Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Federica Di Profio, Università degli Studi di Teramo, Italy

REVIEWED BY Murat Şevik, Necmettin Erbakan University, Türkiye Mohammed A. Samad, Bangladesh Livestock Research Institue, Bangladesh

*CORRESPONDENCE Selma Mejri Selma_mejri@yahoo.fr

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 19 December 2024 ACCEPTED 10 March 2025 PUBLISHED 01 April 2025

CITATION

Mejri S, Ayari Fakhfakh SE, Ourabi M, Abid A, Zaghouani R, Nouassri M, Mhiri S, Gallah S, Habboubi N, Hosni K, Abidi N, Braiki N, Mouelhi W, Ben Slimene I, Ghodhbene I, Mhamdi H, Ksontini M, Landolsi Z, Zribi N, Hamdouni M, Gamdou H, Zammel F, Hlel A, Harrath NB, Sbai S, Thabet S, Ahmed HO, Sghaier S, Khorchani R, Settypalli TBK, Meki IK, Lamien CE and Tlatli A (2025) First detection of Lumpy Skin Disease virus in Tunisia. *Front. Virol.* 5:1548475. doi: 10.3389/fviro.2025.1548475

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Mejri, Ayari Fakhfakh, Ourabi, Abid, Zaghouani, Nouassri, Mhiri, Gallah, Habboubi, Hosni, Abidi, Braiki, Mouelhi, Ben Slimene, Ghodhbene, Mhamdi, Ksontini, Landolsi, Zribi, Hamdouni, Gamdou, Zammel, Hlel, Harrath, Sbai, Thabet, Ahmed, Sghaier, Khorchani, Settypalli, Meki, Lamien and Tlatli, This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Licer (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

First detection of Lumpy Skin Disease virus in Tunisia

Selma Mejri^{1,2*†}, Saida Emna Ayari Fakhfakh^{1†}, Makrem Ourabi³, Amira Abid⁴, Rahma Zaghouani⁵, Marouène Nouassri⁶, Samir Mhiri⁷, Sahbi Gallah⁷, Nouha Habboubi⁵, Kaddour Hosni⁶, Noura Abidi⁸, Nadia Braiki⁹, Wiem Mouelhi¹⁰, Imed Ben Slimene¹⁰, Ines Ghodhbene⁴, Hager Mhamdi¹¹, Mansour Ksontini¹², Zoubeida Landolsi¹³, Nesrine Zribi¹⁴, Mohamed Hamdouni⁴, Hayet Gamdou¹⁰, Fethi Zammel⁶, Arbi Hlel⁵, Nahed Ben Harrath⁶, Sihem Sbai⁶, Sara Thabet¹, Hatem Ouled Ahmed¹⁵, Soufien Sghaier¹⁶, Roukaya Khorchani¹⁷, Tirumala Bharani Kumar Settypalli¹⁸, Irene Kasindi Meki¹⁸, Charles Euloge Lamien¹⁸ and Aida Tlatli¹⁹

¹Laboratoire de Virologie, Institut de la Recherche Vétérinaire de Tunisie, Université Tunis El Manar, Tunis, Tunisia, ²LR03ES03 Laboratoire microorganismes et bio-molécules actives, Faculté des Sciences de Tunis, Université Tunis El Manar, Tunis, Tunisia, ³Commissariat Régional de Développement Agricole, Tozeur, Tunisia, ⁴Commissariat Régional de Développement Agricole, Nabeul, Tunisia, ⁵Commissariat Régional de Développement Agricole, Bizerte, Tunisia, ⁶Commissariat Régional de Développement Agricole, Kef, Tunisia, ⁷Commissariat Régional de Développement Agricole, Monastir, Tunisia, ^aCommissariat Régional de Développement Agricole, Kasserine, Tunisia, °Commissariat Régional de Développement Agricole, Sidi Bouzid, Tunisia, ¹ºCommissariat Régional de Développement Agricole, Jendouba, Tunisia, ¹¹Commissariat Régional de Développement Agricole, Ben Arous, Tunisia, ¹²Commissariat Régional de Développement Agricole, Sfax, Tunisia, ¹³Office de l'Elevage et du Pâturage de Mateur, Bizerte, Tunisia, ¹⁴Commissariat Régional de Développement Agricole, Siliana, Tunisia, ¹⁵Laboratoire des Analyses Génétiques Animales, Institut de la Recherche Vétérinaire de Tunisie, Université Tunis El Manar, Tunis, Tunisia, ¹⁶Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), Regional Office for Near East and North Africa, Tunisia, ¹⁷Direction Générale des Services Vétérinaires, Ministère de l'Agriculture, des Ressources hydrauliques et de la Pêche, Tunis, Tunisia, ¹⁸Animal Production and Health Laboratory, Joint Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO)/International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) Centre of Nuclear Techniques in Food and Agriculture, Department of Nuclear Sciences and Applications, International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna, Austria, ¹⁹Laboratoire des Denrées Alimentaires, Institut de la Recherche Vétérinaire de Tunisie, Université de Tunis El Manar, Tunis, Tunisia

Lumpy Skin Disease (LSD) is an emerging bovine vector-borne disease of important economic impact on the cattle industry. Since its first identification in 1929, the disease was restricted for decades, to Sub-Saharan regions before its spread into new areas. In 2023 and 2024, LSD cases were identified for the first time in north African countries, Libya and Algeria, respectively. From June 2024, many LSD suspected cases were investigated in Tunisia. From June to October 2024, one hundred and twenty-one samples were investigated. Most of samples consist of blood samples, nasal and oral swabs from 49 suspected cattle from different parts of Tunisia. All samples were tested using Real-Time PCR and High Resolution Melting assay (HRM). On August 7, 2024, we reported the first LSD case in Tunisia. Two months later, other positive cases were confirmed by the two molecular techniques. The HRM technique allow the identification of a positive Bovine Papular Stomatitis animal presenting LSD clinical signs. Among the 49 tested cattle, eighteen were confirmed LSD positive. Most of LSD cases were from north western regions, close to Algerian border. The number of

positive cases highly increased from October, period corresponding to increased LSD vectors' activity. This is the first report on the identification of LSD in Tunisian cattle. Our findings confirm the progressive spread of LSD into new areas, and highlight the need of the implementation of control and surveillance measures to face such diseases.

