancer therapy (11), infectious diseases (12), and regulation of stem cell differentiation (13). The concept of spatial transcriptomics was first introduced by Joakim Lundeberg's group in 2016, and spatial transcriptomics technology allows gene expression information to be obtained at spatial resolution from intact tissue sections in pristine physiological environments (14). In recent years, spatial transcriptomics has been used to study liver regeneration, organization, and function in hepatocytes and nonparenchymal cells, as well as to understand intercellular variation within and between individual tumors, advancing cancer research and diagnosis (15-17).

## 2.1 Application of RNA-seq in the diagnosis of genetic diseases

The widespread adoption of high-throughput sequencing has substantially increased the identification of variants of unknown significance (VUS) in clinical diagnostics. According to established clinical guidelines, such as those from the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG), VUS cannot be used directly for clinical decision-making. RNA-seq serves as a critical supplement to genome sequencing, enabling effective analysis of VUS and improving the diagnostic yield for genetic disorders (18). This utility is demonstrated through several key capabilities: Detecting aberrant gene expression levels (e.g., downregulation), indicative of potential loss-of-function mechanisms; Accurately identifying abnormal mRNA splicing events, including exon skipping, intron retention, and the creation of novel splice sites, even capturing non-canonical splicing variations potentially missed by DNA sequencing alone; Revealing allele-specific expression (ASE), providing evidence for the functional impact of regulatory, splicing, or nonsense-mediated decay (NMD) variants; Enabling efficient and sensitive detection of fusion gene transcripts, particularly in scenarios where DNA sequencing may be limited (19-22). Consequently, RNA-seq plays a vital role in genetic disease diagnosis by verifying the pathogenic consequences of DNA variants, uncovering pathogenic variants overlooked by standard DNA sequencing (such as splicing defects and fusion genes), and



providing essential evidence for interpreting VUS to achieve definitive diagnoses.

# 2.2 Application of RNA-seq in biologics production efficiency and quality control

RNA-seq enhances production efficiency and quality control during cell line development for biologics manufacturing (23). This technology enables comprehensive characterization of gene expression dynamics across the host cell system, clonal selection, and culture processes. It identifies key genes influencing critical attributes, such as sialyltransferase expression impacting cell growth, product titers (e.g., monoclonal antibody yield), and metabolic phenotypes (e.g., glycosylation profiles). These insights guide culture medium optimization and targeted cell engineering strategies (24). Concurrently, RNA-seq provides sensitive quality control by detecting low-frequency variants within the gene of interest (GOI), including Single nucleotide variations (SNVs, down to 0.1% allele frequency); Insertions and deletions (indels); Aberrant splicing events (e.g., intron retention, exon skipping). Integration with mass spectrometry facilitates resolving sources of product impurities, such as truncated heavy chains, ensuring the accuracy of the therapeutic protein's

primary structure (25). Furthermore, RNA-seq efficiently detects fusion transcripts often missed by DNA sequencing and analyzes regulatory networks involving non-coding RNAs (ncRNAs). For instance: *microRNAs* (miRNAs) can target secretion pathway genes to modulate production; *Long non-coding RNAs* (lncRNAs) exhibit expression patterns correlating with cell proliferation and product stability. Finally, single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) resolves transcriptional heterogeneity within clonal populations. This capability identifies sources of production instability, such as transgene expression attenuation, and provides a basis for screening clones with high phenotypic consistency (26).

# 2.3 Application of scRNA-seq in multidimensional integration

As a cornerstone of single-cell multi-omics, single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) elucidates intrinsic cellular heterogeneity by resolving gene expression profiles at the single-cell level. The workflow encompasses single-cell suspension preparation, mRNA capture, reverse transcription, and nucleic acid amplification. Subsequent bioinformatic analysis, typically performed using *R*-based tools like *Seurat* or *Python* frameworks such as *Scanpy* (utilizing the *AnnData* 

#### TABLE 1 Common applications of RNA-seq technologies.

Category	Technology	Principle	Key features	Typical applications
Sequencing- based	Short-read RNA-seq	Fragmentation of RNA/cDNA into 50–300 bp segments (Illumina platform)	Low cost, high throughput. Requires assembly. Splice variant resolution algorithm- dependent	Gene expression quantification, differential analysis (Bulk/scRNA- seq), simple transcript identification
	Long-read RNA-seq	Direct sequencing of full-length RNA/ cDNA (>10 kb; PacBio/ONT platforms)	No assembly required. Accurate isoform/fusion gene detection. Single-cell isoform analysis (e.g., scISO- seq)	Complex transcriptome analysis (splicing, lncRNA), novel transcript discovery
Non-sequencing	Microarray	Hybridization of cDNA to fixed probes with fluorescence quantification	Limited to known genes. Low cost but low sensitivity. Probe-dependent.	Clinical gene profiling (e.g., tumor classification arrays)
	qPCR/ddPCR	Target-specific primer amplification for RNA quantification	Ultra-sensitive (single-molecule). Limited to small gene sets.	RNA-seq validation, low- abundance transcript detection
	Nanopore direct RNA-seq	Native RNA sequencing (no reverse transcription; Oxford Nanopore)	Preserves RNA modifications (e.g., m <sup>6</sup> A). Real-time monitoring. Requires error correction.	RNA modification analysis, transcriptional dynamics
	Spatial transcriptomics	In situ hybridization (ISH) or antibody- based RNA mapping (e.g., MERFISH)	Single-cell spatial resolution. No single-cell isolation needed.	Tumor microenvironment mapping, spatiotemporal gene expression in embryogenesis
Advanced methods	Epitranscriptomics	meRIP-seq (antibody enrichment) or direct modification detection (Nanopore)	Profiles RNA modifications (m <sup>6</sup> A, m <sup>5</sup> C).	Disease mechanisms (e.g., cancer, neurodegeneration)
	Single-cell multi-omics	CITE-seq (transcriptome + surface proteins) SHARE-seq (transcriptome + ATAC)	Multi-modal data integration. Deciphers cellular states.	Tumor immunology, cell fate determination

structure) (27), includes: Data quality control and filtering; Selection of highly variable genes (HVGs); Dimensionality reduction (employing techniques like principal component analysis (PCA), Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP), or t-distributed Stochastic Neighbor Embedding (t-SNE)); Cell clustering and annotation; Differential expression gene (DEG) analysis; Functional pathway enrichment analysis (utilizing resources like Gene Ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG)); Cell-cell interaction inference; Cellular trajectory inference (28). While scRNA-seq enables identification of genes influencing cell growth and product titers for biopharmaceutical process optimization, it faces challenges including high cost and stringent sample viability requirements. Advanced multidimensional integration strategies enhance scRNA-seq capabilities: Temporal Dynamics: Integration with metabolic labels (e.g., 4-thiouridine (4SU), 5-ethynyluridine (EU)) or fluorescent reporters enables tracking transcriptional changes over time; Spatial Context: Spatial transcriptomics technologies overcome the spatial limitation of standard scRNA-seq by mapping gene expression directly within tissue architecture. This is achieved through methods like in situ hybridization (ISH), in situ sequencing (ISS), or spatial barcoding (29-32); Multi-omics Integration: Coupling scRNA-seq with genomics (e.g., scATAC-seq for chromatin accessibility), epigenomics, and proteomics (e.g., CITEseq for surface protein detection) provides a comprehensive view of cellular state and function. This integrated approach demonstrates significant value in revealing heterogeneity, discovering novel therapeutic targets, and advancing research in tumor immunology, developmental biology, and disease mechanisms, thereby promoting multidimensional biological investigation from gene expression to functional understanding (33).

