



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY

Matthias Kohlhauser,
École nationale vétérinaire d'Alfort
(EnvA), France

REVIEWED BY

Mário Ginja,
University of Trás-os-Montes and Alto
Douro, Portugal

*CORRESPONDENCE

Ali Mobasher
✉ ali.mobasher@oulu.fi

RECEIVED 03 June 2025

ACCEPTED 06 August 2025

PUBLISHED 29 August 2025

CITATION

Mobasher A, Hanson P and Larkin J (2025)
Rapidly progressive osteoarthritis (RPOA) in
companion animals treated with bedinvetmab
(LibrelaTM): an expected pathophysiological
phenomenon or a cause for concern?
Front. Vet. Sci. 12:1640217.
doi: 10.3389/fvets.2025.1640217

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Mobasher, Hanson and Larkin. This is
an open-access article distributed under the
terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution
License \(CC BY\)](#). The use, distribution or
reproduction in other forums is permitted,
provided the original author(s) and the
copyright owner(s) are credited and that the
original publication in this journal is cited, in
accordance with accepted academic practice.
No use, distribution or reproduction is
permitted which does not comply with these
terms.

Rapidly progressive osteoarthritis (RPOA) in companion animals treated with bedinvetmab (LibrelaTM): an expected pathophysiological phenomenon or a cause for concern?

Ali Mobasher 1,2,3,4*, Peter Hanson 5 and
Jonathan Larkin 1,6

¹Research Unit of Health Sciences and Technology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Oulu, Oulu, Finland, ²Department of Regenerative Medicine, State Research Institute Centre for Innovative Medicine, Vilnius, Lithuania, ³Department of Joint Surgery, First Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, China, ⁴Image Analysis Group (IAG), London, United Kingdom, ⁵Spruce Biotech Consulting, Beverly, MA, United States, ⁶SynOA Therapeutics, Philadelphia, PA, United States

KEYWORDS

bedinvetmab, osteoarthritis, rapidly progressive osteoarthritis (RPOA), nerve growth factor, anti-NGF therapy, pharmacovigilance, pain, drug safety

The advent of anti-nerve growth factor (NGF) monoclonal antibodies, such as bedinvetmab (LibrelaTM), has marked a significant advancement in the management of osteoarthritis (OA) pain in dogs (1, 2). First approved for use in October 2020 (EU) and May 2023 (U.S.), bedinvetmab offers a novel mechanism of action by targeting NGF, a key mediator in pain pathways, thereby providing relief for canine OA patients (1, 3).

While clinical trials have demonstrated the efficacy and safety of bedinvetmab, post-marketing surveillance has brought to light reports of adverse events, including neurological signs such as ataxia, seizures, and paresis, as well as musculoskeletal issues like lameness (4). Similar observations have been made in humans with OA treated with NGF neutralizing antibodies such as Tanezumab (5), which was originally developed for the treatment of human OA (6). These observations have collectively raised concerns about the potential for rapidly progressive OA (RPOA) in dogs undergoing treatment with bedinvetmab (4) and humans receiving Tanezumab (7).

The term RPOA has recently become a focal point in OA drug development, particularly following its association with the anti-NGF class of analgesics (8). RPOA is characterized by an accelerated deterioration of joint structures, leading to severe pain and functional impairment. In human medicine, RPOA has been associated with anti-NGF therapies (9, 10), particularly when used concomitantly with nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs). The pathophysiology is thought to involve the suppression of pain signals, resulting in increased joint usage and subsequent structural damage (5). In veterinary medicine, the occurrence of RPOA in dogs treated with bedinvetmab remains a subject of investigation, monitoring and scrutiny. Recent case reports have reported rapid joint deterioration in dogs with elbow OA, following the initiation of bedinvetmab therapy. While case reports do not establish causality, they underscore the need for vigilance and further safety monitoring. The potential for RPOA in canine patients necessitates a cautious approach, especially regarding the concurrent use of NSAIDs, despite previous reports of acceptable safety (3). Although the safety of combining bedinvetmab with NSAIDs has not been fully established, some practitioners have employed short-term NSAID therapy during the initiation of bedinvetmab treatment. Given the experiences in human medicine, it may be prudent to limit or closely monitor such combinations until more definitive data are available.

The identification of RPOA in dogs is unfortunately complicated by the lack of standardized diagnostic criteria and the variability in clinical presentations. Advanced imaging modalities and longitudinal structural studies are essential to differentiate RPOA from the natural progression of OA and to elucidate any potential links to anti-NGF therapies. This reminds us of the crucially important work that was carried out by the Nobel Prize winner Dr. Rita Levi-Montalcini¹ and her colleagues almost 30 years ago, following the discovery of NGF (11), warning that NGF must be viewed as a multifactorial mediator that modulates more than pain responses, and is involved in neuroimmune-endocrine functions of vital importance to the regulation of physiological homeostasis, with potential involvement in pathological processes deriving from dysregulation of either local or systemic homeostatic balances (12). Any treatment that blocks the production and the signal of this vital growth factor requires long-term safety monitoring in humans and in companion animals.

