Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY He Zhang, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, China

#### REVIEWED BY

Shuhan Xiang, Changde Vocational Technical College, China Matheus Ramalho De Lima, Federal University Rural Semi-Arid, Brazil

\*CORRESPONDENCE Seyed Davood Sharifi ⊠ sdsharifi@ut.ac.ir

RECEIVED 16 January 2025 ACCEPTED 20 March 2025 PUBLISHED 24 April 2025

#### CITATION

Mohiti-Asli M, Ghanaatparast-Rashti M, Sharifi SD, Rouhanipour H and Akbarian P (2025) Effects of lysophospholipid supplementation in diets with different oil sources on broiler performance under heat stress. *Front. Vet. Sci.* 12:1561679.

doi: 10.3389/fvets.2025.1561679

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Mohiti-Asli, Ghanaatparast-Rashti, Sharifi, Rouhanipour and Akbarian. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Effects of lysophospholipid supplementation in diets with different oil sources on broiler performance under heat stress

Maziar Mohiti-Asli<sup>1</sup>, Moin Ghanaatparast-Rashti<sup>1</sup>, Seyed Davood Sharifi<sup>2</sup>\*, Hasan Rouhanipour<sup>2</sup> and Pooya Akbarian<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences, University of Guilan, Rasht, Iran, <sup>2</sup>Department of Animal and Poultry Science, Faculty of Agricultural Technology, University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran, <sup>3</sup>Pathway Intermediates, Seoul, Republic of Korea

**Introduction:** Feed cost has gradually increased in poultry production worldwide. One approach to minimizing production cost is dietary manipulation of nutrient supplies through improved feed efficiency. The inclusion of lipids in broiler diets is essential to meet metabolisable energy requirement. This study aimed to investigate the effects of adding lysophospholipids (LP) to diets varying in sources and levels of vegetable oils on growth performance, ileal digestibility of nutrients, and serum lipids of heat-stressed broilers.

**Methods:** A total of 320 Ross 308 broiler chicks were used in a completely randomized design with a  $2 \times 2 \times 2$  factorial arrangement of two oil sources (soybean oil; SO, and palm oil; PO), two levels of oil (3 and 6%), and two levels of LP (0 and 100 mg/kg), with four replicates and 10 birds in each replicate. From 28 to 42 days of age, broilers were exposed to  $36^{\circ}$ C for 5 h everyday.

**Results:** Supplementation of LP to broilers diet increased BWG from 1 to 21 d of age and for the whole period (p < 0.05). Broilers fed diet containing 6% oil with LP had lower FI from d 22 to 42 d, and better FCR from 22 to 42 d of age and over the entire period (p < 0.05). Apparent ileal digestibility (AID) of EE, and AIDE was higher in diets containing 6% oil than diets with 3% oil (p < 0.05). The AID of EE, and AIDE were higher in broilers fed LP in the diets containing 6% oil (p < 0.05). The LP supplementation to diets reduced serum TG, total cholesterol, and LDL-C, whereas enhanced HDL-C concentrations at 42 d of age (p < 0.05).

**Conclusion:** It was concluded that broilers fed diets containing SO performed better, and LP supplementation enhanced the performance of broilers fed diets containing higher levels of oils.

#### KEYWORDS

broilers, growth performance, lysolecithin, nutrient digestibility, vegetable oil

## Highlights

- Broiler chickens fed soybean oil had better weight gain, feed intake, and feed conversion ratio than those fed palm oil.
- Dietary emulsifiers enhance nutrient digestion, and growth performance, particularly in the early stages of rearing.

- Lysophospholipid emulsifiers enhance growth performance and nutrient digestibility in broilers chickens, especially under challenging conditions like heat stress.
- Lysophospholipid emulsifiers benefit broiler chickens' growth, and nutrient digestion, under heat stress condition.

#### **1** Introduction

Hot climate is a worldwide problem that causes poor performance and loss of economic benefits, particularly after the third or fourth week of age, Especially Heat stress (1). Heat stress represents a challenge to poultry production worldwide and has results in behavioral and physiological changes in poultry and has negative effects on health, productivity and product quality (2). The adverse effects of heat stress on poultry can be briefly described as reduced feed intake (3), which is the major reason of decreased weight gain, increased mortality, reduced fertility and hatchability, alteration of the electrolyte balance and blood pH, impaired secretion and endogenous enzymes activity, decreased serum concentrations of tri-iodothyronine (T3) and tetra-iodothyronine (T4), suppressed immune function and decreased intestine absorbing capacity. Various methods have been established to prevent and alleviate heat stress in birds (4).

Broilers must receive adequate energy and protein to fully explicit their genetic potential. There is also considerable evidence suggesting that increasing energy concentration by using a larger lipids/oils content enhances the development performance of birds exposed to heat stress (5, 6). Therefore, large quantities of animal lipids and vegetable oils have the highest caloric density and are added to broiler diets to increase energy content (7). Lipids are water-insoluble molecules that are digested by a synergistic action of pancreatic lipase and bile salts. Lipid digestion occurs in an aqueous environment of the intestinal lumen, making bile salts necessary for the efficient emulsification of dietary lipids. This lets pancreatic lipase hydrolyze the triglycerides present at the water-oil interface, leading to free fatty acids and mono-glycerides (8). Bile salts are also involved in the organization of mixed micelles, which are then attracted by the small intestine mucous membrane. Overall, lipid digestion and absorption are a complex action that requires an enough amount of bile salts, pancreatic lipase, and co-lipase. In the absence of even one of these essential substances, digestion, and absorption are disturbed.

Attention to several factors can be necessary for lipid digestion, including bird specifications like the age, genetics, secretion, and activity of digestive enzymes, microflora status, and diet fat consumed (9). Since broiler diets have a high lipid content, a potential strategy to improve lipid digestibility and poultry performance is to consider the use of exogenous emulsifiers for both emulsion and micelle formation processes.

A variety of emulsifier feed additives are commercially available for use in animal diets, which are classified into three types: lysolecithins, crude lecithins, and synthetic blends (glycerol polyethylene glycol ricinolate). It is important to consider the ability of the emulsifier to dissolve water or fat (10), which is evaluated as hydrophilic–lipophilic balance (HLB). Lysophospholipids (LP) are produced by the catalytic activity of phospholipase-A2 on soy-lecithin and it has higher hydrophilic properties than normal phospholipids (11). LP has a critical micelle concentration that is 20–200 times lower than bile acid and lecithin (12, 13). As a result, LP supplementation appears to improve digestion, dietary fat absorption, and productive performance in animals. Albeit, the positive effects of LP in broiler diets have been reported (14, 15), but the data found in the literature cannot be used to determine their efficacy due to differences in the formulation of basal diets.

To our knowledge, there are no signs of the need to match the level of lysophospholipid content in response to increasing the fat content of diets at different phases of feeding. The objective of this study was to investigate the interaction of LP supplementation and sources and levels of vegetable oils in diets on growth performance, ileal digestibility of nutrients, and serum lipid parameters of heatstressed broilers.

## 2 Materials and methods

#### 2.1 Animal ethics

The experiment was conducted in the Poultry Research Station at University of Guilan, and the whole of the experimental methods utilized in the present study were approved by the University's Animal Ethics Committee.