## 3 Application of RNA-seq in ruminants

#### 3.1 Application in meat quality

In the past, meat production has been improved mainly by screening strong individuals in the population for breeding, a method that is inefficient and does not allow for the continued inheritance of good genes after intergenerational or several generations of breeding. RNA-seq can be used to optimize breeds by screening genes and is suitable for the selection of various expressed genes related to meat quality among different species in the livestock industry (34). In recent years, RNA-seq assays have been used in ruminants in applications involving the genetic mechanisms of m6A methylation, muscle quality under specific conditions, and muscle mass under breed self-differentiation conditions. In the molecular mechanisms involved in muscle growth and development, previous experiments have used m6A seq, MeRIP seq, and RNA seq high-throughput sequencing to perform bioinformatics analysis on the longest dorsal muscle of different cattle and sheep (35-37). It mainly contains GO and KEGG pathway enrichment analysis, identification of differentially expressed genes and differentially

Feature	Short-read RNA-seq (Illumina)	Long-read RNA-seq (PacBio/Oxford nanopore)
Read length	50-300 bp (typically 75–150 bp)	1,000-100,000 + bp (full-length transcripts)
Throughput	Extremely high (millions of reads per run)	Moderate (PacBio), High (Nanopore R10.4+)
Accuracy	High base precision (Q30 > 99.9%)	Moderate (PacBio HiFi: >99.9%; Nanopore: ~95–98%)
Key advantages	Low cost for large population studies	Captures full-length isoforms
	High sensitivity for gene expression detection	Direct RNA sequencing (Nanopore)
	Mature bioinformatics tools	Resolves complex gene families/structural variants
Limitations	Requires transcriptome assembly	Higher raw error rates
	Ambiguity in isoform resolution	Lower throughput (PacBio)
	GC bias	High computational requirements
Applications in ruminants	Differential gene expression (e.g., lactation, disease)	Alternative splicing analysis (e.g., immune response, stress)
	Large-scale eQTL studies	Fusion gene detection
	miRNA/small RNA analysis	Allele-specific expression
	Population transcriptomics	Novel isoform discovery
Cost per sample	\$20–100 (bulk RNA-seq)	\$100-500 + (depending on sequencing depth)
Recommended scenarios	Large population studies	Isoform-level biology research
	Gene expression quantification	Poorly annotated genomic regions
	Well-annotated genomes	Structural variant detection

TABLE 2 Characteristics, applications and costs of short-read vs. long-read RNA-seq in ruminant studies.

expressed lncRNAs, as well as detection of the expression levels of muscle-related marker genes and methylation-related enzymes using techniques such as qRT-PCR, Western blot, and LC-MS/MS for auxiliary validation. These assays allow the identification of key genes involved in the development of muscle growth and m6A modifications, such as those involved in biological processes such as skeletal muscle contraction, steroid biosynthesis processes, redox processes, the PPAR pathway, and fatty acid metabolism. Purebred cattle and sheep m6A modifications are mainly enriched in the 3'-UTR region and the CDS region. The 3' UTR is immediately adjacent to the downstream region of the CDS, which is transcribed but not translated with the gene, and this region is the main mediator of mRNA stability and translation. The hybrid yak (Bos grunniens) was mainly enriched in muscle-related pathways (Wnt signaling pathway and MAPK signaling pathway) and plateau-acclimation-related pathways (HIF-1 signaling pathway). The m6A abundance is positively correlated with gene expression levels. There is a gap in the current experiments to assess the long-term effects of m6A modification on muscle growth and development in ruminants, and RNA-seq-based m6A-modified meat improvement methods could be developed in future studies.

Physiological differences in meat tenderness in ruminants are variables in addition to their own genetic regulation. Environmental stresses and high-temperature stress can also lead to endocrine disruption, abnormal nutrient metabolism, and changes in body tissue composition (38–40). RNA-seq enables gene ontology and KEGG pathway enrichment analysis to identify biological processes and signaling pathways associated with physiological responses and meat quality. Stress response in sheep manifests as increased plasma adrenaline concentration, decreased muscle glycogen concentration, elevated meat shear force, and reduced cooking loss. The anti-apoptotic function of *heat shock protein* (HSP) genes (*HSPA12A*, *HSPB8*) and the metabolic regulation mediated by *AMP-activated protein kinase* (AMPK) genes represent core mechanisms underlying this stress response. These genes serve as molecular markers for meat stress injury, providing a theoretical foundation for mitigation strategies

through genetic breeding or nutritional interventions (e.g., AMPK activators) (41). HSP genes (e.g., HSPA12A, HSPB8, HSP70, and HSP90) exhibit significant upregulation under stress and maintain cellular homeostasis via molecular chaperone activity, though their regulatory mechanisms differ across species (direct transcriptional upregulation in goats versus negative regulation by miRNAs, such as miR-2450, in cattle). Transcriptomic changes involve pathways related to stress response, apoptosis, protein hydrolysis, and energy metabolism (e.g., AMPK, JAK-STAT, and PI3K-Akt), demonstrating how stress impacts animal physiology and meat quality through multi-gene networks (42). The related SLC2A4 gene serves as a key metabolic regulator of granulosa cells in response to heat stress by optimizing sugar metabolism and energy supply. During heat stress, granulosa cells significantly upregulate SLC2A4 expression to optimize glucose metabolism and energy supply. This enhanced glucose transmembrane transport provides critical substrates for antioxidant defense, cellular repair, and proliferation recovery (43). RNA-seq can detect biological processes involved in stress, such as energy metabolism, apoptosis, and stress response. The important intracellular energy-regulated signaling pathways AMPK and autophagy pathways are enriched in stress treatments, and physiological stress responses can negatively affect goat meat quality. Clinically, there are herbal extracts that can alleviate stress. Chen H et al. used RNA-seq assays and found that the addition of geraniol to concentrates activated the PPARy signaling pathway to regulate lipid metabolism and improve the flavor of beef (44). Growth, development, and meat quality of ruminants are not only influenced by external factors (e.g., genetics, environment, feeding management, etc.) but also regulated by several genes. RNA-seq technology in the study of meat quality can comprehensively and high-throughputly detect differences in gene expression levels, revealing the molecular mechanisms of meat quality regulation, and at the same time, it can accurately and reliably screen out functional genes related to meat quality, providing a theoretical basis for meat quality improvement. It is expected to provide biomarker identification for animal selection and improvement of cattle and sheep production.

#### 3.2 Application in skeletal muscle

Skeletal muscle is one of the main sites of metabolism, and factors such as survival environment and different growth intervals in ruminants produce different feedbacks on the metabolic cycle during growth and development (45, 46). In the development of the yak industry in the highland region, the growth rate and meat production have been maintained at a low level due to environmental problems. There were significant differences between oxidized (biceps femoris, BF) and glycolyzed (external abdominal oblique, EOA) muscles in different yaks at the transcriptome level, and between chromatin openness to regulate muscle growth, and between the effects of different feeding practices on growth performance, meat quality, and liver function in yaks (47-49). There are also effective studies from the perspective of chromatin accessibility, such as Li J et al. (50), who used ATAC-seq and RNA-seq techniques to analyze and look for differences in chromatin accessibility and gene expression levels during yak skeletal muscle development. These differences are mainly enriched in functions and pathways such as cell proliferation, regulation of biological processes, and transcriptional regulatory activity. The more important cells in skeletal muscle growth and regeneration are skeletal muscle satellite cells (SMSCs), and changes in chromatin accessibility play a significant role in the myoblast differentiation of SMSCs. Myoblast differentiation is a complex process involving the synergistic action of multiple genes and signaling pathways. Some key pathways associated with the proliferation and differentiation of sheep SMSCs, such as the PI3K-Akt signaling pathway, the p53 signaling pathway, the Hippo signaling pathway, myocyte cytoskeleton regulation, and the calcium signaling pathway, were detected by ATAC-seq and RNA-seq (51). Beef quality traits such as tenderness, juiciness, and marbling are usually characterized by the inheritance of quantitative traits, and the degree of genetic control is usually low. The study of cytoskeletal structure in the study of genetic mechanisms of beef quality traits can be positioned in the field of genetics and molecular biology of meat quality traits, which is an important direction in the study of meat quality traits. Cytoskeletal and transmembrane-anchored genes and pathways can be identified in expression association, DE, and gene enrichment analyses, and cytoskeletal proteins and transmembrane-anchored molecules can influence sarcomeres by allowing cytoskeletal interactions with myocyte and organelle membranes, contributing to the maintenance of cytoskeletal structure and architecture after death (52). Differentially expressed genes and miRNAs are also present in the muscle tissues of goat fetuses at different developmental intervals, and in general, the expression levels of muscle differentiation marker genes correlate with the degree of muscle differentiation. The expression profile analysis of miRNA and mRNA can identify certain miRNAs that co-regulate muscle differentiation with target genes, such as chi-miR-129-5p, chi-miR-433, and chi-miR-24-3p, which co-regulate differentiation with genes such as "calcium binding" and "cell adhesion" (53). The miRNA and mRNA expression characteristics and their interactions during skeletal muscle differentiation can be revealed by RNA-Seq technology, providing a new molecular perspective for understanding the mechanism of skeletal muscle differentiation.

