Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Maslyn Greene, Clemson University, United States

REVIEWED BY Berkant İsmail Yıldız, Akdeniz University, Türkiye Zengwen Huang, Xichang University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Yiming Sulaiman ⊠ ysulaiman@xjau.edu.cn Sangang He ⊠ hesangang3@163.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 26 February 2025 ACCEPTED 09 May 2025 PUBLISHED 29 May 2025

CITATION

Cunming Y, Li B, Mengting Z, Sulaiman Y, He S and Liu M (2025) Identification of microsatellites and their effect on economic traits of Texel x Kazakh sheep. *Front. Vet. Sci.* 12:1583625. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2025.1583625

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Cunming, Li, Mengting, Sulaiman, He and Liu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Identification of microsatellites and their effect on economic traits of Texel × Kazakh sheep

Yang Cunming^{1,2†}, Bin Li^{1,3†}, Zhu Mengting¹, Yiming Sulaiman^{1*}, Sangang He^{3*} and Mingjun Liu³

¹College of Animal Science, Xinjiang Agricultural University, Urumqi, China, ²College of Animal Science and Technology, Shihezi University, Shihezi, China, ³Institute of Biotechnology, Xinjiang Academy of Animal Science, Key Laboratory of Genetic Breeding and Reproduction of Herbivorous Livestock, Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs, Xinjiang Key Laboratory of Animal Biotechnology, Urumqi, China

Introduction: Mutton has the advantages of delicious taste, high nutrition, and easy digestion. It is important to improve the production and quality of mutton in mutton sheep breeding. Microsatellite locus marker-assisted breeding is widely used to breed excellent traits of various species. It is important to search for microsatellite markers related to the economic traits (mutton production and fat content) of mutton sheep.

Methods: This study aimed to explore the relationship between 11 microsatellite loci of Texel x Kazakh sheep and 12 economic traits and to seek potential loci related to the mutton production (PW: Pre-slaughter weight, CW: Carcass weight, TAW: Total breast and abdomen weight, TLT: Total weight of left anterior tendon, TLL: Total weight of left hip and leg, LD: Longissimus dorsi, OMA: Ocular muscle area) and fat deposition levels (TFW: Tail fat weight, MFW: Mesenteric fat weight, KFW: Kidney fat weight, BFT: Back fat thickness and GR: GR value) of mutton sheep.

Results: Genetic analysis of the 108 Texel × Kazakh sheep hybrid population revealed 81 alleles across all loci, with a mean number of alleles (MNA) of 7.364. The population exhibited moderate observed heterozygosity (*Ho* = 0.610), high expected heterozygosity (*He* = 0.785), and substantial polymorphism (polymorphism information content, *PIC* = 0.759), indicating robust genetic diversity. Notably, the AMEL locus demonstrated significant associations with MFW (η^2 = 0.319) and KFW (η^2 = 0.347), while the INRA023 locus influenced CW (η^2 = 0.260) (*adjusted p* < 0.05). No other loci showed statistically significant trait correlations after multiple-testing correction. The HH genotype at AMEL and AD genotype at INRA023 emerged as pivotal molecular markers, collectively explaining 26.0–34.7% of phenotypic variance in meat yield traits.

Discussion: These findings establish a theoretical framework for precision breeding strategies, offering actionable solutions to enhance meat productivity in ovine populations through marker-assisted selection (MAS).

KEYWORDS

relationship, microsatellite locus markers, genetic diversity, Texel \times Kazakh sheep, economic traits

1 Introduction

As the population expands and living conditions improve, mutton has emerged as a crucial component of the human diet. Compared to other meats, mutton offers not just a delightful flavor but also greater nutritional benefits and is more readily digestible and assimilable (1, 2). Xinjiang boasts the highest per capita mutton consumption rate nationwide (3). Given the economic importance of mutton production, molecular breeding techniques like microsatellite markerassisted selection have become crucial for genetic improvement. In eukaryotic genomes, microsatellite markers consist of brief, tandem repeat sequences that are uniformly dispersed. They exhibit significant polymorphism across individuals and demonstrate abundant distribution. Their application is extend to various domains such as genetic diversity analysis genetic diversity in populations and pinpointing molecular sites for enhancing traits (4-7). Presently, research on genetic diversity has been conducted on various species, including poultry, sheep, goats, cattle, buffaloes, and reindeer (8-11). The production of mutton and fat deposition levels in sheep directly impact their economic value. The technique of microsatellite-markerassisted selection breeding has been utilized to enhance meat yield and fat levels in diverse animal breeds. As an illustration, the genetic variation in the ADL0019 microsatellite locus markedly influences the body mass of Hyogo-Ajidori chickens when they are 16 weeks old (12). Researchers identified significant associations between mutton production and seven microsatellite markers in Santa INÊS and hybrid sheep (13). There was a notable correlation between a polymorphism in the microsatellite locus of the Six1 gene's promoter region in the Pietrain × Duroc × Landrace × Yorkshire pig population and factors like weaning weight, carcass weight, and thoracolumbar back fat (14). There was a notable correlation between the BM1500 microsatellite locus and various fat levels (rib fat, average fat, great fat, and marbling) in beef cattle (15). Consequently, pinpointing microsatellite loci linked to the economic traits of mutton sheep holds significant importance for mutton sheep breeding programs.