#### 2.2 Birds, experimental design, and diets

A population of 320 one-day-old Ross 308 broiler chicks with an average body weight of  $43.8 \pm 0.7$  g were used uniformly by sex and weight into floor pens  $(1 \text{ m} \times 1 \text{ m})$ . The broilers were randomly assigned to a  $2 \times 2 \times 2$  factorial arrangement of diets with two different sources of oil (soybean and palm), two levels of oil (3 and 6%), and two inclusion rates of LP (Lipidol; 0 and 100 mg/kg; Pathway Intermediates, Seoul, South Korea), with four replicates per treatment and 10 broilers per floor pen. Lysophospholipids (LP) were supplemented to broiler diets in the form of a commercial lysolecithin product containing 4.06% of a standardized mixture of lysophosphatidylcholine, lysophosphatidylethanolamine, lysophosphatidylinositol and lysophosphatidic acid. The temperature was set at 32°C for the first week of age and then decreased to 23°C until 21 days of age. At the ages of 28 to 42 days, the broilers in the heat stress (HS) group were reared in  $34 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C for 8 h daily while the following temperature was set up during 2 h (between 8:00 a.m. and 10:00 a.m.) and gradually increased to 36°C. This high temperature was then maintained for 8 h (until 16:00 p.m.). After that, the temperature was gradually decreased to the basal level (18:00 p.m.). The relative humidity was kept between 60-70% during the experimental period. Cloacal temperature was measured just after exposure to HS by using a digital thermometer with an accuracy of 0.1°C, using one chick per replicate. The respiration rate just after exposure to HS was estimated by counting the breaths/min by watching movement of the abdomen for a minute. In the first 2 days light regimen was continuous with an intensity 20 lux and thereafter, a 23 L: 1D lighting schedule was used with 5 lux for the remaining period (3-42 days). All broilers were fed with Ross 308 recommendations (16). The ingredients and calculated nutrient composition of the basal diets are presented in Table 1. The feed in mash form and fresh water were provided ad libitum to the broilers throughout the entire duration of the experiment.

#### TABLE 1 Ingredient and nutrient composition of the basal diets (%, as fed basis).

Items		Starter (	1–10 d)			Grower (	11–24 d)		Finisher (24–42 d)			
	Soybean oil (%) Palm oil (%)				Soybea	n oil (%)	Palm	oil (%)	Soybean oil (%) Palm oil (%)			
	3	6	3	6	3	6	3	6	3	6	3	6
Ingredient (%)												
Maize, 7/20% CP	50.91	39.15	53.23	43.95	56.44	44.66	56.96	45.82	61.38	48.85	62.80	51.56
Soybean meal, 44/54% CP	37.30	36.65	37.88	37.43	33.89	32.17	34.02	32.51	27.55	26.29	27.96	26.50
Wheat bran, 16/37% CP	4.30	13.75	1.40	8.16	0.66	11.16	0.00	9.66	4.33	15.00	2.51	12.20
Vegetable oil	3.00	6.00	3.00	6.00	3.00	6.00	3.00	6.00	3.00	6.00	3.00	6.00
Dicalcium phosphate	2.01	1.92	2.03	1.97	1.83	1.75	1.84	1.76	1.63	1.54	1.64	1.56
Calcium carbonate	0.95	0.99	0.94	0.96	0.82	0.86	0.82	0.85	0.77	0.94	0.76	0.80
Common salt	0.23	0.22	0.23	0.23	0.26	0.24	0.26	0.24	0.24	0.23	0.25	0.23
Sodium bicarbonate	0.15	0.16	0.15	0.15	0.11	0.13	0.11	0.13	0.13	0.14	0.12	0.14
Celite	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
L-Lysine HCl, 78%	0.24	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.17	0.19	0.17	0.19	0.19	0.20	0.18	0.20
DL-Methionine, 98%	0.31	0.32	0.31	0.32	0.26	0.27	0.26	0.27	0.23	0.24	0.23	0.24
L-Threonine, 99%	0.10	0.11	0.10	0.10	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.07	0.05	0.07
Vitamin Premix <sup>1</sup>	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Mineral Premix <sup>2</sup>	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Total	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Calculated Analysis		Starter (	1–10 d)		Grower (11–24 d)				Finisher (24–42 d)			
Metabolizable Energy, kcal/kg <sup>-1</sup>		2,9	00		2,950				3,050			
Crude Protein, %		22.	.02		20.11				18.33			
Digestible Lysine, %		1.3	22			1.0	1.08 0.97					
Digestible Methionine, %	0.61			0.53					0.49			
Digestible Methionine + Cystine, %	0.90			0.81			0.75					
Digestible Threonine, %	0.82				0.72				0.65			
Calcium, %	0.91			0.81			0.75					
Available Phosphorus, %	0.48			0.44			0.40					
Sodium, %		0.	15			0.1	15		0.15			
Potassium, %		0.9	94			0.8	35		0.80			
Chloride, %		0.1	23			0.2	23			0.2	23	

(Continued)

#### TABLE 1 (Continued)

Items	Starter (1–10 d)				Grower (11–24 d)				Finisher (24–42 d)			
	Soybean oil (%)		Palm oil (%)		Soybean oil (%)		Palm oil (%)		Soybean oil (%)		Palm oil (%)	
	3	6	3	6	3	6	3	6	3	6	3	6
Analyzed composition <sup>3</sup>												
Dry Matter, %	88.34	88.50	88.48	88.61	88.25	88.72	88.43	88.55	88.57	88.64	88.45	88.57
Gross energy, kcal kg <sup>-1</sup>	4,175	4,373	4,168	4,354	4,234	4,421	4,223	4,417	4,288	4,476	4,283	4,473
Crude protein (Nitrogen × 6.25), %	21.67	21.72	21.70	21.65	19.53	19.62	19.66	19.58	17.92	17.95	17.98	17.94
Ether extract, %	5.82	8.66	5.87	8.74	5.76	8.72	5.91	8.79	5.94	8.86	5.97	8.80

<sup>1</sup>Vitamin premix provided the following per kilogram of diet: vitamin A (*trans*-retinyl acetate), 10,000 IU; vitamin D<sub>3</sub> (cholecalciferol), 2000 IU; vitamin E (DL- *a*-tocopherol acetate), 45 IU; vitamin K<sub>3</sub> (bisulfate menadione complex), 3 mg; thiamine (thiamine mononitrate), 3 mg; riboflavin, 9 mg; nicotinic acid, 30 mg; pantothenic acid (D-calcium pantothenate), 10 mg; vitamin B<sub>6</sub>, 4 mg; D-biotin, 0.1 mg; folic acid, 2 mg; vitamin B<sub>12</sub> (cyanocobalamin), 0.02 mg and choline (choline chloride), 1,000 mg.

<sup>2</sup>Mineral premix provided the following per kilogram of diet: iron (FeSO<sub>4</sub>•7H<sub>3</sub>O), 55 mg; iodine (Ca (IO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 1.3 mg; manganese (MnSO<sub>4</sub>•H<sub>3</sub>O), 100 mg; zinc (ZnO), 85 mg; copper (CuSO<sub>4</sub>•5H<sub>3</sub>O), 13 mg; selenium (Na,SeO<sub>4</sub>), 0.2 mg.

<sup>3</sup>The analyzed values represent the mean of duplicate samples per analysis.

#### 2.3 Productive performance

Mortality was weighted and recorded daily, and body weight gain (BWG), average daily feed intake (ADFI), and feed conversion ratio (FCR) were recorded weekly, and the European production efficiency factor (EPEF) was calculated at the end of the experiment (42-d) (17).

#### 2.4 Blood collection

On days 25 and 42 of the experiment to determine parameters in serum respectively, 8 birds were selected from each dietary treatment (2 birds per/pen; 1 male and 1 female), and blood samples were obtained from the wing vein after a 2-h feeding withdrawal. The samples were immediately transferred into non-heparinized vacuum tubes, placed at room temperature for 2 h for serum separation and at  $1,500 \times g/15$  min at 4°C for 10 min were centrifuged, and serum without supernatant was removed into vials and was stored at -20°C for and immediately delivered to the laboratory for lipids and glucose analyses. Serum was used to analyze triglyceride (TG, catalog no. 11875540 216, Roche Diagnostic, IN), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL, catalog no. 03038807 122, plus 2nd generation Kit, Roche Diagnostic, IN), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL, catalog no. 04713311 190, plus 3rd generation Kit, Roche Diagnostic, Indianapolis, IN), glucose (BG, catalog no. 11876899 216, Glucose Hexokinase Kit, Roche Diagnostic, IN). TG, glucose, total cholesterol, HDL-C, and LDL-C were analyzed using commercial calorimetric diagnostic kits according to the manufacturer's instructions (Diagnostic Products Corporation, Pars Azmoon, Tehran, Iran). All representative samples were performed twice and measured immediately to avoid variations.