#### 3.3 Application in fat deposition

Intramuscular fat (IMF) is the fact that accumulates between muscle bundles or within muscle cells, and its content significantly affects the taste, tenderness, and flavor of meat products, which is a crucial economic characteristic in meat production and processing (54, 55). In sheep, fat is mainly deposited in specific areas such as the tail or rump. Bakhtiarizadeh MR et al. (56) used RNA-seq to identify single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) associated with 18 and 52 genes involved in the shape of the fat tail in two Iranian sheep breeds (Lori-Bakhtiari and Zel). These SNPs are located in regions of genes that are fat-tailed or fat-metabolizing functions, and the SNPs are also localized within QTL regions associated with adiposity. The CDS2 gene in Lori-Bakhtiari and PCDH9 gene in Zel were more affected, where some genes related to fatty acid oxidation, such as the PPAR signaling pathway and fatty acid oxidation-related genes, were present in the Zel variety. Intramuscular fat (IMF) deposition is one of the most important factors affecting meat quality and is closely related to the expression of carnitine palmitoyltransferase 1A (CPT1A), which promotes the transfer of longchain fatty acids (LCFA) to mitochondria (57). Some goat intramuscular precursor adipocyte differentiation can also be identified using RNA-seq to characterize temporal expression profiles during the process, and RNA-seq identified changes in CPT1A expression in goat intramuscular precursor adipocytes that may reconfigure the lipid distribution between intracellular triglyceride deposition and cell proliferation. CPT1A promotes the proliferation of goat adipocytes through the MAPK signaling pathway. After CPT1A knockdown, DEGs were mainly enriched in the MAPK signaling pathway. The MAPK signaling pathway inhibitor PD169316 inhibited cell proliferation in CPT1A-overexpressing adipocytes (58). RNA-seq has screened for miR-433, which is associated with thermogenesis in brown adipose tissue, in the analysis of miRNA expression differences between brown adipose tissue and white adipose tissue (WAT) in goats. MiR-433 mainly inhibits lipid droplet accumulation and thermogenesis in brown adipocytes and suppresses their differentiation by targeting the MAPK8 gene (59). The regulation of adipogenesis can be systematically investigated through overexpression cell models combined with RNA sequencing. Peroxisome proliferatoractivated receptor gamma (PPARG) serves as a central hub in lipid metabolism. Elucidating its mechanisms advances our understanding of obesity and metabolic disorders while providing critical targets for enhancing meat quality in livestock production. As a master transcriptional regulator, PPARG binds promoter regions of target genes (e.g., stearoyl-CoA desaturase-1 [SCD1]), orchestrating fatty acid desaturation and triglyceride (TG) synthesis to modulate fat deposition. This pathway is subject to multi-level regulation: Direct transcriptional control by coding genes (e.g., TUSC5), indirect modulation via non-coding RNAs (e.g., IncBNIP3-mediated signaling pathway activation). Targeted manipulation of the PPARG/SCD1 axis offers promising strategies for meat quality improvement: Genetic approaches: TUSC5 isoform screening via CRISPR-based editing; Epigenetic regulation: IncBNIP3 activation to fine-tune expression; Nutritional management: Optimized finishing diets to promote intramuscular fat deposition. These interventions demonstrate significant potential for enhancing beef marbling and sensory attributes (60-62). MiRNAcombined metabolomics also allows for the detection of different lipidforming conditions, which are enriched between perirenal adipocytes (PRA) and intramuscular adipocytes (IMA) in Qinchuan cattle (63). IMA contained more unsaturated fatty acids than PRA, with IMA

producing more in the early stages of adipogenesis and PRA having greater adipogenic capacity in terminal differentiation. The two differentially expressed genes were positively correlated with arachidonic, phosphatidylcholine, phosphatidylethanolamine, and sphingomyelin content. Huang J et al. (64) analyzed potential genes characterizing IMF deposition in buffaloes by transcriptome sequencing. 1,566 mRNAs were expressed in adipose tissues in the mRNA expression pattern, and the results of RT-qPCR analysis and gain-of-function experiments confirmed that phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase 1 (PCK1) expression was positively correlated with IMF in buffaloes. The activity of the buffalo promoter PCK1 was confirmed to be higher than that of bovine adipocytes. Investigation of key candidate genes and metabolic pathways regulating adipogenesis reveals that both leptin (LEP) and fatty acid-binding protein 4 (FABP4) significantly influence lipid deposition. LEP expression exhibits a positive correlation with adiposity, while FABP4-a central mediator of fatty acid transport-modulates intramuscular fat content and unsaturated fatty acid composition. Both genes function as downstream effectors in the peroxisome proliferatoractivated receptor gamma (PPARy) signaling pathway, which integrates lipid metabolism, energy homeostasis, and inflammatory responses during adipocyte differentiation. Through transcriptional regulators (PPARGC1A, RXRA), LEP and FABP4 coordinate: Fatty acid biosynthesis; Lipid droplet homeostasis; while exhibiting negative correlations with lipolytic genes (e.g., *lipase E [LIPE]*, *perilipin 1 [PLIN1]*). Tissue-specific expression profiling demonstrates significantly higher transcript levels of both genes in subcutaneous fat and mammary fat pad (MFP) compared to visceral fat or mammary gland parenchyma (PAR). Elevated FABP4 expression in BF underscores its pivotal role in subcutaneous adipogenesis. Interbreed analyses reveal: Differential FABP4 expression between Shandong black and Luxi cattle correlates with meat fatty acid profiles, DEG studies in Angus × Simmental crosses indicate breeding value for marbling traits Integrated validation through RNA-seq, qPCR, and western blotting confirms conserved mechanisms of LEP and FABP4 in bovine lipid metabolism, providing molecular targets for beef quality enhancement (65-68). Ruminants with a greater proportion of muscle growth than fat deposition can provide consumers with a higher quality diet. Bile acids regulate the integrated mechanisms of fat distribution in ruminants, increase lamb meat production, and reduce subcutaneous and tail fat deposition (69). It has been shown that adipocyte differentiation and adipose metabolism are tightly regulated by a variety of transcription factors, including sterol regulatory elementbinding proteins, CCAAT/enhancer-binding proteins, and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma. miRNAs can play a key role in adipocyte differentiation and fat metabolism. Comparative analysis of gene expression profiles between different samples by RNA-seq allowed identification of key genes and regulatory networks associated with fat deposition. This will allow a deeper understanding of the genetic mechanisms involved in fat deposition in ruminants, as well as a comparative analysis of genetic differences between breeds to find single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) associated with differences in fat.