The Texel × Kazakh sheep merges the benefits of both breeds, offering advantages like robust resistance to diseases, rapid growth, superior mutton quality, and a high rate of mutton production. This hybrid is extensively reared in Xinjiang (16, 17). Consequently, using the Texel × Kazakh sheep as a model is apt for analyzing the economic traits of mutton sheep in Xinjiang. The study aims to investigate genetic variations the genetic variation of 11 microsatellite sites within the Texel × Kazakh sheep group and to explore the relationship between each genetic variant of these sites and economic traits like mutton production (PW, CW, TAW, TLT, TLL, LD, OMA) and fat deposition levels (TFW, MFW, KFW, BFW, GR). The goal is to pinpoint the microsatellite sites linked to mutton production characteristics and fat levels in Texel × Kazakh sheep, offering a theoretical foundation and technical aid for enhancing mutton production.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Animals and sample preparation

All experimental procedures and animal ethics were approved by the Institute of Biotechnology, Xinjiang Academy of Animal Sciences (Xinjiang, China, Approval No. JXM-KX-20180304). The experimental animals in this study were sourced from the Sheep Breeding Laboratory at the Xinjiang Academy of Animal Sciences, with a total of 108 sheep (50 rams and 58 ewes). All sheep were healthy, disease-free, and raised under consistent conditions. Researchers performed sampling and measurements at the Sheep Breeding Laboratory at the Xinjiang Academy of Animal Sciences. After collection from sheep, ear tissue samples were immediately placed into, and stored at -20° C for subsequent DNA extraction. All sheep were slaughtered, and 12 traits related to mutton production and fat deposition levels were measured, including mutton production (PW, CW, TAW, TLT, TLL, LD, OMA) and fat deposition levels (TFW, MFW, KFW, BFW, GR). The specific statistical results are presented in Table 1.

2.2 Genomic DNA extraction

DNA was extracted from sheep ear tissues using the QIAamp 96 DNA QIAcube HT Kit (Cat.#51,331; QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany). Genomic DNA integrity was then examined by 1.0% agarose gel electrophoresis. DNA concentration was determined by a NanoDrop ND-2000 Spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies, Wilmington, DE, United States). Qualified samples demonstrating OD260/OD280 ratios of 1.8–2.0 were selected were diluted to a concentration of 50 ng/ μ L and stored at -20° C for future use in further genotype analysis.

2.3 Selection and genotyping of microsatellite loci

In this study, 11 sheep microsatellite loci with high genetic diversity and amplification were selected based on recommendations from the amplification results of the International Society for Animal Genetics (ISAG)¹ and the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO).² Using the DNA of 10 Texel × Kazakh sheep as a template, 11 pairs of microsatellite primers were subjected to gradient PCR tests, setting the annealing temperature gradient between 50°C and 60°C to determine optimal annealing temperatures and PCR amplification conditions for the microsatellite loci. Primers were custom-synthesized by Sangon Biotech (Shanghai, China); the primer information is shown in Table 2.

Amplified using primers on the SensoQuest LabCycler, as recommended by the ISAG. The total volume of the PCR reaction system was 25 μ L, which consisted of 12.5 μ L of 2 × Easy Taq Super Mix, 1 μ L of template DNA, 0.5 μ L each of the upstream and downstream primers at a concentration of 10 μ mol·L⁻¹, and 10.5 μ L of ddH₂O. The PCR amplification procedure was as follows: pre-denaturation at 95°C for 10 min; denaturation at 95°C for 40 s, annealing at the optimal annealing temperature for 30 s, and extension at 72°C for 60 s, with a total of 30 cycles; extension at 72°C for 10 min; and storage at 4°C. After the PCR products were detected by 2.0% agarose gel electrophoresis, genotyping was performed using the Fragment AnalyzerTM automated capillary electrophoresis instrument, and the allele results were read using PROSize 3.0.

¹ https://www.isag.us

² http://www.fao.org

TABLE 1 Production data of the 108 Texel × Kazakh sheep.