KEYWORDS

Lumpy Skin Disease, Lumpy Skin Disease virus, Capripoxvirus, first circulation, Tunisia

Introduction

Lumpy Skin Disease (LSD) is a viral transboundary disease affecting large ruminants, especially cattle and water buffaloes (1). Clinical signs of the disease include fever and lachrymation, followed by skin nodules appearing primarily on the head, neck, and abdomen, before covering all the body (2). The morbidity rate varies between 5% and 45%, while the mortality rate is generally lower than 3% (1). Due to its significant economic impact on cattle industry and its rapid spread, LSD is listed as a notifiable disease by the World Organization for Animal Health (WOAH) (3). LSD is caused by Lumpy Skin Disease virus (LSDV) which belongs to the family *Poxviridae*, genus *Capripoxvirus*, and is genetically related to the sheeppox virus (SPPV) and goatpox virus (GTPV) within the same genus. Its genome consists of a large double-stranded DNA of about 150Kb (4). The main source of LSDV are skin lesions, and the virus is transmitted mechanically by blood-sucking insects (4, 5).

LSD was first described in 1929 in Zambia from where it spread to other South African countries and became endemic in most of sub-Saharan regions (6, 7). The disease was restricted to these areas for decades, then it slowly extended and reached Egypt and other western African countries during the 1980s (8, 9). Later on, LSD spread out of Africa into the Arabian Peninsula, Turkey, some European countries and more recently into Asia (3, 10–13).

Until 2023, the only African countries considered as LSD free were Morocco, Algeria, Libya and Tunisia (11). In June 2023, LSD cases were identified in Libya (14). One year later in June 2024, LSD cases were detected in Algeria (15). Subsequently, multiple suspected cases were reported in Tunisia, with the first positive case detected on August 07, 2024.

Tunisian cattle herd is of 412,000 female units, almost 60% of which are imported breeds mainly Holstein, Pie noire and Swiss brown (16). Due to the increase of feed price, the size of national cattle herd has gradually decreased. Three livestock feeding systems are practiced in Tunisia: based on grazing (mainly in the north), intensive integrated system (in the center) and mixed farming system (in the south) (16). The highest prevalence of Tunisian cattle is reported in the governorate of Jendouba in the northwest (17), a region of important agricultural activity. In Tunisia, cattle industry provides almost 98.4% of dairy production, and about 13.5% of meat. This demonstrates the importance of livestock farming activity and the need to prevent the incursion and spread of diseases, such as LSD, with negative impact on cattle health.

This study aims to describe the first confirmed LSD cases detected in Tunisia using two molecular techniques: Real-Time PCR and High-Resolution Melting (HRM) assay.

Materials and methods

Sample collection

The Virology laboratory at the Institute of Veterinary Research of Tunisia started receiving samples from cattle presenting at least one LSD clinical sign. From June to October 2024, 122 samples were collected from 49 cattle with clinical signs such as hyperthermia, anorexia and skin nodules. Tested animals were from 42 different herds located in 11 different governorates of Tunisia (Jendouba, Kasserine, Nabeul, Ben Arous, Sfax, Bizerte, Siliana, Kef, Monastir, Tozeur and Sidi Bouzid). Most of sampled cattle were from smallholder farms (less than 5 animals) with feeding system based on grazing (Table 1). Collected samples include, anticoagulated whole blood, oral and nasal swabs, skin nodules (swabs of burst nodules) and scabs. Samples were taken from animals in compliance with local and international ethics regulations.

DNA extraction

Viral DNA was extracted from all samples using the DNeasy Blood and Tissue kit (QIAGEN, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The DNA was eluted in 35μ l of elution buffer and directly analyzed using molecular techniques.

Real-Time PCR amplification for detection of CaPVs

Each extracted DNA sample was tested for the presence of *Capripoxvirus* genome using the Bowden et al. procedure (18), with minor modifications. The PCR reactions were performed using the iQsupermix kit (Biorad, USA). Amplification was conducted in a

TABLE 1 Description of LSD outbreak in Tunisia between June and October 2024; herds, animals and results of LSD tested samples.

Date of collection	Geographic location (Imada, Governorate)	Herd Number	Herd Size (Total of Animals)	Production system	Animal Number	Age (years)	Sex (F=Female, M=Male)	Breed	Symptoms	Sample matrix	Real time PCR Cq values ³	HRM Tm values 4
June	Tozeur	1	Medium (10)	Semi-pastoral	1	0.8	F	Local breed	Anorexia hyperthermia	Scabs	NA ¹	81.6
24, 2024									skin nodules	Blood	NA	NA
										Oral swab	NA	NA
										Nasal swab	NA	NA
July	El Alia, Bizerte	2	Small (1)	Grazing	2	5	F	Local breed	skin nodules	Scabs	NA	NA
05, 2024										Oral swab	NA	NA
										Nasal swab	NA	NA
July 08, 2024	Kef Est, Kef	3	Small (1)	Grazing	3	NI ²	F	Local breed	hyperthermia	Blood	NA	NA
July	July Touazra, Monastir 4 Small (2) 09, 2024	4	Small (2)	Grazing	4	0.5	М	Pie noire	Anorexia hyperthermia	Blood	NA	NA
09, 2024							nasal discharge skin nodules	Oral swab	NA	NA		
										Nasal swab	NA	NA
					5	7	М	Pie noire	Anorexia hyperthermia	Blood	NA	NA
									nasal discharge skin nodules	Oral swab	NA	NA
										Nasal swab	NA	NA
July	Ain	5	Small (1)	Grazing	6	3	F	Holstein	Anorexia hyperthermia	Blood	NA	NA
16, 2024	Mariem, Bizerte								nasal discharge skin crusts	Oral swab	NA	NA
										Nasal swab	NA	NA
July	Sakiet Sidi	6	Medium (5)	Semi-pastoral	7	2	F	Local breed	skin crusts	Scabs	NA	NA
22, 2024	Youssef, Kef									Blood	NA	NA
July	Feriana, Kasserine	7	Small (4)	Semi-pastoral	8	5	F	Holstein	Lachrymation	Oral swab	NA	NA
29, 2024									hypersalivation Skin nodules on the neck	Nasal swab	NA	NA
					9	4	F	Holstein	Skin papules on the neck	Oral swab	NA	NA
										Nasal swab	NA	NA