#### 3.4 Application in lactation

Milk fat content, as an important indicator for evaluating milk quality, directly determines the nutrition and flavor of milk. Milk production in mammalian mammary glands is influenced by various functional genes. Therefore, analyzing the molecular mechanisms of mammary gland development and lactation is essential for improving milk production and quality in dairy cows. Transcriptomic studies of caprine mammary tissue reveal that diacylglycerol O-acyltransferase 1 (DGAT1) modulates lipid metabolism through dual mechanisms: inhibiting triglyceride synthesis/fatty acid esterification while upregulating lipolysis genes. This coordinated regulation governs lipid accumulation and monounsaturated fatty acid production. In bovines, DGAT1 expression correlates significantly with milk yield. As the ratelimiting enzyme in triglyceride synthesis, it directly influences milk fat composition. Its central position in lipid metabolism further suggests indirect modulation of the mammary immune microenvironment, providing multidimensional insights into milk fat synthesis genetics and dairy cow health (70, 71). The growth hormone receptor (GHR) gene is equally pivotal in ruminant development and lactation. In Chios dairy sheep, GHR resides within a milk production quantitative trait locus (QTL), regulating lactation through growth hormone signaling. Its hepatic expression pattern influences milk composition via systemic metabolism. Beef cattle studies demonstrate coordinated reduction of GHR and insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF1) mRNA during winter gestation in high-forage regimens, corresponding with serum IGF-I fluctuations. Crossbred cattle exhibit enhanced metabolic flexibility during energy transitions (72, 73). DGAT1 and GHR represent complementary regulatory axes, DGAT1 operates through lipid metabolic machinery (milk fat synthesis), GHR functions via endocrine signaling (growth/lactation performance). These genes serve as molecular markers for: Milk quality improvement (fat content/profile); Health-production synergy optimization; Hybrid vigor exploitation in breeding programs. Long-stranded noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) also play a key role in mammary gland development and breast cancer biology (74). Chen Yidan (75) used secondgeneration transcriptome sequencing to detect differentially expressed genes in the blood tissues of high- and low-producing dairy cows and screened a total of 12 candidate functional genes affecting milkproducing traits, of which nine contained mutant alleles (ASS1, DEFB4A, UPP1, GGT1, HP, MGAM, LTF, MMP9, and PGLYRP1), which were found in a higher mutation frequency in the high-yielding group and a lower frequency of genetically variable alleles in the low-yielding group. Little is known about the role of lncRNAs in bovine lactation in current studies. In order to characterize the role of lncRNAs in bovine lactation, Wang Y (76) detected lncRNAs in mammary tissues of dairy cows at 7 d pre-partum and 30 d postpartum by using RNA-Seq technology. Ninety-six lncRNAs were significantly differentially expressed between the two stages, and the target genes were mainly focused on ECM-receptor interactions, the Jak-STAT signaling pathway, the PI3K-Akt signaling pathway, and the TGF-beta signaling pathway, which may inform the expression profiles and characterization of the pathways in both non-lactating and early lactation breast tissues. In lactation studies on breed-specific goats, suppressor of cytokine signaling 3 (SOCS3) is closely related to lipid metabolism in dairy goats and is a key signaling molecule that regulates milk synthesis in domestic animals. Song N (77) screened key downstream genes associated with SOCS3-regulated lipid synthesis in goat mammary epithelial cells (GMEC) by RNA-seq and identified a total of 430 differentially expressed genes, including 226 down-regulated and 204 up-regulated genes. Among them, STAT2, FOXO6, BCL2, MMP11, MMP13, and CD40 are key regulatory genes involved in lipid metabolism. Farhadian M (78) In order to continue to investigate the underlying molecular mechanisms of the mammary lactation process, Ghezel sheep were analyzed by transcriptome sequencing technology in two stages of lactation, pre- and post-peak lactation. Seventy-five differentially expressed genes were screened, mainly enriched in pathways such as metabolic processes and oxidative phosphorylation. Gene network analysis showed that the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR) signaling pathway, oxidative phosphorylation, and metabolic pathways play important roles in milk production. Among them, genes related to fat metabolism were significantly downregulated during the post-peak milk production phase. In summary, these findings offer novel insights into the regulatory architecture of milk fat metabolism in ruminants, unveiling molecular targets with significant potential for enhancing dairy product quality-including strategic development of reduced-fat dairy lines-through precision modulation of lipid biosynthesis pathways. RNA-seq technology for mining functional genes and genetic variants for milk production traits in cows and goats can identify candidate genes for the prevention of inflammation and disease in mammary tissues, and at the same time, by comparing with the QTL databases for milk production traits, it can be further mined for functional genes related to lactation traits, which can help to discover the key regulatory factors, but it needs to be investigated in more depth.

# 3.5 Application in breeding and reproduction

In reproductive breeding, RNA-seq can detect and analyze the biological regulatory mechanisms of ruminants, which can provide scientific basis and technical support for improving the breeding and reproduction efficiency of cattle and sheep. The pituitary gland can directly regulate reproduction in livestock, and Wan Z et al. (79) performed RNA-Seq in order to characterize transcriptomic differences in the pituitary gland of sheep during the estrous cycle. A total of 3,529 lncRNAs and 16,651 mRNAs were identified in the pituitary gland, of which 144 differentially expressed (DE) lncRNA transcripts were screened in the follicular and luteal phases and 557 DE mRNA transcripts. In addition, GO and KEGG analyses indicated that 39 down-regulated and 22 up-regulated genes interacted with pituitary function and reproduction. These findings provide a genome-wide lncRNA and mRNA expression profile of the sheep pituitary between the follicular and luteal phases, which will help to further investigate the molecular mechanisms of pituitary function. Lu X et al. (80), in order to characterize whether the pituitary gland influences bovine growth by regulating hormone secretion, assayed the levels of six growth-related HPT hormones in the plasma of antelope and Reychon cattle and compared the transcriptomic data of their pituitary glands. This resulted in significant differences in growth hormone, IGF, TSH, thyroxine, triiodothyronine, and insulin content between the 2 varieties, with a total of 175 genes identified as differentially expressed genes (DEGs). Functional association analysis showed that DEGs were mainly involved in transcription and signal transduction processes. Combined with enrichment and protein interaction analyses, eight DEGs (SLC38A1, SLC38A3, DGKH, GNB4, GNAQ, ESR1, NPY, and GAL) were predicted to control the growth of antelope and Reykjavik cattle by affecting the expression of growth-related hormones in the pituitary gland. Huang Y et al. (81) compiled mRNA expression profiles of the pituitary and hypothalamus in Angus cattle at different growth and developmental stages, providing transcriptomic data for the study of the hypothalamic pituitary in Angus cattle. Analyzing 6-, 18-, and 30-month-old Angus cows separately, genes differentially expressed between 18 and 6 months were enriched in the hypothalamus and pituitary for growth, development, and sexual maturation, whereas genes differentially repressed between 30 and 18 months were enriched in lactation promotion. The role of RNA-seq in the pituitary gland is to reveal differences in different genes in the follicular and luteal phases by measuring gene expression levels. Through RNA sequencing analysis, the expression of long-stranded non-coding RNAs and messenger RNAs can be discovered, further helping to reveal the regulatory mechanisms and functions of genes. In actual production, neurons in the brain that control metabolism are connected to reproductive neurons, and factors such as photoperiod, dietary structure, and living environment affect carcass metabolism. Metabolic status influences reproductive status, and differentially expressed genes are activated in multiple pathways, including metabolism, feeding, neurobehavioral, and gustatory sensation. Further functional validation using RNA-Seq can provide insight into the mechanisms by which the hypothalamicpituitary-gonadal axis regulates reproduction in ruminants such as cattle and sheep. The ability of RNA-seq to detect genes expressed at low levels, identify novel gene transcripts, and variable splicing events can effectively reveal differences in gene expression levels in reproductive breeding and provide useful insights into the genetic background and growth regulation of ruminants.

#### 3.6 Application in diseases

Small ruminant lentiviruses (SRLVs) are important pathogens infecting sheep and goats. SRLV infection interferes with innate and acquired host immunity, and the genes associated with resistance and susceptibility to SRLV infection are not fully understood. Olech M et al. (82) used RNA-seq to compare transcriptome changes in SRLVinfected goats and found that a total of 1,434 differentially expressed genes were shown to be involved in immune response, cell cycle regulation, cellular metabolism, and cellular defense mechanisms following SRLV infection. Due to the increasing level of antibiotic resistance in Mycoplasma isolates in recent years and the lack of cell walls in Mycoplasma, it has caused great difficulties in cultivation. Shi et al. (83) used Mycoplasma pneumoniae strain N M-151 as a test strain and collected samples from three growth periods of the strain (logarithmic phase, stationary phase, and decline phase) for RNA-seq analysis, thus solving the problem of the difficult culture of Mycoplasma pneumoniae in sheep. The optimization of the culture medium was proposed by gene expression level and KEGG enrichment analysis (the ratios of the modified medium: PPLO broth powder 21 g/L, 250 mL/L fermentation bean extract 10 mL/L, glucose 1 g/L, HEPES 5.76 g/L, 4 g/L phenol red 2.5 mL/L, sodium pyruvate at a final concentration of 1 g/L, 30 mg/L serine, 100 U/mL penicillin, erculosis is an important pathogen that infects cattle by aerosol transmission and can form foci characterized by tuberculous granulomas of tissues and organs with caseous, calcific necrosis. and inactivated horse serum 200 mL/L), and culture tests were performed to verify the validity and practicability of RNA-seq. Bovine tuberculosis, caused by Mycobacterium bovis, is a significant zoonotic pathogen transmitted via aerosols in cattle. Infection manifests