#### 2.5 Digestibility assay and calculations

At the end of the grower period (24 d of age), 30 chickens were raised in a metabolic cage (1 bird/cage) for a 4-day preliminary and a 3-day excreta collection (18). In order to determine apparent ileal digestibility (AID), an amount of chromium oxide (3 g/kg of diet) as an indigestible marker was added to each experimental treatment (Celite Hispánica S.A., Alicante, Spain). At the end of the bioassay, five broiler chickens per experimental group were selected and euthanized by cervical dislocation. Ileum segment (Meckel's diverticulum to 1 cm proximal to the ileocecal junction) was chosen for each bird after the removing of the digestive tract. Samples of fresh digesta from the end half of this section were collected and dried at 55°C for 72 h, and contaminants of scales and feathers were carefully removed before weighing and then ground to pass through a 1.0-mm Wiley mill sieve for subsequent assays. Then, ileal digesta and diet samples were analyzed for DM, CP, EE, and GE as the methods defined by AOAC (19). The DM of diets and ileum samples were defined using a standard procedure of drying the materials in an oven at  $105^{\circ}\text{C}/$  24 h (Methods 930.15) and EE content was calculated using the Soxhlet extraction procedure (Method 991.36). The total nitrogen (N) content of diets and ileum samples was measured using the Kjeldahl method. Chromium oxide was determined using Fenton and Fenton (20) procedure. Apparent ileal digestible energy (AIDE) was calculated by multiplying the diet GE content by the apparent ileal energy digestibility (21). The apparent digestibility values of DM, CP, EE, and GE were calculated as follows (22):

Digestibility (%) = 
$$\left(1 - \left[\left(Md \times Ni\right) / \left(Mi \times Nd\right)\right]\right) \times 100.$$

where Ni and Mi represent the concentrations of chromium oxide (g/kg DM) in the diet and ileal digesta, respectively; Nd and Md represent the concentration of DM, CP, EE, and GE in the diet and ileal digesta (mg/kg DM), respectively.

#### 2.6 Statistical analyses

Prior to conducting the analysis of variance, normality of residues was tested using the Shapiro–Wilk test, while homogeneity of variances was tested using the Levene test. Prior to conducting the analysis of variance, the NORMAL option of the UNIVARIATE procedure and the HOVTEST option were used to determine the normality of residues and variance homogeneity of data, respectively. Data were analyzed in a  $2 \times 2 \times 2$  factorial arrangement of treatments based on completely randomized design were subjected to One-Way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) using the general linear models (GLM) procedure of SAS<sup>®</sup> software (SAS Institute, 2009, version 9.4) to determine the main effects of the source of oils (soy oil and palm oil), oil levels (3 and 6%), and LP (0 and 0.1%), and all two-way interactions. If significant main effects or interactions were observed, Tukey's test was used to compare differences among the treatment means. The significance level was set at p < 0.05 for all statistical analyses.

## **3** Results

#### 3.1 Growth performance

Mortality was low (<1.5%), and no appreciable differences in mortality were observed among treatments. The effects of oil sources and levels, as well as LP supplementation on growth performance during 1 to 21 and 22 to 42 d of age, and the whole period are shown in Tables 2, 3, respectively. Broilers fed the SO-containing diets had statistically higher BWG, ADFI, and EPEF in all the periods (1 to 21, 22 to 42, and 1 to 42 d) compared to those fed the PO-containing diets (p < 0.01). Supplementation of LP into the diets improved BWG, FCR, and EPEF in broilers from 22 to 42 d and the entire period of the experiment (p < 0.01). From 22 to 42 and 1 to 42 d, broilers fed the SO-containing diets had better FCR compared with those fed the PO-containing diets (p < 0.05). There was a significant interaction between LP supplementation and oil levels on ADFI from 22 to 42 d, and FCR from 22 to 42 d and the whole period (p < 0.05). The LP supplementation to diets containing 6% oil decreased ADFI and improved FCR in broilers (p < 0.05).

#### 3.2 Ileal digestibility of nutrients

Nutrient digestibility data showed that the inclusion of soybean oil and palm oil in the broiler diet had a different effect on apparent digestibility of DM and EE, and AIDE of diets from 18 to 21 d of age (Table 4). The AID of DM and EE, and AIDE values for diets containing SO were greater than those of diets containing PO (p < 0.05). The EE

TABLE 2 Effect of different oil sources, oil inclusion levels, and lysophospholipid supplementation on the performance of broiler chickens during 1 to 21 and 22 to 42 d of age.

ltem		1–21 d			22–42 d					
	BWG (g/d)	ADFI (g/d)	FCR	BWG (g/d)	ADFI (g/d)	FCR				
Oil sources										
Soybean oil	38.4ª	56.3ª	1.47	84.9ª	161.2ª	1.90 <sup>b</sup>				
Palm oil	36.3 <sup>b</sup>	54.1 <sup>b</sup>	1.49	79.2 <sup>b</sup>	156.2 <sup>b</sup>	1.97ª				
Oil levels										
3%	37.2	55.0	1.48	81.7	158.1	1.94				
6%	37.4	55.4	1.48	82.4	159.3	1.94				
LP			1							
0 mg/kg	37.1	54.7	1.48	80.7 <sup>b</sup>	160.1	1.99ª				
100 mg/kg	37.5	55.7	1.49	83.4ª	157.3	1.89 <sup>b</sup>				
$SEM^{1}$ ( <i>n</i> = 16)	0.47	0.50	0.014	0.59	1.06	0.019				
Oil level × LP										
3 × 0	37.6	54.9	1.46	80.3	156.8 <sup>b</sup>	1.96 <sup>ab</sup>				
3 × 100	36.8	55.2	1.51	83.2	159.5 <sup>ab</sup>	1.92 <sup>ab</sup>				
6 × 0	36.6	54.6	1.49	81.2	163.5ª	2.02ª				
6 × 100	38.3	56.2	1.47	83.5	155.1 <sup>b</sup>	1.86 <sup>b</sup>				
SEM ( <i>n</i> = 8)	0.66	0.71	0.019	0.85	1.50	0.027				
p-value										
Oil source	0.004	0.003	0.274	0.001	0.003	0.012				
Oil level	0.720	0.622	0.925	0.479	0.443	0.971				
LP	0.547	0.193	0.520	0.005	0.067	0.001				
$\operatorname{Oil}\operatorname{source}\times\operatorname{Oil}\operatorname{level}$	0.078	0.215	0.176	0.461	0.995	0.593				
Oil source × LP	0.569	0.885	0.322	0.532	0.405	0.720				
Oil level × LP	0.072	0.346	0.098	0.766	0.001	0.033				
Oil source × Oil level × LP	0.158	0.364	0.234	0.601	0.466	0.973				

 $^{\rm a,\,b}$  Means in the same column followed by different letters are significantly different (p < 0.05).

<sup>1</sup>SEM, pooled standard error of the mean

Item	BWG (g/d)	ADFI (g/d)	FCR	42 d-BW (g)	Mortality (%)	EPEF <sup>2</sup>
Oil sources						
Soybean oil	61.6ª	108.8ª	1.77 <sup>b</sup>	2632ª	0.94	295.3ª
Palm oil	57.8 <sup>b</sup>	105.1 <sup>b</sup>	1.82ª	2469 <sup>b</sup>	1.06	264.4 <sup>b</sup>
Oil levels (%)						
3	59.5	106.6	1.79	2,542	0.93	275.7
6	59.9	107.3	1.79	2,560	1.06	284.0
LP						
0 mg/kg	58.9 <sup>b</sup>	107.4	1.82ª	2519 <sup>b</sup>	1.06	266.0 <sup>b</sup>
100 mg/kg	60.4ª	106.5	1.76 <sup>b</sup>	2583ª	0.94	293.7ª
$SEM^{1}(n = 16)$	0.41	0.62	0.015	17.2	0.207	6.25
$Oil \ level \times LP$						
3 × 0	59.0	105.8	1.80 <sup>ab</sup>	2,520	1.00	266.1
$3 \times 100$	60.1	107.3	1.79 <sup>ab</sup>	2,563	0.88	285.2
6 × 0	58.9	108.0	1.85ª	2,417	1.13	265.9
6 × 100	60.8	105.6	1.74 <sup>b</sup>	2,602	1.00	302.2
SEM ( <i>n</i> = 8)	0.58	0.88	0.021	24.3	0.293	8.84
<i>p</i> -value						
Oil source	0.001	0.001	0.013	0.001	0.674	0.001
Oil level	0.469	0.394	0.974	0.473	0.674	0.354
LP	0.015	0.283	0.007	0.015	0.674	0.005
Oil source × Oil level	0.607	0.616	0.940	0.621	0.402	0.625
Oil source $\times$ LP	0.435	0.512	0.982	0.433	0.402	0.550
Oil level × LP	0.402	0.110	0.013	0.399	0.987	0.339
Oil source × Oil level × LP	0.234	0.324	0.689	0.236	0.674	0.872

TABLE 3 Effect of different oil sources, oil inclusion levels, and lysophospholipid supplementation on the performance of broiler chickens from 1 to 42 days of age.