(Continued)

Date of collection	Geographic location (Imada, Governorate)	Herd Number	Herd Size (Total of Animals)	Production system	Animal Number	Age (years)	Sex (F=Female, M=Male)	Breed	Symptoms	Sample matrix	Real time PCR Cq values ³	HRM Tm values 4
July 31, 2024	El Mida, Nabeul	9	Medium (7)	Semi-pastoral	10	0.8	F	Crossed breed	Hyperthermia skin nodules	Blood	NA	NA
July	Salta, Sidi Bouzid	10	Small (3)	Grazing	11	3	F	NI	Hyperthermia	Blood	NA	NA
31, 2024									skin nodules	Oral swab	NA	NA
										Nasal swab	NA	NA
July	Jendouba	11	Small (4)	Grazing	12	2	F	NI	Skin nodules	Scabs	NA	NA
51, 2024										Blood	NA	NA
August	Jendouba	ıba 12 l	Large (20)	Grazing	13	8	F	NI	Anorexia hyperthermianasal discharge skin crusts	Blood	NA	NA
03, 2024	05, 2024									Burst nodule swab	NA	NA
										Nasal swab	NA	NA
					14	5	F	NI	Anorexia hyperthermia	Blood	NA	NA
									skin crusts	Scabs	NA	NA
										Burst nodule swab	NA	NA
					15	8	F	NI	Anorexia Hyperthermia nasal discharge skin crusts	Blood	NA	NA
										Scabs	NA	NA
										Burst nodule swab	NA	NA
										Nasal swab	NA	NA
August	Eladhir, Jendouba	13	Small (3)	Grazing	16	3	М	Crossed breed	Anorexia hyperthermia	Blood	32.46	77.4
07, 2024									nasal discharge skin nodules on	Oral swab	36.72	77.2
									the neck	Nasal swab	29.53	77.4
August 15, 2024	Sakiet Sidi Youssef, Kef	14	Medium (5)	Semi-pastoral	17	3	NI**	Crossed breed	Insect bites	Blood	NA	NA
												(Continued)

Mejri et al.

Date of collection	Geographic location (Imada, Governorate)	Herd Number	Herd Size (Total of Animals)	Production system	Animal Number	Age (years)	Sex (F=Female, M=Male)	Breed	Symptoms	Sample matrix	Real time PCR Cq values ³	HRM Tm values 4
August	Fernana, Jendouba	15	Small (4)	Grazing	18	1	NI	Crossed breed	Hyperthermia	Blood	NA	NA
19, 2024									nasal discharge	Oral swab	NA	NA
										Nasal swab	NA	NA
August	Fernana, Jendouba	16	Small (3)	Grazing	19	6	NI	Crossed breed	Nasal discharge Skin crusts	Blood	NA	NA
19, 2024										Oral swab	NA	NA
										Nasal swab	NA	NA
					20	6	NI	Crossed breed	nasal discharge and hypersalivation	Blood	NA	NA
										Nasal swab	NA	NA
										Scabs	NA	NA
August 21, 2024	Feriana, Kasserine	17	Small (3)	Grazing	21	7	F	Holstein	Skin nodules	Blood	NA	NA
August	Korba, Nabeul	18	Small (1)	Grazing	22	2	F	Holstein	Insect bites	Blood	NA	NA
26, 2024	26, 2024									Oral swab	NA	NA
										Nasal swab	NA	NA
August	Boumhal,	19	Medium (10)	Semi-pastoral	23	2	F	Holstein	Nasal discharge hypersalivation	Oral swab	NA	NA
28, 2024	Ben Arous									Nasal swab	NA	NA
					24	2	F	Holstein	Nasal	Oral swab	NA	NA
									discharge hypersalivation	Nasal swab	NA	NA
August	El Hancha, Sfax	20	Small (3)	Grazing	25	0.6	F	Pie noire	Nasal	Blood	NA	NA
28, 2024									discharge hypersalivation	Oral swab	NA	NA
									nypersativation	Nasal swab	NA	NA
					26	0.6	F	Pie noire	Nasal discharge hypersalivation	Blood	NA	NA
September 09, 2024	Jendouba	21	Large (19)	Grazing	27	0.5	F	Pie noire	Hyperthermia nasal discharge	Blood	NA	NA

(Continued)