Trait	Parameter code	Name	Sample	Minimum	Maximum	Mean <u>+</u> standard error
	PW	Pre-slaughter weight/kg	108	25.10	55.25	37.82 ± 0.65
	CW	Carcass weight/kg	108	11.55	30.55	19.73 ± 0.38
	TAW	Total breast and abdomen weight/kg	108	0.54	3.01	1.54 ± 0.05
Mutton	TLT	Total weight of Left anterior tendon /kg	108	0.19	0.90	0.34 ± 0.01
production	TLL	Total weight of Left hip leg/kg	108	1.09	3.92	2.60 ± 0.05
	LD	Longissimus dorsi/mm	108	193.00	543.50	360.73 ± 7.35
	OMA	Ocular muscle area /cm ²	108	7.16	18.15	12.88 ± 0.23
	TFW	Tail fat weight /g	108	0.00	1487.00	429.03 ± 25.64
	MFW	Mesenteric fat weight/g	108	50.00	1494.00	476.80 ± 30.67
Fat deposition	KFW	Kidney fat weight/g	108	64.10	965.50	352.90 ± 18.69
lever	BFT	Back fat thickness weight/mm	108	1.05	8.79	3.99 ± 0.18
	GR	GR value/mm	108	7.97	32.60	18.98 ± 0.51

TABLE 2 Microsatellite labeled primer sequences.

Loci	Primer sequence (5' \rightarrow 3')	Annealing temperature/°C	Product length/bp	
	F:CAGCCAAACCTCCCTCTGC	50	X and Y	
AMEL	R:CCCGCTTGGTCTTGTCTGTTGC			
C(DD)/7	F:GGACTTGCCAGAACTCTGCAAT	50	209–255	
CSRD247	R:CACTGTGGTTTGTATTAGTCAGG	58		
ETT 1150	F:TACTCGTAGGGCAGGCTGCCTG	56	196, 200	
E1H152	R:GAGACCTCAGGGTTGGTGATCAG	50	180-200	
INID A 005	F:TTCAGGCATACCCTACACCACATG	56	125 147	
INKA005	R:AAATATTAGCCAACTGAAAACTGGG	50	125-147	
INID A 004	F:AGGAATATCTGTATCAACCGCAGTC	50	110-132	
INKA006	R:CTGAGCTGGGGTGGGAGCTATAAATA	50		
	F:GAGTAGAGCTACAAGATAAACTTC	50	104 216	
INKA025	R:TAACTACAGGGTGTTAGATGAACTC	52	194-216	
IND A OC 2	F:GACCACAAAGGGATTTGCACAAGC	50	169–201	
INKA065	R:AAACCACAGAAATGCTTGGAAG	50		
IND A 172	F:CCAGGGCAGTAAAATGCATAACTG	50	126-160	
INKA172	R:GGCCTTGCTAGCCTCTGCAAAC	00		
	F:AATGCAGGAGATCTGAGGCAGGGACG	50	189–265	
MAF214	R:GGGTGATCTTAGGGAGGTTTTGGAGG	58		
MOMENT	F:GTCCATTGCCTCAAATCAATTC	50	164-170	
MCM527	R:AAACCACTTGACTACTCCCCAA	00		
OADECD20	F:GGAAAACCCCCATATATACCTATAC	54	87-113	
OARFCB20	R:AAATGTGTTTAAGATTCCATACATGTG	30		

2.4 Data statistical analysis

After the original data was organized using Microsoft Excel 2016, individuals showing \geq 4 validated genotypes, and R 4.3.1 software was used to analyze data such as the number of alleles (Na), observed heterozygosity (Ho), expected heterozygosity (He), and the polymorphism information content (PIC) of the microsatellite loci.

Genetic association analyses were performed on the R statistical platform (version 4.3.1) following this workflow: (1) Linear model construction: Generalized linear models (GLM) were implemented using the lm() function to assess genotype effects on phenotypic traits, with the model formula specified as:

$$Y_{ijk} = \mu + G_i + S_j + e_{jlk}$$

In the formula: Y_{ijk} represents the individual phenotypic value, μ represents the population mean value, G_i represents the fixed effect of the individual genotype, S_j represents the individual sex effect, and e_{ijk} represents the random residual effect.

(2) Hypothesis testing and correction: Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was conducted using the anova() function to evaluate genotype main effects. Raw p-values were adjusted by Bonferroni correction (correction factor = 132, corresponding to the number of locus × trait combinations) to control family-wise error rate in multiple testing; (3) Effect size estimation: The η^2 values quantifying the proportion of phenotypic variance explained by genotypes (range 0-1) were computed using the eta_squared() function from the effect size package, Small effect: $\eta^2 < 0.01$;Medium effect: $0.01 \le \eta^2 < 0.14$;Large effect: $\eta^2 \ge 0.14$ (18); (4) Post-hoc multiple comparisons: For loci passing the significance threshold (adjusted p < 0.05), pairwise comparisons were performed using the least significant difference (LSD) method implemented in the LSD.test() function from the agricolae package. Results were presented as "mean ± standard deviation" to demonstrate inter-group differences; (5) Statistical significance thresholds were defined as: *p < 0.05, ***p* < 0.01.