pathologically through the formation of tuberculous granulomas featuring caseous necrosis and tissue calcification. During host response stratification, the chemokine gene CXCL8 emerges as a central mediator of immune reactions to mycobacterial infection. Transcriptomic profiling using the *edgeR* statistical model identified CXCL8 among significantly upregulated genes. Key findings demonstrate: In 3D bovine lung models, CXCL8 transcription increased >600-fold (p < 0.001) following high-virulence *M. bovis* challenge. This chemokine drives neutrophil chemotaxis and activates type I interferon signaling. CXCL8 integrates into early inflammatory networks during infection establishment. Notably, persistent CXCL8 overexpression in peripheral blood of infected cattle forms a stable diagnostic signature, validated as a biomarker suite for tuberculosis surveillance (84, 85). Fang Lichun (86) also used RNA Seq technology to reveal the diversity of physiological status of bovine tuberculosis under different infection conditions, and screened and verified that IL-8, LTA, CRP, BCL2 and CHI3L1 are molecular markers of bovine tuberculosis with diagnostic value, providing a new target for early diagnosis, disease monitoring and treatment of tuberculosis. Itchy is a neurodegenerative disease that belongs to the category of transmissible spongiform encephalopathy (TSE). In the study of infectious itch disease, the function of PRNP gene is closely related to signal pathways such as calcium signaling and cAMP signaling, as well as methylation abnormalities of cell type specific genes, suggesting that it may affect pathological processes through epigenetic regulation of neuronal function and metabolic pathways. Meanwhile, the PRNP gene can regulate the immune response and cell communication related gene expression of peripheral immune tissues (such as retropharyngeal lymph nodes), affecting the susceptibility of sheep to itch disease. Among them, the resistance genotype (R1) may form an early defense barrier by enhancing local immune function (87, 88). In disease applications, RNA-seq technology provides an important tool for studying the mechanisms of ruminant pathogenesis and the plasticity of differential levels of gene expression. Transcriptomic data have an irreplaceable role in targeting the function of ruminant cellular effector molecules and their specificity during addition and inflammatory responses to disease. Analysis of the host transcriptome allows identification of genes associated with ruminant infections and development of potential biomarkers. In addition, RNA-seq helps to resolve immunobiological pathways of infection in cattle and sheep herds, identifying differentially expressed genes and discovering key processes and signaling pathways associated with disease infection. In addition, RNA-seq can reveal host-pathogen interactions and help to understand the immunobiology of the infection process. In the future, with advances in technology and improved methods of analyzing data, RNA-seq could help to identify cattle and sheep with lower viral loads in order to reduce viral transmission and, ultimately, improve the health of animals in affected populations.

### 4 Conclusion and Prospect

Transcriptome sequencing technology is a standard method for selective splicing analysis and provides an effective means to study the relationship between transcriptional regulatory networks and traits. Currently, RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) technology is extensively applied across diverse areas of ruminant research, including meat quality improvement, genetic breeding, and disease prevention and control. By analyzing the expression patterns of key genes (Table 3), we can achieve a more

Gene symbol	Species	Main trait associations	Key transcriptomic findings	Common methods	References
HSP	Cattle, Sheep, Goat	Thermotolerance, Stress Response	Upregulated under heat stress	Short-read (RNA-seq)	(41, 42)
SLC2A4	Cattle, Sheep	Glucose uptake (Muscle/Mammary)	Insulin-responsive glucose transporter, upregulated during acute heat stress	Short-read (RNA-seq)	(43)
PPARG	Cattle, Sheep	Adipogenesis, Marbling	Master regulator of adipocyte differentiation; upregulated in marbled muscle	Short-read (RNA-seq)	(60, 61)
SCD1	Cattle, Goat, Sheep	Milk FA desaturation	Converts SFA to UFA; highly expressed in high-oleic milk	Short-read (RNA-seq)	(60, 62)
FABP4	Cattle, Sheep	Intramuscular fat (IMF)	Fatty acid transporter; biomarker for IMF deposition	Short-read (RNA-seq)	(65, 66)
LEP	Cattle, Sheep	Feed efficiency, Energy balance	Correlates with metabolic status; lower expression in high-efficiency animals	Short-read (RNA-seq)	(65, 67, 68)
DGAT1	Cattle, Goat	Milk fat content, Yield	Rate-limiting enzyme for triglyceride synthesis; positively correlates with milk fat	Short-read (RNA-seq)	(70, 71)
GHR	Cattle	Milk yield, Growth	Mediates growth hormone effects; expression linked to lactation efficiency	Short-read (RNA-seq)	(72, 73)
CXCL8	Cattle, Sheep	Tuberculosis, Immune response	Pro-inflammatory chemokine; activates neutrophil/ macrophage bactericidal activity	Short/Long-read	(84, 85)
PRNP	Sheep, Goat	Scrapie resistance/ susceptibility	Differential expression between resistant/susceptible genotypes; long-read resolves isoforms	Long-read (Isoform analysis)	(87, 88)

TABLE 3 Key genes in ruminant transcriptomics studies.

comprehensive understanding of the molecular regulatory mechanisms governing economically important traits in ruminants. RNA-seq is more versatile, focusing on the analysis of functional gene expression and the ability to model changes in disease-inducing variants, and is the link between genomic and proteomic research, with the amount of information generated by the technology allowing for a closer association of genes and traits. Although RNA-seq can unravel fundamental questions such as potential candidate motifs for many ruminants, it is still in the developmental stage and has many challenges to face. Issues such as higher costs, complex data processing, and possible biological bias. Research in the face of RNA-seq requires comprehensive consideration of a variety of factors, including experimental design, sequencing depth, and data analysis methods. In addition, RNA-seq technology itself has certain limitations and errors, such as the relatively complex process of analyzing data extracted from meat, which requires a high level of technical support in bioinformatics, as well as the presence of data errors and noise, and requires more time and resource investment for the processing and interpretation of large-scale data. Therefore, the results need to be treated with caution and validated with other experimental evidence when conducting relevant studies. In recent years, the emerging integration of single-cell and spatial transcriptomics has great potential for clinical applications, study of disease mechanisms, and development of precision therapies. RNA-Seq technology can identify new therapeutic targets in diseases and can determine the spatial localization of rare cell types and cell subpopulations that emerge during diseases. The large amount of data and bioinformatics analysis can be used to mine more potential targets, better characterize the transcription of different cell types in biological tissues, and reveal the heterogeneity of gene expression among cells. The more mature development of RNA-seq in ruminants has not only deepened our understanding of the regulation of gene expression but also provided new perspectives and methods for disease diagnosis and treatment.

#### Author contributions

CY: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. SL: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. WD: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. LZ: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. ZX: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. JZ: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. ZY: Writing – original draft,

#### References

1. Li G, Yang R, Lu X, Liu Y, He W, Li Y, et al. RNA-seq analysis identifies differentially expressed genes in the longissimus dorsi of wagyu and Chinese red steppe cattle. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2022) 24:387. doi: 10.3390/ijms24010387

2. Stark R, Grzelak M, Hadfield J. RNA sequencing: the teenage years. *Nat Rev Genet.* (2019) 20:631–56. doi: 10.1038/s41576-019-0150-2

3. Fu W, Wang R, Nanaei HA, Wang J, Hu D, Jiang Y. RGD v2.0: a major update of the ruminant functional and evolutionary genomics database. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2022) 50:D1091–9. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkab887

 Cui K, Wu WW, Diao YQ. Progress in research and application of transcriptome sequencing technology. *Biotechnol Bull.* (2019) 35:1–9. doi: 10.13560/j.cnki.biotech.bull.1985.2019-0374 Writing – review & editing. XH: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. WX: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

## Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. Inner Mongolia Natural Science Foundation (2021 LHMS03008). Multidisciplinary Interdisciplinary Research Project of Basic Scientific Research Operating Expenses for Colleges and Universities directly under Inner Mongolia (GXKY22003).

### Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Wang Xueli from Inner Mongolia University for Nationalities, Tongliao City, Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region, China, for his training, patience, and responsibility, which enabled me to complete my thesis successfully. I would also like to thank my lab brothers and sisters for their help and encouragement.