TABLE 1 Continued

Date of collection	Geographic location (Imada, Governorate)	Herd Number	Herd Size (Total of Animals)	Production system	Animal Number	Age (years)	Sex (F=Female, M=Male)	Breed	Symptoms	Sample matrix	Real time PCR Cq values ³	HRM Tm values 4
										Nasal swab	NA	NA
September 11, 2024	Mateur, Bizerte	22	Small (1)	Grazing	28	NI	F	NI	Hyperthermia	Blood	NA	NA
September	Fernana, Jendouba	23	Medium (5)	Grazing	29	6	NI	Crossed breed	Hyperthermia	Blood	NA	NA
19, 2024									nasal discharge	Nasal swab	NA	NA
September	Krib, Siliana	24	Medium (12)	Semi-pastoral	30	0.4	NI	Montb-elliard	Hyperthermia	Blood	NA	NA
19, 2024									nasal discharge	Oral swab	NA	NA
										Nasal swab	NA	NA
October	Nab, Nabeul	25	Small (1)	Grazing	31	0.8	F	3B	Insect bites	Scabs	NA	NA
01, 2024										Blood	NA	NA
October	Ain	26	Small (3)	Grazing	32	2	F	Crossed breed	Hyperthermia	Blood	NA	NA
08, 2024	Draham, Jendouba								nasal discharge	Nasal swab	NA	NA
October	Mellila, Kef	27	Small (3)	Grazing	33	3	F	Pie noire	Hyperthermia	Blood	33.72	NA
08, 2024									nypersalivation nasal discharge	Oral swab	35	NA
										Nasal swab	37.88	NA
October	Bahra, Kef	28	Medium (6)	Grazing	34	6	F	Crossed breed	Hyperthermia	Blood	33.6	77.4
16, 2024									nasal discharge	Oral swab	31.09	77.8
										Nasal swab	24.38	77.8
October	Oued Rmal	29	Small (1)	Grazing	35	6	F	Holstein	Hyperthermia	Blood	27.19	77.6
16, 2024	Sud, Kef								hypersalivation nasal discharge	Oral swab	36.01	77.6
										Nasal swab	NA	NA
October	Oued Rmal	30	Small (1)	Grazing	36	1.5	F	Pie noire	Hyperthermia	Blood	41.43***	77.6
16, 2024	Sud, Kef								hypersalivation nasal discharge	Oral swab	25.61	77.6
										Nasal swab	31.98	77.8

10.3389/fviro.2025.1548475

Mejri et al.

TABLE 1 Continued

Date of collection	Geographic location (Imada, Governorate)	Herd Number	Herd Size (Total of Animals)	Production system	Animal Number	Age (years)	Sex (F=Female, M=Male)	Breed	Symptoms	Sample matrix	Real time PCR Cq values ³	HRM Tm values 4	
October 16, 2024	Aousja, Bizerte	31	Small (1)	Grazing	37	7	F	Holstein	Hyperthermia	Blood	27.5	77.6	
October	Ain	32	Small (2)	Grazing	38	4	F	NI	Hyperthermia	Blood	33.34	77	
18, 2024	Draham, Jendouba								nasal discharge	Nasal swab	27.12	77.8	
October	Fej	33	Medium (10)	Semi-pastoral	39	4	NI	Pie noire	Hyperthermia	Blood	35.17	77	
18, 2024	Hsine, Jendouba								nasal discharge	Oral swab	33.86	77.2	
										Nasal swab	26.45	77.2	
October	Sers sud, Kef	34	Medium (11)	Semi-pastoral	40	7	М	Holstein	Hyperthermia	Blood	30.30	77.6	
21, 2024									nasal discharge	Oral swab	26.80	77.8	
										Nasal swab	22.63	77.6	
October	October Ain Abar, Kef 1, 2024	35	Medium (6)	Grazing	41	2	F	Holstein	Hyperthermia	Blood	34.74	77.2	
21, 2024									Hypersalivation	oral swab	34.02	NA	
					42	2	F	Holstein	Hypersalivation	Oral swab	13.95	77.2	
									nasar üsenarge	Nasal swab	20.64	77.4	
October	Weljet Essedra, Kef	36	Medium (13)	Semi-pastoral	43	0.5	F	Holstein	Hyperthermia	Blood	24.84	77.4	
22, 2024									nasal discharge	Oral swab	NA	NA	
										Nasal swab	23.64	77.2	
October	Abida, Kef	37	Medium (5)	Grazing	44	1.5	F	Crossed breed	Hyperthermia	Blood	24.84	77.2	
23, 2024							hypersalivation nasal discharge	Oral swab	25.13	77.6			
										Nasal swab	23.64	77.4	
October	Errakha, Jendouba	38	Small (1)	Grazing	45	5	F	Swiss	Hyperthermia	Blood	31.25	77.4	
24, 2024									hypersalivation nasal discharge	hypersalivation nasal discharge	Oral swab	32.64	77.4
										Nasal swab	32.23	77.4	
October	Labyadh,	39 5	Small (3)	Grazing	46	2	F	Holstein	Hyperthermia	Blood	27.86	77.4	
25, 2024	Sidi Bouzid								nasal discharge	Oral swab	27.66	77.4	

(Continued)

07

Date of collection	Geographic location (Imada, Governorate)	Herd Number	Herd Size (Total of Animals)	Production system	Animal Number	Age (years)	Sex (F=Female, M=Male)	Breed	Symptoms	Sample matrix	Real time PCR Cq values ³	HRM Tm values 4
										Nasal swab	23.54	77.4
October	Zerdou, Jendouba	Jendouba 40 Small (3) Grazing 47 6 F Crossed breed	Hyperthermia	Blood	24.58	77.2						
26, 2024			hypersalivation nasal discharge	Oral swab	17.18	77.2						
						Nasal swab	26.14	77.2				
October	Essouani, Jendouba	41	Medium (6)	Grazing	48	6	F	Crossed breed	Hyperthermia	Blood	26.07	77.4
26, 2024									hypersalivation nasal discharge	Oral swab	25.78	77.4
										Nasal swab	12.59	77.4
October	Sakiet Sidi	42	42 Medium (7)	7) Grazing	49	1	F	Swiss	Hyperthermia hypersalivation nasal discharge	Blood	31.17	77.4
28, 2024	Youssef, Kef	Youssef, Kef								Oral swab	31.85	77.4
										Nasal swab	30.25	77.4

Frontiers in Virology

²NI, Not Indicated;

 3 Ct value \leq 38, positive result; Ct value > 40, negative result; 38 \leq Ct value < 40, doubtful result.

⁴HRM Tm values obtained with CFX 96 (Bio-Rad): [77.2 - 77.4] = LSDV; [81.60 - 81.80] = BPSV.