3 Results

3.1 Genomic DNA detection and electrophoresis typing

After the DNA extracted from the tissue samples was detected by 1.0% agarose gel electrophoresis, the DNA bands were clear. After the PCR amplification products were detected by 2.0% agarose gel electrophoresis, there were no obvious miscellaneous bands, and no primer dimers were generated, which could be used for subsequent experiments. Allele typing was performed using the Fragment Analyzer[™] automated capillary electrophoresis system, and alleles were read using PROSize 3.0. The results demonstrate that the peak patterns in the electrophoresis diagrams were fairly distinct and complete. The typing results are shown in Figure S1.

3.2 Analysis of microsatellite genetic diversity

As presented in Tables 3, a total of 81 alleles were detected across 11 microsatellite loci in the Texel × Kazakh sheep population. The AMEL, CSRD247 and MAF214 locus exhibited the highest number of alleles (Na = 9), while ETH152 and MCM527 showed the lowest (Na = 5), with a mean of 7.364 alleles per locus. The observed heterozygosity (Ho) ranged from 0.385 to 0.862, with the MAF214 locus displaying the lowest value (Ho = 0.385) and the INRA172 locus the highest (Ho = 0.862), yielding an average Ho of 0.610. The expected heterozygosity (He) varied between 0.608 and 0.51, where the CSRD247 locus recorded the minimum (He = 0.608) and the MAF214 locus the maximum (He = 0.851), resulting in a mean He of 0.785. The polymorphic information content (PIC) values spanned from 0.589 to 0.834, with the CSRD247 locus showing the lowest PIC (0.589) and the MAF214 locus the highest (0.834), averaging 0.759 across all loci. All microsatellite loci demonstrated high polymorphism

TABLE 3 Genetic polymorphism information of the 11 microsatellite loci.

Loci	N	Na	Но	He	PIC
AMEL	108	9	0.551	0.811	0.787
CSRD247	108	9	0.685	0.608	0.589
ETH152	108	5	0.667	0.757	0.718
INRA005	108	8	0.693	0.833	0.811
INRA006	108	7	0.66	0.79	0.762
INRA023	108	8	0.563	0.816	0.793
INRA063	108	8	0.565	0.841	0.821
INRA172	108	6	0.862	0.794	0.765
MAF214	108	9	0.385	0.851	0.834
MCM527	108	5	0.667	0.764	0.725
OARFCB20	108	7	0.411	0.775	0.746
Mean	108	7.364	0.61	0.785	0.759

(PIC > 0.5). These results confirm that the 11 microsatellite markers are polymorphic and suitable for evaluating genetic diversity in Texel \times Kazakh sheep.

3.3 Association analysis between microsatellite loci and economic traits

As presented in Table 4, microsatellite-based association analysis revealed significant genetic influences: The AMEL locus demonstrated substantial effects on both MFW (adjusted p = 0.043) and KFW traits (adjusted p = 0.010), while the INRA023 locus was significantly associated with CW (adjusted p = 0.044). No other loci showed statistically significant correlations with the target traits after multiple testing correction.

Post quality control, the AMEL locus comprised 12 genotypes for MFW, with phenotypic values ranging from 162.5 ± 146.09 (CF) to 634.6 ± 325.4 (HH). High-performance genotypes (HH, GG, II; mean range: 634.6–552.2) exhibited significantly elevated phenotypic values compared to low-performance genotypes (CI, DJ, CH, CF; 241.38–162.5; p < 0.05). Intermediate genotypes (DI, GI, JJ, AG, BH; 487.86–412.5) showed no significant differentiation from either extreme group (p > 0.05), accounting for 31.9% of phenotypic variance ($\eta^2 = 0.319$). For KFW, phenotypic values spanned 148.0 ± 47.06 (CH) to 492.0 ± 179.97 (HH). The HH genotype (492.0) significantly outperformed low-value genotypes (BH, CH, DJ; 227.0–148.0; p < 0.05) but displayed comparable performance to intermediate genotypes (JJ, GG, GI; 458.25–358.88), with stronger genetic regulation ($\eta^2 = 0.347$).

The INRA023 locus, associated with CW (adjusted p = 0.044), contained 9 genotypes showing phenotypic variation from 17.49 ± 4.86 (BB) to 26.36 ± 2.99 (AD). The AD genotype demonstrated superior phenotypic expression, significantly surpassing all other genotypes (p < 0.05). The CH genotype (21.24 ± 4.22) exhibited a marginal yet significant advantage over the lowest-performing group (EE, BB; 18.62–17.49; p < 0.05), whereas intermediate genotypes (AF, EH, CC, BH, GG; 20.12–18.98) lacked intra-group differentiation, reflecting moderate genetic control ($\eta^2 = 0.260$).