 $^{\rm a,\,b}$  Means in the same column followed by different letters are significantly different (p < 0.05).

<sup>1</sup>SEM, pooled standard error of the mean.

 $^{2}\text{EPEF} = BW (kg) \times \%$  liveability  $\times 100/FCR \times$  trial duration (d).

digestibility and AIDE were greater in the diets containing 6% oil compared with the diets with 3% oil (p < 0.05). No significant differences between diets were observed for the AID coefficients of CP (p > 0.05). The LP supplementation to the diet improved the apparent digestibility of DM and EE, and AIDE, whereas a significant interaction between LP × Oil levels was observed on EE digestibility and AIDE (p < 0.05). Supplementation of LP to the diets containing 6% oil increased apparent digestibility EE, and AIDE in broilers from 18 to 21 d of age (p < 0.05).

#### 3.3 Serum metabolite parameters

On day 25, blood serum TG and LDL-C contents were lower, while HDL-C was higher in both male and female broilers fed SO-containing diets than those fed PO-containing diets (Table 5; p < 0.01). However, LP supplementation to broiler diets showed no significant effect on serum lipid variables (p > 0.05). At 25 days, birds fed diets containing 6% oil had greater TG than birds fed diets containing 3% oil (p < 0.05).

On day 42, both male and female broilers fed SO-containing diets had higher serum HDL-C concentrations and lower TG and LDL-C

concentrations than those fed PO-containing diets (Table 6; p < 0.01). The LP supplementation to broiler diets reduced serum TG, total cholesterol, and LDL-C concentrations, whereas enhanced HDL-C concentrations at 42 days of age compared to unsupplemented diets (p < 0.05). On day 42, male and female broilers fed diets containing 6% oil had higher TG than those fed diets containing 3% oil (p < 0.05).

#### **4** Discussion

Oils are needed for the proper functioning of the body, as they protect against stress, help maintain body temperature, facilitate hormone synthesis, support suitable central nervous system function, and enhance muscle metabolism performance (23). The Fat-enhanced feeds elevate the efficiency of the consumed energy and productivity in poultry under normal and hot climate condition, but the impact depends on fat/oil source (24). The study revealed that broilers fed diets containing soybean oil exhibited better BWG, FI, and FCR than those that were fed diets containing palm oil. It is suggested that broiler chickens perform better when fed with plant oil sources such

Item	DM (%)	CP <sup>2</sup> (%)	EE (%)	AME (kcal/kg)
Oil sources				
Soybean oil	71.35ª	80.37	81.97ª	3204ª
Palm oil	65.82 <sup>b</sup>	80.19	77.62 <sup>b</sup>	3153 <sup>b</sup>
Oil levels (%)				
3	68.49	80.48	78.37 <sup>b</sup>	3168 <sup>b</sup>
6	68.67	80.07	81.22ª	3189ª
LP				
0 mg/kg	67.92 <sup>b</sup>	80.67	78.63 <sup>b</sup>	3169 <sup>b</sup>
100 mg/kg	69.24ª	79.89	80.96ª	3188ª
SEM ( <i>n</i> = 16)	0.336	0.772	0.549	2.0
Oil level × LP				
3 × 0	67.84	81.43	78.18 <sup>b</sup>	3166 <sup>b</sup>
3×100	69.14	79.55	78.56 <sup>b</sup>	3171 <sup>ab</sup>
6 × 0	68.00	79.92	79.09 <sup>ab</sup>	3173 <sup>ab</sup>
6×100	69.35	80.23	83.36ª	3205ª
$SEM^{1}(n = 8)$	0.476	1.091	1.143	2.8
<i>p</i> -value				
Oil source	0.001	0.877	0.001	0.001
Oil level	0.701	0.708	0.001	0.001
LP	0.010	0.477	0.006	0.001
Oil source × Oil level	0.547	0.698	0.337	0.469
Oil source × LP	0.652	0.397	0.206	0.548
$Oil \ level \times LP$	0.964	0.326	0.020	0.001
Oil source × Oil level × LP	0.971	0.888	0.113	0.425

TABLE 4 Effect of different oil sources, oil inclusion levels, and lysophospholipid supplementation on apparent ileal digestibility (AID) of nutrients (%).

<sup>a, b</sup>Means in the same column followed by different letters are significantly different (p < 0.05).

<sup>1</sup>SEM, pooled standard error of the mean.

 $^{2}CP = N \times 6.25.$ 

as soybean (25). These observations are consistent with the findings of Dänicke et al. (26). Furthermore, adding an emulsifier to diets containing 6% oil resulted in decreased DFI and improved feed conversion ratio. The study found a significant interaction between LP supplementation and oil levels in relation to FI and FCR. However, the LP emulsifier supplement in a basal diet containing 6% oil has demonstrated relatively higher performance compared to a 3% oil source in growing broiler chickens in the grower and throughout the entire production period. Since oils create a coating around nutrients, hindering the optimal function of digestive enzymes, emulsifiers indirectly improve the digestibility of fats and subsequently enhance the digestibility of other nutrients and organic matter (27). It has been reported that broilers fed 6% soybean oil (mostly 84% an unsaturated oil) or a combination of soybean oil and palm oil (mostly 50% a saturated oil) exhibit significantly increased growth during days 1-21 of age compared to a control diet with 6% palm oil (24).

Birds can control their feed intake according to their needs, the type of diet, and environmental conditions. The primary factor that determines satiety in birds is the amount of energy they obtain from their diet (28, 29). Feed intake continues until a physical or physiological satiety factor prevents further feed consumption by the bird. A reduction in feed intake may lead to a decrease in feed

conversion ratio, which could be attributed to the availability of adequate energy required by the diets due to the inclusion of emulsifiers. This decrease in feed intake is probably because the high energy requirements needed to support rapid growth rates are usually met in low-energy diets (30). It has been found that after 21 days, the use of emulsifiers can increase the concentration of bile salts in the intestine, which can act as a competitive inhibitor and reduce the activity of lipase enzymes and colipase on fat digestion. This can lead to increased fat retention time in the digestive tract, decreased feed passage rate, and ultimately decreased feed consumption (31). Moreover, under heat stress conditions, growth, respiratory system and cardiovascular was found to be adversely affected by increasing dietary protein concentration, which causes low performance (1). High environmental temperatures stimulate the peripheral thermal receptors to transmit suppressive nerve impulses to the appetite center in the hypothalamus. The result is a reduction in the consumption of feed and thus of nutrients available for heat dissipation, hormone synthesis and enzymatic activities (3).