Small herd means less than 5 animals, Medium herd means between 5 and 15 animals, Large herd means more than 15 animals.

BPSV positive animal LSDV negative animal LSDV positive animal.

** : NI : Not indicated; ***: Ct value > 40 indicating negative result.

reaction volume of 20μ l containing 2X buffer, 400 nm each of the forward and reverse primers, 250 nm of the probe, and 2μ l of template DNA. The PCR program consisted of an initial denaturation at 95°C for 10 min, followed by 45 cycles at 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 1 min, with the fluorescence reading at the end.

HRM assay for detection of Poxvirus

Each DNA sample was also tested for coinfection of LSDV and other Poxviruses using an HRM assay. It is a sensitive technique allowing an accurate differentiation between closely related Poxviruses.

This technique consists of a multiplex Real-time PCR assay for detection and differentiation of Poxviruses belonging to Orthopoxvirus, Capripoxvirus, and Parapoxvirus based on the GC content, fragment lengths and the melting temperature (Tm) of the PCR products (19). Briefly, the PCR was set up in a reaction volume of 20µl, containing 1X of SsoFast EvaGreen Supermix (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA), 200 nm of each of the reverse and forward primers (Table 2), and 2µl of DNA sample. The PCR conditions consisted of an initial denaturation step at 95°C for 4 min, followed by 40 cycles at 95°C for 1 s, 59°C for 5 s and 70°C for 5 s with fluorescence reading at the end, followed by 95°C for 30 s, 65°C for 1 min and melting between 65°C and 85°C at 10 s/0.2°C with fluorescence reading at each °C, then 37°C for 1 min. The HRM assay was performed using the CFX 96 (Bio-Rad) instrument, and the amplification plots were analyzed using the Bio-Rad CFX MaestroTM Software version 1.0.

In each HRM run, nine controls were included: one negative control and eight positive controls corresponding to eight Poxviruses: Cowpox virus (CPXV) and Camelpox virus (CMLV) (of the Orthopoxvirus genus), GTPV, SPPV and LSDV (of the Capripoxvirus genus), Orf virus (OrfV), Pseudocowpox virus (PCPV) and Bovine papular stomatitis virus (BPSV) (of the Parapoxvirus genus). These positive controls were kindly provided by the Animal Production and Health Laboratory, Joint FAO/IAEA Centre, Department of Nuclear Sciences and Applications, International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna, Austria

TABLE 2 List of oligonucleotide primers used in HRM technique for amplification of Poxviruses (*Orthopoxvirus, Capripoxvirus,* and *Parapoxvirus*).

Primer identification	Primer sequence $(5' \rightarrow 3')$	Length of amplicon (bp)		
OPV-HRM-For	TAGGACTAGCCGCGGTAACTT	56		
OPV-HRM-Rev	ACAAGATAGAAGCGATGGATACTT	50		
CaPV-HRM-For	TCCTGGCATTTTAAGTAATGGT	100		
CaPV-HRM-Rev	GTCAGATATAAACCCGGCAAGTG	100		
PPV-HRM-For	TCGAAGATCTTGTCCAGGAAG	112		
PPV-HRM-Rev	CCGAGAAGATCAACGAGGTC			

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis of qualitative variables was performed using the Fisher Test, to check if age and sex have a statistical impact on LSD positivity at the level of significance $\alpha = 0.05$. A p value less than 0.05 is considered statistically significant. The variable sex could not be statistically analyzed because of limited data.

Results

Among the 49 suspected cattle, 18 (36.7%) were confirmed LSDV positive based on the Real-Time PCR results. LSDV DNA was detected in 46/49 samples collected from the 18 positive animals. Positive samples consisted of 16 blood samples, 15 oral swabs and 15 nasal swabs. No LSDV DNA was detected in one blood sample, two oral swabs and two nasal swabs collected from three positive animals (Table 1). All scabs and skin nodule samples were detected negative. Indeed, all of them were from negative animals tested before the detection of the first LSD positive case. We did not receive such kind of samples from confirmed LSD positive animals. A sample was considered positive if its Cq value was lower than 38. Real-Time PCR results showed that Cq values of positive samples ranged between 12.59 to 37.88. Low Cq values (\leq 25), implying a high viral load, were observed in 12 samples (Table 1), most of them were nasal swabs (N = 7). An animal was considered LSD positive if at least one of its samples showed viral amplification by Real-Time PCR technique.

Results of HRM technique allow the identification of Poxviruses according to Tm values (19). Analysis of samples using the HRM assay showed that, one suspected animal that was negative for LSDV by the Real-Time PCR, was positive for BPSV (Tm = 81.60) (Animal Number 1 in Table 1) (Figure 1). This animal presented skin nodules suggestive of an LSD infection (Figure 2). A sample is considered LSD positive by HRM technique if its Tm value ranged between 77.2 and 77.4. HRM results (Figure 3) confirmed LSDV positivity of 42 samples out of the 43 positives detected by the Real-Time PCR.

Before the confirmation of the first LSD positive case on August 7, 2024, fifteen suspected cattle with clinical signs were tested both by Real-Time PCR and HRM and showed negative results. The first LSD positive animal was a three-year-old bull, used for insemination and presenting typical clinical signs of LSD (Figure 4). This animal was from Jendouba (northwest Tunisia), a region very close to the Algerian border. No other LSD cases were diagnosed for the following two months until October 8, when positive LSDV cases were increasingly detected (Figure 5).

Most of tested cattle were females, and among the 18 LSD positive animals, 15 were females. Statistical analysis showed that the age of positive animals varied from 6 months to 7 years, with a mean of 3.75 years. A higher prevalence of LSD infection was found in animals aged more than one year (50%) than in animals aged one year or less (12.5%). However, this finding is not statistically significant (p value=1.00). It's important to note that most reported symptoms among LSD positive animals were:



·



Nodules on the skin of a suspected case, detected LSD negative and BPSV positive (Photo: Dr Makrem OURABI).