η²	0.319			η²	0.347			η²	0.26		
Adjusted-P	0.043			Adjusted-P	0.01			Adjusted-P	0.044		
CF	162.5	146.09	þ	CH	148	47.06	q				
СН	197	164.78	þ	CF	161.62	76.44	р				
ſŊ	220.43	73.08	þ	CI	223.75	93.95	q				
Ū	241.38	134.61	þ	DJ	225.57	102.96	q	BB	17.49	4.86	υ
ΒH	412.5	227.91	ab	BH	227	103.08	cd	EE	18.62	2.47	υ
AG	419	329.26	ab	п	311	176.45	bcd	GG	18.98	3.99	bc
ננ	456.67	334.79	ab	AG	334.4	187.78	bcd	ВН	19.15	2.17	bc
ß	484.5	208.17	ab	DI	345.51	215.38	bcd	CC	19.67	3.29	bc
D	487.86	333.73	ab	GI	358.88	126.22	abcd	EH	20.07	3.75	bc
=	552.2	340.59	а	GG	392.64	203.8	abc	AF	20.12	4.16	bc
9 U	629.83	273.31	а	Ϊ	458.25	236.05	ab	CH	21.24	4.22	þ
НН	634.6	325.4	а	НН	492	179.97	а	AD	26.36	2.99	a
Genetype	Mean	SD	Sig	Genetype	Mean	SD	Sig	Genetype	Mean	SD	Sig
Loci	MFW			KFW				CW			
Traits	AMEL							INRA023			

Both loci exhibited large effect sizes ($\eta^2 > 0.26$) across three economically critical traits, exceeding the conventional threshold for substantial genetic influence (Cohen's benchmark: $\eta^2 > 0.14$). The HH genotype at AMEL and AD genotype at INRA023 emerged as pivotal molecular markers for meat sheep breeding, explaining 26.0–34.7% of phenotypic variance. These results establish a robust framework for functional genomics investigations and precision breeding strategies.

4 Discussion

Genetic improvement of livestock is inseparable from abundant genetic variation, and the genetic diversity of microsatellite loci can well reflect the genetic variation status of a population (19).

The study selected 11 microsatellite loci to analyze the genetic diversity of the Texel × Kazakh sheep population. As can be seen from the genetic diversity parameters such as the number of alleles, heterozygosity, and polymorphic information content shown in Table 3, the Texel × Kazakh sheep population has a relatively high level of genetic diversity. All the 11 microsatellite loci selected in this study had more than 4 alleles, with an average number of alleles being 7.364. The mean NA value of the Texel × Kazakh sheep population was lower than that of 14 sheep breeds in Iran (19), five Turkish sheep breeds (Gökçeada, Kıvırcık, Karacabey Merino, Sakız, and Pırlak) (MeanNA: 11.89) (20, 21), five Kazakhstani sheep populations (MeanNA: 13.416) (22), seven Montenegrin sheep breeds (MeanNA: 13.5) (23), and 11 native sheep breeds in India (24), but higher than the three populations of Kari sheep (25) and Nellore sheep (26). The magnitude of the expected heterozygosity (He) of a population can be attributed to the number of alleles detected at the selected microsatellite loci (27). In the results of this study, the He values were all greater than 0.6, and the average He value was 0.785, which was similar to the results of 24 sheep populations in Turkey (20, 21), Kazakhstan (22) and Iran (19), and higher than that of 30 sheep populations in Montenegro (23), Croatia and Bosnia and Herzegovina (28) and India (25), as well as three populations of Kari sheep (25), Nellore sheep (26) and China hu sheep (29). Among the 11 markers, the Ho values of 10 markers were greater than 0.5, and the mean Ho value was 0.610, which was relatively low compared with the results of other studies (19-26, 28). The polymorphic information content (PIC) usually reflects the polymorphism of microsatellite loci. When the PIC value is greater than 0.5, the population is considered to be highly polymorphic, and when the PIC value is greater than 0.7, the microsatellite locus is regarded as a relatively good locus (30-32). In this study, the PIC values of 10 out of the 11 loci were greater than 0.7, and the average PIC value was 0.759, indicating that the Texel × Kazakh sheep population in this study had a high level of polymorphism and that the selected 11 microsatellite loci were suitable for the correct assessment of the genetic diversity of the Texel × Kazakh sheep population and the association analysis with economic traits. The PIC values in the results of this study were higher than those reported by Ibrahim et al. (25), Jeyakumar and Ramachandran (26), and Sun et al. (29), similar to the results reported by Öner et al. (20), Dossybayev et al. (22), and Marković et al. (23), but lower than the results of Vajed Ebrahimi et al. (19) and Yilmaz et al. (21).