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

### **Generative AI statement**

The author(s) declare that no Gen AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

5. Seo M, Kim K, Yoon J, Jeong JY, Lee HJ, Cho S, et al. RNA-seq analysis for detecting quantitative trait-associated genes. *Sci Rep.* (2016) 6:24375. doi: 10.1038/srep24375

6. Li J, Varghese RS, Ressom HW. RNA-Seq Data Analysis. *Methods Mol Biol.* (2024) 2822:263–90. doi: 10.1007/978-1-0716-3918-4\_18

7. Yang YX, Ji WH, Fu W, Lan DL. Progress of transcriptome sequencing and its application in ruminants. *Chin J Anim Husb.* (2023) 59:75–81. doi: 10.19556/j.0258-7033.20220922-04

8. Shumate A, Wong B, Pertea G, Pertea M. Improved transcriptome assembly using a hybrid of long and short reads with StringTie. *PLoS Comput Biol.* (2022) 18:e1009730. doi: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1009730

9. Wang M, Li Y, Wang J, Oh SH, Cao Y, Chen R. Integrating short-read and long-read single-cell RNA sequencing for comprehensive transcriptome profiling in mouse retina. *Genome Res.* (2025) 35:740–54. doi: 10.1101/gr.279167.124

10. Haque A, Engel J, Teichmann SA, Lönnberg T. A practical guide to single-cell RNA-sequencing for biomedical research and clinical applications. *Genome Med.* (2017) 9:75. doi: 10.1186/s13073-017-0467-4

11. González-Silva L, Quevedo L, Varela I. Tumor functional heterogeneity unraveled by scRNA-seq technologies. *Trends Cancer.* (2020) 6:13–9. doi: 10.1016/j.trecan.2019.11.010

12. Luo G, Gao Q, Zhang S, Yan B. Probing infectious disease by single-cell RNA sequencing: progresses and perspectives. *Comput Struct Biotechnol J.* (2020) 18:2962–71. doi: 10.1016/j.csbj.2020.10.016

13. Picelli S. Single-cell RNA-sequencing: the future of genome biology is now. RNA Biol. (2017) 14:637–50. doi: 10.1080/15476286.2016.1201618

14. Du J, Yang YC, An ZJ, Zhang MH, Fu XH, Huang ZF, et al. Advances in spatial transcriptomics and related data analysis strategies. *J Transl Med*. (2023) 21:330. doi: 10.1186/s12967-023-04150-2

15. Saviano A, Henderson NC, Baumert TF. Single-cell genomics and spatial transcriptomics: discovery of novel cell states and cellular interactions in liver physiology and disease biology. *J Hepatol.* (2020) 73:1219–30. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2020.06.004

16. Lewis SM, Asselin-Labat ML, Nguyen Q, Berthelet J, Tan X, Wimmer VC, et al. Spatial omics and multiplexed imaging to explore cancer biology. *Nat Methods*. (2021) 18:997–1012. doi: 10.1038/s41592-021-01203-6

17. Liu S, Iorgulescu JB, Li S, Borji M, Barrera-Lopez IA, Shanmugam V, et al. Spatial maps of T cell receptors and transcriptomes reveal distinct immune niches and interactions in the adaptive immune response. *Immunity*. (2022) 55:1940–1952.e5. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2022.09.002

18. An J, Guo K, Hu P. Advancements in the application of RNA sequencing for genetic disorder diagnosis. *Zhonghua Yi Xue Yi Chuan Xue Za Zhi*. (2025) 42:238–43. doi: 10.3760/cma.j.cn511374-20240821-00452

19. Richards S, Aziz N, Bale S, Bick D, Das S, Gastier-Foster J, et al. Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants: a joint consensus recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology. *Genet Med.* (2015) 17:405–24. doi: 10.1038/gim.2015.30

20. Anna A, Monika G. Splicing mutations in human genetic disorders: examples, detection, and confirmation. *J Appl Genet.* (2018) 59:253–68. doi: 10.1007/s13353-018-0444-7

21. Frésard L, Smail C, Ferraro NM, Teran NA, Li X, Smith KS, et al. Identification of rare-disease genes using blood transcriptome sequencing and large control cohorts. *Nat Med.* (2019) 25:911–9. doi: 10.1038/s41591-019-0457-8

22. Benayed R, Offin M, Mullaney K, Sukhadia P, Rios K, Desmeules P, et al. High yield of RNA sequencing for targetable kinase fusions in lung adenocarcinomas with no Mitogenic driver alteration detected by DNA sequencing and low tumor mutation burden. *Clin Cancer Res.* (2019) 25:4712–22. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-19-0225

23. Wu H, Wang C, Wu S. Single-cell sequencing for drug discovery and drug development. *Curr Top Med Chem.* (2017) 17:1769–77. doi: 10.2174/1568026617666161116145358

24. Grassi L, Harris C, Zhu J, Hatton D, Dunn S. Next-generation sequencing: a powerful multi-purpose tool in cell line development for biologics production. *Comput Struct Biotechnol J.* (2025) 27:1511–7. doi: 10.1016/j.csbj.2025.04.006

25. Wright C, Groot J, Swahn S, McLaughlin H, Liu M, Xu C, et al. Genetic mutation analysis at early stages of cell line development using next generation sequencing. *Biotechnol Prog.* (2016) 32:813–7. doi: 10.1002/btpr.2263

26. Vito D, Eriksen JC, Skjødt C, Weilguny D, Rasmussen SK, Smales CM. Defining lncRNAs correlated with CHO cell growth and IgG productivity by RNA-seq. *iScience*. (2020) 23:100785. doi: 10.1016/j.isci.2019.100785

27. Sullivan DK, Min KHJ, Hjörleifsson KE, Luebbert L, Holley G, Moses L, et al. Kallisto, bustools and kb-python for quantifying bulk, single-cell and single-nucleus RNA-seq. *Nat Protoc.* (2025) 20:587–607. doi: 10.1038/s41596-024-01057-0

28. Aibar S, González-Blas CB, Moerman T, Huynh-Thu VA, Imrichova H, Hulselmans G, et al. SCENIC: single-cell regulatory network inference and clustering. *Nat Methods.* (2017) 14:1083–6. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.4463

29. Herzog VA, Reichholf B, Neumann T, Rescheneder P, Bhat P, Burkard TR, et al. Thiol-linked alkylation of RNA to assess expression dynamics. *Nat Methods*. (2017) 14:1198–204. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.4435

30. Erhard F, Baptista MAP, Krammer T, Hennig T, Lange M, Arampatzi P, et al. scSLAM-seq reveals core features of transcription dynamics in single cells. *Nature*. (2019) 571:419–23. doi: 10.1038/s41586-019-1369-y

31. Battich N, Beumer J, de Barbanson B, Krenning L, Baron CS, Tanenbaum ME, et al. Sequencing metabolically labeled transcripts in single cells reveals mRNA turnover strategies. *Science*. (2020) 367:1151–6. doi: 10.1126/science.aax3072

32. Bowling S, Sritharan D, Osorio FG, Nguyen M, Cheung P, Rodriguez-Fraticelli A, et al. An engineered CRISPR-Cas9 mouse line for simultaneous readout of lineage histories and gene expression profiles in single cells. *Cell*. (2020) 181:1410–1422.e27. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2020.04.048

33. Wu X, Yang X, Dai Y, Zhao Z, Zhu J, Guo H, et al. Single-cell sequencing to multiomics: technologies and applications. *Biomark Res.* (2024) 12:110. doi: 10.1186/s40364-024-00643-4

34. Song Y, Zhang Q, Shi J, Fu L, Cheng S. Screening of genes related to growth, development and meat quality of Sahan crossbred F1 sheep based on RNA-Seq technology. *Front Vet Sci.* (2022) 9:831519. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2022.831519

35. Dang Y, Dong Q, Wu B, Yang S, Sun J, Cui G, et al. Global landscape of m6A methylation of differently expressed genes in muscle tissue of Liaoyu white cattle and Simmental cattle. *Front Cell Dev Biol.* (2022) 10:840513. doi: 10.3389/fcell.2022.840513

36. Huang C, Dai R, Meng G, Dingkao R, Wang X, Ren W, et al. Transcriptome-wide study of mRNAs and lncRNAs modified by m6A RNA methylation in the longissimus Dorsi muscle development of cattle-yak. *Cells.* (2022) 11:3654. doi: 10.3390/cells11223654

37. Zou J, Shen Y, Zou J, Yu J, Jiang Y, Huang Y, et al. Transcriptome-wide study revealed that N6-Methyladenosine participates in regulation meat production in goats. *Food Secur.* (2023) 12:1159. doi: 10.3390/foods12061159

38. Shirley AK, Thomson PC, Chlingaryan A, Clark CEF. Review: ruminant heatstress terminology. Animal. (2024) 18:101267. doi: 10.1016/j.animal.2024.101267