During heat stress, feed intake decreases. Increased stimulation of heat receptors at high environmental temperatures leads to the suppression of neural impulses to the appetite center in the hypothalamus, resulting in reduced appetite. Decreased feed intake

ltem	TG (mg/dl)		Total cholesterol (mg/dl)		HDL-C	C (mg/dl)	LDL-C (mg/dl)		Glucose (mg/dl	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
Oil source	S									
Soybean oil	41.8 <sup>b</sup>	41.7 <sup>b</sup>	104.1	107.5	76.2ª	75.9ª	16.5 <sup>b</sup>	23.2 <sup>b</sup>	213.1	219.6
Palm oil	56.6ª	59.1ª	103.5	106.7	68.8 <sup>b</sup>	66.6 <sup>b</sup>	23.4ª	30.3ª	210.9	218.2
Oil levels (	%)									
3	44.4 <sup>b</sup>	45.6 <sup>b</sup>	103.6	107.2	73.0	69.3	21.7	28.7	212.6	219.0
6	53.9ª	54.3ª	103.9	107.1	75.1	71.3	18.1	24.7	211.5	218.9
LP										
0 mg/kg	49.9	51.1	104.1	107.6	74.7	71.0	19.3	26.4	211.3	217.5
100 mg/kg	48.5	49.8	103.5	106.7	73.3	69.6	20.5	27.1	212.8	220.4
SEM <sup>1</sup> ( <i>n</i> = 16)	0.65	0.55	1.74	1.20	0.97	0.71	2.08	1.44	3.61	2.69
<i>p</i> -value										
Oil source	0.001	0.001	0.829	0.631	0.001	0.001	0.027	0.002	0.678	0.707
Oil level	0.001	0.001	0.899	0.935	0.151	0.068	0.227	0.059	0.825	0.972
LP	0.141	0.111	0.837	0.618	0.298	0.199	0.675	0.717	0.761	0.447
Oil source × Oil level	0.082	0.086	0.724	0.478	0.722	0.503	0.740	0.425	0.556	0.278
Oil source × LP	0.787	0.953	0.843	0.624	0.688	0.262	0.969	0.884	0.217	0.174
Oil level × LP	0.745	0.525	0.791	0.518	0.746	0.817	0.679	0.633	0.624	0.622
Oil source × Oil level × LP	0.822	0.902	0.754	0.744	0.416	0.348	0.533	0.444	0.840	0.793

TABLE 5 Effect of different oil sources, oil inclusion levels, and lysophospholipid supplementation on serum lipids and glucose concentration at 25 days of age.

<sup>a, b</sup>Means in the same column followed by different letters are significantly different (p < 0.05).

<sup>1</sup>SEM, pooled standard error of the mean

during heat stress is one of the reasons for reduced performance in broiler chickens (32). Additionally, heat stress has an impact on the digestion and absorption of feed as a result of decreased blood flow in the gastrointestinal system. This can potentially lead to damage to the intestinal epithelial tissue and increase the likelihood of bacterial pathogens and endotoxins entering the bloodstream, which can subsequently cause severe inflammation and reduce the digestion and absorption of nutrients (33, 34). In this study, during a period of poultry rearing, they experienced heat stress. However, the birds that received an emulsifier in their diet performed better. This improvement can be attributed to enhanced energy availability and fatty acids, which positively influenced performance despite reduced feed intake.

Losses in productivity of broilers caused by continuous heat exposure could be explained by the decrease in nutrients digestibility that may be caused by peripheral vasodilation and reduced blood flow in the gut and thus decreased intestinal function (1). Lysophosphatidylcholine emulsifiers have been shown to significantly increase intestinal villus height in birds (35, 36). This demonstrates that this type of emulsifier supplement can improve nutrient absorption, enhance nutrient metabolism, and have a positive impact on growth. Adding emulsifiers to the diet of broiler chickens in the early stages has greater importance because the activity of the lipase enzyme in chicks usually peaks between 40 and 56 days of age (37). Furthermore, Zhang et al. (27) also reported that phosphatidylcholine emulsifiers can increase the lipid cycle in poultry, reduce abdominal fat, improve fat deposition in muscles and muscle quality, increase body weight, and improve feed conversion ratio in broiler chickens (27, 38, 39). On the other hand, the reason for the increase in body weight with phosphatidylcholine emulsifier supplementation in a diet containing 6% palm oil throughout the rearing period may be due to the synchronization of this emulsifier with the lipolytic enzymes that break down fat from the first day of birth until the end of rearing periods. As lysophosphatidylcholine has a higher hydrophilic property compared to regular phospholipids and bile acids, it can enhance fat digestion and absorption in young birds, thereby positively impacting growth performance during production (40). Lysophosphatidylcholine can spontaneously form very small micelles in the intestine because it reaches a critical micelle concentration of 0.02-0.20 millimolar per liter, which is 20-200 times more effective than bile salts secreted by the liver. This indicates the superior effects of lysophosphatidylcholine compared to bile salts and lecithin in terms of emulsification capacity

ltem	TG (mg/dl)		Total cholesterol (mg/dl)		HDL-C (mg/dl)		LDL-C (mg/dl)		Glucose (mg/dl)	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
Oil source	s									
Soybean oil	52.2 <sup>b</sup>	47.0 <sup>b</sup>	112.8	118.4	86.2ª	90.6ª	16.1 <sup>b</sup>	18.5 <sup>b</sup>	229.7	238.2
Palm oil	62.4ª	63.0ª	114.5	120.6	72.7 <sup>b</sup>	76.0 <sup>b</sup>	29.3ª	32.0ª	229.5	237.7
Oil levels (	%)									
3	52.3 <sup>b</sup>	50.3 <sup>b</sup>	111.8	117.4	78.9	82.4	22.3	25.0	231.5	240.1
6	62.4ª	59.7ª	115.5	121.7	80.0	84.3	23.0	25.5	227.7	235.7
LP										
0 mg/kg	61.4ª	58.7ª	117.5ª	123.5ª	76.5 <sup>b</sup>	79.8 <sup>b</sup>	28.7ª	31.9ª	228.1	236.3
100 mg/kg	53.2 <sup>b</sup>	51.3 <sup>b</sup>	109.8 <sup>b</sup>	115.6 <sup>b</sup>	82.4ª	86.8ª	16.6 <sup>b</sup>	28.6 <sup>b</sup>	231.0	239.5
SEM <sup>1</sup> ( <i>n</i> = 16)	0.95	0.78	2.59	1.84	0.83	0.72	2.86	2.11	3.52	2.72
p-value										
Oil source	0.001	0.001	0.655	0.420	0.001	0.001	0.003	0.001	0.960	0.901
Oil level	0.001	0.001	0.317	0.115	0.380	0.075	0.867	0.876	0.458	0.264
LP	0.001	0.001	0.045	0.006	0.001	0.001	0.006	0.001	0.556	0.402
Oil source × Oil level	0.395	0.384	0.752	0.711	0.087	0.091	0.608	0.376	0.860	0.624
Oil source × LP	0.786	0.753	0.942	0.947	0.188	0.063	0.628	0.450	0.547	0.563
Oil level × LP	0.928	0.912	0.925	0.980	0.542	0.925	0.927	0.989	0.871	0.947
Oil source × Oil level × LP	0.690	0.563	0.717	0.515	0.462	0.777	0.884	0.619	0.518	0.287

TABLE 6 Effect of different oil sources, oil inclusion levels, and lysophospholipid supplementation on serum lipids and glucose concentration at 42 days of age.

 $^{a, b}$ Means in the same column followed by different letters are significantly different (p < 0.05).