Microsatellite marker-assisted selection for economic traits has been applied in many kinds of animals (9, 33, 34), and the amount of mutton production directly determines the economic benefits of

TABLE 4 Decoding the genetic influence of AMEL and INRA023 microsatellites on MFW, KFW, and CW Traits

mutton sheep. For example, Tatsuda (12) found in their study that the body weight at 16 weeks of age of the DD genotype at the ADL0019 microsatellite locus in Hyogo-Ajidori chickens was higher than that of the CC genotype. Petroli et al. (13) showed that seven microsatellite markers in SANTA INÊS AND CROSSBRED sheep were significantly associated with the mutton production of sheep. Wu et al. (14) found that there was a microsatellite locus in the promoter region of the Six1 gene in the Pietrain \times Duroc \times Landrace \times Yorkshire pigs population, and its polymorphism was significantly associated with weaning weight, carcass weight, and thoracic, lumbar and dorsal fat.

Fat deposition level is one of the important production traits, and the functions of fat in different parts are different. In this study, a total of five traits were focused on, including tail fat weight, mesenteric fat weight, kidney fat weight, back fat thickness, and GR value. Fitzsimmons et al. (15) found in their study that the 139 bp allele at the BM 1500 microsatellite locus could significantly increase rib fat, average fat, great fat, and marbling, and reduce the lean meat percentage of ribs, while the effect of the 147 bp allele was the opposite. Wu et al. (35) found that the SJ158 gene locus in the CA3 gene of Yorkshire × Meishan pigs was significantly associated with fat percentage, lean meat percentage, visceral fat percentage, backfat thickness at the 6th-7th thoracic vertebrae and backfat thickness at the buttocks. Compared with pigs of other genotypes, pigs with the AA genotype had the highest lean meat percentage, the lowest fat percentage, and slaughter percentage, while pigs with the BC genotype had the highest fat percentage, visceral fat percentage, and backfat thickness. Allele A of Yorkshire pigs was related to an increase in lean meat percentage and rib number and a decrease in fat percentage.

The INRA023 locus, recommended by the International Society for Animal Genetics (ISAG) as a microsatellite marker for sheep, exhibits high polymorphism and is commonly employed in genetic diversity analysis and parentage verification. Dossybayev et al. (22) identified 16 alleles at this locus in Kazakh sheep populations, demonstrating exceptional polymorphism (Polymorphism Information Content, PIC = 0.8654). Similar findings were reported by Isakova et al. (36) in Kyrgyzstan Mountain Merino sheep. In contrast, the AMEL locus, localized to sex chromosomes, has been utilized as an auxiliary marker for genetic diversity studies due to its sequence conservation. Oner et al. (37) observed that the AMEL locus in Turkish native sheep breeds exhibits Y-chromosomal sequence conservation without polymorphism, primarily serving as a sex-specific marker. Notably, prior studies have not established associations between the INRA023 and AMEL loci with economically significant traits.

Our results revealed substantial genetic effects (large effect sizes) for the AMEL locus on MFW and KFW, and for the INRA023 locus on carcass width (CW). Specifically, the HH genotype at the AMEL locus and the AD genotype at the INRA023 locus emerged as pivotal candidate markers for meat sheep breeding.

5 Conclusion

This study identified 11 microsatellite loci exhibiting high genetic diversity in the Texel × Kazakh sheep hybrid population. Notably, the AMEL locus (associated with Meat Fat Weight, MFW and Kidney Fat Weight, KFW) and INRA023 locus (associated with Carcass Width,

CW) demonstrated significant correlations with meat production traits and adiposity. Substantial genetic effects were observed for the AMEL locus on MFW ($\eta^2 = 0.319$) and KFW ($\eta^2 = 0.347$), as well as for the INRA023 locus on CW ($\eta^2 = 0.260$). The HH genotype at the AMEL locus and the AD genotype at the INRA023 locus emerged as pivotal candidate markers for meat sheep breeding programs. These findings provide a theoretical foundation for optimizing breeding strategies and trait improvement in meat sheep, while establishing a technical framework to address challenges in enhancing meat yield productivity.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found in the article/Supplementary material.

Ethics statement

All experimental procedures and animal ethics were approved by the Institute of Biotechnology, Xinjiang Academy of Animal Sciences (Xinjiang, China, Approval No. JXM-KX-20180304). The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent was obtained from the owners for the participation of their animals in this study.

Author contributions

CY: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Software, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. BL: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Software, Supervision, Validation, Writing - original draft, Writing review & editing. MZ: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Methodology, Supervision, Writing - review & editing. YS: Conceptualization, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Writing - review & editing. SH: Conceptualization, Data curation, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Writing - review & editing. ML: Conceptualization, Investigation, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Writing - review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by the Development of New Multiparous (Multi - lambing) Sheep Strains and Creation of Germplasm Resource Populations in Agricultural Regions (2023A02004-2), Key R&D project of Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region (2017B01005-1), Autonomous Region Key Laboratory Open Project (2018D04011) and the Tianshan Innovation Team Plan of Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region (2018D14004). The funders played no role in study design, collection,

analysis, data interpretation, manuscript writing, or decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Gen AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