Nodules on the skin of the first LSD positive case (Photo: Dr Imed BEN SLIMENE). (A) Skin nodules on the neck. (B) Skin nodule on the abdomen. (C) Oedema of limbs.

hyperthermia, nasal discharge and hypersalivation recorded in 94.4%, in 89% and in 83% of positive animals, respectively. The presence of skin nodules was reported in only one positive case, representing 5.5% of total positive cases. The morbidity, mortality and lethality rates were estimated at 22%, 4% and 17%, respectively. According to veterinarians involved in LSD outbreak monitoring, infected animals recovered after a period of about one month.

Geographical location of positive cases (Figure 6) showed that LSD positive cattle were distributed in 18 different foci. Most of affected areas were in the northwestern part of Tunisia (Kef and Jendouba).

Discussion

For decades, LSD was restricted to south African regions, before spreading into new areas, even outside Africa (4). In 2023 and 2024, LSD positive cases were identified for the first time in north African countries (Libya and Algeria).

This article describes the first detection of LSDV in Tunisia in August 2024, event that was notified to the World Organisation for Animal Health (20). The appearance of LSD in Tunisia occurred one year after its identification in Libya and only 2 months later its incursion in Algeria. LSDV cases, described herein, were identified using two molecular techniques: Real-time PCR and HRM assay.





Real-Time PCR technique is a robust assay widely used in the molecular screening of Capripoxviruses, especially LSDV (18, 21–23). The HRM assay is a sensitive and specific technique that allows not only the detection and differentiation of LSDV from other Capripoxviruses but also from other poxviruses (19). Since its development, this technique is increasingly used in the diagnosis of LSD cases (24, 25).

A total of 43 samples (blood, nasal and oral swabs) collected from 18 cattle were confirmed LSD positive. Although skin lesions and scabs are known to be the main sources of LSDV, in the present study, very few skin lesion samples were collected from suspected animals. This is because collecting skin samples can be traumatic and painful for animals, due to the lack of anesthetic products. For some animals, skin nodules have burst and nodule swabs were collected and tested, all of them were LSD negative. In this study, we demonstrate that other type of samples, especially, nasal swabs could be suitable for LSDV screening, since they presented high rate of viral amplification.

Before the confirmation of the first LSD case, fifteen animals presented clinical signs, mainly skin lesions, suggestive of the disease (Figure 2). All of these animals were detected negative for LSDV both by Real-Time PCR and by HRM assay. One of these

10.3389/fviro.2025.1548475

suspected cattle was detected positive for BPSV by the HRM assay (Table 1; Figure 1). This result could be explained by the fact that some other diseases cause almost the same cutaneous clinical signs. Among these diseases there are, Hypodermosis, Bovine Leukosis, Lymph node Tuberculosis, parapoxvirus infection etc., which are common in Tunisia (26-29). All these LSD negative results could also be explained by the vigilance of Tunisian veterinarians who paid attention to a lesser suspicion in cattle since the identification of LSD cases in Algeria. The first positive case was identified on August 7, 2024 (20), and no other LSD case was confirmed for almost two months. Since October 8, there has been a significant increase in LSD positive cases number. This is probably related to vectors' activity period. In fact, in Tunisia, the autumn season (corresponding to the months of September, October and November) is known to be the period of high abundance of blood-sucking vectors (30, 31), especially this year, with the high temperatures and rainfall that occurred in late September and early October.

Most of the infected animals were from geographical regions close to the Algerian border (Figure 6). This finding supports the hypothesis of illegal introduction of infected animals from Algeria, considering the high permeability and uncontrolled animal movements between the two countries. In order to limit the spread of such diseases, strict surveillance and control measures targeting border regions must be implemented to stop illegal animal movements and animal products trade.

The mean age of infected animals was 3.75 years, a relatively young age during which a cow is at the maximum of its productivity. Results also demonstrated that LSD infection was more prevalent in animals aged more than one year, even it was not statistically significant. This finding is in agreement with a previous study reporting that adult cattle were more likely to be LSD infected than calves aged less than one year (32). However, other studies confirmed that higher LSD prevalence was detected in young animals than in adult ones (12, 33). Regarding the factor sex and although the data could not be statistically analyzed, we found that LSD was more detected in female animals. This finding confirms results of previous published works reporting higher LSD prevalence in females compared to males (2, 34). While other studies suggested that male animals are more susceptible to LSD infection because of their exposure to stress factors such as hard work (35, 36). The morbidity, mortality and lethality rates reported in the present work are in accordance with those reported before (1, 37, 38). The negative impact of the disease on cattle industry is mainly due to the high morbidity rate, while the economic losses are due to drop in milk production, decrease of growth rate in beef cattle, infertility and abortion (2, 4).

In Tunisia, cattle are not vaccinated against LSD. A commission regarding the implementation of a massive vaccination campaign and the choice of the appropriate vaccine has been appointed and its work will be shortly completed in order to proceed as soon as possible with the vaccination of susceptible animals in Tunisia.

Each suspected animal was isolated from the herd, however this measure did not limit the spread of the disease. To prevent other LSD outbreak in Tunisia, it is critical to perform an epidemiological investigation across the country. Results of such study are important to determine factors to be considered in the implementation of a national strategy for LSD surveillance and control and to implement an appropriate vaccination program. Additionally, strict measures are needed to limit LSD spread, such as controlling illegal animal movements between Tunisia and neighboring countries and restriction of infected animals' movements between regions. Moreover, an effective control of blood-feeding vectors must be implemented and maintained to prevent LSD spread.

Further molecular studies are needed to characterize LSDV strains circulating in Tunisia. The results will be useful for improving diagnostic tools and understanding LSD epidemiology at least at the Mediterranean and African level.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

Ethical approval was not required for the study involving animals in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements because Ethical approval was not required for the study involving animals in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements because samples were taken by veterinarians for diagnostic purposes and in compliance with local and international regulations. In addition, samples consist of blood samples, nasal and oral swabs and crusts that do not require invasive procedures for the animals.