In conclusion, while bedinvetmab represents a promising option for managing OA pain in dogs, the emergence of adverse events resembling RPOA warrants careful consideration. Veterinarians should remain alert to signs of rapid joint deterioration in companion animals receiving bedinvetmab and they must report such cases to appropriate pharmacovigilance systems. Case reports are generally regarded as weak in terms of scientific and medical evidence and we need better processes for integrating them into scientific and medical knowledge. The number of adverse cases associated with bedinvetmab use are generally low, but they do occur. Therefore, further research is imperative to determine the incidence, risk factors, and pathogenesis of RPOA in canine patients, thereby ensuring the safe and effective use of anti-NGF therapies in veterinary practice.

Author contributions

AM: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. PH: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. JL: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. Ali Mobasheri wishes to acknowledge the generous financial support of the Research Council of Lithuania/Lietuvos Mokslo Taryba, Research Council of Finland/Suomen Akatemia Profi 6, grant numbers 336449 and 351568, awarded to the University of Oulu.

1 <https://www.nobelprize.org/stories/women-who-changed-science/rita-levi-montalcini/>

References

1. Enomoto M, Mantyh PW, Murrell J, Innes JF, Lascelles BDX. Anti-nerve growth factor monoclonal antibodies for the control of pain in dogs and cats. *Vet Rec.* (2019) 184:23. doi: 10.1136/vr.104590

AM also acknowledges funding from Horizon Europe [PROTO-Advanced PeRsOnalized Therapies for Osteoarthritis-TACKLING INFLAMMATION TO IMPROVE PATIENT OUTCOMES, grant agreement ID 101095635, <https://cordis.europa.eu/project/id/101095635> and ENgineered CARtilage from Nose for the Treatment of Osteoarthritis (ENCANTO), grant agreement ID 101137315, <https://cordis.europa.eu/project/id/101137315>]. AM also acknowledges support from the European Cooperation in Science and Technology COST Association Action CA21110—Building an Open European Network on Osteoarthritis Research (NetwOArk); <https://www.cost.eu/actions/CA21110/>.

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to express their thanks to the Scientific Advisory Board of SynOA Therapeutics for comments on this letter.

Conflict of interest

AM was employed by Image Analysis Group (IAG). AM is a member of the Scientific Advisory Board of SynOA Therapeutics. JL is Founder and President of SynOA Therapeutics. PH was employed by Spruce Biotech Consulting. PH is cofounder, President and CSO of VetrixBio.

The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Gen AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Any alternative text (alt text) provided alongside figures in this article has been generated by Frontiers with the support of artificial intelligence and reasonable efforts have been made to ensure accuracy, including review by the authors wherever possible. If you identify any issues, please contact us.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

2. Corral MJ, Moyaert H, Fernandes T, Escalada M, Kira S, Tena J, et al. A prospective, randomized, blinded, placebo-controlled multisite clinical study of bedinvetmab, a canine monoclonal antibody targeting nerve growth factor, in dogs

- with osteoarthritis. *Vet Anaesth Analg.* (2021) 48:943–55. doi: 10.1016/j.vaa.2021.08.001
3. Krautmann M, Walters R, Cole P, Tena J, Bergeron LM, Messamore J, et al. Laboratory safety evaluation of bedinvetmab, a canine anti-nerve growth factor monoclonal antibody, in dogs. *Vet J.* (2021) 276:105733. doi: 10.1016/j.tvjl.2021.105733
 4. Farrell M, Adams R, von Pfeil DJF. Re: Laboratory safety evaluation of bedinvetmab, a canine anti-nerve growth factor monoclonal antibody, in dogs. *Vet J.* (2024) 305:106104. doi: 10.1016/j.tvjl.2024.106104
 5. Hochberg MC. Serious joint-related adverse events in randomized controlled trials of anti-nerve growth factor monoclonal antibodies. *Osteoarthr Cartil.* (2015) 23:S18–21. doi: 10.1016/j.joca.2014.10.005
 6. Lane NE, Schnitzer TJ, Birbara CA, Mokhtarani M, Shelton DL, Smith MD, et al. Tanezumab for the treatment of pain from osteoarthritis of the knee. *N Engl J Med.* (2010) 363:1521–31. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa0901510
 7. Karsdal MA, Verburg KM, West CR, Bay-Jensen AC, Keller DS, Arends RHGP. Serological biomarker profiles of rapidly progressive osteoarthritis in tanezumab-treated patients. *Osteoarthr Cartil.* (2019) 27:484–92. doi: 10.1016/j.joca.2018.12.001
 8. Bannwarth B, Kostine M. Targeting nerve growth factor (NGF) for pain management: what does the future hold for NGF antagonists? *Drugs.* (2014) 74:619–26. doi: 10.1007/s40265-014-0208-6
 9. McMahon SB, NGF. as a mediator of inflammatory pain. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci.* (1996) 351:431–40. doi: 10.1098/rstb.1996.0039
 10. Hefti FF, Rosenthal A, Walicke PA, Wyatt S, Vergara G, Shelton DL, et al. Novel class of pain drugs based on antagonism of NGF. *Trends Pharmacol Sci.* (2006) 27:85–91. doi: 10.1016/j.tips.2005.12.001
 11. Levi-Montalcini R. The nerve growth factor 35 years later. *Science.* (1987) 237:1154–62. doi: 10.1126/science.3306916
 12. Levi-Montalcini R, Dal Toso R, della Valle F, Skaper SD, Leon A. Update of the NGF saga. *J Neurol Sci.* (1995) 130:119–27. doi: 10.1016/0022-510X(95)00007-O