<sup>1</sup>SEM, pooled standard error of the mean

and micelle formation, which also affects other nutrients present in digestion. Therefore, it can be considered an effective exogenous emulsifier and a better source of emulsification to enhance the performance of birds (12, 41). The improvement in growth performance in the current study may also be related to the enhanced utilization of crude protein and fat. San Tan et al. (42) and Zhang et al. (12) also reported that incorporating emulsifiers in the diet of broiler chickens improves fat digestion and absorption, as well as nutrient digestibility, resulting in increased performance. Emulsifiers increase the incorporation of micelles in the intestinal lumen, thereby enhancing fat digestion (43). In this study, the apparent digestibility coefficients of ether extract, dry matter, and apparent ileal digestible energy were affected by the source and level of oil and emulsifier in the diet. The apparent ileal digestibility of ether extract was higher in birds fed diets containing soybean oil. It has been reported that bile salts, by emulsifying fats, create smaller-sized particles that lead to decreased activity of the enzyme lipase. Therefore, it appears that in the current study, emulsifiers have generated smaller particles of fats, resulting in enhanced secretion of lipase enzymes (44). The limited digestibility of fats in young chicks is due to their low bile production (42). It is likely that when oil is added to the diet, the amount of bile salts produced by the chicks is insufficient, or some of the bile salts are degraded and become unavailable for the bird's use by the digestive microorganisms (45). Emulsifiers have been recognized for their ability to improve fat digestion and support birds in overcoming the inefficiency of lipase before 40 days of age in broiler chicks (46). In contrast to our findings, Zhang et al. (12) and Kavouridou et al. (47) reported that the digestibility of nutrients was not significantly affected in broiler chicks fed diets containing palm oil, soybean oil, and flaxseed oil. The intestinal digestibility of ether extract in the broilers fed diets containing soybean oil was higher. This increased digestibility of the ethereal extract may be attributed to the fatty acids profile and the presence of free fatty acids in soybean oil. Palm oil has a high concentration of palmitic and stearic acids. As a percentage of total saturated fatty acids, palm oil contains palmitic acid (88%) and stearic acid (9%). Stearic acid is an inhibitor of lipase activity and high concentrations of stearic acid in palm oil may inhibit lipase activity, resulting in lower apparent metabolizable energy (AME) and digestibility of nutrients (48). Previous findings have demonstrated that free fatty acids can bind to the mineral calcium and reduce its bioavailability for meat-producing and egg-laying chickens (49, 50). It can be inferred that the supplementation of phosphatidylcholine emulsifier enhances the availability of smaller fat droplets, thereby improving the performance of lipase and subsequently enhancing the digestibility of the ether extract in broiler chicks receiving high levels of dietary fat.

Blood profiles can be used as a marker of health status and malnutrition in poultry. The study revealed that incorporating soybean oil into broiler diets improved the lipid profile, with lower levels of TG and LDL-C and higher levels of HDL-C. Additionally, broilers fed diets containing a higher level of oil exhibited elevated TG levels. Nowadays, the existence of a relationship between the concentration of blood serum lipids (cholesterol, triglycerides, HDL-C, VLDL, and LDL-C) and cardiovascular diseases in humans has been proven. The metabolic profiles showed negative effects from heat stress; e.g., total cholesterol and glucose. The adverse influence of the Heat stress on the productive traits of broilers was associated with increased blood glucose and stress index (H/L ratio) ratio and a decrease in the internal innate immunity. In addition, a decrease in the digestibility of crude protein and crude fiber were found. This could be due to the increased heat increment of protein, the reduction in energy availability for maintenance and heat dissipation, and a decrease in nutrient digestibility that may be caused by peripheral vasodilation and reduced blood flow in the digestive system (1). Increased LDL concentration in the blood due to the use of plant oils such as palm oil leads to the development of arterial blockage and hardening of the artery walls. Furthermore, elevated levels of serum triglycerides increase the likelihood of cardiovascular diseases. Although the impact of dietary lipids on the occurrence of cardiovascular diseases in poultry is not of significant importance due to their short economic lifespan, it is crucial due to the effects of blood lipids on the quality of the end product that eventually reaches human consumption (51). Similarly, Siyal et al. (10) demonstrated that the LDL-C concentration decreased in the soy lecithin group (1.0%) compared to the control diet in broilers, while the HDL-C concentration improved, possibly due to better emulsification of emulsifiers, which can effectively and completely impact oils. HDL has an important antioxidant effect that not only inhibits phospholipid oxidation but also reduces LDL activity (52).

In this study, the addition of LP (Lecithin Phospholipid Complex) to diets resulted in a significant reduction in serum TG, total cholesterol, and LDL-C concentrations, while simultaneously increasing HDL-C concentrations at 42-d. Cho et al. (53) utilized a 0.5% emulsifier in a low-energy diet and reported a decrease in blood triglyceride levels. They stated that emulsifiers break down triglycerides for energy utilization. Based on this, it can be concluded that emulsifiers effectively reduce blood triglyceride concentrations by utilizing energy with increasing bird age. Emulsifiers can also lower the overall plasma cholesterol levels by reducing the secretion of lipoprotein molecules in the blood (54).

In addition, glucose depend upon insulin and its signaling effects to get into cells. Therefore, it seems that an increased blood level of LP competes with TG to enter cells, and as a result, it decreases the concentration of TG in the blood. Dietary LP supplementation had no significant effect on serum glucose concentration (55). Boontiam et al. (56) found the increasing blood glucose concentration accompanied by increased supplementation level of LP. They believed that dietary LP supplementation stimulated uptake of glucose, which is commonly used as an energy source and a metabolic intermediate. The increased availability of glucose was also detected in birds fed with glycerol polyethylene glycol-ricinoleate as a synthetic emulsifier (56). Based on the report by Wangkahart et al. (57), there was no significant effect of dietary supplemental emulsifier on serum concentration of total protein. Lysophospholipids (LP) can alter protein channel formation in the membrane by increasing ion exchanges (58). This change increases the number and size of the membranous pores and improves the flux rate of macromolecules across the cell membrane consequently. Both mechanisms can induce nutrient transport (55).

The results showed that birds fed LP supplementation increased concentrations of HDL and body weight gain in broilers. It is commonly established that the HDL plays an important function in carrying total cholesterol and free fatty acids from the body's tissue to the hepatocytes for excretion or re-metabolization. The increased level of HDL with LPL supplementation indicated the clearance rate of body cholesterol, which possibly affected hepatic lipogenesis of broilers (59). The improvement in weight gain level is usually indicated as an available uptake of glucose, which enhances the metabolic pathway in the hepatocytes (60).

The potential effect of LP supplementation addition on body weight gain concentration detected in this study would alter the metabolism of glucose in order to supply nutrients for their production needs. These findings highlight the potential of emulsifier supplements to enhance nutrient absorption, improve nutrient metabolism, and promote growth even under heatstress conditions.

## 5 Conclusion

The study found that broiler chickens fed soybean oil had better weight gain, feed intake, and feed conversion ratio than those fed palm oil. Emulsifier enhanced fat digestion, nutrient absorption, and growth performance, particularly in the early stages of rearing. Supplementation of 100 mg/kg lysophospholipid emulsifiers helped mitigate the negative effects of heat stress on feed intake and nutrient absorption, resulting in improved performance. Overall, Supplementation of 100 mg/kg lysophospholipid emulsifiers to the diets containing 6% oil, particularly LP, in broiler diets can boost growth, nutrient digestion, and lipid metabolism, even under challenging conditions like heat stress.

#### Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

#### Ethics statement

The animal study was approved by the Guilin University's Animal Ethics Committee. The study was conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements.

#### Author contributions

MM-A: Investigation, Data curation, Software, Writing – original draft. MG-R: Investigation, Software, Writing – original draft, Methodology, Resources. SS: Investigation, Conceptualization, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. HR: Project administration, Validation, Writing – original draft. PA: Data curation, Methodology, Visualization, Writing – original draft.

## Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to the University of Guilan Research Council for the collaboration. The authors also express their gratitude to the official representative of EASY BIO Company in Iran for providing Lipidol<sup>™</sup> for use in the present study.

#### References

1. Attia YA, Al-Harthi MA, Elnaggar A. Productive, physiological and immunological responses of two broiler strains fed different dietary regimens and exposed to heat stress. *Ital J Anim Sci.* (2018) 17:686–97. doi: 10.1080/1828051X.2017.1416961

2. Uyanga VA, Musa TH, Oke OE, Zhao J, Wang X, Jiao H, et al. Global trends and research frontiers on heat stress in poultry from 2000 to 2021: a bibliometric analysis. *Front Physiol.* (2023) 14:1123–582. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2023.1123582

3. Attia YA, Hassan SS. Broiler tolerance to heat stress at various dietary protein/ energy levels. *Eur Poult Sci.* (2017) 81:171–8. doi: 10.1399/eps.2017.171

4. Onagbesan OM, Uyang VA, Oso O, Tona K, Oke OE. Alleviating heat stress effects in poultry: updates on methods and mechanisms of actions. *Front Vet Sci.* (2023) 10:1255520. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2023.1255520

5. Hassan SS, Attia YA, Abd-El-Hamid AEHE, Nagadi SA, El-Ashry A. Impact of increasing dietary oil concentrations with a constant energy level on the tolerance of broiler chickens to a high ambient temperature. *Rev Mex Cienc Pecu J.* (2018) 9:220–39. doi: 10.22319/rmcp.v9i2.4377