Author contributions

SMe: Conceptualization, Writing - original draft, Formal analysis, Resources. SF: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Resources, Writing original draft. MO: Investigation, Resources, Writing - review & editing. AA: Investigation, Resources, Writing - review & editing. RZ: Investigation, Resources, Writing - review & editing. MN: Investigation, Resources, Writing - review & editing. SMh: Investigation, Resources, Writing - review & editing. SG: Investigation, Resources, Writing - review & editing. NH: Investigation, Resources, Writing - review & editing. KH: Investigation, Resources, Writing - review & editing. NA: Investigation, Resources, Writing - review & editing. NB: Investigation, Resources, Writing - review & editing. WM: Investigation, Resources, Writing - review & editing. IS: Investigation, Resources, Writing - review & editing. IG: Investigation, Resources, Writing - review & editing. HM: Investigation, Resources, Writing - review & editing. MK: Investigation, Resources, Writing review & editing. ZL: Investigation, Resources, Writing - review & editing. NZ: Investigation, Resources, Writing - review & editing. MH: Investigation, Resources, Writing - review & editing. HG: Investigation, Resources, Writing – review & editing. FZ: Investigation, Resources, Writing – review & editing. AH: Investigation, Resources, Writing – review & editing. NBH: Investigation, Resources, Writing – review & editing. SSb: Investigation, Resources, Writing – review & editing. ST: Investigation, Resources, Writing – review & editing. HA: Investigation, Resources, Writing – review & editing. SSg: Investigation, Writing – review & editing. RK: Investigation, Methodology, Resources, Writing – review & editing. TS: Funding acquisition, Investigation, Software, Writing – review & editing. IM: Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. CL: Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Resources, Writing – review & editing. AT: Methodology, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This research was supported by the VETLAB Network initiative of the Joint FAO/ IAEA Centre of Nuclear Techniques in Food and Agriculture, funded through the Peaceful Uses Initiative (PUI) by Japan and the United States of America.

References

 Coetzer JAW. Lumpy skin disease" in Infectious diseases of livestock. In: Coetzer JAW, Tustin RC, editors, 2nd edition. South Africa: University Press Southern Africa (2004). p. 1268–76.

2. Tuppurainen ESM, Oura CAL. Lumpy skin disease: an emerging threat to europe, the middle east and asia. *Trans Emerg Dis.* (2012) 59:40–8. doi: 10.1111/j.1865-1682.2011.01242.x

3. Bianchini J, Simons X, Humblet MF, Saegerman C. Lumpy skin disease: A systematic review of mode of transmission, risk of emergence and risk entry pathway. *Viruses.* (2023) 15:1622. doi: 10.3390/v15081622

4. Namazi F, Tafti AK. Lumpy skin disease, an emerging transboundary viral disease: A review. *Vet Med Sci.* (2021) 7:888–96. doi: 10.1002/vms3.434

5. MacLachlan NJ, Dubovi EJ. Fenner's veterinary virology, 4th ed. Amsterdam, Netherlands: Academic Press (2011).

6. Morris JPA. Pseudo-urticaria. Northern rhodesia department of animal health, annual report 1930. (1931). p. 12

7. Davies FG. Lumpy skin disease, an African capripox virus disease of cattle. *Br Vet J.* (1991) 147:489–503. doi: 10.1016/0007-1935(91)90019-J

8. Ali AA, Esmat M, Attia H, Selim A, Abdelhamid YM. Clinical and pathological studies on lumpy skin disease in Egypt. *Vet Rec.* (1990) 127:549–50.

9. Gari G, Grosbois V, Waret-szkuta A, Babiuk S, Jacquiet P, Roger F. Lumpy skin disease in Ethiopia: seroprevalence study across diferent agro-climate zones. *Acta Tropica*. (2012) 123:101–6. doi: 10.1016/j.actatropica.2012.04.009

10. Ratyotha K, Prakobwong S, Piratae S. Lumpy skin disease: A newly emerging disease in Southeast Asia. *Vet World.* (2022) 15:2764–71. doi: 10.14202/ vetworld.2022.2764-2771

11. Whittle L, Chapman R, Williamson AL. Lumpy skin disease—An emerging cattle disease in europe and asia. Vaccines. (2023) 11:578. doi: 10.3390/vaccines11030578

12. Sevik M, Dogan M. Epidemiological and molecular studies on lumpy skin disease outbreaks in Turkey during 2014-2015. *Transbound Emerg Dis.* (2017) 64:1268–79. doi: 10.1111/tbed.12501

13. Anwar A, Na-Lampang K, Preyavichyapugdee N, Punyapornwithaya V. Lumpy skin disease outbreaks in africa, europe, and asia, (2005–2022): multiple change point analysis and time series forecast. *Viruses.* (2022) 14:2203. doi: 10.3390/v14102203

14. World Organisation for Animal Health. (2024). Available online at: https:// wahis.woah.org//in-review/5091?fromPage=event-dashboard-url (Accessed November 09, 2024).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

15. World Organisation for Animal Health. (2024). Available online at: https:// wahis.woah.org//inreview/5936?reportId=169684&fromPage=event-dashboard-url (Accessed Novembre 08, 2024).

16. Brahmi E, Souli A, Soltani N, Saidani F, Ben Attia M, Ayadi M. Evaluation of the productive and reproductive performance of Holstein dairy cows reared in a warm Tunisian (Mediterranean) climate. *J New sciences Agric Biotechnol.* (2023) 91:5139–49. doi: 10.55416/sunb.jns01.2302.09101

17. Observatoire National de l'Agriculture. (2022). Available online at: http://www.onagri.nat.tn/uploads/Etudes/Annuaire-Statistique-2022.pdf (Accessed November 09, 2024).