39. Abdelnour SA, Abd El-Hack ME, Khafaga AF, Arif M, Taha AE, Noreldin AE. Stress biomarkers and proteomics alteration to thermal stress in ruminants: a review. *J Therm Biol.* (2019) 79:120–34. doi: 10.1016/j.jtherbio.2018.12.013

40. Tarantino R, Chiu LLY, Weber JF, Yat Tse M, Bardana DD, Pang SC, et al. Effect of nutrient metabolism on cartilaginous tissue formation. *Biotechnol Bioeng.* (2021) 118:4119–28. doi: 10.1002/bit.27888

41. Naldurtiker A, Batchu P, Kouakou B, Terrill TH, Shaik A, Kannan G. RNA-Seq exploration of the influence of stress on meat quality in Spanish goats. *Sci Rep.* (2022) 12:20573. doi: 10.1038/s41598-022-23269-8

42. Sengar GS, Deb R, Singh U, Raja TV, Kant R, Sajjanar B, et al. Differential expression of microRNAs associated with thermal stress in Frieswal (*Bos taurus x Bos indicus*) crossbred dairy cattle. *Cell Stress Chaperones.* (2018) 23:155–70. doi: 10.1007/s12192-017-0833-6

43. Sammad A, Luo H, Hu L, Zhu H, Wang Y. Transcriptome reveals granulosa cells coping through redox, inflammatory and metabolic mechanisms under acute heat stress. *Cells.* (2022) 11:1443. doi: 10.3390/cells11091443

44. Chen H, Peng T, Shang H, Shang X, Zhao X, Qu M, et al. RNA-Seq analysis reveals the potential molecular mechanisms of Puerarin on intramuscular fat deposition in heat-stressed beef cattle. *Front Nutr.* (2022) 9:817557. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2022.817557

45. Paschal JC, Jones AL. Physical evaluation of beef bulls. *Vet Clin North Am Food Anim Pract.* (2024) 40:11–8. doi: 10.1016/j.cvfa.2023.09.001

46. Zhong D, Huang K, Zhang L, Cai Y, Li H, Liu Q, et al. Circ2388 regulates myogenesis and muscle regeneration. *Cell Tissue Res.* (2023) 393:149-61. doi: 10.1007/s00441-023-03787-1

47. Wu X, Zhou X, Chu M, Guo X, Pei J, Xiong L, et al. Whole transcriptome analyses and comparison reveal the metabolic differences between oxidative and glycolytic skeletal muscles of yak. *Meat Sci.* (2022) 194:108948. doi: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2022.108948

48. Zhao Z, Guo D, Wei Y, Li J, Jia X, Niu Y, et al. Integrative ATAC-seq and RNA-seq analysis of the longissimus Dorsi muscle of Gannan yak and Jeryak. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2024) 25:6029. doi: 10.3390/ijms25116029

49. Ma X, Guo X, La Y, Wu X, Chu M, Bao P, et al. Integrative analysis of proteomics and transcriptomics of longissimus dorsi with different feeding Systems in Yaks. *Food Secur.* (2023) 12:257. doi: 10.3390/foods12020257

 $50.\,{\rm Li}$  J, Chen Z, Bai Y, Wei Y, Guo D, Liu Z, et al. Integration of ATAC-seq and RNA-seq analysis to identify key genes in the longissimus dorsi muscle development of the Tianzhu white yak. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2023) 25:158. doi: 10.3390/ijms25010158

51. Su Y, He S, Chen Q, Zhang H, Huang C, Zhao Q, et al. Integrative ATAC-seq and RNA-seq analysis of myogenic differentiation of ovine skeletal muscle satellite cell. *Genomics*. (2024) 116:110851. doi: 10.1016/j.ygeno.2024.110851

52. Leal-Gutiérrez JD, Elzo MA, Carr C, Mateescu RG. RNA-seq analysis identifies cytoskeletal structural genes and pathways for meat quality in beef. *PLoS One.* (2020) 15:e0240895. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0240895

53. Ye J, Zhao X, Xue H, Zou X, Liu G, Deng M, et al. RNA-Seq reveals miRNA and mRNA co-regulate muscle differentiation in fetal Leizhou goats. *Front Vet Sci.* (2022) 9:829769. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2022.829769

54. Lei Z, Wu H, Xiong Y, Wei D, Wang X, Luoreng Z, et al. ncRNAs regulate bovine adipose tissue deposition. *Mol Cell Biochem.* (2021) 476:2837–45. doi: 10.1007/s11010-021-04132-2

55. Ladeira MM, Schoonmaker JP, Swanson KC, Duckett SK, Gionbelli MP, Rodrigues LM, et al. Review: nutrigenomics of marbling and fatty acid profile in ruminant meat. *Animal.* (2018) 12:s282–94. doi: 10.1017/S1751731118001933

56. Bakhtiarizadeh MR, Alamouti AA. RNA-Seq based genetic variant discovery provides new insights into controlling fat deposition in the tail of sheep. *Sci Rep.* (2020) 10:13525. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-70527-8

57. Feng X, Pan C, Liu S, Hu H, Ma Y. Identification of core genes affecting IMF deposition in bovine. *Anim Biotechnol.* (2023) 34:2887–99. doi: 10.1080/10495398.2022.2124167

58. Tang Y, Zhang W, Wang Y, Li H, Zhang C, Wang Y, et al. Expression variation of CPT1A induces lipid reconstruction in goat intramuscular precursor adipocytes. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2023) 24:13415. doi: 10.3390/ijms241713415

59. Liu X, Zhu Y, Zhan S, Zhong T, Guo J, Cao J, et al. RNA-Seq reveals miRNA role in thermogenic regulation in brown adipose tissues of goats. *BMC Genomics*. (2022) 23:186. doi: 10.1186/s12864-022-08401-2

60. Xia H, Li F, Peng L, Du Y, Hua G, Yang L, et al. Over-expression of two different isoforms of cattle TUSC5 showed opposite effects on Adipogenesis. *Genes (Basel)*. (2022) 13:1444. doi: 10.3390/genes13081444

61. Zhang W, Raza SHA, Li B, Yang W, Khan R, Aloufi BH, et al. LncBNIP3 inhibits bovine intramuscular Preadipocyte differentiation via the PI3K-Akt and PPAR signaling pathways. J Agric Food Chem. (2024) 72:24260–71. doi: 10.1021/acs.jafc.4c05383

62. Xiong L, Pei J, Bao P, Wang X, Guo S, Cao M, et al. The effect of the feeding system on fat deposition in yak subcutaneous fat. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2023) 24:7381. doi: 10.3390/ijms24087381

63. Wang X, Liang C, Li A, Cheng G, Long F, Khan R, et al. RNA-Seq and lipidomics reveal different adipogenic processes between bovine perirenal and intramuscular adipocytes. *Adipocytes*. (2022) 11:448–62. doi: 10.1080/21623945.2022.2106051

64. Huang J, Feng X, Zhu R, Guo D, Wei Y, Cao X, et al. Comparative transcriptome analysis reveals that PCK1 is a potential gene affecting IMF deposition in buffalo. *BMC Genomics*. (2020) 21:710. doi: 10.1186/s12864-020-07120-w

65. Liu R, Liu X, Bai X, Xiao C, Dong Y. Different expression of lipid metabolismrelated genes in Shandong black cattle and Luxi cattle based on transcriptome analysis. *Sci Rep.* (2020) 10:21915. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-79086-4

66. Wang S, Liu J, Zhao W, Wang G, Gao S. Selection of candidate genes for differences in fat metabolism between cattle subcutaneous and perirenal adipose tissue based on RNA-seq. *Anim Biotechnol.* (2023) 34:633–44. doi: 10.1080/10495398.2021.1991937

67. Reis IA, Baldassini WA, Ramírez-Zamudio GD, de Farias IMSC, Chiaratti MR, Pereira Junior S, et al. Muscle tissue transcriptome of F1 Angus-Nellore bulls and steers feedlot finished: impacts on intramuscular fat deposition. *BMC Genomics*. (2024) 25:1178. doi: 10.1186/s12864-024-11066-8

68. Piantoni P, Bionaz M, Graugnard DE, Daniels KM, Everts RE, Rodriguez-Zas SL, et al. Functional and gene network analyses of transcriptional signatures characterizing pre-weaned bovine mammary parenchyma or fat pad uncovered novel inter-tissue signaling networks during development. *BMC Genomics.* (2010) 11:331. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-11-331