6. Ghazalah AA, Abd-Elsamee MO, Ali AM. Influence of dietary energy and poultry fat on the response of broiler chicks to heat therm. *Int J Poult Sci.* (2008) 7:355–9. doi: 10.3923/ijps.2008.355.359

7. Blanch A, Barroeta AC, Baucells MD, Serrano X, Puchal F. Utilization of different fats and oils by adult chickens as a source of energy, lipid and fatty acids. *Anim Feed Sci Technol.* (1996) 61:335–42. doi: 10.1016/0377-8401(95)00931-0

8. Ravindran V, Tancharoenrat P, Zaefarian F, Ravindran G. Fats in poultry nutrition: digestive physiology and factors influencing their utilisation. *Anim Feed Sci Technol.* (2016) 213:1–21. doi: 10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2016.01.012

9. Jiménez-Moreno E, González-Alvarado JM, González-Serrano A, Lázaro R, Mateos GG. Effect of dietary fiber and fat on performance and digestive traits of broilers from one to twenty-one days of age. *Poult Sci.* (2009) 88:2562–74. doi: 10.3382/ps.2009-00179

10. Siyal FA, El-Hack MEA, Alagawany M, Wang C, Wan X, He J, et al. Effect of soy lecithin on growth performance, nutrient digestibility and hepatic antioxidant parameters of broiler chickens. *Int J Pharm.* (2017) 13:396–402. doi: 10.3923/ijp.2017.396.402

11. Joshi A, Paratkar SG, Thorat BN. Modification of lecithin by physical, chemical and enzymatic methods. *Eur J Lipid Sci Technol.* (2006) 108:363–73. doi: 10.1002/ejlt.200600016

12. Zhang B, Haitao L, Zhao D, Guo Y, Barri A. Effect of fat type and lysophosphatidylcholine addition to broiler diets on performance, apparent digestibility of fatty acids, and apparent metabolizable energy content. *Anim Feed Sci Technol.* (2011) 163:177–84. doi: 10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2010.10.004

13. Juntanapum W, Bunchasak C, Poeikhampha T, Rakangthong C, Poungpong K. Effects of supplementation of lysophosphatidylcholine (LP) to lying hens on production performance,

#### **Conflict of interest**

PA was employed by Pathway Intermediates.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

#### **Generative AI statement**

The author(s) declare that no Gen AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

#### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

fat digestibility, blood lipid profile, and gene expression related to nutrients transport in small intestine. J Anim Feed Sci. (2020) 29:258–65. doi: 10.22358/jafs/127689/2020

14. Melegy T, Khaled NF, El-Bana R, Abdellatif H. Dietary fortification of a natural biosurfactant, lysolecithin in broiler. *Afr J Agric Res.* (2010) 5:2886–92. doi: 10.5897/AJAR.9000172

15. Jansen M, Nuyens F, Buyse J, Leleu S, Van Campenhout L. Interaction between fat type and lysolecithin supplementation in broiler feeds. *Poult Sci.* (2015) 94:2506–15. doi: 10.3382/ps/pev181

16. Aviagen R. Ross broiler management handbook. Scotland, UK: Aviagen Limited (2014).

17. Huff GR, Huff WE, Jalukar S, Oppy J, Rath NC, Packialakshmi B. The effects of yeast feed supplementation on Turkey performance and pathogen colonization in a transport stress/*Escherichia coli* challenge. *Poult Sci.* (2013) 92:655–62. doi: 10.3382/ps.2012-02787

18. Leslie MA, Moran ET Jr, Bedford MR. The effect of phytase and glucanase on the ileal digestible energy of corn and soybean meal fed to broilers. *Poult Sci.* (2007) 86:2350–7. doi: 10.3382/ps.2007-00115

19. AOAC. Offcial methods of analysis of AOAC international. 18th ed. Gaithersburg, MD, USA: Association of Office Analysis Chemistry (2005).

20. Fenton TW, Fenton M. An improved procedure for the determination of chromic oxide in feed and feces. *Can J Anim Sci.* (1979) 59:631–4. doi: 10.4141/cjas79-081

21. Amerah AM, Romero LF, Awati A, Ravindran V. Effect of exogenous xylanase, amylase, and protease as single or combined activities on nutrient digestibility and growth performance of broilers fed corn/soy diets. *Poult Sci.* (2017) 96:807–16. doi: 10.3382/ps/pew297

22. Stefanello C, Vieira SL, Carvalho PSD, Sorbara JOB, Cowieson AJ. Energy and nutrient utilization of broiler chickens fed corn-soybean meal and corn-based diets supplemented with xylanase. *Poult Sci.* (2016) 95:1881–7. doi: 10.3382/ps/pew070

23. Bjorntorp P. Importance of fat as a support nutrient for energy: metabolism of athletes. J Sports Sci. (1991) 9:71–6. doi: 10.1080/02640419108729867

24. Attia YA, Al-Harthi MA, Abo El-Maaty HM. The effects of different oil sources on performance, digestive enzymes, carcass traits, biochemical, immunological, antioxidant, and morphometric responses of broiler chicks. *Front Vet Sci.* (2020) 7:181–8. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2020.00181

25. Zollitsch W, Knaus W, Aichinger F, Lettner F. Effects of different dietary fat sources on performance and carcass characteristics of broilers. *Anim Feed Sci Technol.* (1997) 66:63–73.

26. Dänicke S, Jeroch H, Böttcher W, Simon O. Interactions between dietary fat type and enzyme supplementation in broiler diets with high pentosane contents: effects on

precaecal and total tract digestibility of fatty acids, metabolizability of gross energy, digesta viscosity and weights of small intestine. *Anim Feed Sci Technol.* (2000) 84:279–94.

27. Zhang QQ, Jiang M, Rui X, Li W, Chen XH, Dong MS. Effect of rose polyphenols on oxidation, biogenic amines and microbial diversity in naturally dry fermented sausages. *Food Control.* (2017) 78:324–30. doi: 10.1016/j.foodcont.2017.02.054

28. Niu Z, Shi J, Liu F, Wang X, Gao C, Yao L. Effects of dietary energy and protein on growth performance and carcass quality of broilers during starter phase. *Int J Poult Sci.* (2009) 8:508–11. doi: 10.3923/ijps.2009.508.511

29. Niu ZY, Liu FZ, Yan QL, Li WC. Effects of different levels of vitamin E on growth performance and immune responses of broilers under heat stress. *Poult Sci.* (2009) 88:2101–7. doi: 10.3382/ps.2009-00220

30. Nawaz H, Mushtaq T, Yaqoob M. Effect of varying levels of energy and protein on live performance and carcass characteristics of broiler chicks. *J Poult Sci.* (2006) 43:388–93. doi: 10.2141/jpsa.43.388

31. Al-Harthi MA, El-Deek AA, Al-Harbi B. Interrelation ships among triiodothyronine (T3), energy and sex on nutritional and physiological responses of heat-stressed broilers. *Egypt Poult Sci.* (2002) 21:349–85.