References

1. Chikwanha OC, Vahmani P, Muchenje V, Dugan MER, Mapiye C. Nutritional enhancement of sheep meat fatty acid profile for human health and wellbeing. *Food Res Int.* (2018) 104:25–38. doi: 10.1016/j.foodres.2017.05.005

2. Ding W, Lu Y, Xu B, Chen P, Li A, Jian F, et al. Meat of sheep: insights into mutton evaluation, nutritive value, influential factors, and interventions. *Agriculture*. (2024) 14:1060. doi: 10.3390/agriculture14071060

3. Dawut A, Tian Y. Competitiveness of Xinjiang's mutton industry based on diamond model. *PLoS One*. (2021) 16:e0257669. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0257669

4. Arranz JJ, Bayón Y, San PF. Genetic relationships among Spanish sheep using microsatellites. Anim Genet. (1998) 29:435-40. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2052.1998.296371.x

5. Ahlawat SPS, Sunder J, Kundu A, Chatterjee RN, Rai RB, Kumar B, et al. Use of RAPD-PCR for genetic analysis of Nicobari fowl of Andamans. *Br Poult Sci.* (2004) 45:194–200. doi: 10.1080/00071660410001715795

6. Chatterjee RN, Sharma RP, Reddy BLN, Niranjan MS, Mishra SK. Genetic analysis of highly inbred chicken using RAPD-PCR and immunocompetence. *Int J Poultry Sci.* (2007) 6:967–72. doi: 10.3923/ijps.2007.967.972

 Ganai NA, Yadav BR. Genetic variation within and among three Indian breeds of goat using heterologous microsatellite markers. *Anim Biotechnol.* (2001) 12:121–36. doi: 10.1081/ABIO-100108338

8. Nagaraju J, Reddy KD, Nagaraja GM, Sethuraman BN. Comparison of multilocus RFLPs and PCR-based marker systems for genetic analysis of the silkworm, *Bombyx mori. Heredity.* (2001) 86:588–97. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2540.2001.00861.x

9. Rushdi HE-D, Moghaieb REA, Abdel-Shafy H, Ibrahim MAE-AM. Association between microsatellite markers and milk production traits in Egyptian buffaloes. *Czeh J Anim Sci.* (2017) 62:384–91. doi: 10.17221/80/2016-CJAS

10. Kharzinova VR, Karpushkina TV, Dotsev AV, Solovieva AD, Romanenko TM, Brem G, et al. 213 association of microsatellite profile with phenotypic traits of semi-domesticated reindeer. *J Anim Sci.* (2017) 95:105. doi: 10.2527/asasann.2017.213

11. Xiang-Long L, Valentini A. Genetic diversity of Chinese indigenous goat breeds based on microsatellite markers. *J Anim Breed Genet.* (2004) 121:350–5. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-0388.2004.00465.x

12. Tatsuda K. P5025 production of chickens with high body weights, low amounts of abdominal fat, and a high thigh meat yield using DNA microsatellite marker-assisted selection. *J Anim Sci.* (2016) 94:127. doi: 10.2527/jas2016.94supplement4127a

13. Petroli CD, Paiva SR, Paim TDP, McManus CM. Association of microsatellite markers with production traits in Santa inês and crossbred sheep. *AVS*. (2014) 19:7–16. doi: 10.5380/avs.v19i1.31873

14. Wu WJ, Liu KQ, Li BJ, Dong C, Zhang ZK, Li PH, et al. Identification of an (AC) n microsatellite in the Six1 gene promoter and its effect on production traits in Pietrain × Duroc × landrace × Yorkshire pigs1. *J Anim Sci.* (2018) 96:17–26. doi: 10.1093/jas/skx024

15. Fitzsimmons CJ, Schmutz SM, Bergen RD, McKinnon JJ. A potential association between the BM 1500 microsatellite and fat deposition in beef cattle. *Mamm Genome.* (1998) 9:432–4. doi: 10.1007/s003359900791

16. Li Q, Liu R, Zhao H, Di R, Lu Z, Liu E, et al. Identification and characterization of Long noncoding RNAs in ovine skeletal muscle. *Animals.* (2018) 8:127. doi: 10.3390/ani8070127

17. Yan X, Liu M, He S, Tong T, Liu Y, Ding K, et al. An epidemiological study of gastrointestinal nematode and Eimeria coccidia infections in different populations of Kazakh sheep. *PLoS One.* (2021) 16:e0251307. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0251307

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fvets.2025.1583625/ full#supplementary-material

18. Richardson JTE. Eta squared and partial eta squared as measures of effect size in educational research. *Educ Res Rev.* (2011) 6:135–47. doi: 10.1016/j.edurev.2010.12.001

19. Vajed Ebrahimi MT, Mohammadabadi M, Esmailizadeh A. Using microsatellite markers to analyze genetic diversity in 14 sheep types in Iran. *Arch Anim Breed.* (2017) 60:183–9. doi: 10.5194/aab-60-183-2017