18. Bowden TR, Babiuk SL, Parkyn GR, Copps JS, Boyle DB. Capripoxvirus tissue tropism and shedding: a quantitative study in experimentally infected sheepand goats. *Virology*. (2008) 371:380–93. doi: 10.1016/j.virol.2007.10.002

19. Gelaye E, Mach L, Kolodziejek J, Grabherr R, Loitsch A, Achenbach JE, et al. A novel HRM Assay for the simultaneous detection and differenciation of eight Poxviruses of medical and veterinary importance. *Sci Rep.* (2017) 7:42892. doi: 10.1038/srep42892

20. World Organisation for Animal Health. (2024). Available online at: https://wahis.woah.org//in-review/5814 (Accessed September 25, 2024).

21. Molini U, Boshoff E, Niel AP, Phillips J, Khaiseb S, Settypalli TBK, et al. Detection of lumpy skin disease virus in an asymptomatic eland (Taurotragus oryx) in Namibia. J Wildl Dis. (2021) 57:708–11. doi: 10.7589/JWD-D-20-00181

22. Maw MT, Khin MM, Hadrill D, Meki IK, Settypalli TBK, Kyin MM, et al. First report of lumpy skin disease in Myanmar and molecular analysis of the field virus isolates. *Microorganisms*. (2022) 10:897. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms10050897

23. Tsai KJ, Tu YC, Wu CH, Huang CW, Ting LJ, Huang YL, et al. First detection and phylogenetic analysis of lumpy skin disease virus from Kinmen Island, Taiwan in 2020. J Vet Med Sci. (2022) 84:1093–100. doi: 10.1292/jvms.21-0649

24. Ziba MK, Chitala C, Settypalli TBK, Mumba M, Cattoli G, Fandamu P, et al. First detection and molecular characterisation of pseudocowpox virus in a cattle herd in Zambia. *Virol J.* (2020) 17:152. doi: 10.1186/s12985-020-01426-7

25. Modise BM, Settypalli TBK, Kgotlele T, Xue D, Ntesang K, Kumile K, et al. First molecular characterization of poxviruses in cattle, sheep, and goats in Botswana. *Virol J*. (2021) 18:167. doi: 10.1186/s12985-021-01634-9

26. Kilani MA, Jaballah M, Franc M, Dorchies PH. Observation sur l'infestation des bovins par Hypoperma specie au Cap-Bon en Tunisie. *Rev Med Veterinary.* (1986) 137:681-4.

27. Hassan M, Khan MN, Abubakar M, Waheed HM, Iqbal Z, Hussain M. Bovine hypodermosis-A global aspect. *Trop Anim Health Prod.* (2010) 42:1615–25. doi: 10.1007/s11250-010-9634-y

28. Lamine-Khemiri H, Martínez R, García-Jiménez WL, Benítez-Medina JM, Cortés M, Hurtado I, et al. Genotypic characterization by spoligotyping and VNTR typing of Mycobacterium bovis and Mycobacterium caprae isolates from cattle of Tunisia. *Trop Anim Health Prod.* (2014) 46:305–11. doi: 10.1007/s11250-013-0488-y

29. Fakhfakh E, Le Goff C, Albina E, Zekri S, Seghaier C, Odisseev C, et al. Isolement et étude moléculaire de souches des virus de la clavelée et de l'ecthyma contagieux en Tunisie. *Rev Élev. Méd. vét. Pays Trop.* (2005) 58:7–14. doi: 10.19182/remvt.9943

30. Lysyk TJ. Temperature and population density effects on feeding activity of stomoxys calcitrans (Diptera: muscidae) on cattle. J Med Entomol. (1995) 32:508–14. doi: 10.1093/jmedent/32.4.508

31. Ducheyne E, Tran Minh NH, Haddad N, Bryssinckx W, Buliva E, Simard F, et al. Current and future distribution of Aedes aEgypti and Aedes albopictus (Diptera: Culicidae) in WHO Eastern Mediterranean Region. *Int J Health Geogr.* (2018) 17:4. doi: 10.1186/s12942-018-0125-0

32. Albayrak H, Ozan EM, Kadi H, Cavunt A, Tamer C, Tutuncu M. Molecular detection and seasonal distribution of lumpy skin disease virus in cattle breeds in Turkey. *Med Weter*. (2018) 74. doi: 10.21521/mw.6081

33. Ahmed WM, Zaher KS. Observations on lumpy skin disease in local Egyptian cows with emphasis on its impact on ovarian function. *Afr J Microbiol Res.* (2008) 2:252–7. doi: 10.5897/AJMR.9000531

34. Sethi RS, Senapati SK, Selim AM, Acharya AP, Mishra C, Das M, et al. Molecular epidemiology of first lumpy skin disease outbreak in odisha, India. *Res Square*. (2021). doi: 10.21203/rs.3.rs-359508/v1

35. Gari G, Waret-Szkuta A, Grosbois V, Jacquiet P, Roger F. Risk factors associated with observe clinical lumpy skin disease in Ethiopia. *Epidemiol Infect J.* (2010) 138:1657–66. doi: 10.1017/S0950268810000506

36. Abera Z, Degefu H, Gari G, Ayana Z. Review on epidemiology and economic importance of lumpy skin disease. *Int J Basic Appl Virol.* (2015) 4:08–21. doi: 10.5829/ idosi.ijbav.2015.4.1.9117

37. Tasioudi KE, Antoniou SE, Iliadou P, Sachpatzidis A, Plevraki E, Agianniotaki EI, et al. Emergence of lumpy skin disease in Greece 2015. *Trans Emerg Dis.* (2016) 63:260–5. doi: 10.1111/tbed.12497

38. Mosad SM, Rasheed N, Ali HS, El-Khabaz KAS, Shosha EAM, El-Diasty M. Incidence of lumpy skin disease virus with its characterization in vaccinated pregnant Holstein cows in Dakahlia governorate, Egypt. *Ger J Vet Res.* (2021) 1:23–33. doi: 10.51585/gjvr.2021.4.0027