69. Zhang B, Sun Z, Yu Z, Li H, Luo H, Wang B. Transcriptome and targeted metabolome analysis provide insights into bile acids' new roles and mechanisms on fat deposition and meat quality in lamb. *Food Res Int.* (2022) 162:111941. doi: 10.1016/j.foodres.2022.111941

70. Yao DW, Ma J, Yang CL, Chen LL, He QY, Coleman DN, et al. Phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN) suppresses triacylglycerol accumulation and monounsaturated fatty acid synthesis in goat mammary epithelial cells. *J Dairy Sci.* (2021) 104:7283–94. doi: 10.3168/jds.2020-18784

71. Hosseinzadeh S, Rafat SA, Javanmard A, Fang L. Identification of candidate genes associated with milk production and mastitis based on transcriptome-wide association study. *Anim Genet.* (2024) 55:430–9. doi: 10.1111/age.13422

72. Banos G, Clark EL, Bush SJ, Dutta P, Bramis G, Arsenos G, et al. Genetic and genomic analyses underpin the feasibility of concomitant genetic improvement of milk yield and mastitis resistance in dairy sheep. *PLoS One.* (2019) 14:e0214346. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0214346

73. Laporta J, Astessiano AL, López-Mazz C, Soca P, Espasandin AC, Carriquiry M. Effects of herbage allowance of native grasslands in purebred and crossbred beef cows: metabolic, endocrine and hepatic gene expression profiles through the gestation-lactation cycle. *Animal.* (2014) 8:1119–29. doi: 10.1017/S1751731114000986

74. Zheng X, Ning C, Zhao P, Feng W, Jin Y, Zhou L, et al. Integrated analysis of long noncoding RNA and mRNA expression profiles reveals the potential role of long noncoding RNA in different bovine lactation stages. *J Dairy Sci.* (2018) 101:11061–73. doi: 10.3168/jds.2018-14900

75. Chen YD, Zhang Y, Yang J. Mining of functionally important genes for milk production traits in dairy cows based on transcriptome sequencing. *Biotechnol Bull.* (2020) 36:244–52. doi: 10.13560/j.cnki.biotech.bull.1985.2020-0255

76. Wang Y, Liang Y, Xia Y, Wang M, Zhang H, Li M, et al. Identification and characterization of long non-coding RNAs in mammary gland tissues of Chinese Holstein cows. J Anim Sci. (2024) 102:skae128. doi: 10.1093/jas/skae128

77. Song N, Ma C, Guo Y, Cui S, Chen S, Chen Z, et al. Identifying differentially expressed genes in goat mammary epithelial cells induced by overexpression of SOCS3 gene using RNA sequencing. *Front Vet Sci.* (2024) 11:1392152. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2024.1392152

78. Farhadian M, Rafat SA, Panahi B, Ebrahimie E. Transcriptome signature of two lactation stages in Ghezel sheep identifies using RNA-sequencing. *Anim Biotechnol.* (2022) 33:223–33. doi: 10.1080/10495398.2020.1784185

79. Wan Z, Yang H, Cai Y, Ma J, Cheng P, Wang Z, et al. Comparative transcriptomic analysis of Hu sheep pituitary gland prolificacy at the follicular and luteal phases. *Genes* (*Basel*). (2022) 13:440. doi: 10.3390/genes13030440

80. Lu X, Arbab AAI, Zhang Z, Fan Y, Han Z, Gao Q, et al. Comparative transcriptomic analysis of the pituitary gland between cattle breeds differing in growth: Yunling cattle and Leiqiong cattle. *Animals (Basel)*. (2020) 10:1271. doi: 10.3390/ani10081271

81. Huang Y, Yuan C, Zhao Y, Li C, Cao M, Li H, et al. Identification and regulatory network analysis of genes related to reproductive performance in the hypothalamus and pituitary of Angus cattle. *Genes (Basel)*. (2022) 13:965. doi: 10.3390/genes13060965

82. Olech M, Ropka-Molik K, Szmatoła T, Piórkowska K, Kuźmak J. Transcriptome analysis for genes associated with small ruminant lentiviruses infection in goats of Carpathian breed. *Viruses*. (2021) 13:2054. doi: 10.3390/v13102054

83. Shi XN, Wang XH, Gao Y. A preliminary study on the optimisation of culture medium for *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* in sheep based on transcriptome sequencing results. *China Vet Sci.* (2018) 48:62–8. doi: 10.16656/j.issn.1673-4696.2018.0010

84. McLoughlin KE, Correia CN, Browne JA, Magee DA, Nalpas NC, Rue-Albrecht K, et al. RNA-seq transcriptome analysis of peripheral blood from cattle infected with *Mycobacterium bovis* across an experimental time course. *Front Vet Sci.* (2021) 8:662002. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2021.662002

85. Bhaskar V, Kumar R, Praharaj MR, Gandham S, Maity HK, Sarkar U, et al. A bovine pulmosphere model and multiomics reveal early host response signature in tuberculosis. *Commun Biol.* (2025) 8:559. doi: 10.1038/s42003-025-07883-6

86. Fang LC. Screening and validation of molecular markers of bovine tuberculosis based on RNA Seq technology [D]. *Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences*, (2021). doi: 10.27630/d.cnki.gznky.2020.000046

87. Hernaiz A, Sanz A, Sentre S, Ranera B, Lopez-Pérez O, Zaragoza P, et al. Genomewide methylation profiling in the thalamus of scrapie sheep. *Front Vet Sci.* (2022) 9:824677. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2022.824677

88. Komolka K, Ponsuksili S, Schwerin M. Healthy sheep that differ in scrapie associated PRNP genotypes exhibit significant differences of expression pattern associated with immune response and cell-to-cell signalling in retropharyngeal lymph nodes. *Vet Immunol Immunopathol.* (2013) 152:370–80. doi: 10.1016/j.vetimm.2013.01.014

Glossary	LC-MS/MS - Liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry
ASE - allele-specific expression	LCFA - long chain fatty acids
ACMG - American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics	IncRNA - Long stranded noncoding RNAs
AMPK - AMP-activated protein kinase	LCFA - long-chain fatty acids
BF - biceps femoris	MFP - mammary fat pad
<b>CPT1A</b> - carnitine palmitoyltransferase 1A	miRNA - microRNA
DGAT1 - diacylglycerol O-acyltransferase 1	NMD - nonsense-mediated decay
DEA - differential expression analysis	PAR - parenchyma
<b>DEGs</b> - differentially expressed genes	PLIN1 - perilipin 1
<b>DE</b> - differentially expressed	PRA - perirenal adipocytes
EU - ethynyluridine	<b>PPARG</b> - Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptor Gamma
EOA - external abdominal oblique	$\ensuremath{\textbf{PPAR}}\ensuremath{\gamma}$ - Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptor $\ensuremath{\gamma}$
FDR - false discovery rate	<b>PPAR</b> - peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor
FABP4 - fatty acid-binding protein 4	PCK1 - phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase 1
GOI - gene of interest	PCA - principal component analysis
GO - Gene Ontology	<b>qRT-PCR</b> - Quantitative Real-time polymerase chain reaction
GLUT4 - glucosetransporter4	QTL - quantitative trait locus
GMEC - goat mammary epithelial cells	SNPs - single nucleotide polymorphisms
GHR - growth hormone receptor	SNV - Single Nucleotide Variation
HSP - heat shock protein	scRNA-seq - single-cell transcriptome sequencing
HVGs - highly variable genes	SMSCs - skeletal muscle satellite cells
<b>ISH</b> - <i>In situ</i> hybridization	SRLV - Small ruminant lentiviruses
ISS - In situ sequencing	SOCS3 - suppressor of cytokine signaling 3
InDel - Insertion-Deletion	t-SNE - t-distributed Stochastic Neighbor Embedding
IGF1 - insulin-like growth factor 1	RNA-seq - transcriptome sequencing
IMA - intramuscular adipocytes	TSE - transmissible spongiform encephalopathy
IMF - intramuscular fat	UMAP - Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection
KEGG - Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes	<b>VUS</b> - Variants of Unknown Significance
LEP - leptin	WB - Western blot
LIPE - lipase E	WAT - white adipose tissue