20. Öner Y, Üstüner H, Orman A, Yilmaz O, Yılmaz A. Genetic diversity of Kıvırcık sheep breed reared in different regions and its relationship with other sheep breeds in Turkey. *Ital J Anim Sci.* (2014) 13:3382. doi: 10.4081/ijas.2014.3382

21. Yilmaz O, Sezenler T, Sevi MS, Cemal İ, Karaca O, Yaman Y, et al. Genetic relationships among four Turkish sheep breeds using microsatellites. *Turk J Vet Anim Sci.* (2015) 39:576–82. doi: 10.3906/vet-1411-46

22. Dossybayev K, Orazymbetova Z, Mussayeva A, Saitou N, Zhapbasov R, Makhatov B, et al. Genetic diversity of different breeds of Kazakh sheep using microsatellite analysis. *Arch Anim Breed.* (2019) 62:305–12. doi: 10.5194/aab-62-305-2019

23. Marković M, Radonjić D, Zorc M, Đokić M, Marković B. Genetic diversity of montenegrin local sheep breeds based on microsatellite markers. *Animals.* (2022) 12:3029. doi: 10.3390/ani12213029

24. Sharma R, Ahlawat S, Sharma H, Sharma P, Panchal P, Arora R, et al. Microsatellite and mitochondrial DNA analyses unveil the genetic structure of native sheep breeds from three major agro-ecological regions of India. *Sci Rep.* (2020) 10:20422. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-77480-6

25. Ibrahim M, Ahmad S, Din IU, Ahmad W, Ahmad I, Khan SH, et al. Microsatellite analysis revealed potential DNA markers for gestation length and sub-population diversity in Kari sheep. *Animals.* (2022) 12:3292. doi: 10.3390/ani12233292

26. Jeyakumar M, Ramachandran A. Molecular genetic architecture analysis of Nellore sheep (Ovis aries) revealed through short tandem repeat markers. *Int J Adv Biochem Res.* (2024) 8:340–3. doi: 10.33545/26174693.2024.v8.i5Se.1193

27. Kalinowski ST. How many alleles per locus should be used to estimate genetic distances? *Heredity*. (2002) 88:62–5. doi: 10.1038/sj.hdy.6800009

28. Salamon D, Gutierrez-Gil B, Arranz JJ, Barreta J, Batinic V, Dzidic A. Genetic diversity and differentiation of 12 eastern Adriatic and western Dinaric native sheep breeds using microsatellites. *Animal.* (2014) 8:200–7. doi: 10.1017/S1751731113002243

29. Sun W, Chang H, Musa H, Chu M. Study on relationship between microsatellite polymorphism and producing ability on litter size trait of Hu sheep in China. *Afr J Biotechnol.* (2010) 9:8704–11. doi: 10.5897/AJB10.844

30. Hearne CM, Ghosh S, Todd JA. Microsatellites for linkage analysis of genetic traits. Trends Genet. (1992) 8:288–94. doi: 10.1016/0168-9525(92)90256-4

31. Peter C, Bruford M, Perez T, Dalamitra S, Hewitt G, Erhardt G, et al. Genetic diversity and subdivision of 57 European and middle-eastern sheep breeds. *Anim Genet.* (2007) 38:37–44. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2052.2007.01561.x

32. Botstein D, White RL, Skolnick M, Davis RW. Construction of a genetic linkage map in man using restriction fragment length polymorphisms. *Am J Hum Genet*. (1980) 32:314–31.

33. Chatterjee RN, Sharma RP, Mishra A, Dange M, Bhattachar TK. Variability of microsatellites and their association with egg production traits in chicken. *Int J Poultry Sci.* (2007) 7:77–80. doi: 10.3923/ijps.2008.77.80

34. Chatterjee R, Sharma RP, Bhattacharya TK, Niranjan M, Reddy BL. Microsatellite variability and its relationship with growth, egg production, and immunocompetence traits in chickens. *Biochem Genet*. (2010) 48:71–82. doi: 10.1007/s10528-009-9296-5

35. Wu J, Zhou D, Deng C, Xiong Y, Lei M, Li F, et al. Expression pattern and polymorphism of three microsatellite markers in the porcine CA3 gene. *Genet Sel Evol.* (2008) 40:227–39. doi: 10.1186/1297-9686-40-2-227

36. Isakova ZT, Bekturov AB, Chortonbaeb TD, Kipen VN, Mukeeva SB, Shergaziev UA, et al. Genetic diversity research in the population of the kyrgyz

mountain merino using microsatellite loci. Russ J Genet. (2023) 59:73-9. doi: 10.1134/S1022795423010064

37. Oner Y, Calvo JH, Elmaci C. Y chromosomal characterization of turkish native sheep breeds. *Livest Sci.* (2011) 136:277–80. doi: 10.1016/j.livsci. 2010.08.015