32. Daader AH, Al-Sagheer AA, Gabr HA, Abd El-Moniem EA. Alleviation of heatstress-related physiological perturbations in growing rabbits using natural antioxidants. *Span J Agric Res.* (2018) 16:e0610–3. doi: 10.5424/sjar/2018163-13184

33. Amiri M, Ghasemi HA, Hajkhodadadi I, Farahani AHK. Efficacy of guanidinoacetic acid at different dietary crude protein levels on growth performance, stress indicators, antioxidant status, and intestinal morphology in broiler chickens subjected to cyclic heat stress. *Anim Feed Sci Technol.* (2019) 254:114208–8. doi: 10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2019.114208

34. Quinteiro-Filho WM, Rodrigues MV, Ribeiro A, Ferraz-de-Paula V, Pinheiro ML, Sá LRMD, et al. Acute heat stress impairs performance parameters and induces mild intestinal enteritis in broiler chickens: role of acute hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis activation. J Anim Sci. (2012) 90:1986–94. doi: 10.2527/jas.2011-3949

35. Nutautaitė M, Racevičiūtė-Stupelienė A, Andalibizadeh L, Šašytė V, Bliznikas S, Pockevičius A, et al. Improving broiler chickens' health by using lecithin and lysophosphatidylcholine emulsifiers: a comparative analysis of physiological indicators. *Iran J Vet Res.* (2021) 22:33–9. doi: 10.22099/ijvr.2021.37028.5411

36. Van Nevel CJ, Decuypere JA, Dieric NA, Moll K. Incorporation of galactomannans in the diet of newly weaned piglets: effect on bacteriological and some morphological characteristics of the small intestine. *Arch Anim Nutr.* (2005) 59:123–38. doi: 10.1080/17450390512331387936

37. Wang JP, Zhang ZF, Yan L, Kim IH. Effects of dietary supplementation of emulsifier and carbohydrase on the growth performance, serum cholesterol and breast meat fatty acids profile of broiler chickens. *Anim Sci J.* (2016) 87:250–6. doi: 10.1111/asj.12412

38. Dierick N, Decuypere J. Influence of lipase and/or emulsifier addition on the ileal and faecal nutrient digestibility in growing pigs fed diets containing 4% animal fat. *J Sci Food Agric*. (2004) 84:1443–50. doi: 10.1002/jsfa.1794

39. Siyal FA, Babazadeh D, Wang C, Arain MA, Saeed M, Ayasan T, et al. Emulsifiers in the poultry industry. J Worlds Poult Sci. (2017) 73:611–20. doi: 10.1017/S0043933917000502

40. Liu D, Ma F. Soybean phospholipids In: D Krezhova, editor. Recent Trends for Enhancing the Diversity and Quality of Soybean Products. InTech. (2011). doi: 10.5772/20986

41. An JS, Yun W, Lee JH, Oh HJ, Kim TH, Cho EA, et al. Effects of exogenous emulsifier supplementation on growth performance, energy digestibility, and meat quality in broilers. *J Anim Feed Sci Technol.* (2020) 62:43–51. doi: 10.5187/jast.2020.62.1.43

42. San Tan H, Zulkifli I, Farjam AS, Goh YM, Croes E, Partha SK, et al. Effect of exogenous emulsifier on growth performance, fat digestibility, apparent metabolisable energy in broiler chickens. *J Microbiol Biotechnol.* (2016) 4:7–10. doi: 10.54987/jobimb.v4i1.281

43. Zhao PY, Li HL, Hossain MM, Kim IH. Effect of emulsifier (lysophospholipids) on growth performance, nutrient digestibility and blood profile in weanling pigs. *Anim Feed Sci Technol.* (2015) 207:190–5. doi: 10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2015.06.007

44. Firman JD, Kamyab A, Leigh H. Comparison of fat sources in rations of broilers from hatch to market. *Int J Poult Sci.* (2008) 7:1152–5. doi: 10.3923/ijps.2008.1152.1155

45. Marteau P, Gerhardt MF, Myara A, Bouvier E, Trivin F, Rambaud JC. Metabolism of bile salts by alimentary bacteria during transit in the human small intestine. *Microb Ecol Health Dis.* (1995) 8:151–7.

46. Tancharoenrat P, Ravindran V, Zaefarian F, Ravindran G. Influence of age on the apparent metabolisable energy and total tract apparent fat digestibility of different fat sources for broiler chickens. *Anim Feed Sci Technol.* (2013) 186:186–92. doi: 10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2013.10.013

47. Kavouridou K, Barroeta A, Villaverde C, Manzanilla E, Baucells M. Fatty acid, protein and energy gain of broilers fed different dietary vegetable oils. *Span J Agric Res.* (2008) 6:210–8. doi: 10.5424/sjar/2008062-312

48. Van Kuiken BA, Behnke WD. The activation of porcine pancreatic lipase by cisunsaturated fatty acids. *Biochim Biophys Acta J.* (1994) 1214:148–60.

49. Noy Y, Sklan D. Digestion and absorption in young chicks. *Poult Sci.* (1995) 74:366–73. doi: 10.3382/ps.0740366

50. Jahanian R, Rasouli E. Effects of dietary supplementation of palm fatty acid powders on performance, internal egg quality and yolk oxidation stability in laying hens during early egg production. *Indian J Anim Sci.* (2014) 84:191–7. doi: 10.56093/ijans.v84i2.37856

51. Whitehead CC, Griffin HD. Development of divergent lines of lean and fat broilers using plasma very low density lipoprotein concentration as selection criterion: the first three generations. *Br Poult Sci.* (1984) 25:573-82. doi: 10.1080/00071668408454899

52. Ansell BJ, Watson KE, Fogelman AM, Navab M, Fonarow GC. High-density lipoprotein function: recent advances. *J American College Cardio*. (2005) 46:1792–8. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2005.06.080

53. Cho JH, Zhao P, Kim IH. Effects of emulsifier and multi-enzyme in different energy densitydiet on growth performance, blood profiles, and relative organ weight in broiler chickens. *J Agric Sci.* (2012) 4:161–71. doi: 10.5539/jas.v4n10p161

54. Jones DB, Hancock JD, Harmon DL, Walker CE. Effects of exogenous emulsifiers and fat sources on nutrient digestibility, serum lipids, and growth performance in weanling pigs. J Anim Sci. (1992) 70:3473–82. doi: 10.2527/1992.70113473x

55. Zangeneh S, Torki M, Lotfollahian H, Abdolmohammadi A. Effects of dietary supplemental lysophospholipids and vitamin C on performance, antioxidant enzymes, lipid peroxidation, thyroid hormones and serum metabolites of broiler chickens reared under thermoneutral and high ambient temperature. *J Anim Physiol Anim Nutr.* (2018) 102:1521–32. doi: 10.1111/jpn.12935

56. Boontiam W, Jung B, Kim YY. Effects of lysophospholipid supplementation to lower nutrient diets on growth performance, intestinal morphology, and blood metabolites in broiler chickens. *Poult Sci.* (2017) 96:593–601. doi: 10.3382/ps/pew269

57. Wangkahart E, Bruneel B, Wisetsri T, Nontasan S, Martin SA, Chantiratikul A. Interactive effects of dietary lipid and nutritional emulsifier supplementation on growth, chemical composition, immune response and lipid metabolism of juvenile Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). Aquaculture. (2022) 546:737341–1. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2021.737341

58. Movagharnejad M, Kazemi-Fard M, Rezaei M, Teimuri-Yansari A. Effects of lysophospholipid and lipase enzyme supplementation to low metabolizable energy diets on growth performance, intestinal morphology and microbial population and some blood metabolites in broiler chickens. *Braz J Poult Sci.* (2020) 22:1–10. doi: 10.1590/1806-9061-2019-1118

59. Boontiam W, Hyun YK, Jung B, Kim YY. Effects of lysophospholipid supplementation to reduced energy, crude protein, and amino acid diets on growth performance, nutrient digestibility, and blood profiles in broiler chickens. *Poult Sci.* (2019) 98:6693–701. doi: 10.3382/ps/pex005

60. Morigny P, Boucher J, Arner P, Langin D. Lipid and glucose metabolism in white adipocytes: pathways, dysfunction and therapeutics. *Nat Rev Endocrinol.* (2021) 17:276–95. doi: 10.1038/s41574-021-00471-8

## Glossary

T3 - tri-iodothyronine	AME - apparent metabolisable energy
T4 - tetra-iodothyronine	<b>CP</b> - crude protein
HLB - hydrophilic–lipophilic balance	DM - dry matter
LP - Lysophospholipids	EE - ether extract
HS - Heat Stress	N - nitrogen
BWG - body weight gain	AIDE - Apparent ileal digestible energy
ADFI - average daily feed intake	GE - gross energy
FCR - feed conversion ratio	ANOVA - one-way analysis of variance
<b>EPEF</b> - European production efficiency factor	GLM - general linear models
FI - feed intake	H/L - heterophils to lymphocyte
TG - triglyceride	LP - Lecithin Phospholipid
LDL - low-density lipoprotein	HLB - hydrophilic–lipophilic balance
HDL - high-density lipoprotein cholesterol	SO - soybean oil
AID - apparent ileal digestibility	PO - palm oil